SQL Server: Performance Troubleshooting Using Wait Statistics

Module 6: Summary

Paul S. Randal Paul@SQLskills.com



Introduction

- To round out the course, this module will cover:
 - Summarized methodologies
 - □ Real-world, end-to-end example from a consulting client

Waits, Latches, and Spinlocks

- We use wait statistics analysis as one of the first steps in every performance investigation we conduct
 - Rarely does wait statistics analysis alone allow the problem to be diagnosed
 - It shows some of the symptoms of the problem
- The vast majority of performance troubleshooting cases can be addressed simply by using wait statistics
 - Occasionally latch statistics must be used and rarely must spinlocks also be examined
 - As discussed in Module 4, latch and spinlock analysis gets progressively more advanced, requiring deep knowledge of SQL Server internals

Methodology: No Historical Data

- Gather information about exactly when the performance problem arose and the user-visible characteristics of the problem
- Gather information about what changed before the problem arose
- Examine the output from sys.dm_os_waiting_tasks
 - What is happening on the server right now?
- Examine the output from sys.dm_os_wait_stats
 - What has happened in the past?
- Look at the top 3-4 relevant waits
 - If LATCH_XX is present, examine the output from sys.dm_os_latch_stats
- Avoid the temptation to knee-jerk and equate symptoms with the root-cause
- Gather further information from relevant sources to pin-point the problem
 - DMVs, query plans, performance counters, code analysis

Methodology: Historical Data

- Gather information about exactly when the performance problem arose and the user-visible characteristics of the problem
- Gather information about what changed before the problem arose
- Examine the historical data sets from before the change and correlate through the time the problem arose
 - Look to see how the pattern of waits changes over time
- Investigate current output from sys.dm_os_wait_stats and sys.dm_os_waiting_tasks to see whether the pattern is continuing or has returned to 'normal'
 - If normal now, correlate with any other historical data captured from monitoring tools to try to determine why the problem occurred
 - If not normal, proceed with further data gathering, focusing on the waits that have risen to prevalence over time

Real-World Example: Symptoms

Auto dealership hosting service

- Lots of auto dealers from across the US hosted on one site
- Each auto dealer uploads inventory each day
- One large Listing table storing all inventory for all dealers
- One large Visitor table tracking clicks on web pages
- No DBA

System had performance problem:

- User queries on inventory and prices regularly timed out
- Inventory updates regularly timed out
- Climbing CPU usage
- Response time getting longer and longer
- Car dealers pressuring hosting service for fixes
- First step: analyze wait statistics...

Real-World Example: Analysis

- No historical data so gathered wait statistics data using the queries shown in earlier modules
- Both DMVs showed the same three wait types:
 - CXPACKET wait = parallelism
 - PAGEIOLATCH_SH wait = reading data file pages from disk
 - □ WRITELOG wait = waiting for log writes, with average wait more than 20ms
- Possible issues from just wait statistics
 - Many queries doing parallel table scans of data that is not memory resident
 - I/O subsystem for the log file over-loaded and/or high number of log flushes
- Investigated further using DMVs to analyze:
 - Query plans
 - Index and table structures, index usage, fragmentation, and statistics
 - I/O subsystem latencies
- Next step: determine root-causes...

Real-World Example: Root-Causes

- Both large tables had random GUID cluster keys
 - High fragmentation in the clustered indexes leading to poor readahead
 - Lots of page-split transaction log activity during web page click tracking
- Both large tables had more than 50 single-column nonclustered indexes
 - Indexes not being used for seeks, resulting in table scans
 - Large amounts of nonclustered index maintenance from inserts, updates, deletes contributing to transaction log activity
- Insufficient buffer pool memory for application workload data
- Poorly laid out I/O subsystem contributing to high latencies
- Poorly written code from using an ORM system
- Final step: propose and implement solution

Real-World Example: Solution

Solution included:

- Increasing server memory and provisioning more appropriate I/O subsystem
- Changing main tables to have bigint IDENTITY cluster keys
- Removing useless nonclustered indexes
- Analyzing ORM-generated code and query plans to determine appropriate nonclustered indexes
 - ORM system could not be removed for political reasons
- Implementing index maintenance and periodic health checks
- End result: no performance problems and a happy client, with minimal investigation time

Resources

Whitepapers:

- Performance Tuning Using Waits and Queues (http://bit.ly/aUh6S)
- Diagnosing and Resolving Latch Contention (http://bit.ly/pS1kd1)
- Diagnosing and Resolving Spinlock Contention (http://bit.ly/qZEJ4h)

Other links:

- Paul's blog category on waits, latches, and spinlocks (http://bit.ly/Nsb4QL)
- SQL Server 2012 Books Online on OS DMVs (http://bit.ly/NsbqH9)
- PSS Wait Stats Repository defunct (http://bit.ly/9P5qNW)

Be careful of what advice you read on the Internet!

Summary

- Wait statistics analysis is the most effective first step when performance troubleshooting
 - SQL Server collects a wealth of useful information
 - Shows what is happening and what has happened
- Keys to using the data correctly are understanding and practice
 - What do the various waits mean?
 - Which waits are relevant?
 - What are the average wait times?
 - Are there recognizable patterns?
 - Don't be scared to look deeper at latch statistics
- Don't be tempted to 'knee-jerk' and waste time!
- Happy troubleshooting!