Microsoft T-SQL Performance Tuning

Part 3: Query Optimization Strategies

White Paper



written by Kevin Kline, Andrew Zanevsky, and Lee Gould Applications and Database Management Quest Software, Inc.

© Copyright Quest® Software, Inc. 2005. All rights reserved.

The information in this publication is furnished for information use only, does not constitute a commitment from Quest Software Inc. of any features or functions discussed and is subject to change without notice. Quest Software, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or inaccuracies that may appear in this publication.

Last revised: January 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	4
OVERVIEW OF THIS ARTICLE	5
SUBQUERIES OPTIMIZATION	6
UNION VS. UNION ALL	9
FUNCTIONS AND EXPRESSIONS THAT SUPPRESS INDEXES	11
UPDATE FROM AND DELETE FROM	13
SET NOCOUNT ON	17
TOP AND SET ROWCOUNT	18
ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT TEMPORARY TABLE SIZE	20
LOOP OPTIMIZATION	22
MOVE INVARIANT OPERATIONS OUTSIDE OF THE LOOPREPLACE LOOPS WITH QUERIES	
QUERYING AGAINST COMPOSITE KEYS	24
SUMMARY	26
ABOUT QUEST SOFTWARE, INC	27
CONTACTING QUEST SOFTWARETRADEMARKS	

ABSTRACT

This article is the second in a series that describes a variety of performance tuning techniques that you can apply to your Microsoft SQL Server Transact-SQL programs. In many cases, you could use the graphic user interface provided in the Microsoft tools to achieve the same or similar results to those described here. For example, the graphic showplan feature of SQL Query Analyzer will show you the query plan for a query. However, this series focuses on using Transact-SQL commands as the basis for our solutions. All examples and syntax are verified for Microsoft SQL Server 2000.

Other articles in this series cover topics like:

- 1. Datatype tuning
- 2. Tuning through database and table partitioning
- 3. Indexing strategies
- 4. Query optimizer strategies
- 5. SHOWPLAN output and analysis
- 6. Optimizer hints and Join techniques
- 7. Query tuning tips & tricks

OVERVIEW OF THIS ARTICLE

These articles illustrates, through examples and explain plans, useful techniques for improving queries in Microsoft SQL Server 2000. There are a number of small tips and techniques applicable in narrow classes of programming tasks. Knowing them expands your resources in performance optimization. We have chosen to use Microsoft SHOWPLAN_ALL output in all examples in this section, because they are more compact and still show all the critical information. (Just as an FYI, Sybase's query plans are essentially the same for our sample queries though they include some additional messages.)



Most examples are based on either the PUBS database or on standard system tables. I have greatly expanded the size of the tables used in the PUBS database adding tens of thousands of rows to many tables.

SUBQUERIES OPTIMIZATION

As a good rule of thumb try to replace all subqueries with joins. The optimizer may sometimes automatically *flatten out* subqueries and replace them with regular or outer joins. But it doesn't always do a good job at that. Explicit joins give the optimizer more options to choose the order of tables and find the best possible plan. When you optimize a particular query investigate if getting rid of subqueries makes a difference.

Example

The following queries select the names of all user tables in the *pubs* database and the clustered index name for each table if one exists. If there is no clustered index, then table name still appears in the list with a dash in the clustered index column. Both queries return the same result set, but the first one uses a subquery, while the second employs an outer join. Compare the query plans produced by Microsoft SQL Server.

SUBQUERY SOLUTION	JOIN SOLUTION
SELECT st.stor_name AS 'Store', ISNULL((SELECT SUM(bs.qty)) FROM big_sales AS bs WHERE bs.stor_id = st.stor_id), 0) AS 'Books Sold' FROM stores AS st WHERE st.stor_id IN (SELECT DISTINCT stor_id FROM big_sales)	SELECT st.stor_name AS 'Store', SUM(bs.qty) AS 'Books Sold' FROM stores AS st JOIN big_sales AS bs ON bs.stor_id = st.stor_id WHERE st.stor_id IN (SELECT DISTINCT stor_id FROM big_sales) GROUP BY st.stor_name
SQL Server parse and compile time:	SQL Server parse and compile time:
CPU time = 28 ms,	CPU time = 50 ms,
elapsed time = 28 ms.	elapsed time = 54 ms.
SQL Server Execution Times:	SQL Server Execution Times:
CPU time = 145 ms,	CPU time = 109 ms,
elapsed time = 145 ms.	elapsed time = 109 ms.
Table 'big_sales'. Scan count 14, <i>logical reads</i> 1884, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.	Table 'big_sales'. Scan count 14, <i>logical reads</i> 966, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.
Table 'stores'. Scan count 12, logical reads 24, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.	Table 'stores'. Scan count 12, logical reads 24, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.

Without probing deeper, we see that the join was faster in terms of both CPU and total elapsed time, requiring almost half as many logical reads as the subquery solution.

Incidentally, the result sets are the same in both cases, though the sort orders are different because the join query (with its GROUP BY clause) has an implicit ORDER BY:

```
Books Sold
Store
Barnum's
                    154125
Bookbeat
                    518080
Doc-U-Mat: Quality Laundry and Books 581130
Eric the Read Books
                        76931
Fricative Bookshop 2590
News & Brews 161090
                        259060
(6 row(s) affected)
                 Books Sold
Eric the Read Books
                    76931
Barnum's
                    154125
News & Brews
                    161090
Doc-U-Mat: Quality Laundry and Books 581130
Fricative Bookshop 259060
          518080
Bookbeat
(6 row(s) affected)
```

Examination of the guery plan of the subguery approach shows:

Whereas in the join query, we have:

```
|--Stream Aggregate(GROUP BY:([st].[stor_name])

DEFINE:([Expr1004]=SUM([partialagg1005])))

|--Sort(ORDER BY:([st].[stor_name] ASC))

|--Nested Loops(Left Semi Join, OUTER REFERENCES:([st].[stor_id]))

|--Nested Loops(Inner Join, OUTER REFERENCES:([bs].[stor_id]))

| |--Stream Aggregate(GROUP BY:([bs].[stor_id])

DEFINE:([partialagg1005]=SUM([bs].[qty])))

| | |--Clustered Index Scan(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].

[UPKCL_big_sales] AS [bs]), ORDERED FORWARD)

| |--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[stores].

[UPK_storeid] AS [st]),

SEEK:([st].[stor_id]=[bs].[stor_id]) ORDERED FORWARD)

|--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].

[UPKCL_big_sales]),

SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[st].[stor_id]) ORDERED FORWARD)
```

A solution using a join is more efficient. It does not require additional stream aggregate that sums the *big_sales.qty* column required for subquery processing.

UNION VS. UNION ALL

Whenever possible use *UNION ALL* instead of *UNION*. The difference is that *UNION* has a "side effect" of eliminating all duplicate rows and sorting results, which *UNION ALL* doesn't do. Selecting a distinct result requires building a temporary worktable, storing all rows in it and sorting before producing the output. (Displaying the showplan on a *SELECT DISTINCT* query will reveal a *stream aggregation* is taking place, consuming as much as 30% of the resources used to process the query.) In some cases that's exactly what you need to do, then *UNION* is your friend. But if you don't expect any duplicate rows in the result set, then use *UNION ALL*. It simply selects from one table or a join, and then selects from another, attaching results to the bottom of the first result set. *UNION ALL* requires no worktable and no sorting (unless other unrelated conditions cause that). In most cases it's much more efficient. One more potential problem with *UNION* is the danger of flooding tempdb database with a huge worktable. It may happen if you expect a large result set from a *UNION* query.

Example

The following queries select ID for all stores in the *sales* table, which ships as-is with the *pubs* database, and the ID for all stores in the *big_sales* table, a version of the *sales* table that I populated with over 70,000 rows. The only difference between the two solutions is the use of *UNION* versus *UNION ALL*. But the addition of the *ALL* keyword makes a big difference in the query plan. The first solution requires stream aggregation and sorting the results before they are returned to the client. The second query is much more efficient, especially for large tables. In this example both queries return the same result set, though in a different order. In our testing we had two temporary tables at the time of execution. Your results may vary.

UNION SOLUTION	UNION ALL SOLUTION
SELECT stor_id FROM big_sales	SELECT stor_id FROM big_sales
UNION	UNION ALL
SELECT stor_id FROM sales	SELECT stor_id FROM sales
Merge Join(Union)	Concatenation
Stream Aggregate(GROUP BY:	Index Scan
([big_sales].[stor_id]))	(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].
Clustered Index Scan	
(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].	<pre>[big_sales].[ndx_sales_ttlID]))</pre>
[big_sales].	Index Scan
[UPKCL_big_sales]),	(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].
ORDERED FORWARD)	[sales].[titleidind]))
Stream Aggregate(GROUP BY:	
([sales].[stor_id]))	
Clustered Index Scan	
(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].	
[sales].[UPKCL_sales]),	
ORDERED FORWARD)	

UNION SOLUTION	UNION ALL SOLUTION
<pre>Table 'sales'. Scan count 1, logical reads 2, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.</pre>	Table 'sales'. Scan count 1, logical reads 1, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.
<pre>Table 'big_sales'. Scan count 1, logical reads 463, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.</pre>	<pre>Table 'big_sales'. Scan count 1, logical reads 224, physical reads 0, read-ahead reads 0.</pre>

Although the result sets in this example are interchangeable, you can see that the *UNION ALL* statement consumed less than half of the resources that the *UNION* statement consumed. So be sure to anticipate your result sets and in those that are already distinct, use the *UNION ALL* clause.

FUNCTIONS AND EXPRESSIONS THAT SUPPRESS INDEXES

When you apply built-in functions or expressions to indexed columns, the optimizer cannot use indexes on those columns. Try to rewrite these conditions in such a way that index keys are not involved in any expression.

Examples

You have to help SQL Server remove any expressions around numeric columns that form an index. The following queries select a row from the table *jobs* by a unique key that has a unique clustered index. If you apply an expression to the column, the index is suppressed. But once you change the condition 'job_id -2 = 0' to 'job_id = 2', the optimizer performs a *seek* operation against the clustered index.

QUERY WITH SUPPRESSED INDEX	OPTIMIZED QUERY USING INDEX
SELECT * FROM jobs WHERE (job_id-2) = 0	SELECT * FROM jobs WHERE job_id = 2
Clustered Index Scan(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].[jobs]. [PKjobs117F9D94]), WHERE:(Convert([jobs].[job id])-	Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT: ([pubs].[dbo].[jobs]. [PKjobs117F9D94]),
2=0))	SEEK:([jobs].[job_id]=Convert([@1])) ORDERED FORWARD)
	Note that a seek is much better than a scan as in the previous query.

The following table contains more examples of queries that suppress an index on columns of different type and how you can rewrite them for optimal performance.

QUERY WITH SUPPRESSED INDEX	OPTIMIZED QUERY USING INDEX
DECLARE @job_id VARCHAR(5) SELECT @job_id = '2' SELECT * FROM jobs WHERE CONVERT(VARCHAR(5), job_id) = @job_id SELECT * FROM authors WHERE au_fname + ' ' + au_lname = 'Johnson White'	DECLARE @job_id VARCHAR(5) SELECT @job_id = '2' SELECT * FROM jobs WHERE job_id = CONVERT(SMALLINT, @job_id) SELECT * FROM authors WHERE au_fname = 'Johnson' AND au lname = 'White'
SELECT * FROM authors WHERE SUBSTRING(au_lname, 1, 2) = 'Wh'	SELECT * FROM authors WHERE au_lname LIKE 'Wh%'
CREATE INDEX employee_hire_date ON employee (hire_date) GO Get all employees hired in the 1st quarter of 1990: SELECT * FROM employee WHERE DATEPART(year, hire_date) = 1990 AND DATEPART(quarter, hire_date) = 1	CREATE INDEX employee_hire_date ON employee (hire_date) GO Get all employees hired in the 1st quarter of 1990: SELECT * FROM employee WHERE hire_date >= \1/1/1990' AND hire_date < \4/1/1990'
Suppose that hire_date may contain time other than 12AM Who was hired on 2/21/1990? SELECT * FROM employee WHERE CONVERT(CHAR(10), hire_date, 101) = '2/21/1990'	Suppose that hire_date may contain time other than 12AM Who was hired on 2/21/1990? SELECT * FROM employee WHERE hire_date >= \2/21/1990' AND hire_date < \2/22/1990'

UPDATE ... FROM AND DELETE ... FROM

T-SQL offers an extension to ANSI-SQL syntax for *UPDATE* and *DELETE* commands that may be very efficient in many cases. It allows you to specify a *FROM* clause and join several tables in an *UPDATE* or *DELETE* command.

Examples

In order to update the *titleauthor* table the ANSI SQL solution below executes two subqueries, while the *UPDATE...FROM* command, shown later, replaces the subqueries with a join.

Which yields a very complex query plan shown here:

```
|--Clustered Index Update(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titleauthor].[UPKCL taind]),
 SET:([titleauthor].[royaltyper]=90))
  |--Top(ROWCOUNT est 0)
     |--Merge Join(Inner Join, MERGE:([Expr1014])=([titleauthor].[title id]),
      RESIDUAL:([titleauthor].[title id]=[Expr1014]))
       |--Assert(WHERE:(If ([Expr1013]>1) then 0 else NULL))
       | --Stream Aggregate (DEFINE: ([Expr1013]=Count(*),
           [Expr1014] = ANY([titles].[title id])))
             |--Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titles].[titleind]),
              SEEK:([titles].[title]='Life Without Fear')
              ORDERED FORWARD)
       |--Sort(ORDER BY:([titleauthor].[title id] ASC))
          |--Nested Loops(Inner Join, OUTER REFERENCES:([Expr1012]))
            |--Assert(WHERE:(If ([Expr1011]>1) then 0 else NULL))
            | |--Stream Aggregate(DEFINE:([Expr1011]=Count(*),
                [Expr1012] = ANY([authors].[au id])))
                  |--Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[authors].[aunmind]),
                   SEEK:([authors].[au lname]='Ringer' AND
                   [authors].[au fname]='Albert') ORDERED FORWARD)
            |--Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titleauthor].[auidind]),
              SEEK:([titleauthor].[au id]=[Expr1012]) ORDERED FORWARD)
```

On the other hand, we can exploit the Transact-SQL extension allowing *FROM* in the *UPDATE* statement:

```
UPDATE titleauthor
SET royaltyper = 90
```

```
FROM authors a, titles t
WHERE titleauthor.au_id = a.au_id
AND a.au_lname = 'Ringer'
AND a.au_fname = 'Albert'
AND titleauthor.title_id = t.title_id
AND t.title = 'Life Without Fear'
```

Which yields a much simpler query plan:

In the next example, we update a row in the *titles* table that has a specific order recorded in the *sales* table. Note that ANSI SQL solution has to execute essentially the same subquery twice, because column *title_id* is needed for the *WHERE* clause and the column *qty* is used in the *SET* clause.

ANSI SQL:

```
UPDATE titles
SET    ytd_sales = ytd_sales + (
    SELECT qty
    FROM    sales s
    WHERE    s.stor_id = '9999'
        AND    s.ord_num = '9999999')
WHERE    title_id = (
    SELECT title_id
    FROM    sales s
WHERE    s.stor_id = '9999'
    AND    s.ord_num = '999999')
```

The query plan for the ANSI SQL query:

```
|--Clustered Index Update(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titles].[UPKCL titleidind]),
SET:([titles].[ytd sales]=[Expr1008]))
    |--Top(1)|
      |--Compute
Scalar(DEFINE:([Expr1008]=[titles].[ytd sales]+Convert([Expr1010])))
         |--Nested Loops(Left Outer Join)
           |--Nested Loops(Inner Join, OUTER REFERENCES:([Expr1012]))
             |--Assert(WHERE: (If ([Expr1011]>1) then 0 else NULL))
                 |--Stream Aggregate(DEFINE:([Expr1011]=Count(*),
                 | [Expr1012] = ANY([s].[title id])))
                    |--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].
                    | [sales].[UPKCL sales] AS [s]), SEEK:
                    | ([s].[stor id]='9999' AND [s].
                    | [ord num]='999999') ORDERED FORWARD)
             |--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titles].
             | [UPKCL titleidind]), SEEK:([titles].[title id]=
             | [Expr1012]) ORDERED FORWARD)
           |--Assert(WHERE:(If ([Expr1009]>1) then 0 else NULL))
              |--Stream Aggregate(DEFINE:([Expr1009]=Count(*),
              | [Expr1010] = ANY([s].[qty])))
                |--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[sales].
                | [UPKCL sales] AS [s]), SEEK:([s].[stor id]='9999'
                | AND [s].[ord num]='999999') ORDERED FORWARD)
```

Now compare the expansive ANSI SQL update operation show above and the resultant query plan with the SQL Server Transact-SQL extension:

```
UPDATE titles
SET    ytd_sales = ytd_sales + s.qty
FROM    sales s
WHERE    titles.title_id = s.title_id
    AND s.stor_id = '99999'
AND s.ord_num = '9999999'
```

This produces a query plan with only seven major operations where the ANSI SQL plan had 12:

```
|--Clustered Index Update(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titles].[UPKCL_titleidind]),
| SET:([titles].[ytd_sales]=[Expr1005]))
|--Table Spool
|--Compute

Scalar(DEFINE:([Expr1005]=[titles].[ytd_sales]+Convert([s].[qty])))
|--Top(ROWCOUNT est 0)
|--Nested Loops(Inner Join, OUTER REFERENCES:([s].[title_id]))
| --Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[sales].
| [UPKCL_sales] AS [s]), SEEK:([s].[stor_id]='9999' AND
| [s].[ord_num]='999999') ORDERED FORWARD)
|--Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[titles].
| [UPKCL_titleidind]), SEEK:([titles].[title_id]=[s].
| [title_id]) ORDERED FORWARD)
```

The following queries demonstrate you can apply the same technique to *DELETE* commands.

In ANSI SQL:

```
DELETE sales
WHERE EXISTS (
   SELECT 1
   FROM titles t
   WHERE sales.title_id = t.title_id
   AND t.title = 'Life Without Fear' )
```

Compared to the somewhat shorter Transact-SQL extension:

```
DELETE sales
FROM titles t
WHERE sales.title_id = t.title_id
AND t.title = 'Life Without Fear'
```

SET NOCOUNT ON

The phenomenon of speeding up T-SQL code by using *SET NOCOUNT ON* was discussed at length in the last white paper; however, it bears repeating. You have already noticed that successful queries return a system message about the number of rows that they affect. In many cases you don't need this information. Command *SET NOCOUNT ON* allows you to suppress the message for all subsequent transactions in your session, until you issue the *SET NOCOUNT OFF* command. We know that this is a double-negative, but T-SQL was not created by English majors.

This option has more than a cosmetic effect on the output generated by your script. It reduces the amount of information passed from the server to the client. Therefore, it helps to lower network traffic and improves the overall response time of your transactions. Time to pass a single message may be negligible, but think about a script that executes some queries in a loop and sends Kilobytes of useless information to a user.

As an example, the enclosed file has a T-SQL batch that inserts 9999 rows into the big_sales table.



When run with *SET NOCOUNT OFF*, the elapsed time was 5176 milliseconds. When run with *SET NOCOUNT ON*, the elapsed time was 1620 milliseconds!

Consider adding SET NOCOUNT ON at the beginning of every stored procedure and script that doesn't require row counts in the output.

TOP AND SET ROWCOUNT

The TOP clause of the SELECT statement limits the number of rows returned by a single query, while the SET ROWCOUNT limits the number of rows affected by all subsequent queries. These commands provide great efficiencies in numerous programming tasks.

SET ROWCOUNT sets the maximum number of rows that may be affected by a SELECT, INSERT, UPDATE, or DELETE statement. The setting is immediately effective upon execution of the command and only impacts the current session. In order to remove this limit, execute SET ROWCOUNT 0.

Some practical tasks are much more efficient to program with *TOP* or *SET ROWCOUNT* than with standard SQL commands. Let us demonstrate it on several examples.

TOP_n

One of the most popular queries in almost any database is a request for the top N items from a list. In case of the *pubs* database we could search for the top 5 best-selling titles. Compare the three solutions – with *TOP*, with *SET ROWCOUNT* and using ANSI SQL.

Pure ANSI SQL:

```
SELECT title, ytd_sales
FROM titles a
WHERE ( SELECT COUNT(*)
FROM titles b
WHERE b.ytd_sales >
a.ytd_sales
) < 5
ORDER BY ytd sales DESC
```

The pure ANSI SQL solution executes a correlated subquery which may be inefficient, especially in this case, where there is no index on *ytd_sales* to support it. Additionally, the pure ANSI SQL command does not filter out NULL values in *ytd_sales*, nor does it discriminate in the case of a tie between multiple titles.

Using SET ROWCOUNT:

```
SET ROWCOUNT 5

SELECT title, ytd_sales
FROM titles
ORDER BY ytd_sales DESC

SET ROWCOUNT 0
```

Using *TOP n*:

```
SELECT TOP 5 title, ytd_sales
FROM titles
ORDER BY ytd sales DESC
```

The second solution uses *SET ROWCOUNT* to stop the *SELECT* query, while the third solutions use *TOP n* to terminate after it has found the first 5 rows. In this case, we also have an *ORDER BY* clause that forces sorting of the whole table before results may be retrieved. Both queries have virtually identical query plans. However, the key advantage of *TOP* over *SET ROWCOUNT* is that *SET* must process the worktable required by an *ORDER BY* clause where *TOP* does not.

On a large table we would create an index on ytd_sales to avoid sorting. The query would then use the index to find the first five rows and stop. Compare this to the first solution that would scan the whole table and execute a correlated subquery for every row. The difference in performance is negligible on a small table. But on a large table it may amount to hours of processing time for the first solution versus seconds for the last two solutions.

When determining the needs of your query, consider whether you only need to review a few of the rows retrieved. If that is the case, the *TOP* clause will be a valuable time saver.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT TEMPORARY TABLE SIZE

Temporary tables created at run-time within a stored procedure can be problematic. In SQL Server 7.0, the optimizer was unable to accurately estimate the size of a temporary table, instead assuming that the temporary table had only 100 rows and uses 10 data pages. Obviously, this may be wrong in many cases. Now, SQL Server 2000 is stronger with temporary tables. However, the same advice holds true – create and populate the temp table, including building indexes and constraints, before executing any conditional processing on the temp table.

One problem you may encounter is that the optimizer may refuse to recognize indexes and foreign key constraints that you build on dynamic temporary tables (those created with # or ##). It will recognize constraints and indexes built explicitly using a CREATE TABLE statement in the tempdb database, as well as non-foreign key constraints on dynamically built temporary tables.



Don't forget that all transactions against temporary tables are logged in the *tempdb* transaction log. Although logging has reduced overhead in *tempdb* and may be as much as four times as fast as a comparable transaction against a permanent table, you should still take this into account when sizing the *tempdb* transaction log.

In order to allow the optimizer to take actual table size into account you can use a technique where you split your code into a separate stored procedures or T-SQL batches, especially by explicity creating the temp table and its supporting indexes before any conditional code is executed. The optimizer will then know the size of the temporary table and whether any good indexes exist before the procedure is executed and will choose the best plan based on the accurate information.

Example:

TABLE CREATED IN THE SAME SCRIPT TABLE PASSED TO A STORED PROCEDURE CREATE TABLE #pub (CREATE TABLE #pub (pub name VARCHAR (40) NOT NULL, pub name VARCHAR (40) NOT NULL, title VARCHAR(80) NULL, title VARCHAR(80) NULL, employee VARCHAR(51) NULL employee VARCHAR(51) NULL) GO GO CREATE PROC get pub INSERT #pub SELECT * SELECT p.pub name, t.title, FROM #pub e.fname + ' ' + e.lname WHERE pub name = FROM publishers p, 'New Moon Books' titles t, GO employee e INSERT #pub WHERE p.pub id *= t.pub id SELECT p.pub name, AND p.pub id *= e.pub id t.title, e.fname + ' ' + e.lname FROM publishers p, CREATE CLUSTERED INDEX pubind ON #pub (pub name) titles t, employee e SELECT * WHERE p.pub id *= t.pub id FROM #pub AND p.pub id *= e.pub id WHERE pub name = 'New Moon Books' CREATE CLUSTERED INDEX pubind GO ON #pub (pub name) DROP TABLE #pub GO EXEC get pub WITH RECOMPILE DROP TABLE #pub GO DROP PROC get pub GO -- plan of the highlighted SELECT: -- plan of the highlighted SELECT: |--Table |--Clustered Index Scan(OBJECT: Scan(OBJECT:([tempdb].[dbo]. ([tempdb].[dbo].[#pub 01D]. [#pub 01D]), [pubind])) WHERE:([#pub].[pub name]= 'New Moon Books'))

LOOP OPTIMIZATION

Move Invariant Operations Outside of the Loop

If you are familiar with other programming languages, then you are probably aware of loop optimization techniques. You should try to put all operations outside of the loop if they don't change inside. This reduces the amount of unnecessary repetitive work. SQL Server optimizer doesn't automatically recognize such inefficiencies and clean the code for you (compilers of some other languages do). You have to write efficient loops yourself as in the following example.

These scripts print a table of square roots for all numbers from 1 to 100.

INEFFICIENT LOOP OPERATIONS	OPTIMIZED SCRIPT
SET NOCOUNT ON	SET NOCOUNT ON
DECLARE @message VARCHAR(25),	DECLARE @separator VARCHAR(25),
@counter SMALLINT	@message VARCHAR(25),
SELECT @counter = 0	@counter SMALLINT
WHILE @counter < 100	SET @counter = 0,
BEGIN	<pre>@separator = REPLICATE('-', 25)</pre>
SET @counter = @counter + 1	WHILE @counter < 100
SET @message = REPLICATE('-', 25)	BEGIN
PRINT @message	SET @counter = @counter + 1
SET @message =	PRINT @separator
str(@counter, 10) +	SET @message =
str(SQRT(CONVERT(FLOAT,	Str(@counter, 10) +
@counter)), 10, 4)	Str(SQRT(CONVERT(FLOAT,
PRINT @message	@counter)), 10, 4)
END	PRINT @message
	END
Elapsed time: 40 ms	Elapsed time: 30 ms

The second script executes function *REPLICATE('-', 25)* only once, compared to 100 times in the first script. Results produced by both scripts are identical:

```
1 1.0000

2 1.4142

3 1.7321

4 2.0000

. . .

99 9.9499

100 10.0000
```

Replace Loops With Queries

It may often be possible to replace loops with SQL queries. A single query is almost always more efficient than multiple iterations because relational databases based upon set operations.

For instance, we could rewrite the loop shown in the previous example as follows:

```
SELECT REPLICATE( '-', 25 ) + '
' + STR( ( a.id - 1 ) * 10 + b.id, 10 )
+ STR( SQRT( CONVERT( FLOAT, ( a.id - 1 ) * 10 + b.id ) ), 10, 4 )
FROM sysobjects a, sysobjects b
WHERE a.id <= 10
AND b.id <= 10</pre>
```

This command *executes in 0 ms* compared to 30 ms and 40 ms for each of the earlier scripts!

This script uses the fact that there are rows in table *sysobjects* with *id* column values of 1 through 10. If we join this table to itself and apply filters on column *id* values to take 10 rows from one instance of *sysobjects* and 10 rows from the second instance, then we get 100 rows (10 times 10). In order to produce numbers 1 through 100 we use expression (a.id–1)*10+b.id. The code may look tricky, but it returns the same results much faster than a loop.

QUERYING AGAINST COMPOSITE KEYS

In an earlier white paper and e-seminar, I proposed that composite keys are problematic for SQL Server. Composite indexes, as you will recall, are composed of several columns of a table. The problem is that composite indexes are used from leftmost column to right.

The following examples show that SQL Server 2000 now handles poorly ordered *WHERE* clauses much better than earlier versions of the product. That is, in earlier versions of the product SQL Server might ignore indexes when all the columns of an index were addressed in the *WHERE* clause solely because the columns were not referenced in the same order as they appeared in the index. This is no longer a problem in SQL Server 2000. However, the problem still impacts how SQL Server chooses query plans and may cause the optimizer to choose less than optimal plans.

Consider this composite index that contains three columns:

```
ALTER TABLE add CONSTRAINT [UPKCL_sales] PRIMARY KEY CLUSTERED ([stor id], [ord num], [title id])
```

Depending on your *WHERE* clause conditions, SQL Server may use all or fewer columns of the index, or not use the index at all, as shown below:

WHERE CLAUSE CONDITIONS	QUERY PLAN
WHERE stor_id = @a AND ord_num = @b AND title_id = @c	<pre> Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].[UPKCL_big_sales]), SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[@a] AND [big_sales].[ord_num]=[@b] AND [big_sales].[title_id]=[@c]) ORDERED FORWARD)</pre>
WHERE stor_id = @a AND ord_num = @b	<pre> Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].[UPKCL_big_sales]), SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[@a] AND [big_sales].[ord_num]=[@b] ORDERED FORWARD)</pre>
WHERE ord_num = @b AND stor_id = @a	<pre> Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].[UPKCL_big_sales]), SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[@a] AND [big_sales].[ord_num]=[@b]) ORDERED FORWARD) Compare this to the previous query and you can see they are the same plan.</pre>
WHERE stor_id = @a	<pre> Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].[UPKCL_big_sales]), SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[@a] ORDERED FORWARD)</pre>

WHERE CLAUSE CONDITIONS	QUERY PLAN
WHERE stor_id = @a AND title_id = @c	Clustered Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales].[UPKCL_big_sales]), SEEK:([big_sales].[stor_id]=[@a]), WHERE:([big_sales].[title_id]=[@c]) ORDERED FORWARD) This guarawas not able to use the third column of the clustered index and
	This query was not able to use the third column of the clustered index and instead had to use a separate nonclustered index on <i>title_id</i> .
WHERE ord_num = @b AND title_id = @c	Bookmark Lookup(BOOKMARK:([Bmk1000]), OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales])) Index Seek(OBJECT:([pubs].[dbo].[big_sales]. [ndx_sales_ttlID]), SEEK:([big_sales].[title_id]=[@c]), WHERE:([big_sales].[ord_num]=[@b]) ORDERED FORWARD)
	This query was not able to use the clustered index at all, but was able to find a highly performant alternate plan.

Table 1. Usage of Composite Key Columns

The key point to remember is that you should know the order of columns appearing within a composite index. Once you know the order of the columns, you should always structure your *WHERE* clause to analyze columns starting with the leftmost column in the composite index and work towards the right.

SUMMARY

This white paper has presented a collection of tips and trick to help you get the most out of your queries on a SQL Server 2000 database. Some ideas presented in the white paper include:

- Subquery optimization
- UNION versus UNION ALL
- Functions and expressions that suppress indexes
- The advantages of UPDATE...FROM and DELETE...FROM over ANSI standard syntax
- SET NOCOUNT ON
- TOP and SET ROWCOUNT
- Temporary table considerations
- Loop optimization
- Recap of querying against concatenated keys

And the key thing to remember in summary is to test, test, retest!

ABOUT QUEST SOFTWARE, INC.

Quest Software, Inc. delivers innovative products that help organizations get more performance and productivity from their applications, databases and infrastructure. Through a deep expertise in IT operations and a continued focus on what works best, Quest helps more than 18,000 customers worldwide meet higher expectations for enterprise IT. Quest Software, headquartered in Irvine, Calif., can be found in offices around the globe and at www.quest.com.

Contacting Quest Software

Mail: Quest Software, Inc.

World Headquarters 8001 Irvine Center Drive

Irvine, CA 92618

USA

Web site www.quest.com
Email: info@quest.com

Phones: 1.800.306.9329 (Inside U.S.)

1.949.754.8000 (Outside U.S.)

Please refer to our Web site for regional and international office information. For more information on Quest Software solutions, visit www.quest.com.

Trademarks

All trademarks and registered trademarks used in this guide are property of their respective owners.