SQL Server: Myths and Misconceptions

Module 10: High Availability

Paul S. Randal Paul@SQLskills.com



Introduction

Successfully achieving high availability depends on:

- Picking the right technologies
- Understanding how to configure those technologies correctly
- Understanding the side effects and behaviors of those technologies

In this module:

Eight myths around high-availability features in SQL Server



- Myth: When a database snapshot is created, it makes a copy of the entire database
- A database snapshot starts out very small and grows as needed
- Database snapshots use NTFS sparse files for storage
- Although the sparse files present themselves as the same size as the matching database data files, they start very small and grow as required
 - As data file pages in the source database change, they are pushed, prechange, into the database snapshot
- This is why database snapshot creation is usually very fast
- Database snapshots do not reserve disk space, so can run out of space and become unusable



- Myth: Database snapshots are very efficient
- They are efficient in terms of disk space...
- But they can be really inefficient in terms of memory
 - A page that hasn't changed in the source database and is accessed through the snapshot takes up 8KB of extra memory
 - Each page image in memory is owned by a database so can't be shared
 - This can contribute to buffer pool memory pressure
- And if you interrupt the creation of one, it won't stop until it's finished creating it
 - A new database snapshot has to be transactionally consistent
 - Crash recovery of the database snapshot cannot be interrupted



- Myth: Failure detection is instantaneous in database mirroring
- Speed of failure detection depends on what the failure is
- Some examples:
 - Fast: SQL Server instance crashes
 - □ Slow: Windows crashes
 - Slower: log drive failure
 - Maybe: corrupt page



- Myth: Failover is instantaneous in database mirroring
- Failover speed depends on how database mirroring is configured and the state of the mirror database
- Automatic failover only happens if:
 - Synchronous database mirroring is being used
 - A witness server is configured
 - □ The mirror is in the SYNCHRONIZED state
- Even then, the mirror database will not come online until all its REDO queue of transaction log has been recovered
 - This could mean seconds, minutes, or hours of delay!
- Monitor the REDO queue size on the mirror!



- Myth: Failover clustering is the best HA technology to use
- What are the requirements?
- Failover clustering protects against a failed server but has a big problem: no redundancy at the I/O subsystem level
- You must provide some additional protection for the data, for example:
 - SAN replication
 - Database mirroring
 - AlwaysOn Availability Groups
- Failover clustering is often not the most appropriate HA technology, based on requirements, unless paired with another technology



- Myth: It is possible to have multiple mirrors of a database with database mirroring
- Only one mirror database can exist per principal database
- Other technologies allow multiple copies, for example:
 - Log shipping
 - Replication
 - AlwaysOn Availability Groups
- Also database mirroring does not allow 'chaining'
 - I.e. a database cannot be a mirror and a principal for another mirror



- Myth: In-flight transactions are preserved after a failover
- SQL Server does not provide any technology that allows transactions to continue after a failover
- In-flight transaction are *never* preserved when a connection is terminated
- Crash recovery is always involved when a failover occurs, which rolls back uncommitted transactions
- The application must gracefully cope with being disconnected, reconnecting, and trying the transaction again



- Myth: Replication is a valid high-availability technology
- Replication creates a redundant copy of data and so allows that data to be highly available
- It does have a lot of drawbacks though:
 - Hard to troubleshoot and work with
 - Limited scope for what is protected
 - No automatic failure detection or failover
- But if the requirements are such that replication will work, that's perfectly valid
- And peer-to-peer replication is the only technology that allows the redundant data copy to be written to