

SQL Server: Detecting and Correcting Database Corruption

Module 4: Consistency Checking

Paul S. Randal

<http://www.SQLskills.com/blogs/paul/>
Paul@SQLskills.com



pluralsight
hardcore developer training

Introduction

- **Although SQL Server can discover corruption, it only works if the corrupt pages happen to be read from disk**
 - There could be parts of the database that are corrupt that are rarely used
- **Regular consistency checking is necessary to help detect corruption**
- **In this module we'll cover:**
 - Consistency checking
 - Backup checksums
 - Frequently asked questions

Consistency Checks

- **Consistency means that something is as it is supposed to be**
 - E.g. if data page X in the leaf level of a clustered index points to data page Y as being the next page in the index, page Y should exist, should be part of the index, and should have the correct key values on it
- **Consistency checking in the context of SQL Server means running a process that validates the consistency**
 - Usually this means running DBCC CHECKDB
 - We'll discuss DBCC CHECKDB and related commands in the next module
- **Also known as integrity checking**
- **There are some important questions about consistency checking**
 - How often?
 - Which databases?
 - Why not use backup checksums instead?

Which Databases Should Be Checked?

- **All databases should be consistency checked**
 - Include read-only databases
- **Definitely include system databases**
 - *master*, as it contains information about databases, users, logins
 - Corruptions in it can cause an instance to fail to start
 - *mssqlsystemresource* is checked automatically when master is checked
 - *msdb*, as it contains SQL Agent jobs, schedules, backup history, and more
 - *model*, as its content is copied to create new databases
 - *tempdb*, as corruptions in it can cause an instance to shut down
 - Note that if you use the Maintenance Plan Wizard to create a job to check integrity, *tempdb* is excluded
 - *distribution*, to avoid replication problems

How To Run Consistency Checks?

- **Three options for running consistency checks:**
 - Manually
 - Automated script, using SQL Agent
 - Automated using a SQL Server Maintenance Plan
 - See the upcoming course *SQL Server: Maintenance Plans* for details
- **We'll cover what DBCC commands to use in the next module**
- **Free, widely used, and easy-to-use set of maintenance scripts available from Ola Hallengren at <http://ola.hallengren.com/>**

How Often Should Consistency Checks be Run?

- **It all depends on a combination of:**
 - Stability of I/O subsystem
 - Backup strategy
 - Acceptable downtime if corruption occurs
 - Acceptable data loss if corruption occurs
 - Time window available to take the extra I/O and CPU load
 - What kind of system it is (e.g. production, test, backup)
- **I always like to recommend at least once a week**
- **Examples:**
 - No backups and persistent corruptions
 - VLDB with very low downtime/data loss tolerance

Can You Ever Get a Guarantee?

- **No matter how often you run consistency checks, you cannot get a guarantee that a database is free of corruption**
- **Here's an analogical story...**
- **Consistency checking is very similar in that:**
 - It does not and cannot read all the database pages at the same time
 - After a page has been read, it may become corrupt on disk
 - The corruption may not be noticed until the next time consistency checks are performed
- **This is why regular consistency checking is necessary**

How To Consistency Check a VLDB?

- **Consistency checking a multi-terabyte database can take many hours!**
- **Four options for reducing the run time:**
 - Don't run any checks (don't do this!)
 - Use DBCC CHECKDB options to reduce time and resources necessary
 - Discussed in module 5
 - Break up the checks over time using other DBCC commands
 - Discussed in module 5
 - Use another system to run the consistency checks

Consistency Checking Using Another Server (1)

- This method removes the consistency checking workload from the production server
- **Methodology:**
 - Perform full database backup on the production server
 - Restore database on another server
 - Run consistency checks on the restored database
- **What to do if a corruption is found?**
 - Is it the I/O subsystem on this server?
 - Is it the backup file?
 - Is it the database on the production server?
- **If corruption is found, which should be rare, it forces you to run consistency checks on the production server**

Consistency Checking Using Another Server (2)

- Some people advocate consistency checking a mirror database or an availability group secondary replica as a way of consistency checking the principal database or primary replica
- This is not valid
- The various servers are stored on different I/O subsystems and corruptions do not propagate between servers
 - E.g. ensuring that a mirror database has no corruption does not imply anything about the state of the principal database
- Consistency checking should ideally be performed on all copies of a database
 - Especially true for availability groups if a secondary replica is being used to offload full backups from the primary replica

Backup Checksums












- **Use WITH CHECKSUM when performing a backup**
 - Validates all page checksums in the database as the pages are read
 - Calculates a checksum over the entire backup stream and records it in the backup header
 - Negligible performance impact
 - Gives peace of mind that no I/O errors are present in the database
 - I recommend you always do this
- **Allows the integrity of the backup to be checked using RESTORE VERIFYONLY ... WITH CHECKSUM**
 - Gives peace of mind that no I/O errors are present in the backup
 - Backups are susceptible to I/O subsystem corruption just like data files
- **Allows page checksums to be checked during restore operations**

Backup Checksums vs. Consistency Checks

- Some people advocate using backup checksums as a replacement for consistency checks
- This is not valid
- Although backup checksums will find problems caused by the I/O subsystem, what if the page was corrupt before it was written to disk?
- For example:
 - Page in memory gets corrupted by bad memory chip
 - Page is then written to disk by the buffer pool
 - The page will have a valid page checksum, but the contents are corrupt
- Only consistency checks using DBCC can detect this

Consistency Checking Survey (1)












What method do you use to run consistency checks on your production database (s)?

Run DBCC CHECKDB with no options on the production database		62%	261
Run DBCC CHECKDB WITH PHYSICAL_ONLY on the production database		10%	42
Run DBCC CHECKDB on a restored backup on another server		11%	47
Run DBCC CHECKDB on a database snapshot on a mirror database		1%	4
Spread consistency checking over several days using DBCC CHECKTABLE		0%	1
Spread consistency checking over several days using DBCC CHECKFILEGROUP		1%	4
Use BACKUP WITH CHECKSUM to validate page checksums, no DBCCs		1%	4
Run DBCC CHECKDB on a log shipping secondary, or 2012 AG secondary		1%	3
Run DBCC CHECKDB on a SAN copy/mirror		2%	7
Create your own database snapshot of the production database and run DBCC CHECKDB on it		0%	2
Other? Enter here...		11%	47
Total: 422 responses			

- Source: my blog post at <http://bit.ly/Hq3m77>

Consistency Checking Survey (2)

How often do you run consistency checks? (regardless of *how* you run them)

What are consistency checks?		9%	25
Never		5%	14
Only when corruption is detected some other way		8%	22
Only during an event like an upgrade or migration		1%	2
Regularly, but less than monthly		3%	8
Monthly		5%	14
Weekly		37%	103
Daily		25%	69
More frequently than daily		1%	3
Only after performing a restore, or after a failover		1%	3
Other? Enter here...		5%	13
Total: 276 responses			

- Source: my blog post at <http://bit.ly/1mUuRw>

Summary

- Regular consistency checking is necessary in conjunction with using page checksums
- Make use of another server if the production server doesn't have the resources available to consistency check VLDBs
- Backups should always use the WITH CHECKSUM option, and this is not an alternative to regular consistency checking
- In the next module, we'll discuss:
 - DBCC CHECKDB and related commands
 - What DBCC CHECKDB does