

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO

**Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Neurodevelopment**

A thesis submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements  
for the degree Master of Science

in

Biology

by

Kevin Khai Chau

Committee in charge:

Professor Lilia Iakoucheva, Chair  
Professor Scott Rifkin, Co-Chair  
Professor Barry Grant

2019

Copyright  
Kevin Khai Chau, 2019  
All rights reserved.

The thesis of Kevin Khai Chau is approved, and it is acceptable in quality and form for publication on microfilm and electronically:

---

---

Co-Chair

---

Chair

University of California San Diego

2019

## DEDICATION

To two, the loneliest number since the number one.

## EPIGRAPH

*A careful quotation  
conveys brilliance.*  
—Smarty Pants

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Signature Page . . . . .	iii
Dedication . . . . .	iv
Epigraph . . . . .	v
Table of Contents . . . . .	vi
List of Figures . . . . .	vii
List of Tables . . . . .	viii
Acknowledgements . . . . .	ix
Vita . . . . .	x
Abstract of the Dissertation . . . . .	xi
Chapter 1     Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Neurodevelopment . . . . .	1
1.1   Background . . . . .	1
1.2   Results . . . . .	2
1.3   Materials and Methods . . . . .	2
1.3.1   Pre-processing of RNA-Seq data . . . . .	2
1.3.2   Normalization and differential expression analysis . . . . .	2
1.3.3   Weighted co-expression network analysis . . . . .	3
1.4   Discussion . . . . .	3
Chapter 2     Single-Cell RNA-Seq of Mouse Models . . . . .	4
2.1   16p11.2 . . . . .	4
2.2   Cul3 Knockout Mice . . . . .	4
Chapter 3     Just a Test . . . . .	5
3.1   A section . . . . .	5
3.1.1   A Figure Example . . . . .	5
3.1.2   A Table Example . . . . .	6
Appendix A   Final notes . . . . .	7
Bibliography . . . . .	8

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: A picture of San Diego. Short figure caption must be $< 4$ lines in the list of figures . . . . .	6
---	---

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1:	A table of when I get hungry. Short table caption must be $< 4$ lines in the list of tables . . . . .	6
------------	---	---



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to whoever deserves credit for Blacks Beach, Porters Pub, and every coffee shop in San Diego.

Thanks also to hottubs.

## VITA

2002	B. S. in Mathematics <i>cum laude</i> , University of Southern North Dakota, Hoople
2002-2007	Graduate Teaching Assistant, University of California, San Diego
2007	Ph. D. in Mathematics, University of California, San Diego

## PUBLICATIONS

Your Name, “A Simple Proof Of The Riemann Hypothesis”, *Annals of Math*, 314, 2007.

Your Name, Euclid, “There Are Lots Of Prime Numbers”, *Journal of Primes*, 1, 300 B.C.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

**Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Neurodevelopment**

by

Kevin Khai Chau

Master of Science in Biology

University of California San Diego, 2019

Professor Lilia Iakoucheva, Chair  
Professor Scott Rifkin, Co-Chair

This dissertation will be abstract.

# Chapter 1

## Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Neurodevelopment

### 1.1 Background

Alternative splicing is the process by which the exons of a single gene may be differentially included or excluded in distinct mRNA transcripts to give rise to a plurality of distinct products. It is well known that much of the diversity in eukaryotic biology can be attributed to this alternative splicing of mRNA transcripts, and studies of high-throughput sequencing have shown 95-100% of human pre-mRNAs encompassing more than a single exon are processed to yield multiple mature mRNAs [1, 2]. The differential regulation and production of alternatively spliced mRNA transcripts can also be dictated by spatial or temporal cues, such as tissue specificity or developmental periods, respectively [3, 4], with the brain exhibiting higher numbers of alternative splicing events relative to other tissues[1, 5, 6].

While the specific functions of individual alternatively spliced isoforms are largely unexplored, these may be inferred through the use of isoform co-expression networks under the idea that, although co-regulation of a pair of isoforms may not necessarily imply that they are

related, large sets of isoforms that are co-expressed in a similar manner are likely to be enriched in a central function [7, 8].

## **1.2 Results**

## **1.3 Materials and Methods**

All analyses were performed using R version  $\geq 3.5.1$ . False discovery rate (FDR) adjustment was used to correct for multiple hypothesis testing with a significance threshold of 0.05.

### **1.3.1 Pre-processing of RNA-Seq data**

We downloaded RNA-Seq quantification data from the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain [CITATION]. This resource consists of both gene-level and isoform-level counts and TPM matrices, with samples derived from post-mortem brain tissue from 57 donors aged between 8 weeks post-conception through 40 years, across a number of different brain regions, for a total of 606 initial samples. These matrices were filtered by applying a filter of  $\text{TPM} \geq 0.1$  in at least 25% of samples in both data sets; we further restricted the data to only include genes with at least one retained isoform per the isoform-level filter and vice-versa.

### **1.3.2 Normalization and differential expression analysis**

To normalize the isoform counts data for between-sample comparability, we first performed surrogate variable analysis to detect latent batch effects [CITATION], relying on evidence from a combination of principal components analysis, relative log expression and p-value distribution visualizations to determine the number of surrogate variables that minimizes latent batch

effects while avoiding the problem of overfitting (see figure []). Here, we proposed to use 11 surrogate variables for downstream analysis

Differential expression analysis of normalized isoform counts data was performed using the *limma* R package. At its core, *limma* performs differential expression analysis by fitting a linear model to each isoform expression vector. However, since simply fitting a linear model generally produces low-powered results, *limma* leverages the highly-parallel nature of genomic data to borrow and incorporate strength from every isoform linear model. Further, it is highly flexible and able to model for many contrasts at once as one whole integrated experiment. These linear models are then processed using parametric empirical Bayes, which, given the parallel nature of these isoform models, incorporates global and local expression variabilities, thereby increasing the overall degrees of freedom for the estimation of isoform-wise variance. Further, *limma* is also able to account for nested experiment designs, similar to fitting a linear mixed effects model, through the *duplicateCorrelation* function. The BrainSpan data is designed with multiple region measurements per individual, such that there are multiple expression measurements per individual "block." The *duplicateCorrelation* function of *limma* is used to calculate the consensus correlation, with the constraint that all isoforms share the same intrablock consensus correlation, which is then incorporated into the linear model to account for this nested data structure.

### 1.3.3 Weighted co-expression network analysis

Co-expression networks were constructed using the *WGCNA* R package. This network construction package operates under the criterion of scale-free topology and calculates pairwise correlations among the isoform expression data. We first transformed the counts data by adjusting the counts values through solving the linear model, incorporating the 11 surrogate variables and relevant metadata [CITE LINEAR MODELS]. This transformed counts matrix was then tested for correlation with the scale-free topology, and the network was constructed blockwise using three blocks and the power estimate result from the scale-free topology correlation calculations.

## **1.4 Discussion**

# **Chapter 2**

## **Single-Cell RNA-Seq of Mouse Models**

something

### **2.1 16p11.2**

### **2.2 Cul3 Knockout Mice**



# Chapter 3

## Just a Test


This is only a test.

### 3.1 A section

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nulla odio sem, bibendum ut, aliquam ac, facilisis id, tellus. Nam posuere pede sit amet ipsum. Etiam dolor. In sodales eros quis pede. Quisque sed nulla et ligula vulputate lacinia. In venenatis, ligula id semper feugiat, ligula odio adipiscing libero, eget mollis nunc erat id orci. Nullam ante dolor, rutrum eget, vestibulum euismod, pulvinar at, nibh. In sapien. Quisque ut arcu. Suspendisse potenti. Cras consequat cursus nulla.

#### 3.1.1 A Figure Example

This subsection shows a sample figure.



**Figure 3.1:** A picture of San Diego. Short figure caption must be  $< 4$  lines in the list of figures and match the start of the main figure caption verbatim. Note that figures must be on their own line (no neighboring text) and captions must be single-spaced and appear *below* the figure. Captions can be as long as you want, but if they are longer than 4 lines in the list of figures, you must provide a short figure caption.

### 3.1.2 A Table Example

While in Section 3.1.1 Figure 3.1 we had a majestic figure, here we provide a crazy table example.

**Table 3.1:** A table of when I get hungry. Short table caption must be  $< 4$  lines in the list of tables and match the start of the main table caption verbatim. Note that tables must be on their own line (no neighboring text) and captions must be single-spaced and appear *above* the table. Captions can be as long as you want, but if they are longer than 4 lines in the list of figures, you must provide a short figure caption.

Time of day	Hunger Level	Preferred Food
8am	high	IHOP (French Toast)
noon	medium	Croutons (Tomato Basil Soup & Granny Smith Chicken Salad)
5pm	high	Bombay Coast (Saag Paneer) or Hi Thai (Pad See Ew)
8pm	medium	Yogurt World (froyo!)

# **Appendix A**

## **Final notes**

Remove me in case of abdominal pain.

# Bibliography

- [1] Qun Pan, Ofer Shai, Leo J. Lee, Brendan J. Frey, and Benjamin J. Blencowe. Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. *Nature Genetics*, 40(12):1413–1415, 2008.
- [2] Eric T. Wang, Rickard Sandberg, Shujun Luo, Irina Khrebtkova, Lu Zhang, Christine Mayr, Stephen F. Kingsmore, Gary P. Schroth, and Christopher B. Burge. Alternative isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes. *Nature*, 456(7221):470–476, 2008.
- [3] Timothy W. Nilsen and Brenton R. Graveley. Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. *Nature*, 463(7280):457–463, 2010.
- [4] Robert S. Porter, Farris Jaamour, and Shigeki Iwase. Neuron-specific alternative splicing of transcriptional machineries: Implications for neurodevelopmental disorders, mar 2018.
- [5] Gene Yeo, Dirk Holste, Gabriel Kreiman, and Christopher B Burge. Variation in alternative splicing across human tissues. *Genome Biology*, 5(10):R74, 2004.
- [6] Q. Xu. Genome-wide detection of tissue-specific alternative splicing in the human transcriptome. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30(17):3754–3766, 2002.
- [7] Scott L. Carter, Christian M. Brechbühler, Michael Griffin, and Andrew T. Bond. Gene co-expression network topology provides a framework for molecular characterization of cellular state. *Bioinformatics*, 20(14):2242–2250, 2004.
- [8] Joshua M. Stuart, Eran Segal, Daphne Koller, and Stuart K. Kim. A gene-coexpression network for global discovery of conserved genetic modules. *Science*, 2003.