
	RIC		MAC			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Yea	ır	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Marks 2010	35	93	614	1428	21.8%	0.88 [0.67, 1.14] 201	0			
Mohty 2010	61	127	202	449	25.9%	1.07 [0.87, 1.31] 201	0	<del></del>		
Eom 2013	33	60	68	120	13.2%	0.97 [0.74, 1.28] 201	3	-		
Tanaka 2013	109	206	188	369	39.1%	1.04 [0.88, 1.22] 201	3	<del>-</del>		
Total (95% CI)		486		2366	100.0%	1.00 [0.90, 1.12]		<b>*</b>		
Total events	238		1072							
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.57$ , $df = 3$ (P = 0.67); $I^2 = 0\%$							<u> </u>	07 1 15	۲.	
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.03 (P = 0.98)							0.5	0.7 1 1.5 Favours RIC Favours MAC	2	

Fig 1. Forest plot of comparison: 1.Overall Survival(OS), outcome: 1. Overall Survival (OS).



<u>Fig 2. Forest plot of comparison: 2.Disease Free Survival (DFS), outcome:</u> **2. Disease Free Survival** 

	RIC		MAC			Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Year		M-H, Fix	ed, 95% CI		
Marks 2010	33	93	371	1428	27.1%	1.37 [1.02, 1.82]	2010			-		
Mohty 2010	60	127	139	449	36.6%	1.53 [1.21, 1.92]	2010					
Eom 2013	21	60	32	120	12.7%	1.31 [0.83, 2.07]	2013				$\longrightarrow$	
Tanaka 2013	54	206	55	369	23.5%	1.76 [1.26, 2.46]	2013				<u> </u>	
Total (95% CI)		486		2366	100.0%	1.51 [1.30, 1.76]				•	_	
Total events	168		597									
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	1.64, df =	3(P = 0)	).65); l <sup>2</sup> =	0%				<u></u>		1 15		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.35 (P < 0.00001)$								0.5	5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Favours RIC Favours MAC			

Fig 3. Forest plot of comparison: 3.Relapse Incidence, outcome: 3. Relapse Incidence.



Fig 4. Forest plot of comparison: 4.Acute GVHD, outcome: 4. Acute GVHD.





Fig 5. Forest plot of comparison: 5.Chronic GVHD, outcome: 5. Chronic GVHD.



<u>Fig 6. Forest plot of comparison: 6.Non-Relapse Related Mortality,</u> outcome: 6.Non-Relapse Related Mortality.