

Drawing Causal Relationships Between Climate Variables Utilizing Causal Forests

Statistical Machine Learning, Fall 2025

Kelsey Hawkins

Dr. Wissam Ahmed

May 16th, 2025

Abstract

Causal inference must be approached specifically, with a robust background and framework about the topic. Bringing causal inference and machine learning into water usage analysis is a task that has not been approached in this specific way. Utilizing double machine learning (DML), to bridge the gap between statistics and machine learning, will allow the analysis and determine causal relationships between climate variables and water usage in a residential setting. A key concept in causal inference is that correlation does not imply causation. Finding correlations between variables is the beginning step to causal analysis, to determine previous knowledge to test as something to accept or reject.

The models utilized are causal forests, with XGBoost regressors as the building block of each causal tree to build a robust non-linear causal analysis framework to answer our question. Causal forests are an ensemble model, building off of the random forest ensemble tree model. The causal forest is an ensemble of causal trees, building off of a decision tree. The tree is instead split on the biggest difference between treatment effects in the node of comparison. This allows us to take the average treatment effect (ATE) over all of the leaves in the tree to find the average treatment effect for each tree. XGBoost regressor is utilized within each causal tree to account for confounders by minimizing the residuals of the model, given each split from the tree nodes. Taking this double machine learning approach makes our analysis robust and unbiased, resulting in an overall average treatment effect (ATE) for each treatment variable in relation to water usage. Since a direct causal effect will be tested, many causal forests will be utilized to test for each causal relationship. The relationships will be visualized and denoted utilizing a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) to visualize the causal pathways between variables and water usage.

The analysis revealed that evapotranspiration and precipitation show the most substantial causal effects on residential water usage. Evapotranspiration has a positive relationship and precipitation has a negative relationship on water consumption levels. These findings are statistically significant and robust that underscore the importance of accounting for the climate indicators rather than relying on isolated

variables. By identifying the most influential climate drivers, this study supports more precise forecasting and informs targeted water conservation strategies in climate-sensitive regions.

Introduction

Defining a causal relationship is a difficult task, especially when it comes to finding a causal relationship between climate factors and water usage. Utilizing a simple statistical approach can only yield at most, a correlation analysis between variables which does not imply causality. The traditional statistical approach does not offer a robust approach to assessing causal relationships between the treatment variable and predictors, thus the necessity for double machine learning and causal forests.

The objective of this study is to determine the causal relationship between five climate factors and residential water usage. These factors include: relative humidity, wind speed, relative air temperature, precipitation, and evapotranspiration. By utilizing an approach that combined double machine learning and causal inference, a causal inference result can be analyzed and concluded. This approach was chosen to combine the strength of statistics, machine learning, and causal inference together. Since the output of these models provide treatment effects, we can analyze which climate factors have the most effect on water usage, as treatment changes.

Determining the most influential climate factor on water usage is important for water districts to gear their analyses and actions towards water conservation. Finding the variables that influence water usage the most will help find causal pathways that will open the doors to future research and current actions that can be taken to promote water saving based on these results. This fits in the broader field of machine learning as incorporating machine learning into causal inference, a natively statistical topic, will help bring causal inference studies into use more in many fields.

The next section provides a literature review, followed by the methodology, results, and conclusions. Each section will go in depth about each topic discussed and provide detailed analysis and discussion.

Literature Review

Recent advances in causal inference have introduced powerful tools for estimating heterogeneous treatment effects (HTEs) in observational data, particularly through machine learning frameworks. One prominent development is the causal forest (Wager & Athey, 2018), an extension of random forests designed for causal effect estimation. Unlike traditional predictive models, causal forests focus on estimating conditional average treatment effects (CATEs), leveraging subsampling and honest splitting to reduce overfitting and bias. These models have been instrumental in moving beyond average treatment effect (ATE) estimates to more granular insights into how treatment effects vary across subpopulations.

Building on this, Double Machine Learning (DML) frameworks (Chernozhukov et al., 2018) have gained traction for addressing confounding biases in high-dimensional settings. DML methods decouple the estimation of nuisance parameters (e.g., propensity scores, outcome models) from the final causal effect estimation using orthogonalization. This two-step process allows for valid inference even when complex machine learning models are used for nuisance estimation. When combined with causal forests, DML can further enhance the robustness of treatment effect estimates by explicitly modeling both outcome and treatment assignment processes using flexible learners.

Recent literature has begun to explore hybrid frameworks where gradient boosting methods like XGBoost (Chen & Guestrin, 2016) serve as the base learner within each tree of a causal forest or DML algorithm. XGBoost's regularization, tree pruning, and scalability make it a particularly effective regressor in high-dimensional settings and non-linear data structures. Several empirical studies have

demonstrated that using boosted trees within causal forests improves estimation precision and reduces variance in effect estimates (Künzel et al., 2019). Moreover, the combination of XGBoost with DML techniques enables researchers to model complex interactions between covariates and treatments while preserving the interpretability of estimated treatment heterogeneity.

Applications of these methods span a wide range of domains, including economics, public policy, and environmental science. For instance, Künzel et al. (2019) applied causal forests to personalize medical treatments, while Nie and Wager (2021) proposed a generalized framework for estimating heterogeneous effects using orthogonalized loss functions. These approaches have proven particularly valuable in settings with limited experimental data, where understanding localized treatment effects is crucial for policy decisions. The integration of tree-based machine learning algorithms into causal inference workflows represents a promising direction for producing actionable, data-driven insights in observational studies.

Methodology

Data Description

The dataset was provided by Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD), originally utilized in previous research. This research builds off of previous research completed as we determine indirect causal pathways between variables instead of simple direct causal relationships, as well as expanding data utilized in the models to account for more variance in causal impacts. The dataset has six years of data consisting of customer billing data and climate data. A monthly scale is utilized, each row representing a customer's residential data for a given month of a given year. There are over 100,000 residential households present in the data. The data preprocessing was robust and occurred over one year before this

research was completed. Originally, the dataset was extremely messy with variables not needed for research purposes, and they were removed. The data was on an uneven scale as not every billing cycle is the same number of days. Each numerical time series datapoint was normalized by the number of billing days in the cycle that was present for each customer. This allows for data to be analyzed on the same time scale. All null and missing values were filled in with 0's as each row is precious data that can not be dropped. There are no sampling methods or extra features created. The dataset is over 2.5 GB in size, with over six million rows of data.

Model Selection / Development

Double Machine Learning (DML) is a framework designed to estimate causal effects in the presence of high-dimensional confounders by separating the estimation of nuisance parameters (e.g., the treatment and outcome models) from the final causal parameter of interest. When integrated with causal forests, this approach allows for the estimation of heterogeneous treatment effects (HTEs) by leveraging ensemble-based non-parametric models that can flexibly model non-linear relationships and complex interactions. In this setup, XGBoost serves as the base regressor within each tree of the causal forest, improving prediction accuracy through gradient boosting and regularization. The process begins by using XGBoost to estimate the conditional expectation of the outcome and the treatment assignment (propensity score). These nuisance estimates are then orthogonalized—removing their influence from the treatment effect estimation—to reduce bias and ensure valid inference. The causal forest subsequently estimates treatment effects at the individual or subgroup level by partitioning the feature space and averaging effects across similar observations, where each partition relies on accurate base learners. This combined framework of DML, causal forests, and XGBoost provides a robust, interpretable, and scalable method for uncovering nuanced causal relationships in observational data.

Training and Validation Strategy

Since this causal machine learning model requires all of the data, it was not split into training and testing sets, as a normal machine learning model is utilized. The metrics utilized to validate this model is estimating the causal effects using Average Treatment Effects (ATE). Since the true causal effect is generally unknown in observational data, validation becomes impossible or less straightforward than predictive models that are commonly utilized. Causal forests inherently use sample splitting which is a form of cross-fitting to maintain honesty within trees. They use half of the data to build the tree structure and the other half to estimate effects. It reduces overfitting and gives valid confidence intervals for treatment effects. The double machine learning approach uses cross-fitting where parameters are estimated in one fold and used in another to compute treatment effects. We can utilize RMSE or MSE for the outcome regression for the XGBoost regressor however it gets complicated due to the inside nesting of the regressor model within the causal tree. They do not actually determine if the model is doing well as causal inference does not have a true value to compare to. Visual diagnostics are much more reliable for example histograms of ATE estimates, calibration plots, treatment effects vs covariate plots, confidence intervals, or propensity score diagnostics all can be utilized here.

Implementation Details

The libraries utilized are the custom library of the Causal forest, XGBoost regressor from Sklearn, and computational resources from Chapman University's GPU servers.

Experimental Setup

In order to assess causal relationships between climate variables and water usage, we conduct many experiments using the causal forest DML estimator from the econml library in Python. This model

combines double Machine Learning (DML) framework with nonparametric causal forests, for the estimation of heterogeneous treatment effects (HTEs) in the observational data. The climate variables include : relative humidity, wind speed, air temperature, precipitation, and evapotranspiration. Each climate variable was treated as a separate continuous treatment variable within each model to isolate direct effects.

For the flexibility and robustness of the model, XGBoost regressors were used for the outcome model and treatment (propensity) model. Cross-fitting and sample splitting were implemented within the causal forest estimator to control for overfitting and to produce unbiased treatment effect estimates. For each model we compute the Conditional Average Treatment Effects (CATEs) and Average Treatment Effects (ATE). Since there is no direct ground truth for causal effects in observational data, the model's internal diagnostics - such as histogram plots of CATE distributions and confidence intervals - provided validation and interpretability.

All models and code are implemented in Python using the econml, xgboost, and scikit-learn libraries and computations were carried out on GPU-backed servers provided by Chapman, due to the size and model complexity. This experimental setup enables efficient estimation of causal effects across the large dataset and desired treatment variables.

Results

Variable	ATE	Confidence Interval Lower	Confidence Interval Upper
Precipitation	-0.1561	-0.1910	-0.1212
Evapotranspiration	1.3260	0.8709	1.7811
Solar Radiation	-0.00024	-0.00041	-7.405E-05
Average Air Temperature	0.00022	-0.00051	0.00096

Average Relative Humidity	7.347E-05	-0.000405	0.00055
Average Wind Speed	-0.0443	-0.0546	-0.03415

The causal forest models revealed heterogeneous and significant treatment effects across the six climate variables in this study. The most significant variable is eto (evapotranspiration) which has the highest ATE on water usage of 1.33CCF and a 95% confidence interval between 0.87 and 1.78. This suggests a positive and robust casual relationship. It also aligns with expectations discussed prior, as evapotranspiration directly reflects the atmospheric demand for water.

Precipitation exhibited the second strongest effect in magnitude, with an ATE of -0.16 CCF and a 95% confidence interval of -0.19 to -0.12. This is a negative causal relationship which means increased rainfall leads to decreased residential water usage. This is also aligned with prior expectations as it was observed as a highly negative correlated variable. Average wind speed also has a notable negative effect of an ATE of -0.044. This is interesting as there may be a minor influence on evaporation dynamics or behavioral patterns during windy periods. All of the climate variables are highly correlated with each other, so it makes sense that higher windy periods are also associated with less water usage.

The remaining climate variables, including Average Air Temperature, Average Relative Humidity, and Solar Radiation showed relatively small average treatment effects, with wide confidence intervals crossing zero. These effects were less consistent across the population, as shown in the CATE plots.

In each of the CATE histograms, it suggests varying degrees of treatment heterogeneity. For example, eto and precipitation showed multimodal effect distributions, suggesting that some households are more sensitive to these variables than others. A variable such as average wind speed showed a more consistent left-skewed distribution, reinforcing its overall negative impact on water usage.

Discussion

These findings provide clear evidence that not all climate factors contribute equally to changes in water consumption behaviors. The strong causal effect of evapotranspiration reflects its ability to summarize the combined influence of temperature, solar radiation, wind, and humidity, making it a powerful predictor of landscape irrigation demand. The negative effect of precipitation is intuitive as when rainfall occurs, outdoor irrigation needs lessen and reduce water consumption.

Interestingly, wind speed demonstrated a consistent negative effect on water usage. It may be less commonly examined, but this reflects cooler conditions or behavioral factors such as fewer outdoor activities and reduced irrigation in windy weather. This highlights the importance of including more variables beyond temperature and rainfall in climate-related water modeling. Especially if time series analysis or future predictions of causal relationships want to be analyzed.

On the other hand, the weak and ambiguous effects of average air temperature, relative humidity, and solar radiation may be due to their indirect or overlapping influence already captured in evapotranspiration. Especially since they have wide confidence intervals, it suggests high variability in impact across households, or possible multicollinearity with more dominant variables.

The heterogeneous treatment effects detected the value of using causal forests for this kind of analysis. Unlike simple regression models, this approach reveals how different households or regions might react differently to the same climate conditions, which can guide more personalized water conservation policies. Especially because there can be multi-modal distributions in the CATE plots, it shows how ATE is able to capture the overall average of predictions.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that using causal forest modeling embedding in a double machine learning framework can effectively uncover both average and individual effects of climate factors on residential water usage. Among the variables tested, evapotranspiration and precipitation were the most influential, with clear, interpretable effects and tight confidence intervals. These findings not only confirm established expectations in hydrology but also offer deeper insights into the magnitude and direction of these effects on a monthly, household-level basis.

By identifying the most impactful climate drivers of water usage, water agencies and providers can more accurately forecast demand, develop better seasonal messaging, and implement tailored conservation strategies. This analysis highlights the power of combining causal inference with machine learning to address complex environmental relationships in large observational datasets.

Future work could explore indirect and mediating pathways. For example the interaction between temperature and precipitation, or the impact of marketing campaigns during different climate conditions. Expanding the dataset to include behavioral, demographic, or socioeconomic features could improve the ability to explain the observed heterogeneity in treatment effects.

References

- Chen, T., & Guestrin, C. (2016). *XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system*. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 785–794.
- Chernozhukov, V., Chetverikov, D., Demirer, M., Duflo, E., Hansen, C., Newey, W., & Robins, J. (2018). *Double/debiased machine learning for treatment and structural parameters*. The Econometrics Journal, 21(1), C1–C68.

- Künzel, S. R., Sekhon, J. S., Bickel, P. J., & Yu, B. (2019). *Metalearners for estimating heterogeneous treatment effects using machine learning*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(10), 4156–4165.
- Nie, X., & Wager, S. (2021). *Quasi-oracle estimation of heterogeneous treatment effects*. Biometrika, 108(2), 299–319.
- Wager, S., & Athey, S. (2018). *Estimation and inference of heterogeneous treatment effects using random forests*. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 113(523), 1228–1242.

Appendix











