


CS-IS-3066-1: Applied Machine Learning in Football


Karnav Popat, Pranav Koka, and Suyog Joshi



What is expected Goals?


xG is a metric first proposed in 2012 which measures the likelihood of a shot translating into a goal.

Today, it is the most widespread metric used in sports analytics to measure player and team impact.




Our goals and contributions

Replicate the industry-leading xG model and create an accessible, reproducible baseline for future contributions




A lit review and detailed pipeline of eight Jupyter notebooks which use StatsBomb open data to achieve par-results

Add interpretability to the xG model to facilitate a better understanding of what really matters



Replicable visualizations of tree models, feature importance scores, and partial dependence plots

Test our hypotheses based on sports intuition, primarily the influence of temporal patterns, using real data



Notebooks and blog-format reports on our hypotheses, lending some support to our initial thought

Our process

Grabbing the data from StatsBomb's API
and compiling it into 11 csv files

Exploring and visualizing the data
on the pitch and through conventional charts

Improving the models
by oversampling, finetuning, selecting features


Explaining results
using feature importance scores, PDPs and a GAM

Cleaning, formatting and augmenting it
adding 18 features that we calculate ourselves

Getting baseline results
with regression, decision trees and ensembles

Thinking of and finding hypotheses
based on intuition, lit review and visualizations

StatsBomb's Open Data



The dataset:

11.6 million
observations

21
competitions
representing


82,000+
shots

40
seasons



3,500+
matches

8,400+
goals


A closer look at the data




A closer look at the data



A closer look at the data



A closer look at the data





Our selected models

We implemented 5 models:



1. Linear Regression
2. Logistic Regression
3. Decision Tree
4. Random Forest
5. Gradient-boosted Tree

Based on our literature review, we expected Gradient-boosted Tree to perform the best, and Decision Tree to be the most interpretable. We wanted to test the performance of all the models as a comparison.




Baseline results



Baseline results



Baseline results



Our feature engineering

Goalkeeper features:

- goalkeeper_x_distance
- goalkeeper_y_distance
- distance_to_goalie

Hypothesis features:

- good_foot
- shots_so_far & xg_so_far
- game_state

Defensive “pressure” features:

- defenders_in_triangle
- defenders_3m_radius
- influence_in_triangle
- press

- was_leading
- past_minute & past_15
- is_extra_time

Location features:


- shooting_range
- shot_angle
- goal_distance
- best_distance

Defenders




Press

- `under_pressure` was a feature which had boolean values of whether or not the shot being taken was under pressure.
- The percentage of shots that resulted in goals when the shot was under pressure vs when it wasn't under pressure is 9.2% vs 11.6%.
- We added a feature called `press` which calculates the amount of pressure there is around a player taking a shot by representing each defender as a 2D gaussian surface.
- As the value decreases from 1.4 to 2.0 there is a decrease in the ratio of shots leading to goals.



Influence in triangle

- As mentioned earlier, we represented each player as a 2-D gaussian surface which meant that each player now had a smoother influence on the pitch.
- We summed up these values for all the players over the 120*80 points on the pitch which gave each point on the pitch a certain influence value.
- We then summed the influence values of all the points inside the triangle made by the shot and the two goalposts.
- As we can see from the graph, the ratio of goals tends to decrease as influence in triangle increases.



Feature selection

We used two methods available with sklearn: SelectFromModel and PermutationFeatureImportance

SELECT FROM MODEL

SFM uses a trained model to select the most important features.

The model's weights and coefficients are used to calculate importance scores.
Features with the highest importance scores are chosen.

LEAGUE TESTING

We ran SFM on LaLiga and Bundesliga data separately to determine if the top features had any differences.
It showed us that there were some minor differences in the rankings of the features

Feature importance methods

DISADVANTAGES OF SFM

- SFM uses a linear model to determine coefficients - it doesn't use the models we will actually be using.
- The scores might be different depending on the model used for SFM.
- They don't tell us exactly how the features affect our decision tree model.



PERMUTATION FEATURE IMPORTANCE

PFI evaluates feature importance by randomly permuting feature values and measuring the change in model performance.



Provides a more accurate estimate of feature importance for the model we are using.

Provides easily interpretable results, as the feature importance scores are directly related to the model's performance.




Augmented results




Augmented results



Augmented results



Interpretability




Interpretability (mutual information)


Out 4	
	location_x 0.033303
	goalkeeper_x 0.027605
	location_y 0.022635
	goalkeeper_y 0.021592
	open_goal 0.012058
	period 0.010570
	one_on_one 0.005486
	first_time 0.005285
	minute 0.004418
	aerial_won 0.001703
	possession 0.000323

Out 40	data
	distance_to_goalie 0.059088
	shooting_range 0.052146
	best_distance 0.048842
	defenders_triangle 0.041813
	open_range 0.039278
	location_x_distance 0.033526
	goalkeeper_x 0.029003
	location_y_distance 0.022313
	goalkeeper_y 0.020528
	is_penalty 0.016196
	pass_length 0.013640
	own_past_minute 0.013529
	open_goal 0.011265
	pass_duration 0.009901
	period 0.009733
	num_passes 0.007999
	good_foot 0.006500
	was_leading 0.005723
	one_on_one 0.005277
	player_type 0.005210
	past_minute 0.004777
	first_time 0.004634
	minute 0.003564
	own_past_15 0.003182
	past_15 0.002907
	is_header 0.002677

Interpretability (partial dependence plots)



Testing Good Foot: a failure and a lesson



Testing generalizability

We wanted to test the ability of the model on out-of-distribution data.

EXPERIMENT DESIGN

- Trained and tested on separate leagues (LaLiga and Bundesliga)
- Compared performance in-distribution (same league) and out of distribution (different league)
- Performed 100 repetitions of each experiment, saved F1 scores

Testing generalizability

RESULTS

- Baseline scores: LaLiga (0.365 ± 0.027), Bundesliga (0.355 ± 0.023)
- Out-of-distribution scores:
 - Train on Bundesliga, test on LaLiga (0.314)
 - Train on LaLiga, test on Bundesliga (0.337)
- Statistical significance testing on distribution of scores using Wilcoxon signed-rank test

Testing generalizability

FINDINGS

- Model performs significantly differently on baseline data
- Performs worse out-of-distribution than in-distribution
- Statistically significant differences found between the baseline and cross-league score for each league.

IMPLICATIONS

- Model generalizability is limited across different leagues
- League-specific factors (e.g. playing style) may affect performance

Feature importance methods

PERMUTATION FEATURE IMPORTANCE - LEAGUES TESTING

Top 5 most important features for LaLiga:

1. shooting_range (0.0927)
2. location_y_distance (0.0138)
3. defenders_triangle (0.0122)
4. goal_distance (0.0044)
5. is_header (0.0028)

Top 5 most important features for Bundesliga:

1. goal_distance (0.0786)
2. distance_to_goalie (0.0357)
3. defenders_triangle (0.0204)
4. location_y_distance (0.0104)
5. shooting_range (0.0056)

Feature importance methods

PERMUTATION FEATURE IMPORTANCE - IMPLICATIONS

Most of the top 5 features are common for both leagues, but are ranked differently.


`shooting_range` is the most important feature in LaLiga, but only ranks 5th in Bundesliga.

`distance_to_goalie` is present in Bundesliga, but is replaced by `is_header` in LaLiga.

This suggests differences in play styles among leagues, but more analysis needs to be done to pinpoint the differences, and how exactly each feature affects the model's performance.



Thank you!



with immense gratitude for

Our advisor, Professor Raghavendra Singh

Faculty advisors Professors Subhashis Banerjee and Anirban Sen

Aditya Padinjat

Kahaan Shah

Rudransh Mukherjee

Samhith Shankar

Vishnu Prakash

Hanu Trivedi

Aditi Warrier

Sanripta Sharma

Saptarishi Dhanuka

Roshni Agarwal

Nandini Bhattacharya