Handling Numerical Data

December 9, 2021

[1]: # Handling_Numerical_Data

```
# Rescaling a feature
     import numpy as np
     from sklearn import preprocessing
     #Create a feature
     feature = np.array([[1,1],[2,4],[34,8]])
     print("Feature: ",feature)
     #Create scaler
     minmax_scale = preprocessing.MinMaxScaler(feature_range=(0,1))
     #Scale feature
     scaled_feature = minmax_scale.fit_transform(feature)
     print(scaled_feature)
     # MinMax Scaling new_x = (x-min(x))/(max(x)-min(x))
     #Rescaling is a common preprocessing task in machine learning.
     #use fit to calculate the minimum and maximum values of the feature, then use_
     \hookrightarrow trans form to rescale the feature.
     #fit_transform does both at once
    Feature: [[ 1 1]
     [24]
     [34 8]]
    [[0.
                 0.
     [0.03030303 0.42857143]
     Г1.
                 1.
                           11
[2]: # Standardizing a feature
     # Transform a feature to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.
     np.random.seed(1)
     #Create feature
     x =np.array([[1,2],[23,4],[43,5]])
```

```
#Create a scale
     scaler = preprocessing.StandardScaler()
     #Scaling
     standardized =scaler.fit_transform(x)
     print("X: ",x)
     print("Std_X: ",standardized)
     print("mean = ",round(np.mean(standardized)))
     print("std = ",np.std(standardized))
     \# new_x = (x-mean(x))/std(x)
     #As a general rule, use standardization unless you have a specific reason to use_
      \rightarrow an alternative.
    X: [[ 1 2]
     [23 4]
     [43 5]]
    Std_X: [[-1.24371532 -1.33630621]
     [ 0.0388661  0.26726124]
     [ 1.20484922    1.06904497]]
    mean = 0
    std = 1.0
[3]: # RobustScaler
     '''If our data has significant outliers, it can negatively impact our_
      \hookrightarrow standardization by
     affecting the feature's mean and variance. In this scenario, it is often \Box
     \hookrightarrow helpful to instead
     rescale the feature using the median and quartile range. '''
     robust_scaler = preprocessing.RobustScaler()
     robust_scaler.fit_transform(x)
[3]: array([[-1.04761905, -1.33333333],
             ΓΟ.
                       , 0.
             [ 0.95238095, 0.66666667]])
[4]: # Normalizing Observations
     #rescale the feature values of observations to have unit norm (a total length \Box
      \hookrightarrow of 1)
         The L1 norm that is calculated as the sum of the absolute values of the \sqcup
      \hookrightarrow vector.
         The L2 norm that is calculated as the square root of the sum of the squared \Box
      \rightarrow vector values.
```

```
[[1.3 2.4]
  [4.4 2. ]]
Sum of the first observation's values: 1.0
```

[5]: 'Polynomial features are often created when we want to include the notion that there\nexists a nonlinear relationship between the features and the target.\nThe effects of each feature on the target\n(sweetness) are dependent on each other. We can encode that relationship by includ-\ning an interaction feature that is

the product of the individual features.'

```
[6]: # Transforming Features
    from sklearn.preprocessing import FunctionTransformer
    features = np.array([[1,2],[3,2],[3,6]])
    def add_ten(x):
        return x+10
    ten_transformer = FunctionTransformer(add_ten)
    print(features)
    ten_transformer.transform(features)
    #This can be done in pandas
    import pandas as pd
    df = pd.DataFrame(features,columns=["feature_1","feature_2"])
    df.apply(add_ten)
    [[1 2]
     [3 2]
     [3 6]]
[6]:
       feature_1 feature_2
    0
              11
                         12
    1
              13
                         12
              13
                         16
[7]: # Detecting the Outliers
     \hookrightarrow that assumption
     "draw" an ellipse around the data, classifying any observation inside the \Box
     \hookrightarrow ellipse as an
     inlier (labeled as 1 ) and any observation outside the ellipse as an outlier \Box
     \hookrightarrow (labeled as
     -1 ):'''
    import numpy as np
    from sklearn.covariance import EllipticEnvelope
    from sklearn.datasets import make_blobs
    #Simulate data
    features,_ = make_blobs(n_samples=20,
                           n_features=2,
                           centers=1,
                           random_state=1)
```

```
#Replace the first observation values with extreme values
features[0,0]=10000
features[0,1]=10000
# Create detector
outlier_detector = EllipticEnvelope(contamination=.1)
# Fit detector
outlier_detector.fit(features)
# Predict outliers
outlier_detector.predict(features)
#If we expect our data to have few outliers, we can set contamination to \Box
\rightarrowsomething small.
\#Instead of looking at observations as a whole, we can instead look at \sqcup
→individual features and identify extreme values in those features using
→ interquartile range (IQR):
# Create a function to return index of outliers
def indicies_of_outliers(x):
    q1, q3 = np.percentile(x, [25, 75])
    iqr = q3 - q1
    lower_bound = q1 - (iqr * 1.5)
    upper_bound = q3 + (iqr * 1.5)
    return np.where((x > upper_bound) | (x < lower_bound))</pre>
# Run function
indicies of outliers(feature)
```

[7]: (array([2]), array([1]))

```
[8]: # Handling Outliers
'''First, we should consider what
makes them an outlier. If we believe they are errors in the data such as from a_\(\)
\[
\int broken
\]
sensor or a miscoded value, then we might drop the observation or replace_\(\)
\[
\int outlier
\]
values with NaN. if we believe the outliers
\[
\are genuine extreme values (e.g., a house [mansion] with 200 bathrooms), then_\(\)
\[
\int mark^-
\]
ing them as outliers or transforming their values is more appropriate.'''

\[
\text{''Second, how we handle outliers should be based on our goal for machine_\(\)
\[
\int learning.'''
\]
houses = pd.DataFrame()
houses["Price"]=[534433, 392333, 293222, 4322032]
```

```
houses['Bathrooms'] = [2, 3.5, 2, 116]
houses['Square_Feet'] = [1500, 2500, 1500, 48000]

# Filter observations
print(houses[houses['Bathrooms'] < 20]) #We have removed the bathroom of 116

# Create feature based on boolean condition
houses["Outlier"] = np.where(houses["Bathrooms"] < 20, 0, 1)

print(houses)

# Log feature
houses["Log_Of_Square_Feet"] = [np.log(x) for x in houses["Square_Feet"]]
print(houses)

'''if you do have outliers standardization might not be appropriate because the mean and variance might be highly influenced by the outliers.

In this
case, use a rescaling method more robust against outliers like RobustScaler.'''
```

```
Price Bathrooms Square_Feet
0 534433
                2.0
                            1500
1 392333
                3.5
                            2500
2 293222
                2.0
                            1500
    Price Bathrooms Square Feet Outlier
  534433
0
                 2.0
                             1500
  392333
                 3.5
                             2500
                                        0
1
   293222
                 2.0
                             1500
                                        0
3 4322032
                            48000
               116.0
                                        1
    Price Bathrooms Square_Feet
                                  Outlier Log_Of_Square_Feet
0
  534433
                 2.0
                                        0
                                                     7.313220
                             1500
   392333
                 3.5
                             2500
                                        0
                                                     7.824046
1
   293222
                 2.0
                                        0
                                                     7.313220
                             1500
3 4322032
               116.0
                            48000
                                                    10.778956
                                        1
```

[8]: 'if you do have outliers standardization might not be appropri-\nate because the mean and variance might be highly influenced by the outliers. In this\ncase, use a rescaling method more robust against outliers like RobustScaler .'

```
[9]: # Class intervals or Discretizating Features

import numpy as np
from sklearn.preprocessing import Binarizer

age = np.array([[44, 19, 26, 47, 11, 38, 53, 51, 67, 35]])
print(age)
binarizer = Binarizer(18)
```

```
binarizer.fit_transform(age)
     [[44 19 26 47 11 38 53 51 67 35]]
     /usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/utils/validation.py:70:
     FutureWarning: Pass threshold=18 as keyword args. From version 1.0 (renaming of
     0.25) passing these as positional arguments will result in an error
       warnings.warn(f"Pass {args_msg} as keyword args. From version "
 [9]: array([[1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]])
[10]: #we can break up numerical features according to multiple thresholds:
      #Bin feature
      print(age)
      np.digitize(age,bins=[20,30,68])
      #bins parameter denote the left edge of each bin
      #We can switch this behavior by setting the parameter right to True :
      np.digitize(age, bins=[20,30,64], right=True)
     [[44 19 26 47 11 38 53 51 67 35]]
[10]: array([[2, 0, 1, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2]])
[11]: | # Grouping Observations Using Clustering
      \#You want to cluster observations so that similar observations are grouped.
      \rightarrow together.
      import pandas as pd
      from sklearn.datasets import make_blobs
      from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
      features, =make_blobs(n_samples=50,
                           n_features=2,
                           centers=3,
                           random_state=1)
      #create dataset
      dataframe = pd.DataFrame(features,columns=["feature_1","feature_2"])
      #K-Means cluster
      clusterer = KMeans(3,random_state=0)
      #Fit clusterer
      clusterer.fit(features)
      #Predict values
      dataframe['group'] =clusterer.predict(features)
```

```
dataframe.head(5)
[11]:
        feature_1 feature_2 group
      0 -9.877554 -3.336145
                                   2
      1 -7.287210 -8.353986
      2 -6.943061 -7.023744
                                   2
      3 -7.440167 -8.791959
                                   2
      4 -6.641388 -8.075888
                                   2
[12]: # Deleting Observations with Missing Values
      import numpy as np
      features = np.array([[1,2,3,np.nan],[3,4,5,6],[7,5,23,np.nan]])
      print(features)
      #Keep only observations that are not (denoted by ~) missing
      print(features[~np.isnan(features).any(axis=1)])
      # Pandas.dropna() can also be used
      # Load data
      dataframe = pd.DataFrame({"age":[1,2,3,4,np.nan],"name":["dfs",np.
      →nan, "fer", "sdf", "sfdg"]})
      print(dataframe)
      # Remove observations with missing values
      dataframe.dropna()
     [[ 1. 2. 3. nan]
      [3. 4. 5. 6.]
      [7. 5. 23. nan]]
     [[3. 4. 5. 6.]]
        age name
     0 1.0
              dfs
     1 2.0
            NaN
     2 3.0
            fer
     3 4.0
            sdf
     4 NaN sfdg
[12]:
        age name
      0 1.0 dfs
      2 3.0 fer
      3 4.0 sdf
[13]: '''There are three types of missing data:
      Missing Completely At Random (MCAR)
      The probability that a value is missing is independent of everything. For \Box
      \hookrightarrow example,
```

```
a survey respondent rolls a die before answering a question: if she rolls a_{\sqcup}
\hookrightarrow six, she
skips that question.
Missing At Random (MAR)
The probability that a value is missing is not completely random, but depends on
the information captured in other features. For example, a survey asks about,
der identity and annual salary and women are more likely to skip the salary ⊔
\hookrightarrow ques-
tion; however, their nonresponse depends only on information we have captured
in our gender identity feature.
Missing Not At Random (MNAR)
The probability that a value is missing is not random and depends on informa-
tion not captured in our features. For example, a survey asks about gender iden-
tity and women are more likely to skip the salary question, and we do not have a
gender identity feature in our data.
It is sometimes acceptable to delete observations if they are MCAR or MAR. How-
ever, if the value is MNAR, the fact that a value is missing is itself _{\sqcup}
\hookrightarrow information. Delet-
ing MNAR observations can inject bias into our data because we are removing
⇔obser-
vations produced by some unobserved systematic effect.'''
```

- [13]: 'There are three types of missing data:\nMissing Completely At Random (MCAR)\nThe probability that a value is missing is independent of everything. For example, \na survey respondent rolls a die before answering a question: if she rolls a six, she\nskips that question.\nMissing At Random (MAR)\nThe probability that a value is missing is not completely random, but depends on\nthe information captured in other features. For example, a survey asks about gen-\nder identity and annual salary and women are more likely to skip the salary ques-\ntion; however, their nonresponse depends only on information we have captured\nin our gender identity feature.\nMissing Not At Random (MNAR)\nThe probability that a value is missing is not random and depends on informa-\ntion not captured in our features. For example, a survey asks about gender iden-\ntity and women are more likely to skip the salary question, and we do not have a\ngender identity feature in our data.\nIt is sometimes acceptable to delete observations if they are MCAR or MAR. How-\never, if the value is MNAR, the fact that a value is missing is itself information. Delet-\ning MNAR observations can inject bias into our data because we are removing obser-\nvations produced by some unobserved systematic effect.'
- [14]: # Imputing Missing values
 #If you have a small amount of data, predict the missing values using k-nearest
 →neighbors (KNN):

 import numpy as np

```
from fancyimpute import KNN
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.datasets import make_blobs
features, =make_blobs(n_samples=1000,
                     n_features=2,
                     random_state=1)
#Standardize the features
scaler = StandardScaler()
standardized_features = scaler.fit_transform(features)
#Replace the first feature's first value with a missing value
true value = standardized features[0,0]
standardized_features[0,0]=np.nan
# Predict the missing values in the feature matrix
features_knn imputed = KNN(k=5,verbose=0).fit_transform(standardized_features)
# Compare true and imputed values
print("True Value:", true_value)
print("Imputed Value:", features_knn_imputed[0,0])
```

True Value: 0.8730186113995938 Imputed Value: 1.0955332713113226

True Value: 0.8730186113995938 Imputed Value: -3.058372724614996

```
[16]: '''The downside to KNN is that in order to know which observations are the \hookrightarrow closest to
```

the missing value, it needs to calculate the distance between the missing value_\
\to and
every single observation. This is reasonable in smaller datasets, but quickly_\
\to becomes
problematic if a dataset has millions of observations.

An alternative and more scalable strategy is to fill in all missing values with_\
\to some
average value. For example, in our solution we used scikit-learn to fill in_\
\to missing val
ues with a feature's mean value. The imputed value is often not as close to the_\to true
value as when we used KNN, but we can scale mean-filling to data containing millions of observations easily.

If we use imputation, it is a good idea to create a binary feature indicating_\to \to whether or
not the observation contains an imputed value.'''

[16]: 'The downside to KNN is that in order to know which observations are the closest to\nthe missing value, it needs to calculate the distance between the missing value and\nevery single observation. This is reasonable in smaller datasets, but quickly becomes\nproblematic if a dataset has millions of observations.\nAn alternative and more scalable strategy is to fill in all missing values with some\naverage value. For example, in our solution we used scikit-learn to fill in missing val-\nues with a feature's mean value. The imputed value is often not as close to the true\nvalue as when we used KNN, but we can scale mean-filling to data containing mil-\nlions of observations easily.\nIf we use imputation, it is a good idea to create a binary feature indicating whether or\nnot the observation contains an imputed value.'