A Swift Start

Brandon Williams

You could have created optional types

You could have created optional types

You would have created optional types

You could have created optional types

You would have created optional types

Let's re-create optional types!

Overview of Optional Types

- Given a type "A", it's optional type is "A?"
- A value of type A? holds something of type A or nothing, aka nil
- e.g.
 - Int?
 - String?
 - [Int?]
 - [Int]?

Why?

1. Swift is a strongly typed language

All values need an explicit type

- 2. It can be useful to have the absence of a value

 But in a strongly typed language, how does one do
 that?
- 3. Objective-C was pretty close to this with nil But still possibly to smudge types

How to use?

(live coding in playground)

How to use?

```
let colorsByName: [String: Int] = [
  "red": 0xff0000,
let redColor: Int? = colorsByName["red"]
if let redColor = redColor {
  redColor / 2
} else {
  redColor == nil
```

Real world example

Functions need a well-defined way to accept/return values that might possibly not exist.

```
func findInt(xs: [Int], x: Int) -> Int? {
  for (idx, element) in enumerate(xs) {
    if element == x { return idx }
  }
  return nil
}

find([1, 2, 3, 4], 3) // => 2
find([1, 2, 3, 4], 5) // => nil
```

Let's build Optional types

New data type that either has a value, or doesn't.

Enums are perfect for this

Enums are perfect for this

```
enum Maybe <A> {
  case Just(A)
  case Nothing
}
```

Enums are perfect for this

```
enum Maybe <A> {
   case Just(A)
   case Nothing
}

let x = Maybe<Int>.Just(4)
let y = Maybe<String>.Nothing
```

How to use?

(live coding in playground)

How to use?

```
enum Maybe <A> {
  case Just(A)
  case Nothing
  func description () -> String {
    switch self {
    case let .Just(value): return "{Just \(value)}"
    case .Nothing: return "{Nothing}"
let x = Maybe<Int>.Just(5)
switch x {
case let .Just(x):
 x * x
case .Nothing:
```

Compare with Swift syntactic sugar

```
let x: Int? = 2
let y = Maybe<Int>.Just(2)
if let x = x {
  // x is now an honest Int
} else {
  // handle no value
switch y {
case let .Just(y):
  // y is now an honest Int
case .Nothing:
  // handle no value
```

Function composition

Function composition

```
func h: A -> B { /* body */ }
func g: B -> C { /* body */ }
func f: C -> D { /* body */ }

f(g(h(x)))
```

Imagine there are methods on arrays of Int's:

```
square([1, 2, 3]) // => [1, 4, 9]
addOne([1, 2, 3]) // => [2, 3, 4]
sort([3, 1, 2]) // => [1, 2, 3]
```

Then we can do:

```
sort(add0ne(square([3, 1, 5, -1])))
// => [2, 2, 10, 26]
```

Maybe values kinda mess up function composition

```
func squareRoot (x: Float) -> Maybe<Float> {
  if x >= 0 {
    return .Just(sqrtf(x))
  return . Nothing
func invert (x: Float) -> Maybe<Float> {
  if x != 0.0 {
    return .Just(1.0 / x)
  return . Nothing
invert(squareRoot(2.0)) // won't compile!
```

func >>= <A, B> (x: Maybe < A>, f: A -> Maybe < B>) -> Maybe < B>

```
func >>= <A, B> (x: Maybe < A>, f: A -> Maybe < B>) -> Maybe < B>
```

- This operator is called bind.
- Think of it as trying to stuff the value on the left into the function on the right.

(live coding in playground)

```
func >>= <A, B> (x: Maybe<A>, f: A -> Maybe<B>) -> Maybe<B> {
  switch x {
  case let .Just(x):
   return f(x)
  case .Nothing:
    return .Nothing
let y = squareRoot(2.0)
 >>= { .Just($0 - 1.0) }
 >>= { invert($0) }
 >>= { squareRoot($0) }
# => {Just 1.55377399921417}
```

A peak into Swift's Optional

```
enum Optional <T> {
  case None
  case Some(T)
}
```

Conclusion

- We've built our own version of Optional
- It can do everything the native Optional type can do.
- We don't have Swift's syntactic sugar...
- ...but we created functions to aid in composition
 - those functions could (and should) be defined for Swift's optionals.

For the objc peeps

• The fact that you can pass messages to nil is nearly bind

For the Ruby peeps

- Ruby's try is nearly bind.
 - object.try(:method) will call method on object, unless object is nil, in which case it returns nil.
- Important note: this try has nothing to do with try/catch.