

CE394M: Finite Element Analysis in Geotechnical Engineering

Krishna Kumar

University of Texas at Austin

krishnak@utexas.edu

March 7, 2019

Overview

1 Geotechnical FEA

- Element types
- Discretization
- Boundary conditions
- Errors in FEA

2 Slope stability

IMPORTANT WARNING AND DISCLAIMER

PLAXIS is a finite element program for geotechnical applications in which soil models are used to simulate the soil behaviour. The PLAXIS code and its soil models have been developed with great care. Although a lot of testing and validation have been performed, it cannot be guaranteed that the PLAXIS code is free of errors.

Moreover, the simulation of geotechnical problems by means of the finite element method implicitly involves some inevitable numerical and modelling errors. The accuracy at which reality is approximated depends highly on the expertise of the user regarding the modelling of the problem, the understanding of the soil models and their limitations, the selection of model parameters, and the ability to judge the reliability of the computational results. Hence, PLAXIS may only be used by professionals that possess the aforementioned expertise.

The user must be aware of his/her responsibility when he/she uses the computational results for geotechnical design purposes. The PLAXIS organization cannot be held responsible or liable for design errors that are based on the output of PLAXIS calculations.

Consistent system of units

	SI			
Length	m	m	m	cm
Density	kg/m ³	10 ³ kg/m ³	10 ⁶ kg/m ³	10 ⁶ g/cm ³
Force	N	kN	MN	Mdynes
Stress	Pa	kPa	MPa	bar
Gravity	m/sec ²	m/sec ²	m/sec ²	cm/s ²
Stiffness*	Pa/m	kPa/m	MPa/m	bar/cm

1D Finite Elements: Bar element

Two node element with axial stiffness only (no flexural or shear resistance).



1D Finite Elements: Beam element


two node structure element with axial and bending stiffness (no transverse shear deformation). Three degrees of freedom for 2D beam element (1, 2 displacements and a moment).




$$F_a = EA c_a$$

$$V = -EI(u_{12}-u_{21})/L^3 - 6EI(u_{13}+u_{23})/L^2$$

$$M = EI(u_{13}-u_{23})/L$$



2D plane-strain / axisymmetric elements




3 nodes element

linear variation of displacement within the element = constant strain in the element

$$d_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2x + \alpha_3y$$

$$d_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2x + \beta_3y$$




4 nodes element

linear variation of displacement in both x and y directions

$$d_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\xi + \alpha_3\eta + \alpha_4\xi\eta$$

$$d_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2x + \beta_3y + \beta_4\xi\eta$$




8 nodes element

quadratic variation of displacement in both x and y directions.

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\xi + \alpha_3\eta + \alpha_4\xi^2 \\ &+ \alpha_5\xi\eta + \alpha_6\eta^2 + \alpha_7\xi^2\eta + \alpha_8\xi\eta^2 \\ d_2 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2\xi + \beta_3\eta + \beta_4\xi^2 \\ &+ \beta_5\xi\eta + \beta_6\eta^2 + \beta_7\xi^2\eta + \beta_8\xi\eta^2 \end{aligned}$$


2D Consolidation element



- Pore pressure and displacements
- Displacements



Linear variation of pore pressures and quadratic variation of displacements in x and y directions

8 node 3D brick element



Linear variation of displacements in x, y and z directions

Interface element



13 Distribution of nodes and stress points in interface elements and their connection to soil elements

Use a reduced strength at the interface




Figure 3.14 Inflexible corner point, causing poor quality stress results







Figure 3.15 Flexible corner point with improved stress results

FE discretization







FE discretization



① Node valence less or equal to two and greater than or equal to six should be eliminated.












② The number of nodes with valence of three or five should be minimized.



③ Angles greater than 160 degrees should be eliminated.

④ The aspect ratio should be less than 3 for stress analysis and 10 for displacement analysis.

FE discretization



Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)


Poor

CE394M: FEM Geo


March 7, 2019

15 / 45

FE discretization




Not recommended



Better




Not recommended




Better

FE discretization: Refining



Avoid large jumps in element size: size jump should be < 3

FE mesh compatibility



Incompatible mesh

FE boundary conditions

x direction fixed

y direction free

pore pressure fixed (if embankment is assumed to be fully drained condition)

x direction fixed
y direction free
pore pressure free

Sand embankment

x and y directions free
pore pressure fixed


x direction fixed
y direction free
pore pressure free

x and y directions fixed
pore pressure fixed


Clay foundation

Pore pressure free = no water flow perpendicular to the boundary

FE boundary conditions




FE boundary conditions




FE loading conditions


External forces




Distributed load



Apply displacements




Rigid foundation



Water pumping

FE loading conditions





Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo

March 7, 2019

23 / 45

FE stress singularity



Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo



March 7, 2019

24 / 45

Geostatic stresses

Before conducting your analysis, you need to make sure that the stresses in the ground are the correct values, as the soil behavior depends on the current in-situ stresses.

- ④ **list your estimated stresses in the input file:** hopefully the system is in equilibrium – difficult to find the in-situ stresses in the sloping ground.




Geostatic stresses

- ④ **Zero displacement approach** - ask the program to compute the in-situ stresses from the equilibrium condition (very few programs allow you to do this)



(a) define model geometry and assign a soil model



(b) displacement by self weight and obtain the equilibrium condition



(c) Zero the displacement
But keep the computed stresses


- ④ **Intermediate approach** - Guess the insitu stress distribution, apply gravity and perform the equilibrium check (hopefully the displacements are zero) - ABAQUS GEOSTATIC approach

- Normally consolidated soils

- Over consolidated soils

- Cam-clay predictions $K_{oc} = (1 - \sin \phi') \times (\text{OCR})$ (Mayne et al., 1982) up to $K_p = (1 + \sin \phi') / (1 - \sin \phi')$
- Wroth's method (1975) $K_{oc} = (\text{OCR})K_{nc} - \left(\frac{\nu'}{1-\nu'} \right) (\text{OCR} - 1)$ for $\text{OCR} < 5$ and ν' is the poisson's ratio = 0.254 - 0.371.


Soil models



Verification of Design Parameters (Atkinson., 1995)

Verification of Design Parameters (Atkinson., 1995)

Check! Check! Check!



Beware : σ_y here lower than γh due to stress transfer to stiff material - hanging up

Pore-pressure analysis in geotechnical engineering

Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo

March 7, 2019

33 / 45

Pore-pressure analysis in geotechnical engineering


Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo


March 7, 2019

34 / 45

Steps to perform Finite Element Analysis




Shear failure plane



At failure, shear stress along the failure surface (τ) reaches the shear strength (τ_f).


Factor of Safety =

Dry slope (total stress = effective stress)




Saturated slope (total stress = effective stress + pwp)

Drained conditions - need to compute the steady state pore pressure field and then evaluate "effective stress-based" shear strength to find the overall stability (based on total stress equilibrium).




Saturated slope (total stress = effective stress + PWP)


Undrained conditions - (total stress approach) – Use “*total-stress based*” shear strength (s_u) to find the overall stability (based on total stress equilibrium).



Saturated slope (total stress = effective stress + PWP)

Undrained conditions - (Effective stress approach-not common) - need to compute the pore pressure (including excess pore pressure) field and then evaluate “*effective stress -based*” shear strength to find the overall stability (based on total stress equilibrium).






$$\begin{aligned} u &= \gamma_w Z_w \cos^2 \beta \\ \sigma &= \gamma z \cos^2 \beta \\ \sigma' &= (\gamma z - \gamma_w Z_w) \cos^2 \beta \\ \tau &= \gamma z \cos \beta \sin \beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\tan \phi_{\text{mob}} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma'} = \frac{\tan \beta}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_w Z_w}{\gamma z}\right)}$$

Soil fails when (dry):

Soil fails when (submerged):

Undrained infinite slope (total stress approach)




$$\begin{aligned} W &= \gamma z l \cos(\beta) \\ T &= W \sin(\beta) \\ N &= W \cos(\beta) \end{aligned}$$



But also the shear stress:

Infinite slope: Summary



$$\begin{aligned}u &= \gamma_w z_w \cos^2 \beta \\ \sigma &= \gamma z \cos^2 \beta \\ \sigma' &= (\gamma z - \gamma_w z_w) \cos^2 \beta \\ \tau &= \gamma z \cos \beta \sin \beta\end{aligned}$$

$$\tan \phi_{mob} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma'} = \frac{\tan \beta}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_w z_w}{\gamma z}\right)}$$

- ➊ Factor of Safety = resistance / driving
- ➋ Dry FoS = $\tan(\phi_{mob})/\tan(\beta)$
- ➌ Submerged FoS = $\tan(\phi_{mob})/\tan(\beta)$
- ➍ Undrained FoS = $2s_u/\gamma z \sin(2\beta)$
- ➎ Steady state seepage FoS = $(1 - \gamma_w/\gamma) \tan(\phi_{mob})/\tan(\beta)$ where the water table is located at the slope surface