

CE394M: Finite Element Analysis in Geotechnical Engineering

Krishna Kumar

University of Texas at Austin

krishnak@utexas.edu

March 7, 2019

Overview

1 Nicoll Highway Collapse, Singapore

- The Collapse
- Post collapse investigation

IMPORTANT WARNING AND DISCLAIMER


PLAXIS is a finite element program for geotechnical applications in which soil models are used to simulate the soil behaviour. The PLAXIS code and its soil models have been developed with great care. Although a lot of testing and validation have been performed, it cannot be guaranteed that the PLAXIS code is free of errors.

Moreover, the simulation of geotechnical problems by means of the finite element method implicitly involves some inevitable numerical and modelling errors. The accuracy at which reality is approximated depends highly on the expertise of the user regarding the modelling of the problem, the understanding of the soil models and their limitations, the selection of model parameters, and the ability to judge the reliability of the computational results. Hence, PLAXIS may only be used by professionals that possess the aforementioned expertise. The user must be aware of his/her responsibility when he/she uses the computational results for geotechnical design purposes. The PLAXIS organization cannot be held responsible or liable for design errors that are based on the output of PLAXIS calculations.

Nicoll Highway, Singapore



Nicoll Highway, Singapore



Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo - case-study

March 7, 2019

5 / 49

Nicoll Highway, Singapore




Krishna Kumar (UT Austin)

CE394M: FEM Geo - case-study


March 7, 2019

6 / 49


Soil profile




Soil profile



Construction sequence



Construction sequence




Nicoll Highway: Excavation







Excavating 10th level of struts



On the morning of collapse



On the morning of collapse



On the morning of collapse




Strut 338 North side

On the morning of collapse




Strut 335 Sorth side


Hours before collapse




Hours before collapse




Leading up to the collapse: Inclinometer




Leading up to the collapse: Wall displacements




Leading up to the collapse: Wall displacements



Leading up to the collapse: Wall displacements



Leading up to the collapse: Wall displacements



The collapse



The collapse



The collapse



The collapse




The collapse




Post collapse



Post collapse




Reasons for collapse



Strut design: Replacing plate-stiffener with C-channel




Strut design: Waler connection




Strut design: Waler connection



Strut design: Waler connection




Strut design: Relative vertical displacements




Relative vertical displacement between the King Post and the Dwall

Strut design: C-channel



Strut design: C-channel relative vertical displacement




Excavation of sacrificial jet grout



Quality of jet grouting




Quality of jet grouting



Porepressure analysis in geotechnical engineering


Undrained effective stress analysis

Wall displacements: Effective stress vs Undrained strength



Method A vs Method B

Bending moments: Effective stress vs Undrained strength



Method A vs Method B

- Method A over-estimates the undrained shear strength of normally and lightly overconsolidated clays
- Its use led to a 50% under-estimate of wall displacements and of bending moments and an under-estimate of the 9 th level strut force of 10%
- The larger than predicted displacements mobilised the capacity of the JGP layers at an earlier stage than predicted