Amae and Agency Appraisal as Japanese Emotional Behavior: Influences on Agent's Believability

Koushi Mitarai

Tokyo Institute of Technology 2-12-1-W9-67 O-okayama Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552 Japan mitarai.k.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

Hiroyuki Umemuro

Tokyo Institute of Technology 2-12-1-W9-67 O-okayama Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552 Japan umemuro.h.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author. Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

HAI 2014, Oct 29-31 2014, Tsukuba, Japan ACM 978-1-4503-3035-0/14/10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2658861.2658919

Abstract

Recently, autonomous agents with emotional behavior are developed to improve the human-agent interaction. Users' perceived "believability" of autonomous agents is considered to affect interactions with agents. While human-like emotional behavior is considered to increase believability, actual emotional behaviors differ across cultures. Therefore culture-specific emotional behaviors of agent might increase believability. In this paper, two kinds of emotional behaviors specific in Japanese culture, namely amae and Japanese way of agency appraisal, were implemented in agents. Influences of these behaviors on believability were investigated experimentally. Results showed that both Japanese behaviors increased believability. Especially amae increased personality factor, which is one of the factors of believability.

Author Keywords

Autonomous agent; believability; emotional behavior; Japan; amae; agency appraisal; MikuMikuDanceAgent

ACM Classification Keywords

I.2.11 [Artificial Intelligence]: Distributed Artificial Intelligence—Intelligent agents.; H.1.2 [Models and Principles]: User/Machine Systems—Software psychology

Introduction

Believability of Autonomous Agent

In order for autonomous agents to spread more, higher believability is considered as an essential factor[5]. If the believability is perceived as low or not sufficient, users feel disbelief, anoetic or odd with the agent. While physical features of agent have already matured well, these days, emotional behaviors of agent are studied as increasing factor of believability[2]. As emotional behavior might contribute for agents to be perceived as more like human, people are likely to communicate more with agents with emotional behavior[1]. Therefore, implementation of realistic emotional behavior with agent is essential to promote interactions with autonomous agents.

Emotional Behavior and Culture for Autonomous Agent Some studies have implemented culture-specific behaviors into agents to increase realism or preference^[4]. They showed that participants prefer the agents when the agents act partcipants' cultural behavior. However, previous studies have not focused on emotional behavior of agent in the context of culture. As emotional behavior may vary across cultures, agent's emotional behaviors specific in cultures should increase believability.

Purpose of This Study

The purpose of this study is to investigate how emotional behaviors specific in a culture might influence on believability of agents. This study focused on Japanese culture for the feasibility of research. Firstly, two emotional behaviors specific in Japanese culture, namely *amae*, or indulgent dependency[9], and Japanese way of agency appraisal[6], are discussed. Then these behaviors were implemented with software agents. Finally, influences of these behaviors on believability were investigated through experiments using the agent.

Japanese Emotional Behaviors

Amae

Doi[3] defined *amae* as "to behave depending someone's generosity," and mentioned that *amae* is the key concept to understand Japanese specific interpersonal emotion. *Amae* always exists and remains through whole life of people in Japanese society[3].

Among various kinds of *amae* actions mentioned in previous researches, this study focused on the *amae* of wanting substitution that Kobayashi and Katou[8] suggested, because it is natural for agents to behave this kind of action, while other actions are not visible or not natural.

In implementation with the agents, amae action was expressed in situations where the agent had troubles in her task. They wanted the user to substitute her task. For example, when the agent was searching for some information and the browser did not work smoothly, the agent would say "It doesn't work. Please do this instead of me."

Japanese Agency Appraisal

Agency is attributions for success or failure, and appraisal is to interpret to what extent individuals contributed or are responsible for the situation. Imada and Ellsworth[6]showed that in a successful situation, Americans reported stronger self-agency emotions than did Japanese, whereas Japanese reported a stronger situation-agency emotion, and vice versa (Table 1).

| Situation | Japanese | Americans |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Success | Situation-agency | Self-agency |
| Failure | Self-agency | Situation-agency |

Table 1: Japanese and American agency appraisal[6]

In implementation, agency appraisal was expressed in situations where the agent succeeded or failed in doing her task. The agent attributes her success or failure in the ways shown in Table 1. For example, if the agent with Japanese agency appraisal fails in doing her task, the agent blames herself and attributed failure to her own.

Implementation

Then both Japanese behaviors were implemented in agents with a computer software, MMDAgent[10]. It is a toolkit for building voice interaction systems.

Five tasks were prepared as scenarios in which users ask the agent to work. One is the example task. Others tasks are to ask the agent to help searching where to go out, to book a very popular concert ticket, and so on. Each task had variations in emotional behaviors, whether agency appraisal is Japanese or not, and whether do *amae* or not.

Experiment

Experiment Design and Participants

A 2 (do amae action or not) \times 2 (Japanese agency appraisal or not) factorial design was employed for this study. Thirty-two Japanese university students (20 males and 12 females), aged between 18 and 23 years old($M=21.0,\ SD=1.36$) participated in the experiment. Eight participants were randomly assigned to each of four between-pariticpants conditions.

Procedure

The experimenter showed the first task as a demonstration. Then participant was asked to act as if he/she was in such situations of the four tasks and started the tasks with instructions printed on paper and guide sentence on the screen. Finally, the participant answered the questionnaire.

Measures

Two types of measurements were employed in this study: question about believability and the measure of Computer-Mediated Communication(CMC)[7] in order to measure general impression of agents.

Question for believability

Gomes et al.[5] proposed the dimensions and metrics of believability. Dimensions are: awareness, behavior understandability, personality, visual impact, predictability, behavior coherence, change with experience, social, and emotional expressiveness. The templates Gomes et al.[5] proposed, were employed for the questionnaire, e.g. "The agent perceives the world around her(awareness)." Participants evaluated to what extent each of the sentences above matched with the agent, using seven-point Likert scales (1: "Not at all", 7: "Definitely").

Results

A two (amae action or not) \times two (Japanese agency appraisal or not) \times two (participant's gender) analysis of variance was conducted for each of characteristic variables.

Believability

There was a significant main effects of *amae* on personality score ($F(1,24)=6.107,\ p<.05$). This result suggested that *amae* increases perceived personality.

There was a moderately significant interactions between gender and agency appraisal on social score $(F(1,24)=3.119,\ p<.10)$. Female participants rated agents with Japanese agency appraisal higher than non-Japanese agency appraisal (p<.10). Finally, there was a significant interaction between gender and amae in social score $(F(1,24)=4.874,\ p<.05)$. Male participants rated agents doing amae higher than those not doing amae (p<.05).

CMC

Agents with Japanese agency appraisal were perceived more earnest than those with non-Japanese agency appraisal (p < .05). Agents doing *amae* were perceived more casual talk (p < .05), short-tempered (p < .05), less objective (p < .05), and more volatile(p < .10), than agents without *amae* action.

Conclusion

This study proposed two emotional behaviors of autonomous agents that are specific in Japanese culture, which are *amae* action and Japanese agency appraisal. Influences of the proposed emotional behaviors on user's perceived believability were investigated through experiment. Results showed that agents with Japanese emotional behaviors obtained higher believability than those without Japanese emotional behaviors. To summarize, Japanese emotional behavior can be useful to increase agent's believability for Japanese users.

Endrass et al.[4] implicated Japanese behavior might have bigger influence on believability for Japanese people than foreign people. Comparative studies with participants from broader cultures should be conducted in future.

References

- [1] Bates, J. The role of emotion in believable agents. Communications of the Association for Computing Machinery 37, 7 (1994), 122–125.
- [2] Demeure, V., Niewiadomski, R., and Pelachaud, C. How is believability of a virtual agent related to

- warmth, competence, personification, and embodiment? *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments 20*, 5 (2011), 431–448.
- [3] Doi, T. *Zoku "Amae" no kōzo*. Kōbundo, Tokyo, Japan, 2001.
- [4] Endrass, B., M., R., and E., A. Culture-specific communication management for virtual agents. In Proc. the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems 1, International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (2009), 281–287.
- [5] Gomes, P., Paiva, A., Martinho, C., and Jhala, A. Metrics for character believability in interactive narrative. In *Interactive Storytelling*, Springer (2013), 223–228.
- [6] Imada, T., and Ellsworth, P. C. Proud Americans and lucky Japanese: Cultural differences in appraisal and corresponding emotion. *Emotion* 11, 2 (2011), 329–345.
- [7] Kim, K. An exploratory study of impression formation in computer-mediated communication. *Japanese Journal of Social Psychology* 14, 3 (1999), 123–132.
- [8] Kobayashi, M., and Katou, K. A development of "emotional and practical amae scale". *Kyushu University Psychological Research* 10 (2009), 81–92.
- [9] Smith, H. W., and Nomi, T. Is amae the key to understanding japanese culture? *Electronic Journal of Sociology 5*, 1 (2000).
- [10] Tokuda, K., Lee, A., and Oura, K. MMDAgent (version 1.3.1). http://www.mmdagent.jp, 2012.