


Sales of Books Forecast

Lin Jiahong

Nanjing University of Science and Technology

(None)





Overview

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Problem Definition

Sales of Books Forecast

Data Analysis

Feature Extraction

Step One - Group Feature Extraction

Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring

Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification

Model Train

Synthetic Dataset

NBA Dataset

Conclusion



Problem Definition

Sales of Books Forecast

Data Analysis

Feature Extraction

Model Train

Conclusion

Problem Definition



Sales of Books Forecast

Problem Definition
Sales of Books Forecast
Data Analysis
Feature Extraction
Model Train
Conclusion

Defn

Sales of Books Forecast aims to predict the sales of books in 2021 through the book sales data from 2017 to 2020.

- Data covers different countries and different stores.
- There are cyclical and seasonal changes in book sales.

Data	row_num	date	country	store	product
train	70128	1461	6	2	4
test	17520	365	6	2	4



[Problem Definition](#)

[Data Analysis](#)

[Feature Extraction](#)

[Model Train](#)

[Conclusion](#)

Data Analysis



Overall data

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

- Country - Belgium,France,Germany,Italy,Poland,Spain
- Product - [Kaggle Advanced Techniques],[Kaggle Getting Started],[Kaggle Recipe Book],[Kaggle for Kids: One Smart Goose]
- Stores - KaggleMart,KaggleRama
- Time line

Data	Earliest date	Latest date
<i>train</i>	2017 – 01 – 01	2020 – 12 – 31
<i>test</i>	2021 – 01 – 01	2021 – 12 – 31



Monthly sales statistics

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- the patterns in sales of all countries and stores are identical.the magnitudes of sales are different




Figure 1: Monthly sales



Aggregating Time Series(Store)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Store-KaggleMart appears to consistantly have 74.25% of the total number of sales

Store	ratio
<i>KaggleMart</i>	0.742515
<i>KaggleRama</i>	0.257485




Figure 2: Stores ratio



Aggregating Time Series(Store)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- To compare the trend of the two stores, multiply the sales data of the two stores by a constant.




Figure 3: Stores ratio trend



Aggregating Time Series(Country)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Country-The ratio of total sales in different countries also fluctuates little.

Country	ratio
<i>Belgium</i>	0.218930
<i>France</i>	0.191360
<i>Germany</i>	0.219586
<i>Italy</i>	0.159383
<i>Poland</i>	0.071348
<i>Spain</i>	0.139393




Figure 4: Countries ratio



Aggregating Time Series(Country)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Multiply all countries by a constant so they are comparable with Belgium.




Figure 5: Countries ratio trend



Aggregating Time Series(Country and Store)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- In the plots make all time series inline with the Belgium KaggleMart store by multiplying by a constant.




Figure 6: Countries and Store trend



Aggregating Time Series(Product)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- The change trend of the sales volume of the four books is cyclical.




Figure 7: Sales of Product



Aggregating Time Series(Product)

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- The change trend of the sales proportion of the four books has rules.




Figure 8: Product ratio trend



Aggregated Time Series

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

- aggregate the sales timeline to consider how to forecast the overall sales volume.




Figure 9: Aggregated time series



Problem Definition

Data Analysis

Feature Extraction

Step One - Group Feature Extraction

Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring

Step Three - Outlying Aspects

Identification

Model Train

Conclusion

Feature Extraction



- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Framework of GOAM algorithm:




Figure 10: Framework of GOAM Algorithm



Step One - Group Feature Extraction

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Step One - Group Feature Extraction**
- Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
- Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

■ Suppose f_1, f_2, f_3 are three features of G_q .

$$f_1: \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1, x_2\}$$

$$f_2: \{y_2, y_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_3, y_5, y_4, y_4, y_2\}$$

$$f_3: \{z_1, z_4, z_2, z_4, z_5, z_3, z_1, z_2, z_4, z_2\}$$




Figure 11: Histogram of G_q on three features



Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Calculate Earth Mover Distance
 - ◆ Represent one feature among different groups
 - ◆ Purpose: calculate the minimum mean distance




Figure 12: EMD of one feature



Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring

Problem Definition

Data Analysis

Feature Extraction

Step One - Group Feature Extraction

Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring

Step Three - Outlying Aspects

Identification

Model Train

Conclusion

■ Calculate the outlying degree

$$OD(G_q) = \sum_1^n EDM(h_{q_s}, h_{k_s})$$

- ◆ $n \Leftrightarrow$ the number of contrast groups.
- ◆ $h_{k_s} \Leftrightarrow$ the histogram representation of G_k in the subspace s.



Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Identify group outlying aspects mining based on the value of outlying degree.
- The greater the outlying degree is, the more likely it is group outlying aspect.



Pseudo code

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
 - [Step One - Group Feature Extraction](#)
 - [Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring](#)
 - [Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

■ Pseudo code of GOAM algorithm

Missing
figure

14ptTesting a long text string



Illustration

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

Table 1: Original Dataset

G_1	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	G_2	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4
	10	8	9	8		7	7	6	6
	9	9	7	9		8	9	9	8
	8	10	8	8		6	7	8	9
	8	8	6	7		7	7	7	8
	9	9	9	8		8	6	6	7
G_3	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	G_4	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4
	8	10	8	8		9	8	8	8
	9	9	7	9		7	7	7	9
	10	9	10	7		8	6	6	8
	9	10	8	6		9	8	8	7
	9	9	7	9		8	7	9	8



Illustration

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

Table 2: outlying degree of each possible subspaces

Feature	Outlying Degree	Feature	Outlying Degree
$\{F_1\}$	4.351	$\{F_2, F_3\}$	4.023
$\{F_2\}$	2.012	$\{F_3, F_4\}$	4.324
$\{F_3\}$	1.392	$\{F_2, F_4\}$	2.018
$\{F_4\}$	2.207	$\{F_2, F_3, F_4\}$	2.012

■ Search process:

$OD(\{F_1\}) > \alpha$, save to T_1 .
 $OD(\{F_2\}) < \alpha$, save to C_1 .
 $OD(\{F_3\}) < \alpha$, save to C_2 .
 $OD(\{F_4\}) < \alpha$, save to C_3 .

$OD(\{F_2, F_3\}) > \alpha$, save to N_1 .
 $OD(\{F_3, F_4\}) > \alpha$, save to N_2 .
 $OD(\{F_2, F_4\}) < \alpha$, remove.
 $OD(\{F_2, F_3, F_4\}) < \alpha$, remove.



Strengths of GOAM Algorithm

- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
 - Step One - Group Feature Extraction
 - Step Two - Outlying Degree Scoring
 - Step Three - Outlying Aspects Identification
- Model Train
- Conclusion

- Reduction of Complexity
 - ◆ Bottom-up search strategy.
 - ◆ Reduce the size of candidate subspaces.
- Efficiency
 - ◆ Before: $O(2^d)$
Now: $O(d * n^2)$



[Problem Definition](#)

[Data Analysis](#)

[Feature Extraction](#)

[Model Train](#)

[Synthetic Dataset](#)

[NBA Dataset](#)

[Conclusion](#)

Model Train



Evaluation

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Synthetic Dataset](#)
- [NBA Dataset](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

- $Accuracy = \frac{P}{T}$
P: Identified outlying aspects
T: Real outlying aspects



- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Synthetic Dataset
- NBA Dataset
- Conclusion

■ Synthetic Dataset and Ground Truth

Table 3: Synthetic Dataset and Ground Truth

Query group	F₁	F₂	<i>F₃</i>	F₄	<i>F₅</i>	<i>F₆</i>	<i>F₇</i>	<i>F₈</i>
<i>i₁</i>	10	8	9	7	7	6	6	8
<i>i₂</i>	9	9	7	8	9	9	8	9
<i>i₃</i>	8	10	8	9	6	8	7	8
<i>i₄</i>	8	8	6	7	8	8	6	7
<i>i₅</i>	9	9	9	7	7	7	8	8
<i>i₆</i>	8	10	8	8	6	6	8	7
<i>i₇</i>	9	9	7	9	8	8	8	7
<i>i₈</i>	10	9	10	7	7	7	7	7
<i>i₉</i>	9	10	8	8	7	6	7	7
<i>i₁₀</i>	9	9	7	7	7	8	8	8



Synthetic Dataset Results

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Synthetic Dataset](#)
- [NBA Dataset](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Table 4: The experiment result on synthetic dataset

Method	Truth Outlying Aspects	Identified Aspects	Accuracy
GOAM	$\{F_1\}, \{F_2F_4\}$	$\{F_1\}, \{F_2F_4\}$	100%
Arithmetic Mean based OAM	$\{F_1\}, \{F_2F_4\}$	$\{F_4\}, \{F_2\}$	0%
Median based OAM	$\{F_1\}, \{F_2F_4\}$	$\{F_2\}, \{F_4\}$	0%



- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Synthetic Dataset](#)
- [NBA Dataset](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Data Collection

Source

Yahoo Sports website (<http://sports.yahoo.com.cn/nba>)

Data

- Extract NBA teams’ data until March 30, 2018;
- 6 divisions;
- 12 features (eg: *Point Scored*).



- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Synthetic Dataset
- NBA Dataset
- Conclusion

The detail features are as follows:

Table 5: Collected data of Brooklyn Nets Team

Pts	FGA	FG%	3FA	3PT%	FTA	FT%	Reb	Ass	To	Stl	Blk
18	12	42	2.00	50	7.00	100	0	4	3	0	0
15.7	14.07	41	5.45	32	3.05	75	3.98	5.1	2.98	0.69	0.36
14.5	11.1	47	0.82	26	4.87	78	6.82	2.4	1.74	0.92	0.66
13.5	10.8	42	5.37	37	3.38	77	6.66	2	1.38	0.83	0.42
12.7	10.59	39	5.36	33	3.37	82	3.24	6.6	1.56	0.89	0.31
12.6	10.93	40	6.94	37	1.70	84	4.27	1.5	1.06	0.61	0.44
12.2	10.39	44	3.42	35	2.70	72	3.79	4.1	2.15	1.12	0.32
10.6	7.85	49	4.51	41	1.35	83	3.34	1.6	1.15	0.45	0.24



- Problem Definition
- Data Analysis
- Feature Extraction
- Model Train
- Synthetic Dataset
- NBA Dataset**
- Conclusion

■ Data Preprocess

Table 6: The bins that used to discrete data of each feature

Labels	Pts	FGA	FG%	3FA	3PT%	FTA
low	[0,5]	[0,4]	[0,0.35]	[0,1.0]	[0,0.2]	[0,1.0]
medium	(5,10]	(4,7]	(0.35,0.45]	(1.0,2.5]	(0.2,0.3]	(1.0,1.5]
high	(10,15]	(7,10]	(0.45,0.5]	(2.5,3.5]	(0.3,0.35]	(1.5,2.5]
very high	(15,+∞]	(10,+∞]	(0.5,1]	(3.5,+∞]	(0.35,1]	(2.5,+∞]
Labels	FT%	Reb	Ass	To	Stl	Blk
low	[0,0.6]	[0,2.0]	[0,1.0]	[0,0.6]	[0,0.2]	[0,0.25]
medium	(0.6,0.65]	(2,5]	(1,2]	(0.6,0.9]	(0.2,0.5]	(0.25,0.5]
high	(0.65,0.75]	(5,6]	(2,4]	(0.9,1.7]	(0.6,0.75]	(0.5,0.7]
very high	(0.75,1]	(6,+∞]	(4,+∞]	(1.7,+∞]	(0.75,+∞]	(0.7,+∞]



- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Synthetic Dataset](#)
- [NBA Dataset](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Table 7: The identified outlying aspects of groups

Teams	Trivial Outlying Aspects	NonTrivial Outlying Aspects
Cleveland Cavaliers	{3FA}	{FGA, FT%}, {FGA, FG%}
Orlando Magic	{Stl}	None
Milwaukee Bucks	{To}, {FTA}	{FGA, FTA}, {3FA, FTA}
Golden State Warriors	{FG%}	{FT%, Blk}, {FGA, 3PT%, FTA}
Utah Jazz	{Blk}	{3FA, 3PT%}
New Orleans Pelicans	{FT%}, {FTA}	{FTA, Stl}, {FTA, To}



- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)**

Conclusion



Conclusion

Problem Definition

Data Analysis

Feature Extraction

Model Train

Conclusion

- Formalize the problem of *Group Outlying Aspects Mining* by extending outlying aspects mining;
- Propose a novel method **GOAM algorithm** to solve the *Group Outlying Aspects Mining* problem;
- Utilize the pruning strategies to reduce time complexity.



TULIP

Team for Universal Learning and Intelligent Processing



Questions?

- [Problem Definition](#)
- [Data Analysis](#)
- [Feature Extraction](#)
- [Model Train](#)
- [Conclusion](#)



Contact Information

Associate Professor Gang Li
School of Information Technology
Deakin University, Australia



GANGLI@TULIP.ORG.AU



TEAM FOR UNIVERSAL LEARNING AND INTELLIGENT PROCESSING

