## Congratulations! You passed!

**Grade received** 100% **To pass** 80% or higher

Go to next item

## **Practical aspects of Deep Learning**

| Latest Su | hmission | Grad | e 100% |
|-----------|----------|------|--------|
|           |          |      |        |

| 1. | If you have 10,000,000 examples, how would you split the train/dev/test set?  | 1/1 point   |
|----|---|-------------|
|    | O 60% train . 20% dev . 20% test  |             |
|    | 98% train . 1% dev . 1% test  |             |
|    | 33% train . 33% dev . 33% test  |             |
|    |   |             |
|    |   |             |
| 2. | The dev and test set should:  | 1/1 point   |
|    | O Have the same number of examples  |             |
|    | Be identical to each other (same (x,y) pairs)   |             |
|    | Come from the same distribution   |             |
|    | Come from different distributions   |             |
|    |   |             |
|    |   |             |
| 3. | If your Neural Network model seems to have high variance, what of the following would be promising things to try?   | 1/1 point   |
|    | ✓ Add regularization  |             |
|    |   |             |
|    | ☐ Increase the number of units in each hidden layer   |             |
|    | Get more test data  |             |
|    | Make the Neural Network deeper  |             |
|    | Get more training data  |             |
|    |   |             |
|    |   |             |
| 4. | You are working on an automated check-out kiosk for a supermarket, and are building a classifier for apples, bananas and oranges. Suppose your classifier obtains a training set error of 0.5%, and a dev set error of 7%. Which of the following are promising things to try to improve your classifier? (Check all that apply.) | 1 / 1 point |
|    | ✓ Increase the regularization parameter lambda  |             |
|    | <b>⊘</b> Correct  |             |
|    | Decrease the regularization parameter lambda  |             |
|    | Get more training data  |             |
|    | ⟨ Correct   |             |

|    | Use a bigger neural network  |             |
|----|--|-------------|
| 5. | What is weight decay?  | 1/1 point   |
|    | A regularization technique (such as L2 regularization) that results in gradient descent shrinking the weights on every iteration.      |             |
|    | The process of gradually decreasing the learning rate during training.   |             |
|    | A technique to avoid vanishing gradient by imposing a ceiling on the values of the weights.  |             |
|    | Gradual corruption of the weights in the neural network if it is trained on noisy data.  |             |
|    |  |             |
|    |  |             |
| 6. | What happens when you increase the regularization hyperparameter lambda?   | 1/1 point   |
|    | Weights are pushed toward becoming bigger (further from 0)   |             |
|    | (a) Weights are pushed toward becoming smaller (closer to 0)   |             |
|    | Gradient descent taking bigger steps with each iteration (proportional to lambda)  |             |
|    | Oubling lambda should roughly result in doubling the weights   |             |
|    |  |             |
|    |  |             |
| 7. | With the inverted dropout technique, at test time:   | 1 / 1 point |
|    | You apply dropout (randomly eliminating units) and do not keep the 1/keep_prob factor in the calculations used in training             |             |
|    | You apply dropout (randomly eliminating units) but keep the 1/keep_prob factor in the calculations used in training.                   |             |
|    | You do not apply dropout (do not randomly eliminate units), but keep the 1/keep_prob factor in the calculations used in training.      |             |
|    | You do not apply dropout (do not randomly eliminate units) and do not keep the 1/keep_prob factor in the calculations used in training |             |
|    |  |             |
|    |  |             |
| 8. | Increasing the parameter keep_prob from (say) 0.5 to 0.6 will likely cause the following: (Check the two that apply)                   | 1 / 1 point |
|    | ☐ Increasing the regularization effect   |             |
|    | Reducing the regularization effect   |             |
|    |  |             |
|    | Causing the neural network to end up with a higher training set error  |             |
|    | Causing the neural network to end up with a lower training set error   |             |
|    |  |             |
|    |  |             |
| 9. | Which of these techniques are useful for reducing variance (reducing overfitting)? (Check all that apply.)                             | 1/1 point   |
|    | ✓ L2 regularization  |             |
|    |  |             |

| Exploding gradient  |           |
|---|-----------|
| ✓ Data augmentation   |           |
|   |           |
| ☐ Xavier initialization   |           |
| ☐ Vanishing gradient  |           |
| ✓ Dropout   |           |
|   |           |
| Gradient Checking   |           |
|   |           |
| <b>10.</b> Why do we normalize the inputs $x$ ?                               | 1/1 point |
| O Normalization is another word for regularizationIt helps to reduce variance |           |
| It makes it easier to visualize the data                                      |           |
| It makes the parameter initialization faster                                  |           |
| It makes the cost function faster to optimize                                 |           |
| <b>⊘</b> Correct  |           |
|   |           |