1. Background
   1. History
      1. Several key events began to occur that sparked the movement for non-strict or “lazy” evaluation
         1. “Cons should not evaluate its arguments” by Dan Friedman and David Wise, and “A lazy evaluator” by Peter Henderson and James H. Morris Jr. were published in 1976.
         2. David Turner changed SASL to a non-strict language in 1976, and showed the usefulness of list processing by lazy evaluation.
         3. Some individuals were attempting to apply graph reduction principles to software.
         4. Research was conducted on the use of dataflow and graph reduction machines to solve problems as a non-von Neumann architecture.
         5. Over the next several years, several conferences and meet-ups began to emerge such as the first Lisp conference in August 1980, the Advanced Course on Functional Programming and its Applications in July 1981, the first conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture (FPCA) in September 1981, and the second Lisp conference in September 1982 that marked the beginning of the biannual Lisp and Functional Programming conference (LFP).
         6. Many languages like Miranda, Lazy ML, Orwell, and Clean were developed to meet this growing interest in non-strict evaluation, but many seemed to implement similar features and none had much backing as Miranda, developed by David Turner.
      2. Haskell's genesis started with Simon Peyton Jones' visit to Paul Hudak at Yale on his trip to FPCA in the fall of 1987, upon which they “decided to initiate a meeting during FPCA, to garner interest in designing a new, common functional language” (p. 12-3), which was also encouraged by Philip Wadler.
         1. At the meeting it was decided that the best place to start developing the new language would be from an existing one. Since Miranda was deemed to be the best language for the task, the committee decided to first approach Turner about the adoption of his language. In response the request, Turner stated that he wished to prevent multiple dialects of Miranda and declined the committee invitation (Hudak et al., 2007).
         2. From this point, the committee decided to set up an email mailing list fplangc@cs.ucl.ac.uk in order to communicate remotely, and eventually met up for their first planned meeting at Yale between January 9-12 in 1988. At this meeting, the group initially decided to establish its goals for the language as following:
            1. It should be suitable for teaching, research, and applications, including building large systems.
            2. It should be completely described via the publication of a formal syntax and semantics.
            3. It should be freely available. Anyone should be permitted to implement the language and distribute it to whomever they please.
            4. It should be usable as a basis for further language research.
            5. It should be based on ideas that enjoy a wide consensus.
            6. It should reduce unnecessary diversity in functional programming languages. OL became the language chosen as a baseline.

Ultimately, the group abandoned OL and exclusive use of proven ideas, and never developed formal semantics. The other main items of discussion were concerned with the committee process and the name of the language. At first, the group decided upon “Curry,” named after Haskell B. Curry, whose contribution to Combinatory Logic was the basis upon which functional programming was built; however the group decided to avoid confusion and puns by instead using “Haskell” with permission from Mrs. Curry.

* + 1. The next most important meeting was at the University of Glasgow, April 6-9, 1988, where many unresolved issues were discussed and Hudak and Wadler were designated as the editors for the first Haskell report. This was followed by other meetings and emails until the Haskell version 1.0 Report was published in April 1990, soon after which the original mailing list was disbanded in place of a public mailing list. Other subsequent reports included:
       1. Haskell version 1.1 Report (August 1991) – Let expressions and operator sections allowed for the first time.
       2. Haskell version 1.2 Report (March 1992) – Minor changes and an appearance in *SIGPLAN Notices*.
       3. Haskell version 1.3 Report (May 1996) – A library report was added to enhance portability, Monadic I/O was added and I/O semantics were dropped, type classes were generalized to higher kinds using constructor classes, and algebraic data types were extended with new-types, strictness annotations, and named fields.
       4. Haskell version 1.4 Report (April 1997) – List comprehensions were generalized to arbitrary monads.
       5. Haskell 98 Report (February 1999) – The community agreed to support of a stable standard for the language and list comprehensions reverted to just lists.
       6. Revised Haskell 98 Report (December 2002) – Cambridge University Press published the Report as a book while still allowing the entire text to be freely available online.

Several other significant events occurred during this time as well, such as the founding of Haskell's website, haskell.org, in 1994 which is maintained by Hudak's group at Yale, and the Haskell committee turned over control of the language to the Haskell community while setting Jones over the Report as the sole editor between 1999-2002.

* + 1. Regardless of Turner's decision to prevent dialects of Miranda, Haskell's development was highly influenced by it, which also makes Haskell a descendant of ML (Sebesta, 2004). Aspects of Miranda that influenced Haskell include the general methods of purity, higher, order, laziness, and static typing. Other similarities are found in terms of syntax for “the equational style of function definitions, especially pattern matching, guards, and where clauses; algebraic types; the notation for lists and list comprehensions; writing pair types as (num,bool) rather than the int\*bool of ML; capitalisation of data constructors; lexically distinguished user-defined infix operators; the use of a layout rule; and the naming of many standard functions” (Hudak et al., 2007).
  1. Contributions to the programming landscape (Mention this in Type classes and Monads)

1. General Facts (Hudak, 2007)
   1. Haskell uses non-strict or “lazy” evaluation
      1. When stating that a language is lazy, it means that it uses a “call-by-need” methodology
      2. This practice requires the overhead of delaying the evaluation until the moment it is needed, which prevents unnecessary evaluations from occurring, similar to “short-circuit evaluation” in C languages.
      3. Lazy evaluation also requires overwriting the expression with the resulting value so that it is not evaluated twice.
      4. Sometimes this means that the memory required to hold all this information grows too large for the space allotted.
         1. To combat this problem, some strict features were added to the language (expand this later)
      5. Explain later what this has to do with scope
   2. Purity
      1. As a result of Haskell's laziness, it followed that the language must also be pure.
      2. By saying that Haskell is “pure,” it is meant that the language does not allow any side effects to occur internally.
      3. This being said, there was initially a problem in dealing with I/O.
      4. The problem with I/O was later resolved with the introduction of Monads and monadic I/O, which restricted any side effects to only occur when dealing with external data.
      5. Therefore, this method separates pure and impure code, making code more modular and easier to debug. (O'Sullivan et al., 2008).
   3. Type System
   4. Other features
      1. Compiler
         1. Among all the compilers available, the Glasgow Haskell Compiler, otherwise known as the GHC, is one of the most popular due to its ability to produce highly efficient programs for major platforms, memory and CPU profiling, its large set of libraries, and an interactive interpreter based on the compiler. However, there are several other well known compilers such as the Hugs (“Haskell User's Gofer System”) compiler, a derivative of the Gofer (“Good For Equational Reasoning”).
         2. Each of the available compilers are designed to include some form of garbage collection, since Haskell generally abstracts such low-level concepts from the user. However, there are certain tricks that programmers are able to use in order to reduce the amount of memory usage in their programs.
   5. Modules and Packages
      1. Modules and Libraries
         1. In order to incorporate reusable groups of code, Haskell initially presented modules, each with an individual interface and implementation.
         2. By the publishing of the Haskell version 1.4 Report, the interfaces were formally dropped from the language and replaced with the notion that interfaces should be able to be compiled as individual files.
         3. As the language grew, it became apparent that a hierarchy was needed to better categorize modules and avoid overlap.
         4. Malcolm Wallace headed a solution that used a method similar to Java for subgrouping modules under other parent modules.
         5. Following this, even though modules were created to promote code reuse, at first there was no means of distributing them among the community.
         6. For this reason, Isaac Jones began work on a system designed to package and distribute modules, known as Cabal, in 2004 which was expanded by David Himmelstrup's Cabal package server, Hackage.
         7. Furthermore, although the original release of Haskell included the Prelude library, the general standard for the language, the need arose to create a source of definitions separately from the main language. In order to meet this need and promote a cross-platform design; teams from Hugs, GHC, and nhc worked together on open-source libraries that were compatible with each compiler.
         8. Talk about file creation, module creation, and comments
   6. Foreign functions?
2. Types
   1. Basics
      1. The True and False values associated with the Bool type are actually types as well. Commonly used operators of Bool include several that are C-influenced, such as AND (&&), OR (||), and equivalence (==). Other operators include “not” for inversion, and not equal to (/=). For the Char type, relational operators for checking exact equality (== and /=), C-like comparative equality (<, >, <=, >=), and getting the minimum or maximum of two arguments (“min”, “max”) since . There are also several special characters that use the backslash as an escape: the tab ('\t'), newline ('\n'), backslash ('\\'), single quote ('\''), and double quote ('\”'). As for the arithmetic using types of Int, Integer, or Double; there are operators for addition (+), subtraction (–), multiplication (\*), negation of the sign (“negate”), absolute value (“abs”), retrieving the sign in terms of positive or negative one (“signum”), raise to an integer power (^), raise to a floating-point power (\*\*), and also allows the use of relational operators. In the case of the subtraction operator, it may also be placed before the value to represent the negative of that value, which often needs to be grouped within parentheses in order to avoid confusing the compiler. Moreover, integer and floating point types have slightly differing operators. For types of Int and Integer, there are the division (“div”) and modulus (“mod”) operators, while floating point types such as Double have the division (/), reciprocal (“recip”) operators. By convention, operators specified as words would normally have to be specified as in the prefix form, *operator value [value]*, but may also be used as an infix format if surrounded by backticks, *value `operator` value*. In addition, there are several other special operators for these types that are built into the standard Prelude library, for example, rounding (“ceiling”, “floor”, “round”), trigonometry (“cos”, “sin”, “tan”, “acos”, “asin”, “atan”), exponentials (“exp”), PI (“pi”), square root (“sqrt”), and logarithms (“log”, “logBase”) (Thompson, 1999).
   2. Composite
      1. Furthermore, Haskell also implements several composite types derived from its basic types. The two foremost examples of composite types are the list and tuple. The type of each list (“[]”) and tuple (“()”) is defined by the types that they contain. That is, a list is allowed to contain any number of elements of a single *type* and may continue to grow in size, where examples could include a list of Int types (“[Int]”) or a list of lists of Double types (“[Double]”). On the other hand, a tuple is allowed to contain any number of various types as originally defined and whose size cannot change, such as a tuple of type Int and Char (“(Int, Char)”) or a tuple of type Double and Double (“(Double, Double)”). Lists and tuples both have a special type that occurs when either is empty, [] for a list and () for a tuple. When a list or tuple is defined, it is considered to be a type. This means that a list could contain other lists or tuples of certain types, including more lists or tuples, and tuples can contain similar types. However, although a list may contain only a single element, there is not an existing notion of a single tuple. Also, since of order of lists and tuples matters, even if quantity and kinds of types in two tuples are the same, a different ordering of types within tuples results in different resulting types. Another common composite type is the String type, which is essentially just a list of Char (“[Char]”), and may be specified as a set of characters listed between two sets of double quotes. Lists also differ from tuples in terms of operators and enumerations. For example, it is possible to enumerate over a list of the type Int, Integer, Double, and Char. These enumerations may be performed by using “..” within the lists for integer and floating point types in the form [1..10], which will produce a list of integers from 1-10, or character types in the form ['a'..'z'], producing a list of characters containing characters 'a'-'z'. It is also possible to add a step by which values will be generated by denoting an enumeration like [1,3..10], resulting in a list counting by two's until the largest value that matches the step up to the last specified value is reached (the last number generated in this case is 9). The main functions over a list include concatenation of two lists (++) and construction of a list (:), which appends an element to the front of a list and where the format is specified as *element : [list]*, grabbing the first element of a list (“head”), grabbing the list of elements after the first element (“tail”), taking a list of the first *n* elements of a list (“take”), taking all but the first *n* elements of a list (“drop”). The common functions for tuples are much smaller in number, that is, retrieving the first element (“fst”) or the second element (“snd”) of a tuple (O'Sullivan et al., 2008).
   3. Declarations
3. Functions (subprograms)
   1. Purity?
   2. Control structures?
   3. Recursion?
4. Abstraction and Polymorphism
   1. Abstracting types
5. Type classes
   1. Basics
   2. Declarations
      1. Recursion?
6. I/O
7. Exception Handling
8. Monads
9. Concurrency
10. Sample Program
11. Global Issues and Promise for the Future
    1. As Haskell is approaching the 25th year since its initial publishing, it is important to stop and take into account its progress in order to correctly assess its promise for the future. In the beginning, Haskell began as an academically focused language with a relatively small following, but has grown from under 100 consistent users in 1995 to about 600 users in 2005. Those who have been impacted by Haskell include both academic and industrial groups. According to a web survey taken by Hudak and his associates (2007) for the academic year 2005-2006, 126 teachers from 89 universities among 22 countries; the highest responses being from the “USA (22%), the UK (19%), Germany (11%), Sweden (8%), Australia (7%), and Portugal (5%)”; were estimated to teach Haskell to a range of 5000-10,000 students (p. 12-41). The largest group of students taking these courses in Haskell was estimated to be 2000-4000 annually for undergraduates learning Haskell as their first or second language. The types of courses offering Haskell included a focus on functional or declarative programming, advanced programming, programming languages, theoretical topics, hardware descriptions, domain-specific languages, music, quantum computing, and distributed and parallel programming (Hudak et al., 2007).
    2. As for industrial uses, companies from around the world have decided to implement Haskell in order to enhance their products. Some of these companies and their respective countries include ABM AMRO, an international bank in Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Aetion Technnologies LLC, a defense contractor that conducted business between 1999-2011 in Columbus, Ohio with a focus on projects involving Artificial Intelligence; Better (or Erudify), founded in 2012 and based in both Zurich, Switzerland and New York, USA, used Haskell for its back-end web-servers and learning logic in order to provide high-quality courses; and bCODE Pty Ltd in Sydney, Australia; Fractis Research, which develops mobile-friendly solutions in Freiburg, and Germany; and Functor AB in Stockholm, Sweden, a company that produces tools to help eliminate bugs (Rheno, 2014). In addition, a man by the name of Curt Sampson attempted to outline his experiences with the transition to using Haskell as a functional language upon founding Starling Software in Tokyo, Japan. After reviewing the benefits of functional languages, Sampson and his team convinced their client to allow the use of Haskell. With the team's previous experience in the imperative languages of C, Java, Ruby, C# and Python, Sampson concluded that using Haskell had the advantages of concise and readable code, no noticeable disadvantages in speed thanks to concurrency and parallelism, portability, and the ability to interface with foreign functions in C. However, Sampson also mentions that problems did arise when dealing with refactoring small bits of code, a lack of profiling tools, and space/memory leaks that arose from use of threads, but then later states that some of these issues could likely be resolved with more experience.
    3. So, is Haskell projected to continue living? According to these developments in the academic and industrial communities, it seems to likely be so. This assessment may be further confirmed when evaluating the the active state of its community both in the IRC channel and the Haskell Wiki, which is the official Haskell website. For instance, the Haskell 2010 Report was just recently released, setting revised standards for the language by those who contributed to the mailing list and discussion boards. Considering all these factors, it may even be possible that an increase in developments may be seen in the near future.

Notes:

* Add list of original committee members to History section
* Discuss creation of files and modules, importing modules, comments, layout, and keywords (page 15 in Programming in Haskell) in General Facts section
* Maybe elaborate more on the difference between variables as expressions in Haskell as opposed to imperative lagnuages (O'Sullivan et al., 2008, p. 28)