### UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

Analysis often features situations where convergence of function sequences (and series) is needed.

The most straightforward notion is that of pointwise convergence.

Def A sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex-valued functions  $f_n: A \to \mathbb{C}$  defined on a common domain A converges pointwise to a function  $f: A \to \mathbb{C}$  if for every  $z \in A$  we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$ . Pointwise convergence does not, however, behave well in relation to notions needed in analysis, such as continuity or integration. A much better behaved notion is uniform convergence.

A sequence (fn) new of complex-valued functions  $f_n: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a common domain A converges uniformly on A
to a function  $f:A \rightarrow C$  if for
any E > 0 there exists an  $n_E \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all  $n \ge n_E$  and all  $Z \in A$  we have  $|f_n(z) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$ .

As the first easy observation, we record:

Lemma (Uniform convergence implies pointwise conv.) If  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to f. then it converges also pointwise to f.

Let us then state some crucial well-behavedness properties of uniform convergence. (... which do not hold for pointwise convergence in general.)

Lemma (Preservation of continuity)

Suppose that (fn)new is a sequence of continuous functions

fn: A > C which converges uniformly to a function f: A > C.

Then also the limit function f is continuous.

Proof See MS-C1541 Metric Spaces. II (The proof is a typical \(\frac{\xi}{3}\) argument.)

Lemma (Integration and uniform limits) Let (fn) new be a sequence of continuous functions  $f_n:A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined on ACC, and bet y be a contour in A. If the sequence (fn) new converges uniformly on A, then  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{8}^{1} f_{n}(z) dz = \int_{8}^{1} (\lim_{N\to\infty} f_{n}(z)) dz$ 

Proof Note first of all that from the uniform convergence assumption and continuity of each In it Solows that  $\int = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n$  is a continuous function, so that the right hand side of the asserted equation is at least well-defined.

Let us then prove the limit assertion.

Let E>O. Denote by lly) the length of the contour of. Since (w)+1 > 0, by uniform convergence there exists on N such that  $|f_n(z) - f(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{l(x)+1}$  for all  $n \ge N$  and  $z \in A$ . Then by the triangle inequality for contour integrals, for  $n \ge N$  $\left| \int_{M} f_{n}(z) dz - \int_{M} f(z) dz \right|$  $= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( f_n(z) - f(z) \right) dz \right|$  $\leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left| f_n(z) - f(z) \right| \, |dz| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell(\gamma) + 1} \cdot \ell(\gamma) < \varepsilon.$ < (2)+1

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this proves  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{K} f_{n}(z) dz = \int_{K} f(z) dz.$  Combining this with Cauchy's integral formula will easily give the preservation of analyticity in aniform limits. We can in fact do even slightly better — it suffices to assume less than uniform convergence on the whole domain of definition of the analytic functions.

Def (Convergence uniformly on compacts) Let (foliers be a sequence of functions  $f_n:A \to \mathbb{C}$  defined on  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  and let also  $f:A \to \mathbb{C}$  be a function. We say that the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on compacts (voc) to f if for every compact subset KCA | the restrictions  $f_n|_{K}: K \to \mathbb{C}$ converge uniformly to  $f|_{K}: K \to \mathbb{C}$ . We then denote  $f_{n} \xrightarrow{voc} f$ .

Theorem (Preservation of analyticity)

Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of analytic functions  $f_n \cup \to \mathbb{C}$  on an open set  $\cup \subset \mathbb{C}$ . If the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on compacts to  $f: \cup \to \mathbb{C}$ , then also the limit function f is analytic.

Proof Suppose  $f_n \xrightarrow{voc} f$ Let  $z_0 \in U$ . Choose r > 0 small enough so that  $B(z_0, r) \subset U$ .

Then for any  $z \in B(z_0, r)$ , we find  $f(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z)$  implies pointwise conv.  $f(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B(z_0, r)} \frac{f_n(f)}{f_n(z)} df$  Cauchy's integral formula

"since OB(zo;r) C U is compact and the integrand converges uniformly on it.

This representation of f in  $B(z_0;r)$  is of the form that an earier lemma shows f is analytic inside  $B(z_0;r)$  — in particular the derivative  $f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B(z_0;r)} \frac{f(f)}{(f-z_0)^2} df$  exists. Since  $z_0 \in U$  was arbitrary, we have proved analyticity of f.  $\Pi$ 

From the proof, we actually easily obtain even a stronger conclusion.

Corollary

If  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of analytic functions  $f_n: U \to \mathbb{C}$  which converges

UOC to  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  then also the derivatives  $f'_n$  converge UOC to  $f'_n$ .

Proof: To prove UOC convergence of the derivatives  $f'_n: U \longrightarrow C$ , it sufices to prove that on any closed disk B(zo; e) C U the derivatives (fn) new converge uniformly to f' - because any compact KCU is contained in a finite union of such closed disks. So fix such a disk B(zo; g) CU and observe that we may in fact choose a slightly larger radius 1>e such that still B(zo; ) CU. Then Cauchy's integral formula for derivatives yields  $f'_{n}(z) = \frac{2\pi i}{3B(z^{n+1})} \frac{\partial B(z^{n+1})}{\partial B(z^{n+1})} d\zeta$  $f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{B}(z_0;c)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi-z)^2} d\xi$ for any zEB(z; g) cB(z; r).

Let \$>0, and note that by UOC convergence  $f_n \xrightarrow{UoC} f$  and the compactness of aB(z,,r), we can choose NEW such that for  $n \ge N$  and  $\{ \in \partial B(z_0; r) \text{ we have }$  $|f_n(\xi) - f(\xi)| < \frac{\epsilon \cdot (r-\xi)^2}{2\epsilon}$  Then for ZEB(z, e) we have (5-2/≥ r-e in \f(z) - f'(z)  $= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f_n(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^2} d\xi - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^2} d\xi \right|$ 3B(z,, r) 3B(z,, r)  $= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f_n(\xi) - f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^2} d\xi \right|$  $\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{|f_n(\xi) - f(\xi)|}{|f - z|^2} |d\xi|$ < \(\begin{align\*}
 \(\ell\_2 \\ \ell\_2 \\ \  $\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2r} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\partial B(z, r)) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.$ Uniform convergence  $f'_n \rightarrow f$  on  $\overline{B}(z_0;g)$ follows.

 $\Box$ 

As usual, limits of sequences allow us to define sums of (infinite) series, by considering the sequences of partial sums.

Def:

Let  $z_{1,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,...} \in \mathbb{C}$  be complex numbers. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the  $N^{th}$  partial sum of these to be  $S_{N} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_{n} = z_{n} + z_{2} + .... + z_{N}$ . If the sequence  $(S_{N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  of partial sums has a limit, then we use this limit as the definition of the sum of the infinite series  $\infty$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n$$

(If the indexing starts, e.g., from n=0, then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is defined with obvious modifications.)

With series, there is a sufficient condition for convergence which only looks at the magnitudes of the terms involved.

Def: (Absolute convergence of a complex series)

A complex series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  is said

to be absolutely convergent if the

series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|$  of the absolute values

of its terms converges.

Lemma (Absolute convergence implies convergence)

If a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  is absolutely convergent, then it is convergent.

## Stetch of proof:

The key here is the completeness of C as a metric space, which says that every Cauchy sequence in C converges.

(The metric structure of C is the same as of R, so this is a special case of the completeness of the Euclidean spaces Rd See MS-C1541.) It suffices to verify that the sequence (SN) NEW of partial sums SN=z1+ .... + ZN is Cauchy, which means that for every E>O there exists on NE such that for  $k, l \ge N_{\epsilon}$  we have  $|S_k - S_k| < \epsilon$ . Verifying this is quite straightforward a similar analysis appears in the proof of Weierstrass' M-test below, so we omit the details here.

There is also a very straightforward necessary condition for convergence of series: at least the terms must be tending to zero.

If a complex series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = 0$ . Proof Suppose \sum\_{n=1}^{2} z\_{n} converges, i.e., the limit  $s = \lim_{N \to \infty} S_N$  of the partial sums  $S_{\mu} = z_1 + \dots + z_{\mu}$  exists. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{N \to \infty} S_N = s$ , there exists a N'EN such that for N > N' we have  $|S_{\mu}-s|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . In particular since  $z_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$ , for n > N'ne pare  $|z_n| = |S_n - S_{n-1}| = |S_n - S_n - (S_{n-1} - S_n)|$  $\leq \left| S_{n} - s \right| + \left| S_{n-1} - s \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$ This shows lim zn = 0 as claimed.

The various notions of convergence of function series are also straightforwardly defined in terms of the sequence of partial sum functions.

Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be functions  $f_n : A \to \mathbb{C}$ defined on  $A \subset C$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $F_N : A \longrightarrow C$  by  $F_N(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z) + \dots + f_N(z).$ We say that the function series If n converges uniformly on A, uniformly on compacts of A, or pointwise, if the sequence (FN) NEN of partial sun functions converges

in the corresponding sense.

An often convenient way to verify uniform convergence of a function series is

Lemma (Weierstrass: M-test)

Suppose that  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are functions  $f_n:A\to\mathbb{C}$  and  $M_1,M_2,M_3,...\geq 0$ are constants. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n<\infty$ and  $|f_n(z)|\leq M_n$  for all neW and

all  $z\in A$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}f_n(z)$  converges uniformly for  $z\in A$ .

Proof: By Cauchy's criterion, it suffices to prove that the sequence  $(F_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  of partial sum functions  $F_N(z)=\sum_{n=1}^N f_n(z)$  is uniformly Cauchy on A.

So let E>0. Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  is a convergent series, its remainders tend to zero:  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{N} M_n \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} 0$ We may therefore choose an N such that  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M_n < \epsilon$ . Then for any  $k, l \ge N$   $(k \ge l)$  and any zeh we have  $|F_{k}(z) - F_{l}(z)| = |\sum_{n=1}^{k} f_{n}(z) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} f_{n}(z)|$  $= \left| \sum_{n=l+1}^{k} f_n(z) \right| \leq \sum_{n=l+1}^{\infty} \left| f_n(z) \right|$  $\leq \sum_{n=1+1}^{k} M_n \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M_n < \varepsilon$ This shows that (FN) NEW is a

This shows that  $(F_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a uniform Canchy sequence, and uniform convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  on A follows.

# Example (The Riemann zeto function) Recall from calculus that the harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, whereas for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\varrho}$ converges. Consider then the complex series where ZEC and we use the principal complex powers (writing x = Re(z)) $n^{-2} = \exp(-z \cdot Log(n))$ = log(n) "real log" = exp (-x, log(n) - iy · log(n)) $= v_{-x} \cdot e^{-iq \cdot \log(n)}$

Observe in particular that  $|n^{-2}| = n^{-Re(2)}$ .

For any E>O, Weierstrass' M-test can therefore be applied in {z ∈ C | Re(z) > 1 + ∈ } since if Re(z) > 1+E, we have  $\left| n^{-2} \right| = n^{-Re(z)} \leq n^{-1-\varepsilon}$ and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\epsilon}$  converges. We find that  $S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}$ converges uniformly on {Re(z) > 1+ & }, and it easily follows that it converges uniformly on compacts of {z∈C ( Re(z) > 1 } Since all terms Z - z - z - Log(z) are analytic on this domain, the function g is analytic there as well (preservation of analyticity). It turns out that f can be uniquely extended to an analytic function \$: C-213 -> C "the Riemann zeta function" 5

## POWER SERIES

The most important class of function series in complex analysis is power series

Def

Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be a point in the complex plane, and let  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$  be coefficients. A function series of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ (seen as a function of z) is called a power series developed around  $z_0$ .

Example

Polynomial functions  $z \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ can be seen as power series where

only finitely many of the coefficients are  $\pm 0$ .

Example (Geometric series) The geometric series with ratio ZEC is the power series \[ \sum\_{n=1}^{\chi} \z^{\chi} \]. (developed around zo=0 and all coefficients=1) The Nth partial sum is (exercise)  $S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N z^n = \frac{1-z^{N+1}}{1-z}$  if  $z \neq 1$ . If |z| < 1, then  $|z^{N+1}| = |z|^{N+1} \longrightarrow 0$ and we find that the series converges  $\int_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1-z^{N+1}}{1-z} = \frac{1}{1-z}$ 

If  $|z| \ge 1$  then  $|z^n| = |z|^n \ge 1$ , so the general term of the series does not tend to zero, and the series cannot converge.

We also note that if 0<r<1, then for  $z \in B(0;r)$  we have  $|z^n| = |z|^n \le r^n$ , and since  $\sum r^n = \frac{1}{1-r} < \infty$ , Weierstross' M-test gives that the geometric series \( \sum\_{z}^{\gamma} \) converges uniformly in B(O;r). It easily follows that \sum\_{n=0}^{2} z^{n} convergen uniformly on compact subsets of the unit disk  $D = B(o_i 1)$ . Preservation of analyticity would therefore imply that the geometric series defines an analytic function in D, but we of course saw this already,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z} \quad (\text{for } |z|<1)$ 

is clearly analytic as a rational function.

A key observation about power series is:

Lemma (Abel-s theorem)

Suppose that a power series

\[
\sum\_{n=0}^{\infty} a\_n (z-z\_0)^n \]

converges at z=w\infty C. Then

it also converges absolutely at

any z\in C such that |z-z\_0|<|w-z\_0|.

Before the proof, let us note the contrapositive.

Corollary (Contrapositive of Alber's theorem)

Suppose that a power series

and (z-zo) does not converge

n=0

at z=w. Then it also does not

converge at any zEC such that

|z-zo| > |w-zo|.

Proof: Convergence at such a z would imply convergence at w by the lemma above. I

Proof of Abel's theorem: Suppose  $\sum a_n (w-z_0)^n$  converges. In particular, the terms tend to zero,  $\lim_{N\to\infty}\left|\alpha_N\cdot\left(\omega-z_0\right)^N\right|=0.$ It follows in particular that there exists an NeW such that for  $n \ge N$  we have  $(\alpha_n(w-z_0)^n) < 1$ , i.e.  $|a_n| < |w-z_0|^{-n}$ Let z be such that |z-zo| < |w-zo|. For the tail  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n \cdot (z-z_0)^n$  of the power series evaluated at z, we can bound the terms by  $\left|\alpha^{N}\cdot\left(z-z^{o}\right)_{u}\right|=\left|\alpha^{N}\left(\cdot\left|z-z^{o}\right|_{u}\right)\right|\left(\frac{\left|m-m^{o}\right|}{\left|z-z^{o}\right|}\right)_{u}$  $\leq (\omega - z_0)^{-n}$ 

This gives a convergent geometric series as a majorant in Weierstrass' M-test, proving absolute convergence of the tail and therefore of the whole series.

The observations based on Abelis theorem motivate the following definition.

Def

The radius of convergence of a power series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$  is  $R := \sup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ |z-z_0| \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n \right| \right\}$  converges.

Namely, from Abelis theorem and its contrapositive we get that the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ 

- converges for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|z-z_0| < R$ ;
- ► does not converge for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|z-z_0| > R$ .
- for z such that  $|z-z_0|=R$ .

We call  $B(z_0; R)$  the disk of convergence of the power series (with the interpretation  $B(z_0; R) = C$  if  $R = +\infty$ ): the convergence is quaranteed in this disk of convergence

In fact a closer look at the proof of Abelis theorem gives a strengthened conclusion:

### Lemma

Let R be the radius of convergence of a power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ . Then for any r < R, this power series converges uniformly in  $B(z_0;r) \subset B(z_0;R)$ .

(We invite the reader to adapt the previous proof to get this stronger version.)

It easily follows that a power series converges uniformly on compacts in its disk of convergence. We get

Corollary

A power series defines an analytic

function in its disk of convergence.

Proof Analyticity is preserved in UOC limits, and the partial sums of a power series are polynomials, and therefore indeed analytic. I

We also get that a power series can be differentiated term by term.

Consider a function f defined by the power series  $\int (s) = \sum_{n=0}^{N=0} \alpha^{n} (s-s^{0})_{n}$ 

in its disk of convergence B(zo;R). Then its derivative is given by Then its derivative.

Then its derivative.

The termwise differentiated power series  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot n \cdot (z - z_0)^{n-1}$ in the disk B(zo; R).

Proof: We saw that UOC convergence of analytic functions implies UOC convergence of the derivatives, and for the partial sums (polymonials), differentiation obviously gives  $\frac{d}{dz}\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}^{\infty}\alpha_{n}(z-z_{0})^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_{n}\cdot n\cdot (z-z_{0})^{n-1}$ 

Finally, we record a general formula for determining the radius of convergence of a power series.

Theorem (Hadamard's formula)

The radius of convergence of a power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ is given by the formula  $R = \frac{1}{\lim_{n\to\infty} n \int |a_n|}$ where we interpret  $\frac{1}{+\infty} = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{0} = +\infty$ .