Recall that the complex derivative of a function  $f:A \rightarrow C$  at an interior point ZOEACC is defined as  $\int_{z \to z_0}^{1} \left(z_0\right) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\int_{z - z_0}^{1} \left(z_0\right)}{z - z_0}$ if this limit exists.

Recall also that  $f:U \to \mathbb{C}$  is said to be analytic on an open set UCC if it has a complex derivative at every point 20 in U. We also showed that the analyticity of f on U is equivalent to differentiability of f in the real sense and the

Cauchy - Riemann equations
$$\frac{3u}{3x} = \frac{3v}{3y}, \quad \frac{3v}{3x} = -\frac{9u}{3y}$$

holding everywhere on U for the component functions  $u(x_iy) = Re(f(x+iy)), \quad v(x_iy) = Im(f(x+iy))$ 

# Constantness criteria for analytic functions

As the first consequences of Cauchy-Riemann equations, let us note some rigidity results for analytic functions.

Recall first from calculus:

Lemma

If a function  $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a constant on D.

The plane is such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u \equiv 0$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u \equiv 0$  on D.

A straightforward consequence is:

# Lemma If a function $f:D \to C$ on a constant. Lemma If a function $f:D \to C$ on a constant.

Using this and Cauchy-Riemann equations, me get somewhat surprinsing sufficient criteria for an analytic function to be constant.

### Lemma

Let DCC be a connected open subset of the complex plane, and let  $f:D \to C$  be an analytic function. If any one of the functions  $z \mapsto Re(f(z))$ ,  $z \mapsto Im(f(z))$ , or  $z \mapsto |f(z)|$  is constant. Then f itself is constant.

Proof: Write u(x,y) = Re(f(x+iy)) and V(x,y) = Im (f(x+iy)) for the component functions. Assume first that u is constant on D. Then  $\frac{13u}{3x} \equiv 0$  and on = 0. By C-R equations we get  $\frac{3x}{3\lambda} = -\frac{3\lambda}{3\alpha} \equiv 0 \quad \frac{3\lambda}{3\lambda} = \frac{3x}{3\alpha} \equiv 0$ which implies that v is also a constant on D, and thus f = u + iv is indeed a constant. Assuming a constant similarly leads to a constant (by C-R) and thus f constant.

For the final case, assume  $|f(x+iy)|^2 = u(x_1y)^2 + v(x_1y)^2 = c.$  If c=0 then immediately  $f\equiv 0$ , so we may assume c>0.

Then differentiating this equation w.r.t. x and y we get  $\partial \cdot \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \partial \cdot y \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = 0$  $\mathcal{J} \cdot v \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \mathcal{J} \cdot v \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = O$ Using C-R equations, these imply  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \sigma} - \lambda \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial \sigma} = 0$  $n \cdot \frac{\partial^n}{\partial n} + \lambda \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = 0$ Multiply the first of these by u and the second by v and add to get  $Q = \frac{3x}{3^n} - \frac{3x}{3^n} + \frac{3x}{3^n} + \frac{3x}{3^n} + \frac{3x}{3^n}$  $= \left( u^2 + u^2 \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = c \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} .$ We conclude  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$ . Similarly, multiplying the first by -v and the second by u and adding, we get  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0$ . Thus u is a constant on D. By the first part of the proof, then, f is a constant again.

Harmonicity and harmonic conjugates

Def;

Def;

A  $C^2$  - function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is harmonic if  $\Delta u := \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$  on U.

The notation  $C^k$  (keN) is used for k times continuously differentiable functions, i.e., functions whose all partial derivatives of order k are continuous functions. So  $C^2$  means "twice continuously differentiable". With this assumption, in particular the Laplacian  $\Delta u = \frac{3^2u}{3x^2} + \frac{3^2u}{3y^2}$  is well-defined (and continuous).

Lemma

| Suppose that f: U -> C is analytic and its component functions u = Re(f) and v = Im(f) are

twice continuously differentiable. Then u and v are harmonic.

Remark We will prove later that analytic functions have derivatives of all orders, so the assumption that a and I are C'turns out to be unnecessary (automatically quaranteed already by the analyticity of f).

Proof: Consider, e.g., u. Exchanging the order of partial derivatives (see calculus courses for justification) and using C-R equations, we find  $= -\frac{3^{x}}{3} \left( \frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}} \right) = -\frac{3^{x}}{3} \left( \frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}} \right) = -\frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}}. \quad \square$   $\frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}} = -\frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}} \left( \frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}} \right) = -\frac{3^{x}}{3^{x}}. \quad \square$ 

As another rigidity property, one may try to reconstruct an analytic function from its real part u (or imaginary part 1) only! By the previous lemma, the real part must at least be harmonic.

Let u: U - R be a harmonic function on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Then a function  $v: U \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a harmonic conjugate of u if the function  $x + iy \mapsto u(x_iy) + iv(x_iy)$ is analytic in U.

Let us consider an example of how to find harmonic conjugates.

Example Let  $a: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function  $u(xy) = x^2y - \frac{1}{3}y^3.$ Let us try to (systematically) find a harmonic conjugate to u, i.e., a function v: R2 > R s.t.  $f(x+iy) = u(x_1y) + iv(x_1y)$ defines an analytic function C-C. For this to be possible, u has to at least be harmonic, so let us verify that first. Calculate:  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,y) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,y)$  $= \frac{3^{2}}{3^{2}} \left( x^{2} y - \frac{1}{3} y^{3} \right) + \frac{3^{2}}{3^{2}} \left( x^{2} y - \frac{1}{3} y^{3} \right)$  $= \frac{3}{3x} \left( 2xy - 0 \right) + \frac{3}{3y} \left( x^2 - y^2 \right)$  $= \lambda_{y} + (-\lambda_{y}) = 0.$ 

So indeed u is harmonic.

If v is a harmonic conjugate, then together with u it has to satisfy C-R equations

$$\frac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial \Lambda} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \Lambda} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \Lambda} \left( x_{3} \hat{A} - \frac{3}{4} \hat{A}_{3} \right) = 3x\hat{A}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \Lambda} = -\frac{3\hat{A}}{\partial \Lambda} = -\frac{3\hat{A}}{\partial \Lambda} \left( x_{3} \hat{A} - \frac{3}{4} \hat{A}_{3} \right) = -x_{3} + \hat{A}_{3}$$

Considering a fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $y \mapsto V(x_1 y)$  has to be (by the second equation above) of the form

$$\lambda(x', \lambda) = \int 3x \lambda' d\lambda = C(x) + x \lambda'$$

where  $C(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  is an integration constant that may depend on x.

The first equation above then requires that

$$-x^{2}+y^{2} = \frac{3v}{3x} = C'(x) + y^{2},$$
from which we get  $C'(x) = -x^{2}.$ 
This implies  $C(x) = -\frac{1}{3}x^{2} + c$ 

with CER. Now simplifying, we have found that v must be of the form  $v(x_{l}y) = -\frac{1}{3}x^{3} + xy^{2} + c$ .

It remains to check that v of the above form indeed makes f = u + iv analytic, but this indeed follows, since C-R equations hold for these continuously differentiable functions u, v.

The analytic functions f = u + ivtake the form

$$f(x+iy) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$$

$$= x^{2}y - \frac{1}{3}y^{3} + ixy^{2} - \frac{i}{3}x^{3} + ic$$

$$= -\frac{i}{3}(x+iy)^{3} + ic$$
i.e.  $f(z) = -\frac{i}{3}z^{3} + ic$ 

A natural question: Is it always possible to find a harmonic conjugate to a given harmonic function  $u: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ?

Not quite. For example the function  $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \geq (0,0)^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $u(x,y) = \log(x^2 + y^2)$  is harmonic, but does not have a (single-valued) harmonic conjugate on  $U = \mathbb{R}^2 \cdot \{(0,0)^2\}$ . The obstruction is related to topology: U is

In simply connected domains, it turns out that harmonic conjugates always exist. For concreteness, we state this only for disks.

not simply-connected (there are

non-contractible loops in U).

Lemma (Local existence of harmonic conj.)

Let  $B = B(z_0, R) \subset C$  be a disk, and let  $u : R \to R$ be a harmonic function.

Then there exists another harmonic function  $v : B \to R$  such that  $v : R \to R$  such that

The proof is not very difficult (uses Stokes' formula from cakulus) but we omit it here.

The complex derivatives obey many familiar differentiation rules.

Linearity

Suppose that  $f,g:A \to \mathbb{C}$  have complex derivatives  $f'(z_0)$  and  $g'(z_0)$  at  $z_0 \in A$ . Then

 $z \mapsto f(z) + g(z)$  has derivative  $(f+g)'(z_0) = f'(z_0) + g'(z_0)$  at  $z_0$ .

Also for any  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \mapsto c \cdot f(z)$  has derivative  $(c \cdot f)'(z_0) = c \cdot f'(z_0)$  at  $z_0$ .

(These properties are direct consequences of the linearity of limits and the definition of complex derivatives.)

[derivative\_linearity

Chain rule If A,BCC are subsets of C and  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $q: B \rightarrow C$  are functions which have derivatives -f'(zo) and g'(wo) at interior points zo EA and  $w_0 = f(z_0) \in B$ , then  $z \mapsto g(f(z)) = (g \circ f)(z)$  has derive  $(g \circ f)'(z_0) = f'(z_0) \cdot g'(f(z_0))$  at  $z_0$ .

Leibniz product rule If fig: A -> C have derivatives f'(zo) and g'(zo) at zo EA, then  $z \mapsto f(z) \cdot q(z)$  has derivative

Quotient rule have derivatives f(zo) If  $f, d: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ 

 $(f \cdot g)'(z_0) = f'(z_0) \cdot g(z_0) + f(z_0) \cdot g'(z_0).$ 

and  $g'(z_0)$  at  $z_0 \in A$  and  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ , then  $z \mapsto \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$  has derivative f'(zo) g(zo) - f(zo) g'(zo)
g(zo) 2  $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)(z_0) =$ 

Finally, for derivatives of local inverse functions we have:

#### Lemma

Suppose that an analytic function of has in some neighborhood of a point zo a continuous inverse function f'', and suppose that the derivative  $f'(z_0)$  is nonzero.

Then the inverse function f'' has a family has a derivative  $(\mathcal{L}_{-1})'(\mathfrak{f}(z^{\circ})) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{1}(z^{\circ})}{2}.$ 

Remark We will later see that analytic functions of have continuous local inverse functions near any point Zo where the derivative f(zo) is nonzero.

Proof: Let  $U \subset C$  be a neighborhood of  $z_0$  such that  $f: U \to V$  has an inverse function  $f^{-1}: V \to U$  which is continuous. Denote  $w_0 = f(z_0)$ .

Now for ke C with lkl small enough, we have  $w_0 + k \in V$ , and we may write  $f^{-1}(w_0 + k) = z_0 + h(k) \in U$ where  $h(k) := f^{-1}(w_0 + k) - z_0$ . By continuity of  $f^{-1}$  we have  $\lim_{k \to 0} (h(k)) = \lim_{k \to 0} (f^{-1}(w_0 + k)) - z_0$   $= f^{-1}(w_0) - z_0 = 0$ 

Let us then consider the limit of difference quotients that defines the derivative of f' at wo:

$$\lim_{k\to 0} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (w_0 + k) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (w_0)}{k}$$

$$= \lim_{k\to 0} \frac{z_0 + h(k) - z_0}{w_0 + k - w_0}$$

$$= \lim_{k\to 0} \frac{h(k)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0 + h(k)) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0)} = \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0)}$$
by definition of the derivative  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0)$ 
and by properties of limits.

This shows  $(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0) + h(k))$  exists and equals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0) + h(k) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z_0)$ 

# Examples of analytic functions

## Polynomials

Let us progressively make some easy observations:

- · constant functions are analytic on C (zero derivative)
- · the identity function Z > Z is analytic on C (derivative const. 1)
- \* inductively with Leibniz' rule we get that  $z\mapsto z^n (=z\cdot z^{n-1})$  is analytic on C with derivative  $n\cdot z^{n-1}$
- using linearity of derivatives, we find that any polynomial function  $p: C \rightarrow C$   $p(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \alpha_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n z + \alpha_0$

(with coefficients  $\alpha_{0,1},...,\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$ ) is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

[polynomial - analyti

## Rational functions

Let  $p,q: C \rightarrow C$  be polynomial functions, and let  $U = \{z \in C \mid q(z) \neq o\}$  (open set in C). The rational function  $r: U \rightarrow C$ ,  $r(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$  is analytic on U by the derivative rule for quotients.

## Exponential function

We saw that  $\exp: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \mapsto e^z$ , is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  (by verifying Cauchy-Riemann equations).

# Trigonometric functions

The trigonometric functions given by  $\sin(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(e^{iz} - e^{-iz})$  and  $\cos(z) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{iz} + e^{-iz})$  are analytic on C by analyticity of exp and linearity of derivatives.

Logarithms (NOTE: branch choice difficulties!) If l: U -> C is a continuous function on an open set UCO such that  $e^{(w)} = w$  for any weU, then it provides a local inverse to the analytic function exp in a neighborhood of any point l(w) in its image. Since  $\exp'(l(w)) = \exp(l(w)) = w$ by the inverse function derivative rule we find  $\ell'(\omega) = \frac{1}{\exp'(\ell(\omega))} = \frac{1}{\omega}$ . Such continuous local inverses to exp are called branches of the complex logarithm. The principal branch Log: C \ (-∞,6] → C is one, but there are others.

nth roots (NOTE: branch choice difficulties!) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $r: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function on an open set UCC such that  $r(w)^n = w$  for any  $w \in U$ , then it provides a local inverse to the analytic function Z +> z" in a neighborhood of any point r(w) in its image. Since  $\frac{d}{dz}(z^n) = n z^{n-1}$ by the inverse function derivative rule we find  $\Gamma'(\omega) = \frac{1}{n \cdot \Gamma(\omega)^{n-1}}$ (Informally,  $\frac{d}{dw} \sqrt{w} = \frac{1}{n \cdot (n \sqrt{n-1})}$ 

Such continuous inverses to z > z n are asked branches of the complex nth root function.