# 2. COMPLEX DERIVATIVES AND ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

We now turn to the fundamental notion of differentiability of complex-valued functions of a complex variable.

It is surprising that while the definition

$$\int_{z \to z_0}^{1} \left(z_0\right) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\int_{z \to z_0}^{1} \left(z\right) - \int_{z \to z_0}^{1} \left(z\right)}{z - z_0}$$

of complex derivatives as limits of difference quotients appears identical to the definition in real-variable calculus, the consequences of complex differentiability are far stronger.

To clearly appreciate the differences, we start by recalling the definition and properties of differentiability in the real sense.

## Component functions

we identify the set  $\mathbb C$  of complex numbers with the  $\lambda$ -dimensional plane  $\mathbb R^2$  as usual

R<sup>2</sup> = (x,y) \longrightarrow x+iy \in \mathbb{C}.

A complex - valued function

 $f : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ 

defined on a subset ACC of the complex plane then corresponds to a two-component (so rector-valued) function of two real variables:

 $f(x+iy) = u(x,y) + i \cdot v(x,y)$ where  $u,v:A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are the real-valued component functions,

u(x,y) := Re(f(x+iy))

v(x,y) := lm(f(x+iy))

Let us therefore recall notions of calculus in several real variables.

(two, in the case at hand)

Def:

Let u: A -> R be a function ne plane, and let (;

d point in that subset.

A linear map defined on a subset ACR2 of the plane, and let (xo, yo) ∈ A be

A linear map L. R2 -> R is called a differential of u at (xo, yo) if we can write : inear approximation of a locally u(xo+\{, yo+\g) = u(xo, yo) + L(\{\},\g) + E(\{\},\g)

where the error term E is small in the sense that

(3,2) - (0,0) = (3,2) = 0.

(Euclidean norm of a vector: \(\(\{\gamma\_1\gamma\}\) := \(\frac{\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}{2}\)

It is not difficult to check that if (xo, yo) is an interior point of A, then L is uniquely determined in the above. We then call it the differential of u at (xo, yo) and denote it by du (xo, yo) = L : R' -> R.

Recall: Linearity of L: R2 -> Rm means that L(31+32, y1+22) = L(31,y1) + L(32, y2) Y (31, 71), (32, 72) ∈ R  $L(\lambda \S, \lambda \gamma) = \lambda \cdot L(\S, \gamma)$ Y(3,y) ER2, LER. (between Fixing choices of bases, linear maps finitecan be represented by matrices. Choosing the standard basis of R2 spa (e)  $(e_1 = [0], e_2 = [0]$  so that  $[v] = \Se_1 + ye_2$ and considering limits (3, y) -> (0,0) along coordinate axes ([;]] [;], [v] [;]) one finds the matrix of the differential expressed in terms of partial derivatives: FEMMA If u: A > R has differential L at an interior point (xo, yo) of A C R2 then u has both partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  (xo, yo) at that point and  $L = du(x_0, y_0) \longleftrightarrow \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{1x}$ (metrix rep. in standard basis)

Example

The function  $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $u(x_1y_1) = x_1^2 + y_2^2$ 

has, at a point (xo, yo) ER?

the differential

 $L(\S, \gamma) = 2x_0\S + 2y_0\gamma$ 

Since

 $E(\xi, y) = u(x_0 + \xi, y_0 + y) - u(x_0, y_0) - L(\xi, y)$ 

 $= (x_0 + \frac{3}{3})^2 + (y_0 + y_1)^2 - x_0^2 - y_0^2 - 2x_0 \frac{3}{3} - 2y_0 y_0$ 

= {2 + y2

satisfies

And indeed the matrix of L (in std boots)

[2x0 2y0]

has components given by the partial derivatives

 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} (x^2 + y^2) = 2x , \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} (x^2 + y^2) = 2y$ 

d (x0, y0).

Let us record an easy but important observation: Lemma If u: A -> R defined on A CR? is differentiable at (xo, yo) EA, then it is also continuous at (xo, yo) Proof: For any linear map L: R2 -> R we have lim Llqin = 0  $(\S, \gamma) \rightarrow (0, \delta)$ and for the error term E we also have  $\lim_{(\xi,\eta)\to(0,0)} E(\xi,\eta) = 0$ (Indeed, from lin (3,2)) = 0 it follows that for any \$>0 there exists a 8>0 such that [Ε(ξ, η) | < ε · | (ξ, η) | when | | (ξ, η) | < δ.) Using the linearity of limits, we therefore qet (\in (x0+\x\), \u00000+\y) = (im (u(x,y)+ L(3,y) + E(3,y))  $= \alpha(x^{o_1}d^{o}) + 0 + 0 = \alpha(x^{o_1}d^{o})$ showing that a is continuous at (x,140). I

Differentiability of vector-valued functions  $S: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ simply amounts to the differentiability of each of the component functions. Specifically, if  $f(x_{i,ij}) = (u(x_{i,ij}), v(x_{i,ij}))$ then f has a differential at (xo, yo) EA if u(xo+3, yo+v) = u(xo,yo) + L(u)(3,y) + E(u)(3,y), v(x013, y0+n) = v(x0,y0) + L(v)(3,y) + E(v)(3,y), here  $\lim_{(\S_1, \gamma_1) \to (0,0)} \frac{|E^{(\omega)}(\S_1, \gamma_1)|}{||E^{(\omega)}(\S_1, \gamma_1)||} = 0 \quad \lim_{(\S_1, \gamma_1) \to (0,0)} \frac{|E^{(\omega)}(\S_1, \gamma_1)||}{||(\S_1, \gamma_1)||} = 0$ 

The clearest form is obtained by collecting the differentials and error terms in vectors as

 $L(\S, \gamma) = (L^{(u)}(\S, \gamma), L^{(v)}(\S, \gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$   $E(\S, \gamma) = (E^{(u)}(\S, \gamma), L^{(v)}(\S, \gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that  $L: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear approximation

reads

f(x6+3, y6+y) = f(x6, y6) + L(3,7) + E(3,7) with the error term satisfying

The matrix representation of the differential

df (xo, yo) = L is again expressible in terms of the partial derivatives (of the component functions):

If  $f:A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is written in terms of its component functions  $u,v:A \to \mathbb{R}$ , and f has a differential  $L:\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ at an interior point (xo, yo) of A, then both partial derivatives of both component functions exist at (xo140) and the matrix of the differential reads (metrix in standard  $\left[\frac{3x}{3x}(x_0|y_0) \frac{3y}{3y}(x_0|y_0)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ 

Example

The function  $z \mapsto \overline{z}$  ( $C \to C$ )
corresponds to the vector-valued function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ 

f(x,y) = (x,-y)

of two real variables, whose component functions are given by  $u(x,y) = x \qquad v(x,y) = -q.$ 

Clearly this & is differentiable in the real sense at any (xo, yo) ER? and its differential (at any point) is the linear map determined by the  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{9x}{3\lambda} & \frac{3\lambda}{3\lambda} \\ \frac{9x}{3\lambda} & \frac{3\lambda}{3\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(Just note that the existence of partial derivatives is not sufficient for differentiability - but once one writes things out, The error term is this example is identically zero, so differentiability has been justified, "clearly".)

L(3,2) = (3,-2)

\ (\\ 1, \(\) e\\'\'

Let us then turn our attention to derivatives in the complex sense.

T A complex-valued function  $f:A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ 

Remark:

Another equivalent way to write the derivative is obtained by setting h=z-zo

 $\int_{a}^{b} (z_{0}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(z_{0}+h) - f(z_{0})}{h}.$ 

: The limit that defines the complex derivative must exist when h tends to 0 from any direction in the complex plane.

### Example

Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  $f(z) = z^2$ .

H has a derivative at any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$\frac{\int (z_0 + h)^2 - \int (z_0)^2}{h} = \frac{(z_0 + h)^2 - z_0^2}{h}$$

$$= \frac{z_0^2 + 2h z_0 + h^2 - z_0^2}{h}$$

$$= 2z_0 + h \xrightarrow{h \to 6} 2z_0.$$

So  $f'(z_0) = 2z_0$ .

Example

Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  $f(z) = \overline{z}$ .

This function does not have a (complex) derivative at any point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ :

Approaching  $z_b = x_0 + iy_b$  ( $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ) from a "real" direction ( $h = \S \in \mathbb{R}$ ) we find a limit of difference quotients

$$\frac{\int (z_0 + \S) - \int (z_0)}{\S} = \frac{(x_0 + \S - iy_0) - (x_0 - iy_0)}{\S}$$

$$= \frac{\S}{3} = (\frac{3 + \S}{3 + 6})$$

whereas from an "imaginary" direction (h=ip, peR) we find

$$\frac{\int (z_0+i\gamma)-\int (z_0)}{i\gamma}=\frac{(x_0-i(y_0+\gamma))-(x_0-iy_0)}{i\gamma}$$

$$=\frac{-1\eta}{12}=-(\frac{1}{\gamma-\gamma c}-(\frac{1}{\gamma-\gamma c})-(\frac{1}{\gamma-\gamma c})$$

The different directional limits show that  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)}{h}$  does not exist.

The previous example shows that differentiability in the real sense is not sufficient to quarantee the existence of a complex derivative.

(ZHOZ is differentiable in the real sense but does not have a complex derivative anywhere)

The following shows that differentiability in the real sense is however, a necessary condition for the existence of a complex derivative.

#### Theorem

If a function f: A -> C defined on  $A \subset C$  has a complex derivative of  $A \subset C$  has a complex derivative  $A \subset C$  has a vector-valued then  $A \subset C$  then  $A \subset C$  then  $A \subset C$  has a vector-valued function of two real variables is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and the partial derivatives of its component  $A \subset C$  and  $A \subset C$  its component  $A \subset C$  its compo on ACC has a complex derivative

Proof: Suppose that  $f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$ exists. Write f'(zo) = a + ib with a, b e R. Also write h= & + in with &, n ∈ R and consider the error term  $E(3,2) = f(z_0 + 3 + i_2) - f(z_0) - (3 + i_2)f(z_0)$ We then find  $= \lim_{(3,10) \to (0,0)} \frac{|f(z_0 + \frac{3}{3} + iv) - f(z_0) - (\frac{3}{3} + iv)f'(z_0)|}{(\frac{3}{3} + iv)}$  $= \lim_{(3,1)^{-3}(0,0)} \left| \frac{f(z_0 + 3 + i y) - f(z_0)}{3 + i y} - f'(z_0) \right| = 0$ using the existence of the complex derivative this pair corresponds to the complex number (3+i2). I'(26) = (3+i2/(4+ib)) (3, n) -> L(3, n) = (a3-bn, an+ b3) is real-linear and from the above we see that it is the differential of (xo,yo).

In matrix form, this differential L reads
L - b ]
b a so comparing with the matrix of partial derivatives  $\left[ \begin{array}{cc}
 \frac{\partial x}{\partial n} (x^{\rho} \cdot n^{\rho}) & \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} (x^{\rho} \cdot n^{\rho}) \\
 \frac{\partial x}{\partial n} (x^{\rho} \cdot n^{\rho}) & \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} (x^{\rho} \cdot n^{\rho})
 \right]$ (which by a previous lemma also represents  $L = df(x_{\delta_1}y_{\delta_1})$  we find  $\frac{3^{x}}{3^{n}}(x^{o}, \vec{n}^{o}) = q = \frac{3^{n}}{3^{n}}(x^{o}, \vec{n}^{o})$  $\frac{3x}{3n}(x^{o},\lambda^{o}) = P = -\frac{3\lambda}{3n}(x^{o},\lambda^{o})$ 

which are the asserted Cauchy-Riemann equations.

: From the proof we observe:

If the complex derivative f'(zo) exists, then the differential

 $df(x_0,y_0): \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ 

is not merely R-linear but also a C-linear map

3+in +> (a+ib) (3+in)
once we identify the plane R2
with C as usual:

 $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (\S_{12}) \iff \S + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ 

The Canchy-Riemann equations are exactly the additional requirement to promote the R-linearity of the differential to C-linearity.

Geometric interpretation:

Write the complex derivative in polar form,  $f'(z_0) = g \cdot e^{i\phi}$ , where  $g = |f'(z_0)| \ge 0$ ,  $\phi = arg(f'(z_0))$  (mod  $2\pi$ ). Then the differential  $df(z_0)$  is the C-linear map  $g + i\eta \mapsto g \cdot e^{i\phi} \cdot (g + i\eta)$  which performs a rotation by angle  $\phi$  and dilatation by factor g.

Example We saw that  $f(z) = z^2$  defines a function f: C -> C which has a complex derivative f'(zo) = 2zo at  $z_b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Writing  $f(x+iy) = (x+iy)^2 = x^2 + 2ixy$ we find that the component functions of f are given by  $u(x_1y) = x^2 - y^2 \qquad v(x_1y) = 2xy$ Now also a direct calculation of the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial d}{\partial r} = 3x$  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = 2x$ 

 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = 2x$   $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 2y$   $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 2x$   $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ 

The previous result showed that the existence of a complex derivative implied differentiability and Cauchy-Riemann equations. Also the converse holds:

#### Theorem

 $(f f : A \rightarrow C \text{ defined on } A \subset C$ is differentiable at an interior point (xo,yo) and if the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at (xo,yo) for its component functions  $u(x_iy) = \Re(f(x_iy))$ ,  $v(x_iy) = Im(f(x_iy))$ then f has a complex derivative at zo=xo+1yo given by any of the following expressions:  $f_{(s)} = \frac{3^{\kappa}}{3^{\kappa}}(x^{o}, \lambda^{o}) + \frac{3^{\kappa}}{3^{\kappa}}(x^{o}, \lambda^{o})$  $= \frac{3n}{3n}(x^{01}n^{0}) - i \frac{3n}{3n}(x^{01}n^{0})$  $= \frac{3x}{9n} (x^{01} n^{0}) - \frac{y}{n} \frac{3n}{3n} (x^{01} n^{0})$  $= \frac{\partial^{d}}{\partial r} (x^{o}, \vec{a}^{o}) + i \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} (x^{o}, \vec{a}^{o}).$ 

# Sketch of proof:

The equality of the 4 different expressions follows directly from the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

The proof is otherwise very similar to the converse proven before. [

To conclude the existence of the complex derivative at a point, the hypothesis (in the theorem above) about differentiability at that point cannot be relaxed to the mere existence of partial derivatives (even if they would satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations).

For a counterexample, see the exercises.

Example Recall that the complex exponential function was defined by  $exp: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  $\exp(x+iy) = \underbrace{e^{x} \cdot \cos(y) + i \cdot e^{x} \cdot \sin(y)}_{-}$  $= u(x_iy) = v(x_iy)$ Claim The function Z > exp(z) = ez is complex differentiable at every zo EC and its derivative is exp(zo) = exp(zo) To check this, observe first that the component functions u, v have continuous partial derivatives everywhere, so exp is differentiable everywhere (see calculus courses) By the previous theorem, then, it

suffices to verify the Cauchy-Riemann equations. For this, calculate

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = e^{x} \cdot \cos(u), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = e^{x} \cdot \cos(u),$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = e^{x} \cdot \sin(u), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -e^{x} \cdot \sin(u).$$

The existence of the (complex) derivative at a single point does not yet have drastic implications.

A more fruitful starting point is:

Del

A function  $f: U \rightarrow C$  defined on an open set  $U \subset C$  is analytic if it has a complex derivative at every point  $z \in U$ (We say that f is analytic at  $z_6$  if for some  $\epsilon>0$  it has a complex derivative at every point  $z \in B(z_0, \epsilon)$  of the  $\epsilon$ -radius disk centered at  $z_0$ .)

Example

The complex exponential function exp: C -> C

is analytic in the whole complex plane C (by the previous example).

Example:

Consider the function defined by the formula  $f(z) = |z|^2$ .

His component functions are  $u(x_iy) = Re(|x+iy|^2) = x^2 + y^2$  and  $v(x_iy) = Im(|x+iy|^2) = 0$ 

The partial derivatives are

 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = 0$   $\frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = 3\pi$   $\frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = 0$ 

The continuity of the partial derivatives implies differentiability

Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at the point  $(x_1y) = (0,0)$  but nowhere else. The function  $f(z) = |z|^2$  is not analytic anywhere (although at z=0 it has complex derivative f'(0)=0).

We can combine some earlier observations to obtain the first important properties of analytic functions:

Every analytic function  $f:U \rightarrow C$  is continuous. continuous.

Proof: Analyticity implies, by definition, the existence of a complex derivative at any point z eU, which by an earlier lemma implies differentiability of z, which by another earlier lemma implies continuity at z.

#### Theorem

A function  $f:U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on an open A function J. U = consoliding if and only if its component functions u = Re(4),

if its component functions u = Re(4),

if its component functions u = Re(4), v = lm(f) are differentiable at every zeU and their partial derivatives | satisfy the Couchy-Riemann equations.

Proof This follows from the definition of analyticity and an earlier lemma. I