

# Week 4 : Hecke actions

Ken Lee

Summer 2023

The goal of this talk is to give a more detailed introduction to the spherical Hecke category in preparation for the three talks on geometric Satake.

Brief recollection of week 1 talk :

1. Throughout, we fixed a smooth projective curve  $X$  over a base field  $k$  with characteristic zero. For simplicity, one should assume it to be  $\mathbb{C}$ . We also fix a reductive group  $G$  over  $k$ .
2.  $\mathrm{Bun}_G$  is the algebro-geometric version of the double coset of adeles in unramified Langlands of function fields over a finite field.
3. Hecke eigenforms, which are certain functions on the double coset space of the adeles, can be constructed from decategorifying perverse sheaves on  $\mathrm{Bun}_G$ .
4. Over characteristic zero, perverse sheaves and  $D$ -modules are equivalent by the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. Categorical geometric Langlands takes this as the starting point.
5. affine Grassmannians are the algebro-geometric versions of the homogeneous space  $G((t))/G[[t]]$  and hence lead to the geometric version of spherical Hecke algebras  $\mathrm{Sph}_G$ .
6. Given a closed point  $x$  of the curve, there is an action of  $\mathrm{Sph}_G$  on  $D\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$  called the automorphic Hecke action.
7. Given a  $G^L$  local system  $\sigma$  on  $X$ , there is an action of  $\mathrm{Rep}_k G^L$  on  $D\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$  called the Galois Hecke action.
8. Geometric Satake gives  $\mathrm{Sph}_G \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_k G^L$  as tensor categories.
9. The automorphic Hecke action across all points can be bundled to together, giving two actions of  $\mathrm{Rep}_k G^L$  on  $D\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ .
10. modulo details about associativity, a Hecke eigensheaf is a  $D$ -module on  $\mathrm{Bun}_G$  “such that” the two actions of  $\mathrm{Rep}_k G^L$  coincide.<sup>1</sup>

This talk focuses on giving more details on (5), (6), (9).

Setup some notation :

- $\mathrm{Aff}_k$  denotes the opposite of the category of  $k$ -algebras.

---

<sup>1</sup>We put “such that” in quotation marks because this is a structure, not a property.

- For an affine scheme  $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ ,  $D_S^\wedge, D_S, D_S^\circ$  will denote  $\operatorname{Spf} A[[t]], \operatorname{Spec} A[[t]], \operatorname{Spec} A((t))$ . These are the relative formal disk, disk, punctured disk over  $S$ .

## Contents

1	Arc groups, Loop groups and Affine Grassmannians	2
2	Spherical $D$ -modules, Convolution	5
3	$D$ -modules on quotient stacks	7
4	Relativising to the entire curve	10

## 1 Arc groups, Loop groups and Affine Grassmannians

### Definition – Arc and loop group

The *arc group* of  $G$  is defined as the functor on  $\operatorname{Aff}_k$

$$L^+G(S) := G(D_S)$$

and the *loop group* of  $G$  is defined as the functor

$$LG(S) := G(D_S^\circ)$$

Ideally,  $L^+G$  should be thought of as the mapping space  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(D, G)$  from the disk  $D$  to  $G$ , and similarly  $LG$  should be  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(D^\circ, G)$ . The issue is  $A \otimes_k k[[t]] \not\cong A[[t]]$  so this is not literally true. This can be fixed by using the formal disk  $D^\wedge := \operatorname{Spf} k[[t]]$  instead since  $\operatorname{Spec} A \times \operatorname{Spf} k[[t]] = \operatorname{Spf} A[[t]]$  and  $G$  is affine. However, this fix does not work for the loop group  $LG$  because “one cannot  $\operatorname{Spf}$  the topological ring  $A((t))$ ”.

Restricting along  $D_S^\circ \rightarrow D_S$  defines a morphism  $L^+G \rightarrow LG$  of group functors. One can show this is a closed embedding.

*Lemma.* The morphism  $L^+G \rightarrow LG$  is a closed embedding.

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is affine, there exists a closed embedding  $i : G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$  for some finite  $N$ . Let  $s : S \rightarrow LG$  be a point of the loop group. Using  $i$ , we see that  $s$  is given by  $N$ -coordinates  $(s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \mathbb{A}^N(D_S^\circ)$ . The fiber product  $L^+G \times_{LG} S$  is computed as the vanishing locus of the finitely many polar terms of  $s_1, \dots, s_N$ . ■

Here’s the answer to the question of what kind of objects are the arc and loop group.

### Proposition

The arc group  $L^+G$  is a scheme and the loop group  $LG$  is an ind-affine scheme.

*Proof.* We define intermediate spaces : the space of  $n$ -jets  $L^nG$  is defined as a functor by

$$L^nG := \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^{n+1}), G)$$

Note that  $n = 1$  recovers the tangent bundle of  $G$ . It is not hard to show that in the case of  $G = \mathbb{A}^1$  that  $L^n G$  is affine. Since  $G$  is affine finite type, it can be put into a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^N \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^r \end{array}$$

Since  $L^n$  is right adjoint to  ${}_{-} \times \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^{n+1})$ , it preserves limits so  $L^n G$  is affine. Finally,  $L^+ G \simeq \varprojlim_n L^n G$  is hence also affine.

Now for the loop group. It is *not* true that  $LG = \underline{\text{Hom}}(D^\circ, G)$ , but the formula

$$(LG)(S) = G(D_S^\circ) \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} \text{Hom}(D_S^\circ, G)$$

still shows that  $L$  commutes with limits. By the same argument as above, we reduce to  $G = \mathbb{A}^1$ . One then computes

$$\mathbb{A}^1((t))(A) = \mathbb{A}^1(A((t))) = A((t)) \simeq \varinjlim_n A[[t]] = (\varinjlim_n \mathbb{A}^1[[t]])(A)$$

where the system we are taking colimits is

$$\mathbb{A}^1[[t]] \xrightarrow{t} \mathbb{A}^1[[t]] \xrightarrow{t} \dots$$

Concretely,  $\mathbb{A}^1[[t]] \simeq \mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and the above transition maps shift the sequence of coefficients to the right by one. One sees from this that each copy  $\mathbb{A}^1[[t]]$  is the closed subscheme of the next copy of sequences where the first coefficient is zero. We have thus shown  $\mathbb{A}^1((t))$  is an ind-affine scheme.  $\square$

#### Definition – Affine Grassmannian for general $G$

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group scheme over  $k$ . For an affine  $S$ , define the groupoid  $\text{Gr}_G(S)$  as follows :

- Objects are  $G$ -bundles on  $D_S$  together with a trivialisation  $s : \text{Triv} \simeq P|_{D_S^\circ}$  on the punctured disk  $D_S^\circ$ .
- A morphism  $(P, s) \rightarrow (Q, t)$  is a morphism  $\alpha : P \rightarrow Q$  of  $G$ -bundles on  $D_S$  respecting the trivialisations, i.e.  $t = \alpha s$ .

Given a map  $T \rightarrow S$  of affines, there is a functor  $\text{Gr}_G(S) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G(T)$  given by pulling back along  $D_T \rightarrow D_S$ . The above forms a groupoid valued (pseudo-)functor on  $\text{Aff}_k$ , which we call the *affine Grassmannian of  $G$* .

<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Question to self : Why does Gaitsgory bother writing this using Tannaka formalism when  $G$ -bundles over  $D_S$  and  $D_S^\circ$  are perfectly well defined?

Although  $\text{Gr}_G$  is a-priori valued in groupoids, one can show that it is in fact valued in discrete groupoids by the following lemma. Hence  $\text{Gr}_G$  is equivalently a set-valued functor sending affines  $S$  to the isomorphism class of  $G$ -bundles on  $D_S$  equipped with a trivialisation over  $D_S^\circ$ .

*Lemma.* Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $D_S$  where  $S$  is affine and  $s : \text{Triv} \simeq P|_{D_S^\circ}$  a trivialisation on the punctured disk. Suppose  $\alpha : P \rightarrow P$  is an (auto)morphism of  $G$ -bundles on  $D_S$  such that  $\alpha s = s$  over  $D_S^\circ$ . Then  $\alpha$  must be the identity.

*Proof.* (Example of trivial line bundle) Suppose  $G = \text{GL}_1$  and replace  $\text{GL}_1$ -bundles with line bundles and consider the case of  $P = \mathbb{A}_{D_S}^1$ . The trivialisation  $s$  is equivalent to an element  $\mathcal{O}(S)((t))^\times$ . Similarly,  $\alpha$  is equivalent to an element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(S)[[t]]^\times$ . Then we have  $\alpha s = s$  as elements in  $\mathcal{O}(S)((t))^\times$ . Since  $s$  is a unit,  $\alpha = 1$  in  $\mathcal{O}(S)((t))^\times$  and hence in  $\mathcal{O}(S)[[t]]^\times$ .

(General case) Using the same strategy as in the example, we see that we only need to prove two things :

1.  $P$  can be trivialised after  $D_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow D_S$  by some fpqc cover  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ .
2. After (1), we can assume  $P = G \times D_S$ . We then need to show  $G(D_S) \rightarrow G(D_S^\circ)$  is injective.

For (1), the special fiber  $P_0$  over  $\{0\}_S \simeq S$  is also a  $G$ -bundle, and hence trivialises upon passing to some fpqc cover  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ . The trivialisation of  $P_0$  over  $\tilde{S} \simeq \{0\}_{\tilde{S}}$  extends to  $D_{\tilde{S}}$  by smoothness of  $G$ .

For (2), using affinity of  $G$  we can realise  $G$  as a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$  for some large  $N$ . WLOG  $N = 1$ . This reduces to showing  $\mathbb{A}^1(D_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(D_S^\circ)$  is injective. This is precisely the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}(S)[[t]] \subseteq \mathcal{O}(S)((t))$ . ■

We now give the description of the affine Grassmannian as a homogeneous space of the loop group.

#### Definition

Define an action  $\text{Gr}_G \times LG \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$  as follows

$$((P, s), g) \mapsto (P, sg)$$

#### Proposition

The map  $LG \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$  sending  $g \mapsto (\text{Triv}, g)$  is a  $L^+G$ -bundle.

*Proof.* We need to show the following :

1.  $L^+G$  acts trivially on  $\text{Gr}_G$
2. the map  $LG \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$  is  $L^+G$  equivariant
3. For every point  $(P, s) : S \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$  of  $\text{Gr}_G$  with  $S$  affine, there exists an fpqc cover  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  such that the pullback of  $LG$  to  $\tilde{S}$  is isomorphic to the trivial  $L^+G$  bundle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 LG & \longleftarrow & LG \times_{\text{Gr}_G} S & \longleftarrow & \tilde{S} \times L^+G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Gr}_G & \xleftarrow{(P,s)} & S & \xleftarrow{\text{fpqc}} & \tilde{S}
 \end{array}$$

Goal (1) and (2) are clear. (3) follows from the fact we've already seen : there exists fpqc cover  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  such that  $D_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow D_S$  trivialises  $P$ .

□

## 2 Spherical $D$ -modules, Convolution

[Can skip until...] I find it useful to make the following auxiliary definitions, which are not explicitly present in Gaitsgory's notes.

### Definition

The *stack of  $G$ -bundles on the disk* is defined as by the groupoid-valued functor

$$(\mathrm{Bun}_G D)(S) := \mathrm{Hom}(D_S, BG)$$

And the *stack of  $G$ -bundles on the punctured disk* is defined by the groupoid-valued functor

$$(\mathrm{Bun}_G D^\circ)(S) := \mathrm{Hom}(D_S^\circ, BG)$$

Recall that the definition of  $\mathrm{Bun}_G X$  is the internal hom  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, BG)$ . This begs the question whether  $\mathrm{Bun}_G D, \mathrm{Bun}_G D^\circ$  are also internal homs.

1. For  $\mathrm{Bun}_G D$  this is true by a combination of the Tannakian formalism and the fact that for Noetherian  $A$  with ideal  $I$ , finitely generated projective modules over  $\mathrm{Spec} A_I^\wedge$  and  $\mathrm{Spf} A_I^\wedge$  are equivalent :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(D_S, BG) &\simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{cts}}^\otimes(\mathrm{Rep} G, \mathrm{QCoh} D_S) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\otimes(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f.d.}} G, \mathrm{Perf} D_S) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\otimes(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f.d.}} G, \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Perf}(n \cdot 0_S)) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\otimes(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{f.d.}} G, \mathrm{Perf}(n \cdot 0_S)) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}(n \cdot 0_S, BG) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\varinjlim_n n \cdot 0_S, BG) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(S \times D^\wedge, BG) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(D^\wedge, BG)(S) \end{aligned}$$

2. For  $\mathrm{Bun}_G D^\circ$  this is *not* true, again essentially because  $\mathrm{Spec} A((t))$  is not computed as the fiber product  $\mathrm{Spec} A \times \mathrm{Spec} k((t))$ .

### Definition

The local Hecke correspondence is defined as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_G D & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Hecke}^{\mathrm{Loc}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Bun}_G D^\circ & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Bun}_G D \end{array}$$

[... here.] Unraveling the definition, a map  $S \rightarrow \text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}}$  from an affine is the same as the data  $(P_0, P_1, \alpha)$  where  $P_i$  are  $G$ -bundles on  $D_S$  and  $\alpha : P_0|_{D_S^\circ} \simeq P_1|_{D_S^\circ}$ . This is related to the affine Grassmannian in the following way :

**Proposition**

Define  $\text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}}$  by the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \text{Bun}_G D \times \text{Bun}_G D & \xleftarrow{(\text{Triv}, \text{Triv})} & \text{pt} \end{array}$$

Then

1.  $L^+G \backslash \text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}} / L^+G \simeq \text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}}$
2.  $\text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}} \simeq LG$  respecting the left and right actions of  $L^+G$ . Hence  $\text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}} \simeq L^+G \backslash \text{Gr}_G$

*Proof.* (1)  $\text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}}$  parameterises  $(P_0, P_1, \alpha, s_0 : \text{Triv} \rightarrow P_0, s_1 : \text{Triv} \rightarrow P_1)$  where  $P_i$  are  $G$ -bundles on the disk. Quotienting by  $L^+G$  on both sides forgets the trivialisations  $s_i$ .

(2) We give mutual inverse functors and omit the check that it works.

$LG \rightarrow \text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}}$  takes  $g \in LG(S)$  to  $(\text{Triv}, \text{Triv}, g, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$ .

$\text{Hecke}_{\square}^{\text{Loc}} \rightarrow LG$  takes an  $S$ -point  $(P_0, P_1, \alpha, s_0, s_1)$  to  $s_1^{-1} \alpha s_0 \in LG(S)$ . □

We now want to define the spherical Hecke category, which  $D$ -module version of the categorified spherical Hecke category. Ideally, we want the following definition :

$$\text{Sph}_G := D\text{Mod}(\text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}})$$

The issue is that at this point, we only really know how to do  $D$ -modules on varieties. The idea is to use  $\text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}} \simeq L^+G \backslash \text{Gr}_G$ , to reduce to the case of varieties. There are two parts to this reduction :

1.  $\text{Gr}_G$  is ind-projective, meaning we can write it as the colimit of projective varieties along closed embeddings. This will be addressed in next week's talk on the geometry of the affine Grassmannian.
2.  $D$ -modules on quotient stacks. It will also be shown next week that one can present  $\text{Gr}_G$  as a filtered colimit of projective varieties  $X_i$  along closed embeddings such that each  $X_i$  is  $L^+G$ -invariant and the action in fact factors through an algebraic quotient of  $L^+G$ . In preparation for that, we give a short exposition of  $D$ -modules on quotient stacks of varieties by algebraic groups in the next section.

Provided that we know how to deal with  $D$ -modules on infinite type schemes like  $\text{Gr}_G, LG$  and their quotients nice enough actions by pro-algebraic groups like  $L^+G$ , we can now define a monoidal structure on  $\text{Sph}_G$  via convolution.

### Definition – Convolution product

The multiplication on the loop group induces a diagram involving  $\text{Hecke}^{\text{Loc}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G & \xleftarrow{p_0} & L^+G \backslash LG \times^{L^+G} LG / L^+G \xrightarrow{m} L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G \\ & & \downarrow p_1 \\ & & L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G \end{array}$$

The convolution product is defined by the following formula

$$\text{Sph}_G \times \text{Sph}_G \rightarrow \text{Sph}_G, (\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1) \mapsto \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{G} := m_!(p_0^! \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes p_1^! \mathcal{F}_1)$$

and defines a monoidal structure on  $\text{Sph}_G$ .

## 3 $D$ -modules on quotient stacks

Let  $X$  be a variety over  $k$  and  $G$  an algebraic group acting on  $X$ . With this, one can form the truncated Cech nerve of  $X \rightarrow X/G$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{-p_{01}} & & \\ X \times_{X/G} X \times_{X/G} X & \xrightarrow{-p_{12}} & X \times_{X/G} X & \xrightarrow{-p_0} & X \\ & \xrightarrow{-p_{02}} & & \xrightarrow{-p_1} & \end{array}$$

where we have the projections

- $p_i : X \times_{X/G} X \rightarrow X, (x_0, x_1) \mapsto x_i$
- $p_{ij} : X \times_{X/G} X \times_{X/G} X \rightarrow X \times_{X/G} X, (x_0, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_i, x_j)$

One can show that this is precisely the usual action groupoid :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \longrightarrow & & \\ G \times G \times X & \longrightarrow & G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \longrightarrow & & & \end{array}$$

For an affine  $S$ , an  $S$ -point of  $X \times_{X/G} X$  is a triple  $(x_0, x_1, \phi)$  where  $x_i \in X(S)$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \times G & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \uparrow \\ S & \longleftarrow & S \times G \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  is  $G$ -equivariant. The data of  $\phi$  is equivalent to  $g \in G(S)$  such that  $x_1 = g \cdot x_0$ .

### Definition – $G$ -equivariance

The category  $(\mathrm{QCoh} X)^G$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $X$  equipped with  $G$ -equivariance is defined as follows :

- An object of  $(\mathrm{QCoh} X)^G$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh} X$  equipped with a  $\phi : p_0^* \mathcal{F} \cong p_1^* \mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times_{X/G} X)$  such that
  1.  $\phi = \mathbb{1}$  when restricted to the diagonal section  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_{X/G} X$
  2. the following diagram commute in  $\mathrm{QCoh}(X \times_{X/G} X \times_{X/G} X)$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & p_{01}^* p_1^* \mathcal{F} & & \\
 & \nearrow p_{01}^*(\phi) & & \searrow \sim & \\
 p_{01}^* p_0^* \mathcal{F} & & & & p_{12}^* p_0^* \mathcal{F} \\
 \sim \downarrow & & & & \downarrow p_{12}^*(\phi) \\
 p_{02}^* p_0^* \mathcal{F} & & & & p_{12}^* p_1^* \mathcal{F} \\
 & \searrow p_{02}^*(\phi) & & \nearrow \sim & \\
 & & p_{02}^* p_1^* \mathcal{F} & & 
 \end{array}$$

- a morphism  $\eta : (\mathcal{F}, \phi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \psi)$  is a morphism  $\eta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathrm{QCoh} X$  such that the following commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 p_0^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & p_1^* \mathcal{F} \\
 p_0^*(\eta) \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1^*(\eta) \\
 p_0^* \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & p_1^* \mathcal{G}
 \end{array}$$

A weakly  $G$ -equivariant  $D$ -module on  $X$  is a  $D$ -module on  $X$  equipped with  $G$ -equivariance structure  $\phi$  which is a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes D_X$ -modules, where we used  $G \times X \simeq X \times_{X/G} X$ .

A strongly  $G$ -equivariant  $D$ -module on  $X$  is the same except we require  $\phi$  is be a morphism of  $D_{G \times X}$ -modules.

Using the equivalence of  $D$ -modules and crystals :

$$D\mathrm{Mod}(X) \simeq \mathrm{QCoh} X_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

the above definitions can be rephrased as follows :

1. The action of  $G$  on  $X$  induces an action of  $G$  on  $X_{\mathrm{dR}}$ . Thus, we have an action groupoid for  $X_{\mathrm{dR}}$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \longrightarrow & & \\
 G \times G \times X_{\mathrm{dR}} & \longrightarrow & G \times X_{\mathrm{dR}} & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathrm{dR}} \\
 & \longrightarrow & & & 
 \end{array}$$



Then a weakly  $G$ -equivariant  $D$ -module on  $X$  is equivalently a  $G$ -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X_{\text{dR}}$ .

2. Taking de Rham spaces commutes with limits of presheaves.<sup>1</sup> So  $(G \times X)_{\text{dR}} = G_{\text{dR}} \times X_{\text{dR}}$ . Then the action of  $G$  on  $X$  induces an action of  $G_{\text{dR}}$  on  $X_{\text{dR}}$ , giving us the action groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \longrightarrow & & \\ G_{\text{dR}} \times G_{\text{dR}} \times X_{\text{dR}} & \longrightarrow & G_{\text{dR}} \times X_{\text{dR}} & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{dR}} \\ & \longrightarrow & & & \end{array}$$

A strongly  $G$ -equivariant  $D$ -module on  $X$  is thus equivalently a  $G_{\text{dR}}$ -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X_{\text{dR}}$ .

We have the following.

**Proposition**

There is an equivalence of categories :

$$(\text{QCoh } X_{\text{dR}})^{G_{\text{dR}}} \simeq D\text{Mod}(X/G)$$

i.e. strongly  $G$ -equivariant  $D$ -modules on  $X$  are equivalent to  $D$ -modules on  $X/G$ .

*Proof.* (Sketch)

First, we define the functor  $(\text{QCoh } X_{\text{dR}})^{G_{\text{dR}}} \rightarrow D\text{Mod}(X/G)$ . Recall for a stack  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules on it are defined locally in the smooth topology  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{sm}}$ . The objects of  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{sm}}$  are pairs  $(S, \pi_S)$  where  $S$  is a scheme and  $\pi_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a smooth 1-morphism. [BD, Section 1.1.2] The morphisms between pairs  $(S, \pi_S)$  and  $(S', \pi_{S'})$  are pairs  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  where  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$  is a smooth morphism and  $\alpha : \pi_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{S'} \circ \varphi$  is a 2-morphism, i.e., it satisfies some kind of cocycle condition. Suppose we now have a strongly equivariant  $D$ -module  $M$  on  $X$ . Goal : for each  $(S, \pi_S) \in (X/G)_{\text{sm}}$ , we need to give a  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -module  $M_S$ , and, given a morphism  $(\varphi, \alpha) : (S, \pi_S) \rightarrow (S', \pi_{S'})$  we need to give an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules  $\beta : \varphi^* M_{S'} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_S$  satisfying a cocycle condition. The data of  $(S, \pi_S)$  is equivalent to giving a principal  $G$ -bundle  $p : P \rightarrow S$  together with a smooth  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $\gamma : P \rightarrow X$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X \\ p \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & X/G \end{array} \quad (1)$$

The point now is that  $M$  pullback to a strongly equivariant  $D$ -module  $N$  on  $P$ . The  $G$ -invariant sections  $N^G$  of  $N$  then determine a  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -module  $M_S$  on  $S$ . This is because  $\mathcal{D}_S$  embeds into  $(\mathcal{D}_P)^G$ : if  $P = G \times S$  is trivial then  $\mathcal{D}_S \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{G \times S})^G$ , and one can use a fpqc-local triviality of  $G$ -bundles to reduce to this case. One can check that this procedure produces a well-defined  $D$ -module on  $X/G$ .

For a quasi-inverse functor, note that amongst  $(X/G)_{\text{sm}}$  are the following fiber squares

<sup>1</sup>In fact, it commutes with colimits of presheaves too.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times_{X/G} X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & X/G
\end{array}
\quad \simeq \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} & X \\
\downarrow g, x \mapsto x & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & X/G
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times_{X/G} X \times_{X/G} X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
X \times_{X/G} X & \longrightarrow & X/G
\end{array}
\quad \simeq \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
G \times X & \longrightarrow & X/G
\end{array}$$

There are three of these second kind corresponding to the three projections  $p_{01}, p_{12}, p_{02}$  of the action groupoid of  $G$  on  $X$ .<sup>1</sup> Now given a  $D$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $X/G$ , the cartesian square of the first kind gives a  $D$ -module  $N$  on  $X$ . There are morphisms between the cartesian square of the first kind and the cartesian squares of the second kind in  $(X/G)_{\text{sm}}$ . These produce the transition map for a strong  $G$ -equivariance structure on  $N$  and the cocycle condition for  $\mathcal{N}$  gives the cocycle condition for the the strong  $G$ -equivariance.

□

## 4 Relativising to the entire curve

Back to Hecke actions. The previous discussion of  $\text{Sph}_G$  does not involve the curve  $X$ . Given a closed point  $x$  of the curve  $X$  and a choice  $k[[t]] \simeq \mathcal{O}_x^\wedge$ , we can replace the disk and punctured disk around 0 in  $\mathbb{A}^1$  by the disk and punctured disk around  $x$  in  $X$ . (If need more material, can define  $D_{x_S}, D_{x_S}^\circ$ .) In other words, we have isomorphisms :

$$LG \simeq L_x G \quad L^+ G \simeq L_x^+ G$$

where  $L_x G(S) = G(D_{x_S}^\circ)$  and  $L_x^+ G(S) = G(D_{x_S})$ . These isomorphisms induce

$$\text{Sph}_G \simeq \text{Sph}_{G,x}$$

where the latter is the category of  $D$ -modules on  $L_x^+ G \backslash (L_x G / L_x^+ G)$ . From the talk in week 1, we can make an action of  $\text{Sph}_{G,x}$  on  $D\text{Mod}(\text{Bun}_G)$  by considering the  $L_x^+ G$ -bundle  $\text{Bun}_{G,x}^{\text{lvl}} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$  and the regluing action of  $L_x G$  on  $\text{Bun}_{G,x}^{\text{lvl}}$ . I now justify my claim in week 1 that there is a way of doing all of this in families above  $X$ .

The trick is to consider the “bundle of uniformisers” :

$$\widehat{X}^+(S) := \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X(S), \alpha : D_{x_S} \simeq D_S \text{ preserving } S\}$$

Examples of points of this is given by [Stacks, Tag 05D5]. The forgetful map  $\widehat{X}^+ \rightarrow X$  is a bundle for the pro-algebraic group  $\text{Aut}^+ D$  of automorphisms of  $D$  preserving 0. There is an action of  $\text{Aut}^+ D$  on  $LG, L^+ G, \text{Gr}_G$

<sup>1</sup>The action map  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  is smooth because it is the composition of  $G \times X \simeq G \times X, (g, x) \mapsto (g, gx)$  with the second projection.

then the relativisation procedure is twisting these using  $\widehat{X}^+$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^+ G &:= \widehat{X}^+ \times^{\mathrm{Aut}^+ D} L^+ G \\ L_X G &:= \widehat{X}^+ \times^{\mathrm{Aut}^+ D} LG \\ \mathrm{Gr}_{G,X} &:= \widehat{X}^+ \times^{\mathrm{Aut}^+ D} \mathrm{Gr}_G \end{aligned}$$

The final object here is called the *Beilinson–Drinfeld Grassmannian* and it will play a crucial role in proving that the monoidal structure on  $\mathrm{Sph}_G$  is symmetric, i.e. the categorification of the fact that convolution product on the spherical Hecke algebra is commutative.

## References

- [BD] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. *Quantization of Hitchin’s Integrable System and Hecke Eigensheaves*. URL: <https://math.uchicago.edu/~drinfeld/langlands/QuantizationHitchin.pdf>.
- [Stacks] T. Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2018.