

Definition 0.1. Extension Splitting a Polynomial.

Let $\iota : K \rightarrow L$ be a field extension, f a polynomial over K . Then (L, ι) **splits** f when there exists degree 1 polynomials $(r_i)_{i \in \deg f} \in L[X]$ such that

$$\bar{\iota}f = \prod_{i \in \deg f} r_i$$

Equivalently, there exists elements $(a_i)_{i \in \deg f} \in L, \lambda \in K$ such that

$$\bar{\iota}f = \iota(\lambda) \prod_{i \in \deg f} (X - a_i)$$

If the extension is clear, we just say L splits f or L contains all the roots of f .

Exercise. Degree Bounds Number of Roots.

Let f be a polynomial over a field K . Show that an element $a \in K$ is a root if and only if $(X - a) \mid f$. Let $S_f := \{a \in K \mid f(a) = 0\}$ be the set of roots of f . Hence show that $|S_f| \leq \deg f$.

This shows that for the definition of a polynomial splitting, we did not have to assume it factorises into exactly $\deg f$ many degree 1 polynomials.

Lemma 0.2. Splitting Minimal Polynomials Lifts up.

Let $K \xrightarrow{\iota_0} L \xrightarrow{\iota_1} M$ be field extensions, $a \in M$ algebraic over K . Then M splits $\min(a, K)$ implies M splits $\min(a, L)$.

Proof. We have the following situation.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K[X] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}_0} & L[X] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}_1} & M[X] \\ & & & & \downarrow ev_a \\ K & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & L & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & M \end{array}$$

By definition, $0 = \bar{\iota}_1(\bar{\iota}_0 \min(a, K))(a)$ i.e. $\bar{\iota}_0 \min(a, K)$ is a polynomial over L that has a as a root. So $\min(a, L) \mid \bar{\iota}_0 \min(a, K)$, which implies $\bar{\iota}_1 \min(a, L) \mid \bar{\iota}_1(\bar{\iota}_0 \min(a, K))$. Since M splits $\min(a, K)$,

$$\bar{\iota}_1(\bar{\iota}_0 \min(a, K)) = \prod_{i \in \deg(\min(a, K))} r_i$$

where $r_i \in M[X]$ are polynomials of degree 1. So there exists a polynomial f over M such that

$$f \bar{\iota}_1 \min(a, L) = \prod_{i \in \deg(\min(a, K))} r_i$$

Clearly, the degree 1 polynomials r_i are irreducible. So by unique factorisation, there is a subset $I \subseteq \deg(\min(a, K))$ such that

$$\bar{v}_1 \min(a, L) = \prod_{i \in I} r_i$$

where the r_i may have been reordered and scaled by units. Clearly, the r_i are still degree 1. To see that $|I| = \deg f$, note that I is finite and apply degree of product is sum of degrees. \square

Remark. Previously, we showed that for an extension $\iota : K \rightarrow L$ with $a \in L$ algebraic over K , $K(a) \cong K[X]/(\min(a, K))$ where $\min(a, K)$ is irreducible. This assumed the existence of a larger field L inside which a sits. We now do the reverse: Given a (monic) irreducible polynomial f over K , we will create a larger field in which we have an element a which has f as its minimal polynomial.

Lemma 0.3. *Quotienting by an Irreducible Element gives a Field.*

Let f be a irreducible polynomial over K . Then the quotient $K[X]/(f)$ is a field and hence a finite extension of K .

For $g \in K[X]$, let \bar{g} denote the image of g in the quotient. Then $f = \lambda \min(\bar{X}, K)$ where $\lambda \in K^\times$. In particular, if f was monic, it would be the minimal polynomial of \bar{X} .

Proof. Let $\bar{g} \in K[X]/(f)$. Then by irreducibility of f , $f \mid g$ or $(f, g) = 1$. If $f \mid g$, then $g \in (f)$ and hence $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ in the quotient. If $(f, g) = 1$, then there exists polynomials $\alpha, \beta \in K[X]$ such that $\alpha f + \beta g = 1$. Thus by projecting to the quotient, $\bar{\beta}\bar{g} = \bar{1}$, i.e. \bar{g} is a unit in the quotient. We just showed all elements in the quotient is either zero or a unit. So $K[X]/(f)$ is a field. By the division algorithm, $\{\bar{1}, \bar{X}, \dots, \bar{X}^{\deg f - 1}\}$ is a basis of $K[X]/(f)$ as a K -vector space so this is a finite extension of K .

It is easy to show that f is a constant multiple of the minimal polynomial of $\bar{X} \in K[X]/(f)$. \square

Exercise. Alternative Proof.

Let f be a irreducible polynomial over K , (f) the ideal generated by f . Show that for any ideal I that contains (f) , $I = (f)$ or $I = K[X]$. Hence deduce from the 3rd isomorphism theorem that $K[X]/(f)$ is a field.

*In general, ideals such that the only ideals containing it are itself and the entire ring are called **maximal ideals**. These are precisely the ideals whose quotient ring are fields.*

Example 0.4. The polynomial $X^2 + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{R} . Taking the quotient ring, let i denote \bar{X} in $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$. Then the quotient is what we call \mathbb{C} .

Remark. The above lemma is an example of how quotients are used to "set things to zero", forcing desired properties. Specifically, we took a polynomial f which previously is not equal to zero, and we set it to zero, thus making " X " a root of f .

Remark. We now show that for any polynomial, there is an extension where it splits.

Theorem 0.5. *Existence of Finite Extensions Splitting any Polynomial.*

Let f be a polynomial over a field K . Then there exists a finite extension $\iota : K \rightarrow L$ that splits f .

Proof. The idea is to repeatedly apply our previous lemma. We proceed by induction on the degree of f . Assume the theorem is true for polynomials with degree less than n . Let $\deg f = n$. By unique factorisation, there exists irreducible polynomials r_1, \dots, r_m over K such that $f = r_1 \cdots r_m$. By applying the previous lemma on r_1 , there exists a finite extension $\iota_0 : K \rightarrow L$ such that there is a root of r_1 , a_1 . In other words, $(X - a_1) \mid \iota_0 r_1$, which gives $(X - a_1) \mid \iota_0 f$, i.e.

$$\iota_0 f = (X - a_1)g$$

Clearly, $\deg g < n$. So by assumption, there exists a finite extension $\iota_1 : L \rightarrow M$ such that M splits g . Then M splits f and is a finite extension by the Tower Law. This completes the induction. \square

Definition 0.6. Splitting Field of a Polynomial.

Let f be a polynomial over a field K . A K -extension $\iota : K \rightarrow L$ is called a **splitting field of f** when L splits f and L is generated by the roots of f .

Note that splitting fields are automatically finite extensions.

Example 0.7. Let $f = X^2 - 2$ the polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Then the extension $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *not* a splitting field of f whilst the extension $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is.

Remark. We will now proceed to show that a splitting field of a polynomial is in a sense the *smallest* field that splits that polynomial.

Definition 0.8. Galois Conjugates.

Let $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L, \iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ be K -extensions and $a \in L, b \in M$ be elements algebraic over K . Then a, b are called **galois conjugates** when any of the following equivalent statements are true:

1. b is a root of $\min(a, K)$.
2. $\min(a, K) = \min(b, K)$.

This forms an equivalence relation on algebraic elements in K -extensions.

Example 0.9. 1. Let $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the usual extension. Then i and $-i$ are galois conjugates.

2. Let $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ be the usual extension. Then $\sqrt{2}$ and $-\sqrt{2}$ are conjugates.

3. Let $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$. Then 1 and ω are *not* galois conjugates even though both are roots of $X^3 - 1$. The mistake is that $X^3 - 1$ is not the minimal polynomial of ω , it is $X^2 + X + 1$.

Lemma 0.10. *Embedding Simple Extensions via Conjugates.* *

Let $\iota : K \rightarrow K(a)$ be a simple K -extension where a is algebraic over K . Let $\iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ be another K -extension. Then for any K -extension morphism $f : K(a) \rightarrow M$, $f(a)$ is a galois conjugate of a . Hence the map $f \mapsto f(a)$ gives a bijection between the K -extension morphisms $K(a) \rightarrow M$ and the galois conjugates of a in M .

$$\{f : K(a) \rightarrow M \mid f \text{ } K\text{-extension morphism}\} \leftrightarrow \{b \in M \mid b \text{ galois conjugate to } a\}$$

In particular, the number of such morphisms equals $\deg \min(a, K) = [K(a) : K]$ if and only if M contains all the roots of $\min(a, K)$ and they are distinct.

Proof. Let $f : K(a) \rightarrow M$ be a K -extension morphism. Then the fact that $f(a)$ is a galois conjugate of a just comes from the property of the evaluation morphism,

$$\bar{\iota}_M \min(a, K)(f(a)) = ev_{f(a)}(\bar{\iota}_M \min(a, K)) = ev_{f(a)}(\bar{f}(\bar{\iota} \min(a, K))) = ev_a(\bar{\iota} \min(a, K)) = 0$$

So the function $f \mapsto f(a)$ is well-defined.

To prove injectivity, note that $K(a)$ being a simple extension with a algebraic implies $\{a^i\}_{i \in \deg \min(a, K)}$ is a basis of $K(a)$. $f : K(a) \rightarrow M$ is K -extension morphism implies it is a K -vector space morphism. Hence f is determined by its image on the basis elements. What's more, since f is a ring morphism, $f(a^i) = f(a)^i$ implies f is determined entirely by $f(a)$. This proves injectivity.

Surjectivity is a consequence of the form of $K(a)$. Let $b \in M$ be a galois conjugate of a . Then $\min(b, K) = \min(a, K)$ implies

$$K(a) \cong K[X]/(\min(a, K)) = K[X]/(\min(b, K)) \cong K(b)$$

This composition of ring isomorphisms is a K -extension morphism $f : K(a) \rightarrow K(b) \subseteq M$ that maps a to b . \square

Remark. We extend the previous result to finite extensions.

Theorem 0.11. *Embedding Finite Extensions via Conjugates.*

Let $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L$ be a finite extension, i.e. (by picking a basis) there exists finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$ such that $L = K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let $\iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ be another extension, such that for all a_i generators of L , M splits $\min(a_i, K)$. Then there exists a K -extension morphism $\iota : L \rightarrow M$. Furthermore, the number of such K -extension morphisms is less than equal to $[L : K]$ and is equal when for all generators a_i , $\min(a_i, K)$ has distinct roots in M .

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let K_i denote $K(a_1, \dots, a_i)$. The following diagram is the idea of the proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K & \xrightarrow{\iota_1 = \iota_L} & K(a_1) = K_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_2 = \subseteq} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n-1} = \subseteq} & K_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_n = \subseteq} & K_{n-1}(a_n) = K_n = L \\ \downarrow \iota_M & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow & \nearrow \\ & & & \iota_{M, n-1} & & & & & \iota \end{array}$$

*The word "embedding" is synonymous to injecting/injection.

Since $K_{i-1}(a_i) = K_i$, we can break the extension $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L$ into a chain of simple extensions and proceed by induction on the number of generators. The base case of one generator, i.e. a simple extension, is covered by the previous lemma.

Assume the theorem is true for $n - 1$ generators. Let $\iota_{M,n-1}$ be one of the K -extension morphisms from K_{n-1} to M . Since M splits $\min(a_n, K)$, it also splits $\min(a_n, K_{n-1})$. So by applying the previous lemma to the simple extension $\iota_n : K_{n-1} \rightarrow K_n$, we obtain a K_{n-1} -extension morphism $\iota : K_n \rightarrow M$. ι is clearly a K -extension morphism and there are less than or equal $[K_n : K_{n-1}]$ of ι 's, equal if $\min(a_n, K_{n-1})$ has distinct roots in M . Since we had at most $[K_{n-1} : K]$ many $\iota_{M,n-1}$ to choose from, by the Tower Law, we have at most

$$[K_n : K_{n-1}][K_{n-1} : K] = [K_n : K]$$

many morphisms ι arising in this way.

We show that all K -extension morphisms from K_n to M arise from applying the previous lemma to $K_{n-1} \rightarrow K_n$. Let $\iota : K_n \rightarrow M$ be a K -extension morphism. This gives a K_{n-1} -extension $\iota|_{K_{n-1}} : K_{n-1} \rightarrow M$ by restricting to the subfield K_{n-1} . So the restriction $\iota|_{K_{n-1}}$ must be one of the at most $[K_{n-1} : K]$ many morphisms of K -extensions and ι becomes one of the at most $[K_n : K_{n-1}]$ many morphisms of K_{n-1} -extensions from $\iota_n : K_{n-1} \rightarrow K_n$ to $\iota|_{K_{n-1}} : K_{n-1} \rightarrow M$. Thus it must be one of the at most $[K_n : K]$ many morphisms we already have.

Suppose for all generators a_m , $\min(a_m, K)$ has distinct roots in M . Clearly, $\min(a_n, K)$ having distinct roots in M implies $\min(a_n, K_{n-1})$ has distinct roots in M , and hence we have exactly $[L : K]$ many ι 's. This concludes the induction. \square

Theorem 0.12. *Minimal Property of Splitting Field.*

Let f be a polynomial over K and $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L$ a splitting field of f . Then L is the smallest extension splitting f , in the sense that for any K -extension $\iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ that splits f , there exists a K -extension morphism $\bar{\iota}_M : L \rightarrow M$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ \nearrow \iota_L & \downarrow \bar{\iota}_M & \\ K & & \\ \searrow \iota_M & & \\ & M & \end{array}$$

In particular, we also have uniqueness up to isomorphism, that is if M is also a splitting field of f , then M, L are isomorphic as K -extensions.

Proof. Let $\iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ be an extension that splits f . For any a a root of f , $\min(a, K) \mid f$ implies M splits $\min(a, K)$. So by embedding finite extensions via conjugates, we get a morphism of K -extensions $\bar{\iota}_M : L \rightarrow M$. In particular, $\bar{\iota}_M$ is an injective morphism of K -vector spaces. If M is a splitting field of f , then it is a finite extension. So $\dim_K L \leq \dim_K M$. By the same argument on M , $\dim_K M \leq \dim_K L$. Hence $\bar{\iota}_M$ must be bijective, implying it is an isomorphism of K -extensions. \square

Remark. We conclude with a very special property of splitting fields, which we will further explore in the next section.

Theorem 0.13. *Image Invariance of Splitting Fields.*

Let f be a polynomial over K and $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L$ the splitting field of f . Then for all K -extensions $\iota_M : K \rightarrow M$ and K -extension morphisms $\phi, \psi : L \rightarrow M$, $\phi L = \psi L$.

Proof. Let $\{a_i\}_{i \in \deg f}$ be the roots of f in L . Since L is the splitting field of f , $\{a_i\}_{i \in \deg f}$ generates L , and hence $\{\phi(a_i)\}_{i \in \deg f}$ generates ϕL . By the same argument, $\{\psi(a_i)\}_{i \in \deg f}$ generates ψL . We will show that the two sets of generators are the same.

Since the following argument is completely symmetrical, it suffices to show that for all $i \in \deg f$, there exists $j \in \deg f$ such that $\phi(a_i) = \psi(a_j)$. This is surprisingly just a consequence of the basic properties of the evaluation morphism and induced ring morphisms on polynomial rings. The situation is this,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & L & \xleftarrow{ev_{a_i}} & L[X] \\
 & \nearrow \iota_L & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \bar{\phi} \\
 K & & & & K[X] \\
 & \searrow \iota_M & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \bar{\psi} \\
 & & M & \xleftarrow{ev_{\phi(a_i)}} & M[X] \\
 & & & & \nwarrow \bar{\iota}_M
 \end{array}$$

By definition, L splits f , that is, there exists a $\lambda \in K$ such that

$$\bar{\iota}_L f = \iota_L(\lambda) \prod_{i \in \deg f} (X - a_i)$$

This implies

$$(\overline{\psi \circ \iota})(f) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{\iota}(f)) = \psi(\iota_L \lambda) \prod_{i \in \deg f} (X - \psi(a_i))$$

Now since $\phi \circ ev_{a_i} = ev_{\phi(a_i)} \circ \bar{\phi}$ and a_i is a root of f , we have

$$0 = \phi(0) = \phi(ev_{a_i}(\bar{\iota}_L f)) = ev_{\phi(a_i)}(\bar{\phi}(\bar{\iota}_L(f))) = ev_{\phi(a_i)}((\overline{\phi \circ \iota_L})(f))$$

i.e. $\phi(a_i)$ is a root of f . But of course, since ϕ and ψ are K -extension morphisms, $\phi \circ \iota_L = \iota_M = \psi \circ \iota_L$. And hence,

$$0 = ev_{\phi(a_i)}((\overline{\psi \circ \iota_L})(f)) = ev_{\phi(a_i)}(\psi(\iota_L \lambda) \prod_{j \in \deg f} (X - \psi(a_j))) = \psi(\iota_L \lambda) \prod_{j \in \deg f} (\phi(a_i) - \psi(a_j))$$

which by K being an integral domain gives $\phi(a_i) = \psi(a_j)$ for some j , finishing the proof. \square