

# Notes on Galois Theory : 200cc

Ken Lee

Spring 2020

## Contents

1	Field Extensions and the Essence of Galois Theory	1
2	Finite Extensions and the Embedding Theorem	3
3	Normal Extensions	6
4	Separable Extensions	8
5	The Galois Correspondence	11

## 1 Field Extensions and the Essence of Galois Theory

### Definition – Field Morphisms, Isomorphisms, Automorphisms

A *field morphism*  $\iota : K \rightarrow L$  between fields is just a ring morphism, that is, a function satisfying the following :

1. (Additive)  $\forall x, y \in K, \iota(x + y) = \iota(x) + \iota(y)$ .
2. (Multiplicative)  $\forall x, y \in K, \iota(xy) = \iota(x)\iota(y)$ .
3. (Preserves One)  $\iota(1) = 1$ .

A bijective field morphism is called an *isomorphism*. In particular, isomorphisms of a field to itself are called *automorphisms*. We denote the set of all field automorphisms of a field  $L$  with  $\text{Aut } L$ .

### Theorem – Fields Embed into other Fields

Let  $\iota : K \rightarrow L$  be a morphism of fields.

Then  $\iota$  is injective. In particular,  $\iota$  is a field isomorphism from  $K$  to its image.

*Proof.* Injectivity of  $\iota$  is equivalent to  $\iota^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in \iota^{-1}(0)$ . If it is non-zero, then there exists  $y \in K$  such that  $xy = 1$ . Then  $1 = \iota(1) = \iota(x)\iota(y) = 0$  which is a contradiction, since  $L$  is non-trivial.  $\square$

**Definition – Extensions of a Field, Extension Embeddings, Isomorphisms, Automorphisms**

Let  $K$  be a field. Then a  $K$ -extension is a pair  $(L, \iota_L)$  where  $L$  is a field and  $\iota_L : K \rightarrow L$  is a field morphism. We say  $L$  extends  $K$ .

A  $K$ -embedding between two  $K$ -extensions  $(L, \iota_L), (N, \iota_N)$  is a field morphism  $\iota : L \rightarrow N$  such that  $\iota \circ \iota_L = \iota_N$ . We say  $K$  is preserved in such a map. If a  $K$ -embedding is bijective, it is called a  $K$ -isomorphism. In particular,  $K$ -isomorphisms from a  $K$ -extension to itself are called  $K$ -automorphisms.

The set of  $K$ -embeddings between  $(L, \iota_L), (N, \iota_N)$  is denoted with  $\text{Emb}_K(\iota_L, \iota_N)$ . If the field morphisms  $\iota_L, \iota_N$  are clear, we write  $\text{Emb}_K(L, N)$ . In a similar manner, we denote the set of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $(L, \iota_L)$  with  $\text{Aut}_K(\iota_L)$ , or simply  $\text{Aut}_K L$  when  $\iota_L$  is clear.

**Definition – Fixed Subfields, Galois Extensions**

Let  $L$  be a field. Then  $\text{Aut } L$  forms a group under function composition. Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut } L$ . We say  $G$  acts on  $L$ .

Then the subfield fixed by  $G$ , denoted  $L^G$ , is the field of elements in  $a \in L$  such that for all  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$ . Its inclusion into  $L$  is a field morphism, making  $L$  into an  $L^G$ -extension.

Let  $K$  be a field with  $(L, \iota_L)$  as a  $K$ -extension. Then  $(L, \iota_L)$  is called a *Galois* when  $\iota_L K = L^G$  for some finite subgroup  $G \subseteq \text{Aut } L$ . In this case,  $G$  is called a *Galois group* of  $(L, \iota_L)$ .

**Definition – Antitone Galois Connection**

Let  $(I, \leq), (J, \leq)$  be partially ordered sets. Then an *antitone Galois connection* between  $I$  and  $J$  is a pair of maps  $F : I \rightarrow J$  and  $G : J \rightarrow I$  such that

1. (Antitonicity) For all  $i_0 \leq i_1 \in I$  and  $j_0 \leq j_1 \in J$ , we have  $F(i_1) \leq F(i_0)$  and  $G(j_1) \leq G(j_0)$ .
2. (Adjunction) For all  $i \in I, j \in J$ , we have  $i \leq G(j) \Leftrightarrow j \leq F(i)$ .

**Theorem – Antitone Galois Connections gives Bijection on Images**

Let  $(I, \leq), (J, \leq)$  be partially ordered sets and  $F : I \rightarrow J, G : J \rightarrow I$  an antitone Galois connection.

Then  $G \circ F \circ G = G$  and  $F \circ G \circ F = F$ , that is to say  $F : G(J) \rightarrow F(I)$  and  $G : F(I) \rightarrow G(J)$  are bijections.

*Proof.* Straight forward. □

**Corollary – The Antitone Galois Connection of Galois Theory**

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension. Let  $I$  be the set of fields inside  $L$  containing  $\iota_L K$ , i.e. the set of  $K$ -extensions inside  $L$ . This is partially ordered by inclusion. Let  $J$  be the set of subgroups of the group of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $L$ ,  $\text{Aut}_K L$ . This is also partially ordered by inclusion.

Then the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}_- L : I &\longrightarrow J & L^- : J &\longrightarrow I \\ E &\mapsto \text{Aut}_E L & H &\mapsto L^H \end{aligned}$$

form an antitone Galois connection and are bijective on their images.

### Theorem – Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension,  $I$  the partially ordered set of  $K$ -extensions inside  $L$ , and  $J$  the partially ordered set of subgroups of  $\text{Aut}_K L$ . Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be Galois.

Then  $\text{Aut}_- L : I \rightarrow J$  and  $L^- : J \rightarrow I$  are surjections.

*Remark.* The [full fundamental theorem](#) has more subtleties, but the essence of Galois theory is to characterise Galois extensions in order to exploit the bijection between subextensions and subgroups.

## 2 Finite Extensions and the Embedding Theorem

### Definition – Extension Degree, Finite Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension. Then  $L$  has a natural  $K$ -vectorspace structure with scalar multiplication as  $kl := \iota_L(k)l$  for  $k \in K, l \in L$ .

The *degree* of  $(L, \iota_L)$  is the dimension of  $L$  as a  $K$ -vectorspace. We shall denote it with  $[\iota_L : K]$ . If the embedding of  $K$  into  $L$  is clear, then we write  $[L : K]$ . We the degree of  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finite, we call  $(L, \iota_L)$  a *finite* extension.

### Theorem – Tower Law of Extension Degree

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension and  $(N, \iota_N)$  a  $L$ -extension.  $(N, \iota_N \circ \iota_L)$  is then a  $K$ -extension.

Then  $[\iota_N \circ \iota_L : K] = [\iota_N : L][\iota_L : K]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_L \subseteq L$  be a  $\iota_L$ -basis and  $B_N \subseteq N$  a  $\iota_N$ -basis. The claim is that  $B_L B_N := \{ab \mid a \in B_L, b \in B_N\}$  is a  $(\iota_N \circ \iota_L)$ -basis of  $N$  and has cardinality  $B_L \times B_N$ .

(Cardinality) Let  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in B_L \times B_N$  such that  $a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2$ . This is then a non-trivial  $L$ -linear combination of elements in  $B_N$ , contradicting linear independence of  $B_N$ . The cardinality is thus as desired.

(Linear Independence) Let  $\sum_{(a,b) \in B_L \times B_N} \lambda_{a,b} ab = 0$  where  $\lambda_{a,b} \in K$  and only finitely many are non-zero. Then we have  $\sum_{b \in B_N} (\sum_{a \in B_L} \lambda_{a,b} a) b = 0$ , giving  $\sum_{a \in B_L} \lambda_{a,b} a = 0$  by linear independence of  $B_N$ , which in turn gives  $\lambda_{a,b} = 0$  by linear independence of  $B_L$ .

(Spanning) Let  $x \in N$ . Since  $B_N$  is spanning, we have  $\sum_{b \in B_N} \lambda_b b = x$  for some  $\lambda_b \in L$ , finitely many non-zero. Then since  $B_L$  is spanning, we have  $\sum_{a \in B_L} \mu_{a,b} a = \lambda_b$  for each  $b \in B_N$ , where  $\mu_{a,b} \in K$ , finitely many non-zero. So  $\sum_{(a,b) \in B_L \times B_N} \mu_{a,b} ab = x$  as desired.  $\square$

**Definition – Extension generated by a Subset, Evaluation Map, Algebraic Extension**

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension and  $A \subseteq L$ . Then the  $K$ -subextension generated by  $A$  is defined as the intersection of all fields in  $L$  that contain  $\iota_L K \cup A$ . This is denoted with  $K(A)$ . If  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{|A|}\}$  is finite, then we just write  $K(a_1, \dots, a_{|A|})$ . When  $L = K(A)$ , elements of  $A$  are referred to as *generators*.  $(L, \iota_L)$  is called *finitely generated* when there exists finite  $A \subseteq L$  such that  $L = K(A)$ .

Let  $K[X], L[X]$  be the polynomial rings over  $K$  and  $L$ . Then  $\iota_L$  induces a ring morphism  $K[X] \rightarrow L[X]$  via  $\sum_n f_n X^n \mapsto \sum_n \iota_L(f_n) X^n$ . We will denote this map using  $\iota_L$  as well. We can thus evaluate polynomials over  $K$  at an element  $a \in L$  by the *evaluation map*  $ev_a^{\iota_L} : f \mapsto ev_a(\iota_L f)$ .

For  $a \in L$ ,  $ev_a^{\iota_L}$  is a ring morphism from  $K[X]$  to  $L$ . Then for  $f$  in the kernel of  $ev_a^{\iota_L}$ , we say  $a$  is a *root* of  $f$ . If the kernel of  $ev_a^{\iota_L}$  contains non-zero polynomials, then we say  $a$  is *algebraic over  $K$* . When all elements of  $L$  are algebraic over  $K$ ,  $(L, \iota_L)$  is called an *algebraic extension*.

**Lemma – Characterisation of Finite Simple Extensions**

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension and  $a \in L$ . Then the following are equivalent :

1.  $(K(a), \iota_L)$  is finite.
2.  $(K(a), \iota_L)$  is algebraic.
3.  $a$  algebraic over  $K$ .

*Proof.*  $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$  clear.

$(3 \Rightarrow 1)$  This follows from showing  $K(a) = ev_a^{\iota_L} K[X]$ .  $K[X]$  is a PID so the kernel of  $ev_a$  is generated by one element, call it  $\min(a, \iota_L)$ . Since the image of  $ev_a^{\iota_L}$  is a ring inside  $L$  which is an integral domain, it follows that  $\min(a, \iota_L)$  is prime. Then since  $K[X]$  is a PID, primes are irreducible and quotienting by irreducibles give a field, so  $ev_a^{\iota_L} K[X]$  is a field. It is clear that  $ev_a K[X] \subseteq K(a)$  and hence it equal to it.

$(1 \Rightarrow 3)$  If  $K(a)$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vectorspace, then the set  $\{a^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  must be linearly dependent. This gives a polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  such that  $ev_a f = 0$ .

$(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $b \in K(a)$ . Then by the [Tower Law](#),  $[K(b) : K] \leq [K(a) : K]$ , which is finite. So by  $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$ ,  $b$  is algebraic over  $K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem – Characterisation of Finite Extensions**

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension. Then the following are equivalent :

1.  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finite.
2.  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finitely generated and algebraic.
3.  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finitely generated and the generators are algebraic over  $K$ .

*Proof.*  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $A \subseteq L$  be a finite  $K$ -basis of  $L$ . Then  $L = K(A)$  and all elements of  $L$  are algebraic by the [characterisation of finite simple extensions](#).

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$  clear.

(3  $\Leftarrow$  1) Let  $A$  finite  $\subseteq L$  such that  $L = K(A)$  and all  $a \in A$  are algebraic over  $K$ . If  $A$  is empty,  $[L : K] = 1$ . So let  $a \in A$ . Then by induction on the cardinality of  $A$ ,  $(K(A \setminus \{a\}), \iota_L)$  is a finite  $K$ -extension. Since  $a$  is algebraic over  $K$ , it is certainly algebraic over  $K(A \setminus \{a\})$ . So by the [characterisation of finite simple extensions](#),  $L = K(A \setminus \{a\})(a)$  is a finite  $K(A \setminus \{a\})$ -extension. Thus by the [Tower Law](#),  $[L : K] = [L : K(A \setminus \{a\})][K(A \setminus \{a\}) : K]$  is finite.  $\square$

### Definition – Minimal Polynomial, Galois Conjugates

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension and  $a \in L$  algebraic over  $K$ . We have seen that  $\ker ev_a^{\iota_L}$  is generated by one element. It is the one of minimal degree, unique up to units. There is however a unique monic one. It is defined as the *minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $K$* , denoted  $\min(a, \iota_L)$ . If the embedding of  $K$  into  $L$  is clear, we write  $\min(a, K)$ .

Let  $(M, \iota_M)$  be another  $K$ -extensions and  $\alpha \in M$  also algebraic over  $K$ . Then  $a, \alpha$  are called *Galois  $K$ -conjugates* when  $\min(a, K) = \min(\alpha, K)$ . It is not hard to check that being Galois  $K$ -conjugates is an equivalence relation the “set of elements in all  $K$ -extensions”.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>In case Bertrand Russell rises from his grave.

### Lemma – Embedding Theorem for Finite Simple Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be  $K$ -extensions and  $a \in L$  algebraic over  $K$ .

Then for all  $K$ -extensions  $(N, \iota_N)$ , the set of Galois  $K$ -conjugates of  $a$  inside  $N$  bijects with  $\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)$ . In particular,  $|\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)| \leq \deg \min(a, K) = [K(a) : K]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(N, \iota_N)$  be a  $K$ -extension. Given a  $K$ -embedding  $\phi : (K(a), \iota_L) \rightarrow (N, \iota_N)$ , we have

$$ev_{\phi(a)}^{\iota_N}(\min(a, K)) = ev_{\phi(a)}^{\phi \circ \iota_L}(\min(a, K)) = \phi(ev_a^{\iota_L} \min(a, K)) = \phi(0) = 0$$

i.e.  $\min(a, K)$  has  $\phi(a)$  as a root. This shows  $\phi(a)$  is not only algebraic, but  $\min(\phi(a), K)$  divides  $\min(a, K)$  as well.  $\min(a, K)$  is irreducible, so  $\min(\phi(a), K) = \min(a, K)$ . Thus we have a map from  $\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)$  to the set of Galois  $K$ -conjugates of  $a$  in  $N$  by  $\phi \mapsto \phi(a)$ . Since  $K(a)$  is generated by  $a$ , the above map is injective.

Now let  $\alpha \in N$  be a Galois  $K$ -conjugate of  $a$ . Then we have a chain of  $K$ -isomorphisms

$$K(a) \cong K[X]/(\min(a, K)) = K[X]/(\min(\alpha, K)) \cong K(\alpha)$$

which gives a  $K$ -embedding of  $\phi_\alpha : (K(a), \iota_L) \rightarrow (N, \iota_N)$ . Then  $\phi_\alpha(a) = \alpha$  so our map is bijective.  $\square$

### Lemma – Subextensions Partition Embeddings

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  an  $K$ -extension and  $E$  a field inside  $L$  containing  $\iota_L K$ . So  $(E, \iota_L)$  is a  $K$ -extension and  $L$  is a  $E$ -extension. Let  $(N, \iota_N)$  be another  $K$ -extension.

Then we have the following bijection

$$\text{Emb}_K(L, N) \longleftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)} \text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)$$

by sending  $\iota_0 \mapsto (\iota_0, \iota_0)$  and inversely  $(\iota, \iota_1) \mapsto \iota_1$ . In particular when all these extensions are finite, we obtain :  $|\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| = \sum_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)} |\text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\iota_0 : L \rightarrow N$  be a  $K$ -embedding. Then  $\iota_0$  is naturally a  $K$ -embedding of  $E$  to  $N$  and it is also an  $E$ -embedding of  $L$  to  $(N, \iota_0)$ . Conversely, let  $\iota : (E, \iota_L) \rightarrow (N, \iota_N)$  be a  $K$ -embedding and  $\iota_1 : L \rightarrow (N, \iota)$  be a  $E$ -embedding. Then since  $\iota_1 \circ \iota_L = \iota \circ \iota_L = \iota_N$ ,  $\iota_1$  is a  $K$ -embedding of  $L$  to  $N$ . Thus the forward and inverse maps are well-defined. They are clearly inverses over each other so we have the result.  $\square$

*Remark.* Despite its simplicity, the above lemma is *essential* for proofs inducting on the degree of extensions.

### Theorem – Embedding Theorem for Finite Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a finite  $K$ -extension. Then by the [characterisation of finite extensions](#), we have a finite  $A \subseteq L$  such that  $L = K(A)$  and all  $a \in A$  are algebraic over  $K$ . Let  $(N, \iota_N)$  be another  $K$ -extension, and suppose for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\min(a, K)$  has all its roots in  $N$ , that is to say  $\iota_N \min(a, K)$  factorises into linear polynomials in  $N[X]$ .

Then  $0 < |\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| \leq [L : K]$  and is equal when for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\min(a, K)$  has *no repeated* roots in  $N$ , i.e.  $\iota_N \min(a, K)$  has no repeated factors in  $N[X]$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  is empty, then the theorem is true. So let  $a \in A$  and let  $E = K(A \setminus \{a\})$ . Then by induction on the cardinality of  $A$ ,  $0 < |\text{Emb}_K(E, N)| \leq [E : K]$  and is equal when for all  $a_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $\iota_N \min(a_1, K)$  has no repeated roots. Let  $\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)$ . Now  $L = E(a)$ . Since  $a$  is a root of  $\min(a, K)$ , it is a root of  $\iota_L \min(a, K)$ , so  $\min(a, E)$  divides  $\iota_L \min(a, K)$ . Then since  $\min(a, K)$  has all its roots in  $N$ ,  $\min(a, E)$  also has all its roots in  $N$ . So by the [characterisation of finite simple extensions](#), gives

$$0 < |\text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)| \leq \sum_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)} |\text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)| = |\text{Emb}_K(L, N)|$$

To complete the induction, now suppose for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\min(a, K)$  has no repeated roots in  $N$ . Then by induction,  $|\text{Emb}_K(E, N)| = [E : K]$ . Furthermore, by the [embedding theorem for finite simple extensions](#), for all  $\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)$ ,  $\text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)$  bijects with the set of Galois  $E$ -conjugates of  $a$  in  $(N, \iota)$ . But since  $\iota_N \min(a, K)$  has no repeated factors neither does  $\iota \min(a, E)$ , so it follows that the number of Galois  $E$ -conjugates of  $a$  in  $(N, \iota)$  equals the degree of  $\min(a, E)$ , which is equal to  $[E(a) : E] = [L : E]$ . Thus the induction is complete by the tower law

$$|\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| = \sum_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(E, N)} |\text{Emb}_E(L, \iota)| = |\text{Emb}_K(E, N)|[L : E] = [E : K][L : E] = [L : K]$$

$\square$

## 3 Normal Extensions

### Definition – Normal Extension

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension and  $f \in K[X]$ . Then we say  $\iota_L$  *splits*  $f$  when  $\iota_L f$  factorises into linear factors in  $L[X]$ . If the embedding of  $K$  into  $L$  is clear, we just say  $L$  splits  $f$ .

Suppose  $(L, \iota_L)$  is algebraic. Then it is called *normal* when for all  $a \in L$ , it contains all the Galois

$K$ -conjugates of  $a$ , i.e.  $L$  splits  $\min(a, K)$ .

### Theorem – Splitting Polynomials

Let  $K$  be a field and  $f \in K[X] \setminus K$ . Then there exists a  $K$ -extension  $(L, \iota_L)$  such that  $f$  has a root in  $L$ . In particular, there exists a  $K$ -extension that splits  $f$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is non-constant and  $K[X]$  is a UFD, there exists an irreducible  $f_1$  that divides  $f$ . Let  $L = K[X]/(f_1)$ . Then since  $f_1$  is irreducible and  $K[X]$  is a PID,  $L$  is a field and thus a  $K$ -extension. Note that the image of the monomial  $X$  in  $L$  is a root of  $f_1$ , and hence a root of  $f$ . To split  $f$ , use the above procedure to inductively construct a desired extension.  $\square$

### Theorem – Characterisation of Finite Normal Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a finite  $K$ -extension. Then the following are equivalent :

1. (Contains all Galois  $K$ -Conjugates)  $(L, \iota_L)$  normal.
2. (Contains all Galois  $K$ -Conjugates of Generators) There exists  $A \subseteq L$  a finite set of generators of  $(L, \iota_L)$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a$  is algebraic over  $K$  and  $L$  splits  $\min(a, K)$ .
3. (is a Splitting Field) There exists a polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  such that  $L$  splits  $f$  and is generated by the roots of  $f$  in  $L$ .
4. (Image Invariance) For all  $K$ -extensions  $(N, \iota_N)$  and two  $\iota_0, \iota_1 \in \text{Emb}_K(L, N)$ ,  $\iota_0 L = \iota_1 L$ .

*Proof.*  $(1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3)$  is clear.

$(3 \Rightarrow 4)$  The key is that roots of  $f$  remain roots of  $f$  under  $K$ -extension morphisms.

Let  $\iota_L f(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{\deg f} (X - a_k)$  where  $a_k \in L$ . Then  $(\iota_0 \circ \iota_L) f(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{\deg f} (X - \iota_0(a_k))$ . Since  $\iota_0 \circ \iota_L = \iota_1 \circ \iota_L$ , we have for every  $a_l$  that

$$0 = \iota_1(e v_{a_l}^{\iota_L} f) = e v_{\iota_1(a_l)}^{\iota_1 \circ \iota_L} f = e v_{\iota_1(a_l)}^{\iota_0 \circ \iota_L} f = \prod_{k=1}^{\deg f} (\iota_1(a_l) - \iota_0(a_k))$$

Hence for all  $a_l$ , there exists  $a_k$  such that  $\iota_1(a_l) = \iota_0(a_k)$ . Since  $L = K(a_1, \dots, a_{\deg f})$ , this shows that  $\iota_1 L \subseteq \iota_0 L$ . By symmetrical argument,  $\iota_0 L \subseteq \iota_1 L$  as well.

$(4 \Rightarrow 1)$  Let  $a \in L$ . Since  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finite,  $\min(a, K)$  exists. We do not know if  $L$  splits  $\min(a, K)$ , but there exists an  $L$ -extension  $(M, \iota_M)$  such that  $M$  splits  $\min(a, K)$ . We seek to show that all Galois  $K$ -conjugates of  $a$  in  $M$  are in  $\iota_M L$ . So let  $\alpha \in M$  be a Galois  $K$ -conjugate of  $a$ . We have the following situation.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{\iota_L} & K(a) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & L \\ & & \searrow \phi_\alpha & & \downarrow \iota_M \\ & & & & M \end{array}$$

By the [embedding theorem for finite simple extensions](#), there exists  $\phi_\alpha \in \text{Emb}_K(K(a), M)$  that maps  $a \mapsto \alpha$ . Suppose we have an  $\iota_1 \in \text{Emb}_{K(a)}(L, \phi_\alpha)$ . Then certainly  $\iota_1 \in \text{Emb}_K(L, \iota_M \circ \iota_L)$ . Also, trivially  $\iota_M \in \text{Emb}_K(L, \iota_M \circ \iota_L)$ . So  $\iota_1 L = \iota_M L$  implies  $\alpha \in \iota_M L$  as desired. It thus suffices to give an  $\iota_1 \in \text{Emb}_{K(a)}(L, \phi_\alpha)$ . Well, since  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finite, it is also a finite  $K(a)$ -extension, so it is generated by some finite subset  $B$  whose elements are all algebraic over  $K(a)$ . Then we can extend  $M$  so that it splits all  $\min(b, K(a))$  for  $b \in B$ . Thus by the [embedding theorem](#), we have an  $\iota_1 \in \text{Emb}_{K(a)}(L, \phi_\alpha)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Separable Extensions

### Definition – Characteristic of a Field

Let  $K$  be a field.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by 1 and ring morphisms must preserve 1, so there is a unique ring morphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$ . Its image is an ID since  $K$  is an ID. So by  $\mathbb{Z}$  PID, its kernel is generated by either zero or a (positive) prime. This is defined as the *characteristic of  $K$* , denoted  $\text{Char}K$ .

*Remark – Freshmen’s Dream.* If  $\text{Char}K = 0$ , then  $K$  is naturally a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -extension. On the other hand, if  $\text{Char}K = p > 0$ , then  $K$  is naturally a  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -extension.

In the latter case, by the binomial theorem, we have for all  $a, b \in K$ ,  $(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$ . This innocent-looking result is known as *Freshmen’s Dream*, and turns out to be very useful.

### Definition – Formal Derivative, Separable Polynomial

Let  $K$  be a field and  $f = \sum_{0 \leq n} f_n X^n \in K[X]$ . Then the *formal derivative of  $f$*  is defined to be  $f' = \sum_{0 < n} n f_n X^{n-1}$ .

$f$  is said to be *separable* when for all  $K$ -extensions in which  $f$  splits,  $f$  has no repeated roots. If otherwise,  $f$  is called *inseparable*.

### Theorem – Characterisation of Inseparable Irreducible Polynomials

Let  $K$  be a field and  $f \in K[X]$  irreducible. Then the following are equivalent :

1. (Repeated Root)  $f$  is inseparable.
2. (Intrinsic Definition)  $(f, f') \neq 1$ .
3. (Another Intrinsic Definition)  $f' = 0$ .
4. (Characteristic Non-zero)  $\text{Char}K = p \neq 0$  and there exists an irreducible separable  $g \in K[X]$  with  $n > 0$  such that  $f(X) = g(X^{p^n})$ .
5. (All Roots Repeated) There exists a  $K$ -extension in which  $f$  splits and all its roots are repeated.

*Proof.*  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension in which  $f$  splits and has repeated roots. Then by the product rule (which is straightforwardly proven by induction),  $(\iota_L f, (\iota_L f)') \neq 1$ . If  $(f, f') = 1$ , then there exists polynomials  $g, h$  such that  $gf + hf' = 1$ , which implies  $\iota_L(g)\iota_L(f) + \iota_L(h)\iota_L(f') = \iota_L(g)\iota_L(f) + \iota_L(h)(\iota_L f)' = 1$ , which contradicts with  $(\iota_L f, (\iota_L f)') \neq 1$ .



(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) Since  $f$  is irreducible and  $K[X]$  is PID, either  $f$  divides  $f'$  or  $(f, f') = 1$ . By assumption, we must have the first case. But then if  $f' \neq 0$ , its degree would be well-defined, and hence we would have  $\deg f' < \deg f \leq \deg f'$ , which is a contradiction. So  $f' = 0$ .

(3  $\Rightarrow$  4) Let  $f = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \deg f} f_k X^k$ . Since  $f' = 0$ , we have its leading coefficient  $(\deg f)(f_{\deg f}) = 0$ , which implies  $\deg f = 0 \in K$ . This shows that the kernel of the unique ring morphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$  is non-trivial, and hence  $\text{Char} K = p \neq 0$  for some prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for all non-zero coefficients  $f_k$  of  $f$ , we have  $k f_k = 0$ , which implies  $k = 0 \in K$ . Thus  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is in the kernel of  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$ , and hence  $k = k_p p$  for some  $k_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Letting  $g_1(X) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \deg f} f_k X^{k_p}$ , we obtain  $f(X) = g_1(X^p)$ . Irreducibility of  $f$  implies irreducibility of  $g_1$ . So if  $g_1$  is separable, we are done. And if not, then by (1  $\Rightarrow$  3) we have  $g_1$  satisfying (3). Since  $\deg g_1 < \deg f$ , by induction there exists a irreducible separable polynomial  $g \in K[X]$  with  $n > 0$  such that  $g_1(X) = g(X^{p^n})$ , in which case we are also done.

(4  $\Rightarrow$  5) Let  $f(X) = g(X^{p^n})$  where  $g \in K[X]$  is irreducible and separable, and  $n > 0$ . Then there exists a  $K$ -extension  $(L, \iota_L)$  such that  $L$  splits  $g$ . Let  $A \subseteq L$  be the set of roots of  $g$ . Then  $\iota_L f = \prod_{a \in A} (X^{p^n} - a)$ . Now, there exists a  $L$ -extension  $(M, \iota_M)$  so that  $M$  splits all the  $X^{p^n} - a$ . For  $a \in A$ , let  $t_a \in M$  such that  $(t_a)^{p^n} - \iota_M(a) = 0$ . Then by [Freshmen's dream](#), we are done.

$$(\iota_M \circ \iota_L)f = \prod_{a \in A} (X^{p^n} - \iota_M(a)) = \prod_{a \in A} (X - t_a)^{p^n}$$

(5  $\Rightarrow$  1) clear. □

#### Definition – Separable Closure, Separable Extension, Purely Inseparable

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be an algebraic  $K$ -extension. Then the *separable closure of  $K$  in  $(L, \iota_L)$*  is defined to be the set of all  $a \in L$  such that  $\min(a, K)$  is separable. We shall denote it with  $S(\iota_L)$ , or simply  $S(L)$  when the embedding of  $K$  into  $L$  is clear.

Clearly,  $\iota_L K \subseteq S(\iota_L)$ .  $(L, \iota_L)$  is called *separable* when  $S(\iota_L) = L$ , and *purely inseparable* when  $S(\iota_L) = \iota_L K$ .

*Remark.* The [characterisation of inseparable, irreducible polynomials](#) implies in characteristic zero, purely inseparable if and only if trivial. We thus limit the following to fields with positive characteristic.

#### Theorem – Characterisation of Finite Purely Inseparable Extensions in Positive Characteristic

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a finite  $K$ -extension where  $\text{Char} K = p > 0$ . Then the following are equivalent :

1.  $(L, \iota_L)$  purely inseparable.
2. For all  $a \in L$ , there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $a^{p^n} \in \iota_L K$ . In fact,  $\min(a, K)(X) = X^{p^n} - k$  for some  $k \in K$ .
3. For all  $K$ -extensions  $(N, \iota_N)$ ,  $|\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) Let  $a \in L$ . WLOG  $a \notin \iota_L K$ , for the other case is clear. Then by the [characterisation of inseparable, irreducible polynomials](#), let  $\min(a, K)(X) = g(X^{p^n})$  for some separable, irreducible  $g$  and  $n > 0$ . It suffices to show that  $g$  is linear. But since  $g$  is irreducible, and in fact monic,  $g = \min(a^{p^n}, K)$ . Then  $g$  separable and  $L$  purely inseparable implies  $a^{p^n} \in S(L) = \iota_L K$ , which implies  $g$  is linear.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) Let  $(N, \iota_N)$  be a  $K$ -extension. If  $\text{Emb}_K(L, N)$  is empty, we are done, so let  $\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \text{Emb}_K(L, N)$  and we will show they are equal. Let  $a \in L$ . By assumption,  $a^{p^n} = \iota_L(k)$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \in K$ . Then  $\iota_1(a)^{p^n} = \iota_N(k) = \iota_2(a)^{p^n}$ , which implies  $\iota_1(a) = \iota_2(a)$  by [Freshmen's dream](#).

(3  $\Rightarrow$  1) Let  $a \in S(L)$ . By the [embedding theorem for finite simple extensions](#), it suffices to give a  $K$ -extension  $(N, \iota_N)$  such that  $(N, \iota_N)$  splits  $\min(a, K)$  and  $|\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)| = 1$ . To this end, let  $A$  finite  $\subseteq L$  with  $L = K(A)$ . Let  $(N, \iota_N)$  be a  $K$ -extension that splits  $\min(a, K)$  and  $\min(x, K)$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then by the [embedding theorem for finite extensions](#) applied twice,  $1 \leq |\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)|$  and any  $\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)$  lifts to some  $\iota' \in \text{Emb}_K(L, N)$ . By assumption,  $|\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| = 1$  so  $|\text{Emb}_K(K(a), N)| = 1$ .

□

### Theorem – Separable Decomposition of Finite Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a finite  $K$ -extension. Then  $S(L)$  is a field and thus naturally a separable  $K$ -extension. Furthermore,  $L$  as a  $S(L)$ -extension is purely inseparable.

*Proof.* ( $S(L)$  field) Let  $a, b \in S(L)$  with  $b \neq 0$ . To show  $a + b, -a, ab, b^{-1} \in S(L)$ , it suffices to show the stronger statement that for all  $x \in K(a, b)$ ,  $\min(x, K)$  is separable.

So let  $x \in K(a, b)$  and  $(N, \iota_N)$  be a  $K$ -extension that splits  $\min(x, K)$ . By extending  $N$ , WLOG  $N$  splits  $\min(a, K)$  and  $\min(b, K)$ , too. Then by separability of  $\min(a, K)$ ,  $\min(b, K)$ ,

$$[K(x) : K] = \frac{[K(a, b) : K]}{[K(a, b) : K(x)]} = \frac{\sum_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(K(x), N)} |\text{Emb}_{K(x)}(K(a, b), \iota)|}{[K(a, b) : K(x)]} \leq |\text{Emb}_K(K(x), N)|$$

and hence  $[K(x) : K] = |\text{Emb}_K(K(x), N)|$ . By the [embedding theorem finite simple extensions](#),  $\min(x, K)$  has no repeated roots in  $N$ .

( $L$  is a purely inseparable  $S(L)$ -extension) The case of  $S(L) = L$  is clear, so WLOG  $S(L) \subsetneq L$ . Then by the [characterisation of inseparable, irreducible polynomials](#), let  $0 < p = \text{Char} K = \text{Char} S(L)$ . We may now use the second [characterisation of finite purely inseparable extensions](#). Let  $a \in L$ . WLOG  $a \notin S(L)$ . Then by the [characterisation of inseparable, irreducible polynomials](#), there exists a separable, irreducible  $g \in K[X]$  with  $n > 0$  such that  $\min(a, K)(X) = g(X^{p^n})$ . Irreducibility of  $g$  implies  $g = \min(a^{p^n}, K)$ , which by separability of  $g$ , implies  $a^{p^n} \in S(L)$  as desired. □

### Theorem – Characterisation of Finite Separable Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a finite  $K$ -extension. Then the following are equivalent :

1.  $(L, \iota_L)$  separable.
2. There exists finite  $A \subseteq L$  such that  $L = K(A)$  and for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\min(a, K)$  separable.
3. For all  $K$ -extensions  $(N, \iota_N)$  where all  $\min(a, K)$  are split for  $a \in L$ ,  $0 < |\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| = [L : K]$

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) [Characterisation of finite extensions](#).

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) [Embedding theorem](#).

(3  $\Rightarrow$  1)  $[S(L) : K] = |\text{Emb}_K(S(L), N)| = \sum_{\iota \in \text{Emb}_K(S(L), N)} |\text{Emb}_{S(L)}(L, \iota)| = |\text{Emb}_K(L, N)| = [L : K]$ . □

## 5 The Galois Correspondence

### Theorem – Characterisation of Galois Extensions

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension. Then  $(L, \iota_L)$  is finite, normal, separable if and only if  $(L, \iota_L)$  is Galois. Furthermore, the Galois group of  $(L, \iota_L)$  is always  $\text{Aut}_K L$  and  $[L : K] = |\text{Aut}_K L|$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) We must give a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq \text{Aut } L$  such that  $\iota_L K = L^G$ . The claim is that  $G = \text{Aut}_K L$  works.

We first show that  $\iota_L K = L^G$ . It suffices  $L^G \subseteq \iota_L K$ . Let  $a \in L^G$ . It suffices that  $\min(a, K)$  is linear. Since  $L$  is normal,  $L$  splits  $\min(a, K)$ . By separability of  $\min(a, K)$ , it suffices to show the only Galois  $K$ -conjugate of  $a$  in  $L$  is  $a$ . Let  $\alpha \in L$  be a Galois  $K$ -conjugate of  $a$ . It suffices to give  $\phi_\alpha^L \in G = \text{Aut}_K L$  such that  $\phi_\alpha^L(a) = \alpha$ . First by the [characterisation of finite simple extensions](#), there exists  $\phi_\alpha \in \text{Emb}_K((K(a), \iota_L), (L, \iota_L))$  such that  $\phi_\alpha(a) = \alpha$ . Then by normality of  $(L, \iota_L)$  and the [embedding theorem for finite extensions](#), there exists  $\phi_\alpha^L \in \text{Emb}_{K(a)}(L, (L, \phi_\alpha))$ .  $\phi_\alpha^L$  is as desired.

Finiteness of  $G$  follows from the [embedding theorem for finite extensions](#).

$$|G| = |\text{Aut}_K L| = |\text{Emb}_K(L, L)| \leq [L : K]$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut } L$  such that  $\iota_L K = L^G$ . WLOG we replace  $K$  with  $L^G$ . We first show that  $L$  is algebraic, normal and separable over  $L^G$ . Let  $a \in L$ . Ideally, if  $L$  is normal over  $L^G$ , then it contains all Galois  $L^G$ -conjugates of  $a$ , which are precisely images of  $a$  under  $L^G$ -automorphisms by the [embedding theorem for finite simple extensions](#) and [finite extensions](#). From this, we conjecture that

$$\min(a, K)(X) = \prod_{\alpha \in \text{Orb}(a)} (X - \alpha)$$

where  $\text{Orb}(a) := \{\sigma(a) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ . This suffices since the right exists, and is separable and split by  $L$ . For the claim, it suffices that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \text{Orb}(a)} (X - \alpha)$  is in  $L^G[X]$  and irreducible. The first follows from any  $\sigma \in G$  permuting  $\text{Orb}(a)$ . The latter follows since any  $f \in L^G[X]$  that has  $a$  as a root must also have all of  $\text{Orb}(a)$  as roots via  $0 = \sigma(ev_a f) = ev_{\sigma(a)} f = ev_{\sigma(a)} f$  for any  $\sigma \in G$ .

We now prove that  $[L : L^G]$  is finite, and in fact,  $[L : L^G] \leq |G|$ . This requires one galaxy-brain idea, that  $|G| = \dim_L(G \rightarrow L)$ , where  $G \rightarrow L$  is the  $L$ -vectorspace of functions from  $G$  to  $L$ . It thus suffices to show that for any  $L^G$ -linearly independent  $X \subseteq L$ , there is an  $L$ -linearly independent  $X_1 \subseteq G \rightarrow L$  with  $|X| = |X_1|$ . Let  $X \subseteq L$  be  $L^G$ -linearly independent. Then for each  $x \in X$ , we have  $ev_x : G \rightarrow L, \sigma \mapsto \sigma(x)$ . Since  $id \in G$ ,  $\{ev_x\}_{x \in X}$  bijects with  $X$ . We wish to show  $\{ev_x\}_{x \in X}$  is  $L$ -linearly independent. This is equivalent to showing for all finite  $X_0 \subseteq X$ ,  $\{ev_x\}_{x \in X_0}$  is  $L$ -linearly independent.

Let  $X_0 \subseteq X$  be finite and  $\sum_{x \in X_0} \lambda_x ev_x = 0$  with  $\lambda_x \in L$ . Suppose there exists  $x_0 \in X_0$  such that  $\lambda_{x_0} \neq 0$ . To get a contradiction, it suffices to show for all  $x \in X_0$ ,  $\lambda_x \in L^G$ , for then by evaluating at  $id \in G$ , we have  $0 = \sum_{x \in X_0} \lambda_x x$ , implying all  $\lambda_x = 0$ . So let  $\sigma \in G$ . By rescaling, WLOG  $\lambda_{x_0} = 1$ . Then for all  $\rho \in G$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in X_0 \setminus \{x_0\}} (\lambda_x - \sigma(\lambda_x)) ev_x(\rho) = \sum_{x \in X_0} \lambda_x ev_x(\rho) - \sigma \left( \sum_{x \in X_0} \lambda_x ev_x(\sigma^{-1} \circ \rho) \right) = 0$$

i.e. we have a  $L$ -linear combination of  $\{ev_x \mid x \in X_0 \setminus \{x_0\}\}$  yielding zero. By induction on the size of  $X_0$ ,  $\lambda_x = \sigma(\lambda_x)$  for all  $x \in X_0$ . Thus for all  $x \in X_0$ ,  $\lambda_x \in L^G$  as desired.

The fact that  $G = \text{Aut}_{L^G} L$  follows from  $|G| \leq |\text{Aut}_{L^G} L| = [L : L^G] \leq |G|$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### Lemma – Lifting Normality and Separability

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a  $K$ -extension,  $E \subseteq L$  a field containing  $\iota_L K$  so that  $(E, \iota_L)$  be naturally a  $K$ -extension. Then the following are true.

1. If  $(L, \iota_L)$  is a normal  $K$ -extension, then  $(L, \mathbb{1}_E)$  is a normal  $E$ -extension.
2. If  $(L, \iota_L)$  is a separable  $K$ -extension, then  $(L, \mathbb{1}_E)$  is a separable  $E$ -extension.

*Proof.* (1) Easy via the first [characterisation of finite normal extensions](#).

(2) Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be separable and  $a \in L$ . Then  $\min(a, E) \mid \iota_L \min(a, K)$ . It suffices to show for all  $g, f \in E[X]$ ,  $g \mid f$  and  $f$  separable implies  $g$  separable. Let  $g, f \in E[X]$ ,  $g \mid f$  and  $f$  separable. Let  $(M, \iota_M)$  be an  $E$ -extension where  $g$  splits. By extending  $(M, \iota_M)$ , WLOG  $f$  splits in  $M$  as well. Then  $f$  having no repeated roots implies  $g$  has no repeated roots.  $\square$

#### Theorem – Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Let  $(L, \iota_L)$  be a Galois  $K$ -extension,  $I$  the partially ordered set of  $K$ -extensions inside  $L$ , and  $J$  the partially ordered set of subgroups of  $\text{Aut}_K L$ .

Then  $\text{Aut}_- L : I \rightarrow J$  and  $L^- : J \rightarrow I$  are surjections and hence bijections. Furthermore, we have the following :

1. (Degree equals Index) Let  $E \in I$ . Then  $[E : K] = [\text{Aut}_K L : \text{Aut}_E L]$ .
2. (Group Action) Let  $E \in I$ . Then for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K L$ ,  $\text{Aut}_{\sigma E} L = \sigma \text{Aut}_E L \sigma^{-1}$ .
3. (Normality) Let  $E \in I$ . Then  $E$  is a normal  $K$ -extension if and only if  $\text{Aut}_E L$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_K L$ . In this case, we have the isomorphism  $\text{Aut}_K E \cong \text{Aut}_K L / \text{Aut}_E L$ .

*Proof.* Surjectivity of  $\text{Aut}_- L, L^-$  and (1) follows from [lifting normality and separability](#) and the [characterisation of Galois extensions](#). (2) follows from definition.

(3) First,  $E$  normal  $K$ -extension implies  $\text{Aut}_E L$  normal follows from the [characterisation of finite normal extensions](#) and (2).

Now let  $E \in I$  such that  $\text{Aut}_E L$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_K L$ . Then by (2), for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K L$ ,  $\sigma E = L^{\text{Aut}_{\sigma E} L} = L^{\sigma \text{Aut}_E L \sigma^{-1}} = L^{\text{Aut}_E L} = E$ . We thus have a natural group morphism  $\text{Aut}_K L \rightarrow \text{Aut}_E L, \sigma \mapsto \sigma$ . Let  $G$  be its image. The kernel is clearly  $\text{Aut}_E L$ , so by the first isomorphism theorem for groups, we have  $G \cong \text{Aut}_K L / \text{Aut}_E L$ . Then  $E^G = E \cap L^{\text{Aut}_K L} = E \cap \iota_L K = \iota_L K$ , thus  $E$  is a Galois  $K$ -extension, in particular normal. We have thus also shown that  $\text{Aut}_K E \cong \text{Aut}_K L / \text{Aut}_E L$ .  $\square$