## Scalia Cites Obama Immigration Policy in Dissent on Arizona Law

The New York Times Blogs
(The Caucus)

June 25, 2012 Monday

Copyright 2012 The News York Times Company All Rights Reserved

**Section:** US; politics **Length:** 325 words

Byline: MICHAEL D. SHEAR

**Highlight:** Justice Antonin <u>Scalia</u> made a foray into the realm of political combat on Monday, <u>citing</u> President <u>Obama</u>'s recent decision to stop enforcement of deportation against some illegal immigrants in a statement of

<u>dissent</u> from his colleagues who struck down parts of <u>Arizona</u>'s <u>immigration</u> <u>law</u>.

## **Body**

3:32 p.m. | Updated Justice <u>Antonin Scalia</u> made a foray into the realm of political combat on Monday, <u>citing</u>

<u>President Obama'</u>s recent decision <u>to stop enforcement of deportation</u> against some illegal immigrants in a biting statement of <u>dissent</u> (a summary of which can be found <u>here</u>) from his colleagues <u>who struck</u> down parts of <u>Arizona's immigration law</u>.

Diving into a discussion of current events, Justice <u>Scalia</u> said the president's enforcement action called into question the majority's decision that <u>Arizona</u>'s <u>immigration</u> statutes contradict federal <u>law</u>. He said <u>Arizona</u> was justified in pursuing its own efforts to protect its borders because "federal officials have been unable to remedy the problem, and indeed have recently shown that they are simply unwilling to do so."

"The president has said that the new program is 'the right thing to do' in light of Congress's failure to pass the Administration's proposed revision of the <u>immigration laws</u>," he wrote. "Perhaps it is, though <u>Arizona</u> may not think so. But to say, as the Court does, that <u>Arizona</u> contradicts federal <u>law</u> by enforcing applications of federal <u>immigration law</u> that the President declines to enforce boggles the mind."

Issuing bench statements along with opinions is not particularly rare. But the idea of a justice *citing* current events that occurred after a case was argued is not an everyday occurrence.

In this case, Justice **Scalia** was explicit about the timing.

"After this case was argued and while it was under consideration, the secretary of Homeland Security announced a program exempting from *immigration* enforcement some 1.4 million illegal immigrants," Justice *Scalia* said.

Some critics pointed out that Justice <u>Scalia</u> asserted that the president's new enforcement <u>policy</u> would affect "1.4 million illegal immigrants." In fact, the government estimates that the <u>policy</u> would stop deportations affecting about 800,000 immigrants.

A Summary of Justice Scalia's Bench Statement

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

**Document-Type:** News

Publication-Type: Web Blog

**Subject**: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (91%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); PUBLIC <u>POLICY</u> (89%); BORDER CONTROL (73%); ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS (71%); NATIONAL SECURITY (70%)

Person: ANTONIN <u>SCALIA</u> (89%); <u>Obama</u>, Barack; <u>Scalia</u>, Antonin

Geographic: ARIZONA, USA (93%); Arizona

Load-Date: June 25, 2012

**End of Document**