THE BUDGET BATLE;

Immigrants facing loss of benefits;

Republicans say that with aid to U.S. citizens being cut, tax dollars shouldn't be spent on others living here. But immigrants say they pay taxes too.

The Atlanta Journal and Constitution

November 1, 1995, Wednesday,, JOURNAL EDITION

Copyright 1995 The Atlanta Constitution

Section: LOCAL NEWS,

Length: 1019 words

Byline: Laura WilliamsonSTAFF; WRITER; Staff writers Kathey Alexander and Lucy Soto contributed to this article.

Body

Nadia Tamariz, who left Mexico six years ago to get a <u>**U**.S</u>. education, is now a legal permanent resident of the United States. She is also pregnant. And she is poor.

So, like others who <u>live</u> in Gwinnett County and can't afford health care, she goes to a public health clinic in Lawrenceville for prenatal care. Medicaid covers the cost, which could reach several thousand <u>dollars</u> by the time she gives birth.

That angers members of the <u>Republican</u>-controlled Congress, who <u>say</u> that <u>U.S</u>. taxpayers <u>shouldn't</u> have to <u>pay</u> for caring for anyone who isn't an American <u>citizen</u>. And, if this part of the GOP revolution succeeds, they won't.

Bills that have passed both the House and Senate this year would <u>cut</u> off public <u>benefits</u> for most <u>immigrants</u>, both legal and illegal, including some who become naturalized <u>citizens</u>.

If the measures become law:

- Between 1 and 2 million legal *immigrants*, including about 5,000 in Georgia, could lose Medicaid, disability payments and *Aid* to Families with Dependent Children, the largest cash assistance program for the poor.
- Tens of thousands of *immigrants* in Georgia, many of them migrant workers, would lose access to legal *aid*, a service often used to help *immigrants* apply for citizenship or permanent resident status.
- Hundreds of students in Georgia could lose federal financial <u>aid</u>. At the University of Georgia alone, 200 resident aliens rely on \$ 1.2 million in federal student grants and loans.
- Local health and welfare agencies would have to verify an <u>immigrant's</u> status before providing <u>benefits</u>, even for services such as childhood immunizations, which would continue to be available to both legal and illegal *immigrants*. Health officials fear that this would discourage many *immigrants* from getting the shots.
- Some naturalized <u>citizens</u> could be denied <u>aid</u> if they were brought into the country by a family member. A Senate proposal would make it harder for new <u>immigrants</u> to qualify for welfare and other programs by counting their income with the family member'<u>s</u>, raising the person above the income eligibility cutoff. The requirement of counting both incomes would apply to the <u>immigrants</u> for <u>life</u>, even if they become <u>citizens</u>.

THE BUDGET BATLE; Immigrants facing loss of benefits; Republicans say that with aid to U.S. citizens being cut, tax dollars shouldn't be spent on others living he....

Stopping <u>benefits</u> for <u>immigrants</u> would save the federal government up to \$ 16 billion over five years, with as much as \$ 79 million coming from Georgia, according to the <u>U.S</u>. Department of Health and Human Services.

Opponents call the legislation Draconian. Josh Bernstein, an analyst at the National Immigration Law Center in Washington, <u>said</u> some provisions, such as limiting <u>benefits</u> for some naturalized <u>citizens</u>, appear unconstitutional.

"I'm dumbfounded that this has gotten this far," Bernstein <u>said</u>. "It shows you how really irrational and extreme the proponents are of restricting <u>benefits</u> to legal <u>immigrants</u>."

House Speaker Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.), who helped push the legislation through the House, <u>said Republicans</u> want to send a clear message to <u>immigrants</u> who bring poor relatives into the country but can't afford to take care of them.

"We're trying to <u>say</u> to people, if you want to come to America to pursue happiness, we're for you coming <u>here</u>," Gingrich <u>said</u>. "But if you want to come to America to get into the welfare state, we frankly don't want you to be in the welfare state."

The legislation follows a wave of anti-immigration sentiment sweeping the country, born out of problems in New York and California, where more than half of $\underline{\textit{U}}.\underline{\textit{S}}$. $\underline{\textit{immigrants}}$ who are on welfare $\underline{\textit{live}}$.

In Georgia, state officials <u>said</u>, relatively few <u>immigrants</u> depend on welfare - just 1 percent of those receiving AFDC are foreign-born. Officials <u>said immigrants</u> are quicker than native-born Americans to get off assistance, because they are more likely to take entry-level jobs.

"They come <u>here</u> to work," <u>said</u> Teodoro Maus, Mexico'<u>s</u> consul general in Atlanta. "Few Mexicans come <u>here</u> to go on welfare."

And <u>immigrants</u> who work <u>pay taxes</u>, Bernstein noted. He pointed to a study by the Urban Institute, a liberal Washington think tank, that found that <u>immigrants</u> are net contributors to the economy, <u>paying</u> \$ 25 billion more in taxes each year than they use in services.

Tamariz **said** those **taxes** don't come just from legal permanent residents.

"In many places, whether or not you are legal or illegal, they take money out of your check in <u>taxes</u>," she <u>said</u> through an interpreter. "So they take away the services to save money and they keep the <u>taxes</u>. They get both."

Others <u>say</u> that any expenditure of <u>tax dollars</u> for <u>immigrants</u> is <u>too</u> much when <u>aid</u> for <u>U.S. citizens</u> is being <u>cut</u>.

"When Americans are losing medical <u>benefits</u>, is that any time to be lavishly doling <u>benefits</u> out to <u>immigrants</u>?" asked Dan Stein, executive director of the Federation for American Immigration Reform, a Washington-based group dedicated to restricting immigration.

The <u>Republican</u> House proposal would go beyond <u>cutting</u> federal <u>benefits</u> by denying state and local governments the power to replace most of the lost <u>aid</u>. The plan would force states to exclude many <u>immigrants</u> from any programs that use income as a test for eligibility.

Even if states are allowed to <u>spend</u> their own <u>tax dollars</u> on <u>immigrants</u>, which the Senate proposal would allow, it is unclear whether Georgia would.

"No final decision has been made at this point," <u>said</u> Michael Thurmond, director of the state Department of Family and Children Services, which administers the state's welfare programs.

In Moultrie, <u>immigrants</u> such as Gloria and Fermin Sandobal are watching the debate closely. Gloria Sandobal cooks lunch and dinner for 60 to 80 migrant farmworkers every day, while her husband works in the fields

THE BUDGET BATLE; Immigrants facing loss of benefits; Republicans say that with aid to U.S. citizens being cut, tax dollars shouldn't be spent on others living he....

harvesting eggplant. The Sandobals are taxpayers whose only brush with public service was when they needed help from Georgia Legal Services to apply for permanent resident status.

But Gloria Sandobal *said* she can envision a time when her family might need help.

"If we can't get access then, they <u>shouldn't</u> be taking <u>taxes</u> from us," she <u>said</u>. "We could save that money to buy our own health insurance."

Graphic

Photo: Legal <u>immigrant</u> Nadia Tamariz (right), 20, with her brother Jose, 16, and mother, Maria Tamariz. / WILLIAM BERRY / Staff

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (91%); CITIZENSHIP (91%); US *REPUBLICAN* PARTY (90%); INCOME ASSISTANCE (90%); WELFARE *BENEFITS* (90%); MEDICAID (90%); NATURALIZATION (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); PUBLIC HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (89%); IMMIGRATION LAW (89%); HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (89%); CHILDREN (78%); STUDENT FINANCIAL *AID* (78%); STUDENTS & STUDENT *LIFE* (78%); LEGAL *AID* (78%); VACCINES (77%); FAMILY (77%); POLITICAL PARTIES (75%); CITIZENSHIP LAW (74%); PUBLIC HEALTH (72%); STUDENT LOANS (70%); ILLEGAL *IMMIGRANTS* (68%); MIGRANT WORKERS (68%); GRANTS & GIFTS (67%); DISABILITY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (67%); VACCINATION & IMMUNIZATION (50%)

Company: IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER PC (51%); MEDICAID (83%); UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA (54%); MEDICAID (83%); UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA (54%)

Organization: UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA (54%); UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA (54%)

Industry: MEDICAID (90%); HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (89%); LEGAL <u>AID</u> (78%); VACCINES (77%); CLINICS & OUTPATIENT SERVICES (57%); VACCINATION & IMMUNIZATION (50%)

Person: NEWT GINGRICH (55%)

Geographic: GEORGIA, USA (94%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: November 2, 1995