Washington Post Blogs

July 30, 2018 Monday 1:46 PM EST

Copyright 2018 The Washington Post All Rights Reserved

## washingtonpost.com

Length: 6462 words

Byline: James Hohmann

### **Body**

With Breanne Deppisch and Joanie Greve

THE BIG IDEA:

COLORADO SPRINGS - Charles Koch wanted all the major donors in his network to know he'<u>s</u> in good health. "I am not getting weak in the knees," the 82-year-old <u>said</u> at a cocktail reception here Saturday night. "Truly I am not."

Since 2003, the billionaire industrialist from Wichita has been hosting many of the richest conservatives and libertarians in America for twice-annual <u>seminars</u> to plot strategy for how they can change the trajectory of the country. They've marshaled their money to become a more powerful force in many ways than the <u>Republican</u> National Committee.

This is the first get-together since David Koch, his 78-year-old brother, stepped down last month from the family business and the political organizations they've jointly funded because of declining health.

Without mentioning David by name, the programming and messaging at this three-day event has appeared carefully designed to emphasize continuity and the network's long-term wherewithal. To demonstrate vitality, network officials unveiled the results of an audit into how they can be more effective. Two approaches they settled on: de-emphasizing partisan politics and more clearly decoupling from the <u>GOP</u>.

Speaking to more than 500 donors as they sipped wine on a grassy lawn at the Broadmoor Hotel, Charles expressed confidence about the future. "We've made more progress in the past five years than I've made in the previous 50," he <u>said</u>. "We're just getting started because we have more opportunities in front of us than I ever imagined."

During his speech, church bells tolled in the distance and thunderclouds formed on the horizon against the backdrop of the Rocky Mountains. They would bring heavy rain about an hour later.

Charles then introduced Brian Hooks, the boyish-looking president of the Charles Koch Foundation and the Charles Koch Institute, who co-chairs the network. "I was on a hike with (Charles) two weeks ago, and he beat me up the mountain - by a long shot," Hooks *said* as he took the microphone.

Hooks then pointed out Chase Koch, who was standing in the crowd. Charles's 41-year-old son, an executive at Koch Industries, has been taking on an increasingly prominent role at these meetings as an heir apparent. He's

overseeing a New Leaders Initiative to bring in fresh blood. More than 80 "new leaders" from places like Silicon Valley and Austin came this weekend.

A six-minute video airing in a hallway at the hotel highlights the focus on the future: Two married couples and two older men who are not part of the Koch family explained on camera why they have included the network in their estate planning. Charles <u>says</u> that he wants the network to grow in effectiveness for at least another 50 years and notes that he'<u>s</u> left a good part of his own estate to ensure it has the funds necessary to thrive. Then his son <u>says</u> he'<u>s</u> committed to carrying forward the vision of the network over the long term.

I asked Charles yesterday afternoon about the long-term sustainability of the network in light of his brother's departure and his son getting more active. "We're going to continue to innovate, learn and correct our mistakes," he replied matter-of-factly. "All humans are fallible, and we're certainly right there in the lead."

-- "The Koch brothers" no more: At a briefing for reporters invited to cover the meeting, senior officials requested that media outlets stop referring to the "the Koch brothers" in their stories about the network. After all, only one Koch brother remains. "It's unfair to (David) and his family to say he had anything to do with it since he's been in declining health. That's part of it," said Mark Holden, the co-chairman of the seminar. Holden, who has worked at Koch Industries for 24 years, including as general counsel, also emphasized Charles's energy level. "He's 82 going on 42," said Holden.

During a Sunday morning session for all the donors, a montage of press headlines about the work that they've been doing was displayed on large video screens. Every mention of "Koch brothers" was replaced with "Koch [network]."

Forbes estimates that each brother is worth \$53.1 billion and ranks them as tied for eighth among the world'<u>s</u> richest people. Bloomberg News guesses that both brothers are worth \$47 billion, pegging them as tied for 12th on its list.

Even with David'<u>s</u> departure, network officials <u>said</u> they still plan to spend between \$300 million to \$400 million on politics and <u>policy</u> during the 2018 election cycle. It'<u>s</u> unclear how much he is continuing to contribute financially.

"He'<u>s</u> a personal friend of mine. It'<u>s</u> a great loss," <u>said</u> longtime major donor Art Pope, who owns a retail chain in North Carolina. "But the network is very strong as far as the member participation and the staffing of the organization. So there should not be any disruption on succession, on governance or on the day-to-day operations of the various groups. ... David took particular interest in Americans for Prosperity, but AFP is in good shape and has good continuity."

-- A talking point that Charles and his senior staff have repeated often this weekend is that "the network is the strongest it has ever been." Fifteen years ago, a small group of like-minded corporate chieftains, mainly from the energy business, *gathered* for the first "*seminar*," as these twice-annual confabs are called. Today the network has more than 700 members, who each agree to contribute at least \$100,000 annually to Koch-linked groups. This is the largest summer meeting ever, and 135 of the 500 participants are first-time attendees.

Michael Kasser, 77 of Tucson, started coming to the <u>seminars</u> 15 years ago at the invitation of David, who has been a close friend since they studied chemical engineering together at MIT. They used to co-own a ski house together. "I'm sort of concerned about him because I haven't been able to talk to him in the past few months," Kasser <u>said</u> last night at a cocktail reception. "I think that'<u>s</u> a bad sign. Usually when I call him, he calls me right back. And he hasn't." But he added that the dynamic really has not changed that much with his friend absent. "Charles has always been the one who ran the thing," Kasser <u>said</u>. "David got somewhat involved but not a lot."

-- The theme of this <u>seminar</u> is "embracing the future." In a slick video played for the donors, Charles decried "protectionism" in all its forms, which he characterized as an understandable but dangerous impulse pursued by people who fear disruption, displacement and uncertainty. He then noted that a "protectionist mind-set" nearly destroyed the business that his father founded. "When I joined what is now Koch Industries in 1961, the company consisted of two small businesses," he <u>said</u>. "In spite of some past success, the company's managers

became risk averse. They wouldn't experiment so we became uncompetitive and lost opportunities. Their protectionist mind-set caused them to put up barriers around our businesses."

He added that the country now must do what he did when he took over the family business. "Protectionism is perverting the key institutions of our society. It'<u>s</u> created immense barriers that are holding people back," Charles concluded. "That'<u>s</u> why we must transform: Transform our effectiveness and resist protectionism in ourselves. We can't stay comfortable. We have to challenge ourselves to do things differently, to take risks, to be open and to embrace change."

- -- A broader transformation is indeed underway: Charles is moving to both de-emphasize politics as a focus of the network and further decouple his efforts from the <u>GOP</u>. President Trump'<u>s</u> tariffs, combined with his family separation <u>policy</u> and decision to end the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals program, upset him a great deal. After biting their tongues somewhat to advance other priorities, the Koch-aligned groups have become more outspoken in their criticism of the White House and congressional <u>Republicans</u> over the past few months.
- -- The network has invested a lot more money in transforming education, both K-12 and universities, as well as community redevelopment projects over the past few years. The donors support programs on initiatives from helping addicts in recovery to prisoners reentering society and veterans trying to access private care through the VA. "What Charles set out to create 15 years ago has turned into a movement," Hooks <u>said</u>. "And like the movements that have come before us, it can motivate millions of people and it can help to propel our country forward."

Hooks invited a diverse array of 34 people who are tied to groups that receive Koch network support including former gang leaders, professors and formerly homeless people - to come on stage. "These folks up here represent this network's vision in action," he <u>said</u>. "There will be those who second guess us. There always are. People who <u>say</u> it's too risky, that we've got to hold onto the past and protect what we've got," Hooks <u>said</u>. "For this network, the only answer is forward. Boldly forward."

-- Charles himself also appears increasingly impatient with <u>Republican</u> Party leaders. During a roundtable interview with reporters, he was asked whether he'd be upset if Democrats win the House. "I don't care what initials are in front or after somebody's name," he replied, as long as they're principled.

He suggested that he may scale back how much he puts toward politics if he thinks that money can have a bigger impact in other areas of the culture. "Where we invest is where we find an opportunity where our capabilities can make a difference," he <u>said</u>. "So we engage in politics to the degree to which it'<u>s</u> really moving our overall agenda. That'<u>s</u> the best use of our resources ... So the more we can affect <u>policies</u> that can bring about good outcomes, then a higher percent of our efforts will go into that. If we don't see an opportunity in that, then more will go into these other areas."

Charles also <u>said</u> he "regrets" some of the <u>Republican</u> politicians that the network spent heavily to get elected in recent years. "We're going to be more strict on holding someone accountable if they <u>say</u> they're going to be for the principles that we espouse, and then they aren't. We're going to more directly deal with that and hold people responsible for their commitments. ... Our organization is happy to support anybody. We'd love for there to be more Democrats to support these ideas and these issues."

- -- There are some recent signs that the network is putting its money where its mouth is. When Sen. Heidi Heitkamp (D-N.D.) co-sponsored legislation to roll back Dodd-Frank financial regulations, the network ran ads praising her. The network also ran ads criticizing Rep. Lou Barletta (R-Pa.), a candidate for Senate, when he voted for the \$1.3 trillion spending package earlier this year.
- "I know this is uncomfortable. It was uncomfortable for me, too," Emily Seidel, chief executive of Americans for Prosperity, told donors. "The fact that we're willing to do this during an election year shows everyone that we're dead serious.... This network will no longer follow anyone's lead or be taken for granted. If you're a Democrat and you stand up to Elizabeth Warren, ... you're darn right we'll work with you. ... And if you're a **Republican** who sits on

the committee that wrote the worst spending bill in our country's history and you voted for it, you're darn right we'll hold you accountable."

-- Notably, there are far fewer <u>lawmakers</u> at this <u>seminar</u> than in the past. Only two senators came: Sens. John Cornyn, the No. 2 in <u>GOP</u> leadership, and Tim Scott, who is championing a post-prison reentry bill that the network supports. Two Senate candidates also attended: Rep. Marsha Blackburn (Tenn.) and Florida Gov. Rick Scott. Also spotted: Kentucky Gov. Matt Bevin and Nevada Attorney General Adam Laxalt.

<u>Speakers</u> at the <u>seminar</u> have lamented the growing division in the country and <u>said</u> they want to look for areas of common ground. Asked if Trump bears personal responsibility for the growing division in the country, Charles replied: "We all do. We're all part of it. None of us are perfect. We've had divisiveness long before Trump became president, and we'll have it long after he'<u>s</u> no longer president. I'm into hating the sin, not the sinner."

Charles <u>said</u> that the network will work with Trump on things that he believes in and oppose him when he disagrees. "I would <u>say</u> it'<u>s</u> as true here as it'<u>s</u> been for every president in our history. We agree with some things and we disagree with others," he <u>said</u>. "Obviously, I haven't known them all. I mean I'm old, but not that old."

#### **GET SMART FAST:**

#### ALL THE PRESIDENT'S MEN AND WOMEN:

- -- Newly confirmed VA chief Robert Wilkie intends to reassign and otherwise sideline several high-ranking Trump loyalists at the agency.Lisa Rein reports: "Wilkie, who will be sworn in Monday, wants to form his own leadership team . . . and to ease <code>lawmakers</code>' continued concern that VA ... has become highly politicized. He discussed the proposed personnel moves with Trump in recent days aboard Air Force One, while en route to a veterans convention in Kansas City, Mo. . . . Announcements could come as soon as this week, pending approval from the White House Personnel Office. The changes would sideline much of VA's interim leadership team under acting secretary Peter O'Rourke, who <code>drew</code> unfavorable reviews from <code>lawmakers</code> in both political parties following a dispute with the agency's inspector general and a Washington Post report that highlighted O'Rourke's efforts to purge civil servants and some political appointees whom he and others installed by Trump deemed unsupportive of the president's agenda."
- -- One year after John Kelly was hired as Trump's chief of staff, his efforts to normalize the untraditional White House have largely failed to materialize. Politico's Eliana Johnson reports: "Kelly's allies say he took the job out of a sense of duty, and he has suggested he doesn't enjoy it much. . . . Increasingly, the sober-minded Marine seems to be in on the joke about the relative futility of his labors: 'I'm leaving and I'm not coming back,' he has told his aides, only to show up for work the following day. Early mornings in the office have been supplanted by sweat sessions at the gym. Many of Trump's friends and advisers have concluded the president doesn't really want a chief of staff and he has several confidants urging him to operate without one. Kelly, people around him say, no longer works to keep his mercurial boss on task or on message, with a Republican close to the White House referring to him as a 'chief of staff in name only.'

"[Nearly] all the traces of the martial regime Kelly initially sought to impose have vanished. ... Trump now adds to his daily schedule in a black appointment book, jotting down meetings he schedules the day of with the help of his personal <u>aide[.]</u> Kelly has done away with 'meeting crashers' ... but he has not been able to curb Trump'<u>s</u> practice of adding and subtracting advisers to meetings throughout the day. . . . 'He comes down for the day, and whatever he saw on 'Fox and Friends,' he schedules meetings based on that,' <u>said</u> one former White House official." (Politico compiled a list of 52 train wrecks John Kelly couldn't stop - or started himself.)

-- "Still Standing, Jared Kushner and Ivanka Trump Step Back in the Spotlight," by the New York Times's Maggie Haberman and Katie Rogers: "Several times Mr. Trump joked that he 'could have had Tom Brady' as a son-in-law. 'Instead,' the president said, according to five people who heard him, 'I got Jared Kushner.' ... It did not help that the president had gone from telling aides to 'talk to Jared,' as he did during the campaign, to telling them that 'Jared hasn't been so good for me.' At various points, Mr. Trump told friends and his chief of staff ... that he wished both Jared and Ivanka would return to New York . . . And yet, after 18 months of bruising internal White House

conflicts and bitter criticism that they have failed to be a moderating influence [on Trump], both [are . . .] as close to the center of the president's orbit - as they have ever been."

-- Sean Spicer denied a former classmate's accusation that Spicer used a racial slur to describe him. From the AP: "Attorney Michael Bowe says in emails to The Associated Press on Saturday night and Sunday that the allegation is false and defamatory. It emerged when a man confronted Spicer at a book signing in Rhode Island on Friday in an encounter that local newspapers captured on video. The man, whom the newspapers identified as Alex Lombard, said Spicer used the epithet and tried to fight him while they attended Portsmouth Abbey School."

#### **IMMIGRATION** WARS:

-- Trump threatened Sunday to shut down the federal government unless Congress funds his border wall. "I would be willing to 'shut down' government if the Democrats do not give us the votes for Border Security, which includes the Wall!" the president tweeted.

During a meeting last week with Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.) and Paul Ryan (R-Wis.) to discuss the Sept. 30 government funding deadline, Trump had signaled that he was on board with their strategy to put this politically risky fight off until after the midterm elections. The <u>GOP</u> leaders plan to fund the government until after the election with "minibuses," which are smaller packages of spending bills.

"But in recent days, Trump has also spoken with several outside political allies who have urged him to strike a tougher line on the border wall as a means of pressuring Democrats and rallying his core voters in November," Philip Rucker, Robert Costa and Damian Paletta report. "It is unclear whether simply threatening to shut down the government could push Democrats to agree to fund construction of the wall, particularly because Trump has backed down at the last minute during previous standoffs. . . . Trump's shutdown warning - which he has made before - [raises] the possibility of a political showdown before the Nov. 6 midterm elections that **Republican** congressional leaders had hoped to avoid. A funding fight also could prove a distraction from **Republican** efforts in the Senate to confirm [Brett Kavanaugh] ... by Oct. 1."

- -- <u>GOP</u> leaders cautioned against Trump'<u>s</u> brinkmanship. Among them was National <u>Republican</u> Congressional Committee chairman Steve Stivers. "I don't think we're going to shut down the government. You know, I think we're going to make sure we keep the government open, but we're going to get better <u>policies</u> on <u>immigration</u>," the Ohio <u>Republican said</u> on ABC News'<u>s</u> "This Week." Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.), chairman of the Senate Homeland Security committee, <u>said</u> he supports the president'<u>s</u> effort to pass conservative <u>immigration</u> bills but disagrees with his tactics. "I don't like playing shutdown politics. I don't think it'd be helpful, so let'<u>s</u> try to avoid it," Johnson <u>said</u> on CBS News'<u>s</u> "Face the Nation."
- -- A federal judge ordered the Trump administration to provide information to help locate "missing parents" who have still not been reunited with their children.NBC News's Jacob Soboroff and Julia Ainsley report: "Judge Dana Sabraw of the Southern District of California on Friday mandated that the Trump administration turn over a list by Wednesday of all parents deemed 'ineligible' for reunification by the government, including those who have been deported, those who have been released into the United States and those who were not reunited because of criminal history. The government on Friday <u>said</u> 650 children remained separated because their parents had been deemed ineligible."
- Hundreds of migrant children have spoken of "horrific" abuse by authorities at a Texas detention center, including being kicked by guards. "[It's the] type of abuse that would make any parent sick," one advocate said. BuzzFeed News's Amber Jamieson reports: "Child detainees at the South Texas Family Residential Center in Dilley told stories of Customs and Border Protection officers at other facilities kicking and hitting them, social workers declaring they were to be adopted by American families, and being forced to sweep floors in the shelters they were staying in, according to the activists. ... A CBP spokesperson (said) that without specific details ... it was not possible for CBP to investigate the claims."
- -- One 6-year-old migrant girl separated from her mother was sexually abused while at an Arizona detention facility, advocates <u>say</u>. The Nation'<u>s</u> Ari Honarvar reports: "The child was then made to sign a form acknowledging

that she was told to maintain her distance from her alleged abuser, who is an older child being held at the same detention facility. The girl, who is only identified by the initials D.L., and her mother had been fleeing gang violence in their native Guatemala. ... [The girl's] mother provided authorities with the phone number of D.L.'s father, an undocumented immigrant living in California. On June 11, D.L.'s father received a phone call from Southwest Key explaining that a boy had fondled his daughter and other girls. ... On June 22, Southwest Key again contacted D.L.'s father and informed him that the same boy initially cited for abuse had hit and fondled D.L. again."

- -- In case you missed it: "'Deleted' families: What went wrong with Trump's family-separation effort,"by Nick Miroff, Amy Goldstein and Maria Sacchetti: "When a federal judge ordered the Trump administration to reunify migrant families separated at the border, the government's cleanup crews faced an immediate problem. They weren't sure who the families were, let alone what to call them. ... They did not have a distinct classification for more than 2,600 children who had been taken from their families and placed in government shelters."
- -- Trump tweeted a defense of the forced separations on Sunday: "Please understand," he <u>said</u>, "there are consequences when people cross our Border illegally, whether they have children or not and many are just using children for their own sinister purposes."
- -- A group of people from countries affected by Trump's travel ban are suing the administration over its waiver process. Vox News's Dara Lind reports: "They're not trying to reopen the question of whether the ban itself is legal or constitutional. Instead, they're asking the administration to explain how it grants waivers under the ban and to justify the gap between what the administration portrays as a clear and generous waiver process, and overwhelming anecdotal evidence that people who should be considered for waivers are getting flatly denied or held in administrative limbo."

#### THE SUPREME COURT:

- -- Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) is relying on moderate Democrats to remain neutral on Brett Kavanaugh's Supreme Court nomination for as long as possible, raising the pressure on <u>Republican</u> moderates.Politico's Burgess Everett and Elana Schor report: "Schumer's strategy starts like this: Hold his caucus in line and force <u>Republicans</u> to cough up 50 votes on their own. While his red-state members stall in the face of attacks from their <u>GOP</u> challengers, Schumer hopes to place massive pressure on moderate <u>Republicans</u> by raising damaging questions about Kavanaugh's views on abortion, health care and presidential power."
- -- Kavanaugh, who served as George W. Bush's staff secretary, is facing questions over his stance on the former president's practice of signing statements claiming the power to bypass new laws. The New York Times's Charlie Savage reports: During his 2006 confirmation hearing to be an appeals court judge, Kavanaugh "acknowledged handling draft signing statements to ensure that 'relevant members of the administration have provided input' before presenting them to Mr. Bush. But the nominee sidestepped questions about any advice or views he had about them, refusing to discuss 'internal matters' ... [T]he opacity of his testimony about Mr. Bush's signing statements, including about the torture ban, is becoming a case study for Democrats' vehement arguments that the Senate must see his staff secretary files before any confirmation hearing."

The Post has produced a new mini-documentary on how Paul Manafort went from being a successful foreign consultant for strongmen leaders to being a key figure in the Robert Mueller probe.

#### THERE'S A BEAR IN THE WOODS:

-- Paul Manafort's trial for financial crimes begins this week in Alexandria. Prosecutors for special counsel Bob Mueller are expected to focus largely on the former Trump campaign chairman's work as a Ukrainian political consultant - but the broader implications for the ongoing Trump-Russia probe are unmistakable.Rachel Weiner and Tom Hamburger report: "For the first time, the probe into Russian election interference that has operated largely behind closed doors will reveal some of its work. A conviction on the 18 counts brought against Manafort would add credibility to Mueller's ongoing inquiry. Failure will underline the criticism he has received from [Trump] and others who suggest his operation is a partisan 'witch hunt.'"

- -- "Adding to the intrigue is the expected spectacle of Manafort's deputy, Rick Gates, testifying against him after cutting a plea deal with prosecutors, and the speculation that Manafort, who faces charges in two different courts and decades in prison if convicted, may be holding out for a pardon from Trump," the AP's Eric Tucker and Chad Day add.
- -- Manafort is the first American who has been charged as part of Mueller's probe to go to trial instead of taking a plea deal. "Obviously, that's very risky for him," said former U.S. prosecutor Jimmy Gurule.
- -- Rudy Giuliani <u>said</u> that investigators have access to more than 180 recordings made by Michael Cohen, but that Trump'<u>s</u> voice is "only heard on one." "We know of something like 183 unique conversations on tape. One of those is with [Trump] ... That'<u>s</u> the three-minute one involving the [Karen] McDougal payment, AMI-McDougal payment," Giuliani <u>said</u> on CBS'<u>s</u> "Face the Nation," referring to the tape made public last week. Beyond the McDougal-related recording, Giuliani <u>said</u>, "there are 12 others, maybe 11 or 12 others out of the 183, in which the president is discussed at any length by Cohen, mostly with reporters." He added that he "doesn't know the contents" of the recordings that don't include or make mention of Trump, but <u>said</u> U.<u>S</u>. prosecutors in Manhattan "would have turned them over" if they were related to his client. (Robert Costa)
- -- Giuliani continued to eviscerate Cohen on the Sunday show circuit, calling him "a pathological manipulator, a liar." "He'<u>s</u> destroyed himself as a witness. I've prosecuted 5,000 cases. I'd never prosecute a case on this guy'<u>s</u> testimony," Giuliani told "Fox News Sunday" host Chris Wallce, responding to reports that Cohen could seek cooperation with prosecutors. "He'<u>s</u> contradicted himself so many times, you'd begin the cross-examination by <u>saying</u>, 'Which lies are you going to tell us today, Michael?'" After Wallace reminded him that he praised Cohen as an "honest, honorable lawyer" in May, Giuliani recanted his remarks: "Here'<u>s</u> what happened: I found out ... that he was surreptitiously recording his clients, which is a disbarrable offense," Giuliani <u>said</u>. "Obviously, if I knew that, I never would have <u>said</u> he was a reputable lawyer; I'd have <u>said</u> he was a scoundrel." (Politico)
- -- A new CBS News poll finds that 70 percent of <u>Republicans</u> agree with Trump'<u>s</u> characterization of the Russia probe as a "witch hunt." Half of <u>GOP</u> voters <u>said</u> they "wanted to defend him more" after hearing criticism of him on the Russia issue. While 77 percent of Democrats call the investigation "critical" to national security, 81 percent of <u>Republicans</u> see it as a deliberate attempt to slow the president'<u>s</u> agenda, and 86 percent feel he is facing more resistance from the political establishment than other presidents have.
- -- Trump has been complaining to advisers for months about the "terrible" FBI headquarters building in downtown Washington.Axios's Jonathan Swan reports: "Another source said he was dead opposed to plans to move it out of D.C. 'This is prime real estate, right on Pennsylvania Avenue,' he said. 'This is a great address. They need to stay there. But it needs a total revamp.' That source said Trump told John Kelly he wants to oversee the project at an excruciating level of detail: the cost per square foot, the materials used, the renovation specs, etc. He's treating it like it's a Trump Organization construction project."

#### THE FOURTH ESTATE:

-- Trump clashed on Twitter with New York Times publisher A.G. Sulzberger, after the Timesman <u>said</u> Trump "<u>misrepresented</u>" his comments during a private meeting earlier this month. Sulzberger'<u>s</u> rebuttal came after Trump tweeted about the meeting, claiming that they had discussed "the vast amounts of Fake News being put out by the media & how that Fake News has morphed into phrase, 'Enemy of the People.' Sad!" The New York Times'<u>s</u> Mark Landler reports: "Sulzberger <u>said</u> he had accepted Mr. Trump'<u>s</u> invitation for the July 20 meeting mainly to raise his concerns about the president'<u>s</u> 'deeply troubling anti-press rhetoric.' 'I told the president directly that I thought that his language was not just divisive but increasingly dangerous,' <u>said</u> Mr. Sulzberger ... 'I warned that this inflammatory language is contributing to a rise in threats against journalists' and ... were 'putting lives at risk' and 'undermining the <u>democratic</u> ideals of our nation.'

"In a telephone interview, Mr. Sulzberger ... <u>said</u> he went into the Oval Office determined to make a point about what he views as the dangers of the president'<u>s</u> inflammatory language. ... At another point, Mr. Trump expressed pride in popularizing the phrase 'fake news,' and <u>said</u> other countries had begun banning it. Mr. Sulzberger

responded that those countries were dictatorships and that they were not banning 'fake news' but rather independent scrutiny of their actions. Mr. Sulzberger's lengthy, bluntly worded rebuttal was a striking rejoinder to [Trump]. And it apparently touched a nerve: The president *fired* off a series of angry tweets in the afternoon, accusing newspapers of being unpatriotic." "I will not allow our great country to be sold out by anti-Trump haters in the dying newspaper industry," he wrote. "The failing New York Times and the Amazon Washington Post do nothing but write bad stories even on very positive achievements - and they will never change!"

-- Post media critic Margaret Sullivan spoke to Carl Bernstein about covering Trump's White House - and every administration since Richard Nixon's. The famed Watergate journalist was part of last week's triple-byline CNN report that said Michael Cohen accused Trump of having advance knowledge about an infamous July 2016 Trump Tower meeting. "He described Trump as 'sui generis' - one of a kind - not only in his 'habitual aversion to telling the truth' and his 'willingness to embrace authoritarian and racist notions,' but also in his 'considerable brilliance in figuring out and tapping into something in the country' that was forming before his arrival as a candidate. As for the Cohen news itself, Bernstein says the nub of it 'goes to the question of intent to collude.'" But, he reiterated that there remain some big "ifs," since Cohen, currently under federal investigation, is "shopping for a get out of jail card" through potential cooperation with prosecutors. "If this information is true and accurate," he said of Trump's purported foreknowledge, and "if it can be nailed down" in testimony, it may be a very big deal.

#### THE MIDTERMS ARE 99 DAYS AWAY:

- -- Some <u>Democratic</u> strategists fear the large number of potential 2020 contenders could create a fundraising bottleneck, eliminating candidates who can't raise enough money before the debates even start. Michael Scherer reports: "More than two dozen people are in the process of exploring campaigns to take out [Trump], but only a handful have the relationships with wealthy donors, significant personal wealth or small-dollar fundraising apparatus to raise the early money needed to mount a traditional campaign. ... That presents an acute threat for candidates who have not established national fundraising operations, an advantage that would be held by two potential candidates, Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-Vt.) and former vice president Joe Biden, both veterans of previous presidential campaigns."
- -- Many states' shifting politics and demographics are altering both parties' conceptions of 2020 battlegrounds. Politico's David Siders reports: "Interviews with more than two dozen politicians, consultants and activists throughout the country suggest that between [Trump's] sweep through the upper Midwest and the demographic shifts powering Democrats in the South and West, the field of competitive states stands to be dramatically reshaped in 2020. Minnesota, which hasn't gone for <u>Republican</u> for president in nearly a half-century, suddenly rates high on the <u>GOP</u> wish list. Arizona and Georgia, until recent years considered red-state locks, are undeniably within <u>Democratic</u> reach."
- -- Contrary to <u>GOP</u> strategists' predictions, the thriving economy has not become a key talking point for <u>Republican</u> congressional candidates. The New York Times's Jim Tankersley reports: "With little more than a week to go before voters here head to the polls [for Ohio's special election], the airwaves are instead dominated by more general promises to create jobs and, from <u>Republicans</u>, by dark warnings on wedge issues such as <u>immigration</u>, meant to rally the conservative base. ... <u>Republicans</u> have reason to doubt the efficacy of an economic message in hotly contested midterm campaigns, which have historically been referendums on the sitting president. The last time the economy grew 4 percent in a quarter was in the middle of 2014, under President Barack Obama, just before Senate Democrats lost nine seats and their majority that fall."
- -- Female fundraisers have started forming "giving circles" to boost their chosen political candidates.NBC News's Benjy Sarlin reports: "The circles, many of which have an explicit focus on gender representation in Congress and statehouses, have become a fundraising stop for <code>Democratic</code> politicians like Sen. Tina Smith in Minnesota and gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams in Georgia, complementing more established organizations like Emily's List, which backs women who support abortion rights. ... As of July 23, 329,000 women had donated at least \$200 to a federal campaign or PAC in the 2018 cycle, according to the Center for Responsive Politics, far outpacing the 198,000 women who donated similar amounts over the entire 2014 midterms."

#### SOCIAL MEDIA SPEED READ:

A CNBC reporter summed up the president's tweeting:

From a CNN host:

From Obama's former ethics czar:

From The Post's White House bureau chief:

A "Morning Joe" host and former <u>GOP</u> congressman questioned Trump'<u>s</u> argument for shutting the government down over the border wall:

Reporters pushed back on Trump's criticism of the press. From Politico's Capitol bureau chief:

The president's press secretary took to Twitter to defend her boss:

A *Republican* senator came to the defense of InfoWars:

One of his *Democratic* colleagues replied:

The president of the NAACP Legal Defense Fund slammed Senate <u>Republicans</u> for their handling of Brett Kavanaugh's confirmation process:

Giuliani's single-word tweet sparked mockery:

From a GOP strategist:

From a Sky News reporter:

And from a Mel Magazine editor:

#### **GOOD READS:**

-- "British farmers worry: Who will pick the fruit after Brexit?" by William Booth and Karla Adam: "Britain today is completely dependent on foreign workers to pick its fruit and vegetables. But as it prepares to leave the E.U., bringing the era of free movement to a close, that work will have to be done by Brits - who don't seem very keen to do it."

#### DAYBOOK:

Trump will meet with Italian Prime Minister Giuseppe Conte, and the pair will hold a joint news conference. The president will later participate in Robert Wilkie's swearing-in ceremony as VA secretary.

#### NEWS YOU CAN USE IF YOU LIVE IN D.C.:

- -- The District could see more rain this afternoon. The Capital Weather Gang forecasts: "A warm front coming from the south is likely to trigger scattered showers and storms, especially into the afternoon. If the modeling is right, rain chances are highest (60 percent chance) in our southern and southeastern areas, where amounts are also likely to be heaviest. Rain chances drop off some north of town (around 30 percent). Increasing clouds may cap temperatures around 80, but you'll notice an increase in mugginess."
  - -- The Nationals lost to the Marlins 5-0. (Jorge Castillo)
- -- Nationals shortstop Trea Turner issued an apology after tweets containing racially insensitive language and homophobic slurs surfaced from his college days. "There are no excuses for my insensitive and offensive language on Twitter," Turner <u>said</u> in a statement. "I am sincerely sorry for those tweets and apologize wholeheartedly. I believe people who know me understand those regrettable actions do not reflect my values or

who I am. But I understand the hurtful nature of such language and am sorry to have brought any negative light to the Nationals organization, myself or the game I love." (Chelsea Janes)

VIDEOS OF THE DAY:

John Oliver talked to Anita Hill about the #MeToo movement:

The California wildfires forced a television station to evacuate mid-broadcast:

The Obamas were seen dancing at the Beyoncé and Jay-Z concert near Washington:

The Post's Department of Satire read some excerpts from Sean Spicer's new book:

And a pizza delivery man in Michigan took a brief break from work to play Beethoven's "Moonlight" on one customer's piano:

#### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Web Blog

**Subject:** US <u>REPUBLICAN</u> PARTY (90%); POLITICAL PARTIES (90%); MOUNTAINS (85%); FAMILY (77%); POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS (76%); CONSERVATISM (76%); WEALTHY PEOPLE (76%); POLITICS (74%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (74%); MARRIAGE (68%); FAMILY COMPANIES (68%)

Company: KOCH INDUSTRIES INC (58%)

Industry: HOTELS & MOTELS (64%)

Person: CHARLES KOCH (79%)

Geographic: COLORADO SPRINGS, CO, USA (73%); SILICON VALLEY, CA, USA (50%); ROCKY MOUNTAINS

(78%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: July 30, 2018

**End of Document**