Pawlenty advances biased views of immigration

St. Paul Pioneer Press (Minnesota)

January 5, 2006 Thursday

Copyright 2006 St. Paul Pioneer Press All Rights Reserved

Section: LOCAL; Pg. 9B

Length: 532 words

Byline: KATHERINE FENNELLY KATHLEEN A. MOCCIO

Body

As professionals who specialize in <u>immigration</u> issues, we were surprised and dismayed to listen to Gov. Tim <u>Pawlenty</u>'s recent proposals regarding <u>immigration</u>, and to read the Department of Administration report on the "Impact of Illegal <u>Immigration</u> on Minnesota."

You don't have to be a math whiz to look at federal <u>immigration</u> policies and understand what causes people to enter the U.S. "illegally." The Department of Homeland Security issues only 5,000 visas for low-skilled workers to fill an estimated 500,000 jobs each year. Is it any wonder that thousands of eager workers cross the border without documentation when the odds are 1 in 100 that they will be issued a visa?

And work they do! A study published by the Urban Institute last year found that "virtually all undocumented men are in the labor force. Their labor force participation rate exceeds that of ... legal immigrants and U.S. citizens." As Americans age, these individuals are filling the need for younger workers. Between 1990 and 2000, Latino workers (both documented and undocumented) accounted for fully 76 percent of the growth in the Minnesota labor force.

The Department of Administration report acknowledges that documented and undocumented immigrants pay income taxes, retail taxes, property taxes, Social Security taxes and unemployment insurance payments, but they don't include those dollars in their one-sided equation. They cite data from a notoriously anti-immigrant group (the Center for *Immigration* Studies), but ignore studies from the National Research Council and the Urban Institute that detail some of the positive fiscal benefits of *immigration*.

According to Ben Johnson, director of the <u>Immigration</u> Policy Center in Washington D.C., the economic benefits of <u>immigration</u> are curtailed not by immigrants themselves, but by federal policies that do not grant visas to low wage workers, and thereby channel them into undocumented or "illegal" status.

It is the inequity of this system that has led Republicans and Democrats alike to call for federal <u>immigration</u> reform. As Princeton sociologist Doug Massey has argued, <u>immigration</u> policy should be <u>viewed</u> as a natural outgrowth of market expansion and economic integration, rather than a condition to be repressed. For this reason, <u>immigration</u> reform that only focuses on enforcement will fail because it does not address the needs of the U.S. economy.

The choice is clear: We can acknowledge the presence of immigrants and support their full integration into society or continue to foster a climate that isolates and blames immigrants, at the cost of the health, safety and economic well-being of all Minnesotans.

Unfortunately, <u>Pawlenty</u> appears to have chosen the latter path. We invite him to meet with us and a host of individuals working on <u>immigration</u> policy to sort facts from fiction on this politically volatile topic. The goal of the meeting would be to develop policies that meet the needs of Minnesota families and employers, while living up to the state's proud tradition of integration.

Pawlenty advances biased views of immigration

Fennelly is a professor at the University of Minnesota's Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs. Moccio is vice chair of the American *Immigration* Law Foundation.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (94%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); LABOR FORCE (90%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); PUBLIC POLICY (89%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (89%); POLITICAL PARTIES (88%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (78%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (78%); EMPLOYMENT VISAS (78%); WORKFORCE DEMAND (78%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (78%); RESEARCH INSTITUTES (77%); US SOCIAL SECURITY (76%); UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE (75%); WAGES & SALARIES (75%); SOCIAL SECURITY (75%); ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (75%); LABOR SECTOR PERFORMANCE (75%); SOCIOLOGY (74%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2012 (73%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (73%); TAXES & TAXATION (73%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (72%); NATIONAL SECURITY (71%); TALKS & MEETINGS (69%); RESEARCH REPORTS (69%); PROPERTY TAX (65%)

Organization: US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (57%); URBAN INSTITUTE (56%)

Industry: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE (75%); PROPERTY TAX (65%)

Person: TIM PAWLENTY (58%)

Geographic: MINNESOTA, USA (94%); DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (95%)

Load-Date: January 5, 2006

End of Document