NATHAN DEAL SAYS IMMIGRATION COSTS GEORGIANS MORE THAN \$1 BILLION

St. Petersburg Times (Florida)

July 16, 2010 Friday, Politifact.com Edition

Copyright 2010 Times Publishing Company All Rights Reserved

Section: POLITIFACT Length: 1422 words

Byline: WILLOUGHBY MARIANO

Body

"Illegal aliens are *costing* Georgia taxpayers over a *billion* dollars every year."

Nathan Deal on Tuesday, July 6th, 2010 in a TV campaign commercial

* * *

The Ruling: HALF TRUE

In a recent campaign commercial, candidate for governor Nathan Deal said he's concerned about "you."

And for your sake, he worries about illegal immigration.

In the ad, the former U.S. congressman walks along a lush meadow hemmed by a wooden fence, promising to get tough on illegal immigrants because they **cost** you far too much.

"Illegal aliens are <u>costing</u> Georgia taxpayers over a <u>billion</u> dollars every year," <u>said <u>Deal</u>, who has long pushed for **more** restrictions on citizenship and aggressive enforcement of current **immigration** laws.</u>

More than \$1 billion? Is that possible?

The <u>Deal</u> campaign <u>said</u> the actual <u>cost</u> is even higher: \$1.6 <u>billion</u>.

They cited a report by the Federation for American <u>Immigration</u> Reform, a group that shares <u>Deal</u>'s ideas on <u>immigration</u>.

In its October 2008 report, "The <u>Costs</u> of Illegal <u>Immigration</u> to <u>Georgians</u>," FAIR estimated state and local <u>costs</u> related to the education, health care and incarceration of illegal immigrants.

Deal actually understated FAIR's figure. The group put the total **cost** at **more** than \$1.6 **billion**.

We decided to take a closer look. Illegal <u>immigration</u> is a complex subject, and its fiscal impacts can be especially murky. Researchers have tried to estimate <u>costs</u> for two decades, but it's hard because important data is not available.

Few nonpartisan sources issue alternative fiscal estimates that focus on Georgia, in part because it's hard to get enough state-level data to do the subject justice.

NATHAN DEAL SAYS IMMIGRATION COSTS GEORGIANS MORE THAN \$1 BILLION

Another problem is these studies are issued by groups with agendas, <u>said</u> Jeff Passel, senior demographer with the Pew Hispanic Center, which tries to "improve understanding of the U.S. Hispanic population and to chronicle Latinos' growing impact on the nation." It does not take positions on policy issues.

"I can tell you what the result is based on who's doing the study," Passel said.

There is some consensus on the subject, according to a 2007 report by the Congressional Budget Office. The agency reviewed 29 studies on the issue and determined some areas where they agree.

For instance, if you lump legal and illegal immigrants together, they as a group bring in <u>more</u> in taxes than they use up over the long term, according to the CBO review. Also, the federal government doesn't give state and local governments enough to cover the <u>cost</u> of illegal <u>immigration</u>.

FAIR's estimate of \$1.6 <u>billion</u> was based on assumptions that are well outside such areas of consensus, PolitiFact Georgia found. And that's a problem.

Education was the biggest <u>cost</u> in FAIR's estimate, and one factor in their calculation is especially controversial to advocates on the opposite side of the ideological spectrum: FAIR included the <u>cost</u> of educating U.S.-born children whose parents came here illegally.

FAIR argues that it's important to count them. Those kids wouldn't be here if their parents hadn't entered the country, and they would likely leave if their parents did.

That's correct, but it's reasonable to ask whether it's right to include the price of educating these children as part of the <u>cost</u> of "illegal aliens," as <u>Deal</u> put it. The Constitution's 14th Amendment <u>says</u> any child born in the U.S. is a citizen.

If you exclude these kids, FAIR's estimate plummets by hundreds of millions of dollars.

Another criticism concerns how the group adds up the price of educating a child of an illegal immigrant for a year. They <u>said</u> it is \$8,450, based on figures from the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics.

This <u>cost</u> is debatable. The price of adding a student or two, legal or otherwise, to an already-existing classroom might be much lower than FAIR's figure, experts <u>said</u>. That's because those students won't necessarily prompt a school district to hire an additional teacher or build a new school.

It also might be greater. For instance, a small town <u>dealing</u> with a major influx of children of illegal immigrants might have to build **more** schools and pay for other things they didn't need before, Passel **said**.

There are other reasonable criticisms of FAIR's approach.

FAIR derived a portion of its health care <u>costs</u> from what may be a <u>billion</u>-dollar overestimate. The think tank RAND Corp. estimated that nationally, the government pays about \$<u>1.1</u> <u>billion</u> to give health care to illegal immigrants ages 18 to 64.

FAIR assumed the actual <u>cost</u> is closer to \$2 <u>billion</u>, nearly double the RAND figure. They reasoned that one of the study's authors publicly acknowledged health care <u>costs</u> could be twice as much. But in a PolitiFact Georgia email exchange, that researcher wrote FAIR got what he <u>said</u> wrong, and the estimate shouldn't be doubled.

It's also worthwhile to note how FAIR counted the tax contributions of illegal immigrants.

It's standard practice for economists to give individuals credit for paying business taxes, <u>said</u> Matt Gardner, executive director for the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, which has progressive or liberal leanings. Every consumer routinely pays business taxes when they make purchases, because businesses boost their sales prices to cover them.

NATHAN DEAL SAYS IMMIGRATION COSTS GEORGIANS MORE THAN \$1 BILLION

FAIR did not include the business taxes paid by illegal immigrants, <u>saying</u> that including them "posits that companies do not exist as economic entities," <u>said</u> Jack Martin, FAIR's special projects director.

These are only a few criticisms of FAIR's numbers. But taking even one of them into account can lower or raise the **cost** by \$800 million or **more**.

For instance, FAIR calculated the net fiscal effect of illegal <u>immigration</u> on Georgia as \$1.34 <u>billion</u>, taking into account \$273 million they <u>said</u> the undocumented pay in taxes. But if you subtract the <u>cost</u> of educating the U.S.-born children of illegal immigrants, the estimate plummets to about \$550 million.

And here's another example: Even FAIR thinks its 2008 figures are too low. A report issued last week increased their <u>cost</u> estimate to about \$2.4 <u>billion</u>, based on new population figures and changes in how they incorporate criminal justice, health care and infrastructure <u>costs</u>.

Whether you think FAIR's estimates are high or low, correct or erroneous, you run up against the same problem. A little **more** information or context and the estimate swings by a **billion** dollars, literally.

The variation is so wide and the assumptions are so contentious that it's misleading for <u>Deal</u> to flatly state that the <u>cost</u> of illegal <u>immigration</u> to taxpaying <u>Georgians</u> is <u>more</u> than \$1 <u>billion</u>.

It is correct that Georgia foots the bill for illegal <u>immigration</u>. It could be substantial, depending on how you add it up. And while <u>Deal</u>'s <u>cost</u> figure is rooted in data, there's an excellent chance that it's much higher than it should be.

It's not possible for PolitiFact Georgia to calculate a specific <u>cost</u>. Too little information exists on the subject for that calculation. <u>Deal</u> has a point, but his conclusion leaves out important details, which could lead to a different conclusion.

For this reason, **Deal**'s statement earns a Half True.

* * *

About this statement:

Published: Friday, July 16th, 2010 at 6:00 a.m.

Subjects: Immigration, Message Machine

Sources:

Nathan Deal campaign for governor, "Nathan Deal for Governor TV Commercial," posted July 6, 2010

Federation for American Immigration Reform, "The Costs of Illegal Immigration to Georgians," October 2008

Federation for American <u>Immigration</u> Reform, "The Fiscal Burden of Illegal <u>Immigration</u> on

United States Taxpayers," July 6, 2010

RAND Corp., "Immigrants and the **Cost** of Medical Care," November/December 2006

Congressional Budget Office, "The Impact of Unauthorized Immigrants on the Budgets of State and Local Governments," December 2007

E-mail interview, Sarah Beth Gehl, deputy director, Georgia Budget & Policy Institute, July 14, 2010

Interview, Brian Robinson, communications director, *Nathan Deal* for Governor, July 15, 2010

E-mail interview, Jack Martin, special projects director, Federation for American Immigration Reform, July 15, 2010

NATHAN DEAL SAYS IMMIGRATION COSTS GEORGIANS MORE THAN \$1 BILLION

E-mail Interview, James P. Smith, distinguished chair in Labor Markets and Demographic Studies, RAND Corp., July 13, 2010

Interview, Matt Gardner, executive director, Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, July 12, 2010

Interview, Wendy Sefsaf, spokeswoman, Immigration Policy Center, July 14, 2010

Interview, Jeff Passel, senior demographer, Pew Hispanic Center, July 14, 2010

Written by: Willoughby Mariano

Researched by: Willoughby Mariano

Edited by: Elizabeth Miniet, Jim Tharpe

Graphic

PHOTO (2)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (94%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (93%); POLITICAL ADVERTISING (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (89%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (78%); REGIONAL & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (77%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (77%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (76%); US CONGRESS (76%); POLITICAL CANDIDATES (73%); POPULATION & DEMOGRAPHICS (72%); GOVERNORS (72%); DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS (67%)

Organization: FEDERATION FOR AMERICAN IMMIGRATION REFORM (56%)

Industry: POLITICAL ADVERTISING (90%); MARKETING CAMPAIGNS (78%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (78%); BUDGETS (78%); BROADCAST ADVERTISING (73%)

Person: <u>NATHAN</u> <u>DEAL</u> (91%)

Geographic: GEORGIA, USA (94%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: July 20, 2010