NEW LAW CREATES TOUGH CHOICES TIME RUNS OUT FOR IMMIGRANTS HERE ILLEGALLY

San Jose Mercury News (California)

SEPTEMBER 24, 1997 Wednesday MORNING FINAL EDITION

Copyright 1997 San Jose Mercury News All Rights Reserved

Section: FRONT; Pg. 1A

Length: 1254 words

Byline: JENNIFER MENA AND MARINA HINESTROSA, Mercury News Staff, Writers

Body

Mountain View resident Margarita Escobar is facing a terrible choice:

Obey the *law* and leave her family or risk being barred from becoming a legal U.S. resident for as long as a decade.

Under <u>new</u> rules that take effect Saturday, illegal <u>immigrants</u> who don't leave the country will be prohibited from returning for three years. For Escobar and roughly a million other illegal <u>immigrants</u> who have applied to become legal residents, the rules mean having to decide whether to leave the country immediately and wait indefinitely in their homeland for their legal residency to be approved.

Their other option is to stay in the country and risk being severely penalized down the road.

The changes will particularly affect hundreds of thousands of California families that are divided by immigration status. The "split families" are a legacy of years of flawed, ignored and convoluted immigration policies.

Escobar's case is typical. Her husband had taken advantage of a 1986 <u>law</u> that gave amnesty to 3 million illegal <u>immigrants</u> -- but not family members. Her daughter, born in this country, is a U.S. citizen. Escobar applied three years ago to become a legal resident.

"I'm not sure if I should go or I should stay. If I stay, I will be hurt. If I go, I will be hurt, too," said Escobar, a waitress by day and a maid by night. "Which is worse?"

The <u>new</u> rules are a key part of a sweeping 1996 immigration <u>law</u> that gave U.S. immigration officials unprecedented resources to combat illegal immigration. Next year, the <u>law</u> will impose even stiffer penalties. An illegal <u>immigrant</u> who has been in the United States one year on April 1, 1998, will be barred from legal U.S. entry for 10 years.

Until now, an illegal *immigrant* who was arrested and deported could enter legally at any later date.

Coincidentally, the <u>new</u> rules take effect three days before the expiration of a 3-year-old provision known as 245-I. The provision permitted illegal <u>immigrants</u> who paid a \$1,000 fine to remain in the country while they were waiting for legal residency instead of going to a consulate in their native land.

The main aim of 245-I was to avoid imposing a hardship on foreigners who had jobs and families in the United States and were going to become legal eventually anyway.

<u>Immigrant</u> rights advocates argue that allowing 245-I to expire is draconian because it threatens people who had been told by the government that it was perfectly OK to stay in the country while their papers were processed.

NEW LAW CREATES TOUGH CHOICES TIME RUNS OUT FOR IMMIGRANTS HERE ILLEGALLY

High-tech lobbyists are also worried.

"The threat of facing a three- or 10-year (penalty) is of concern to us because a high-tech worker may fall <u>out</u> of status due to forces beyond our control," said Brian Raymond of the American Electronics Association.

'People who fill critical needs'

Raymond said companies also are concerned that the expiration of 245-I would force employees to leave the country to get visas that they could previously obtain in the United States.

"These are people who fill critical needs in our industry," said Raymond, who added that he does not know how many high-tech workers might be hit by the <u>new</u> rules.

Mark Silverman, an attorney at the <u>Immigrant</u> Legal Resource Center in SanFrancisco, estimates that the families of 200,000 California <u>immigrants</u> whose applications for legal residency have not been processed will be affected.

The U.S. government estimates there are roughly 5 million illegal <u>immigrants</u> in the United States -- 2 million in California alone.

"I would be concerned if I were illegal in this country. I would want to leave before (the penalties were applied) -- that is, if I had any interest in living in the United States legally in the future," INS spokeswoman Elaine Komis said.

The Clinton administration and the U.S. Senate support extending 245-I, but many immigration analysts believe the chances are slim to none that the House of Representatives will agree to an extension.

Supporters say the <u>new</u> rules will help curb illegal immigration by making it more difficult for an illegal <u>immigrant</u> to get a visa after entering <u>illegally</u>. They argue that illegal <u>immigrants</u> unfairly get visas faster than those who wait in their countries to enter legally. They also say that those illegal <u>immigrants</u> who get legal residency while living in the United States are not subject to the stringent criminal background checks conducted at U.S. consulates outside the country.

Rep. Lamar Smith, R-Texas, who wrote the <u>new</u> legislation, has sent several letters to fellow congressmen indicating he will not consider any 245-I extension.

"The current policy is a tremendous incentive for people to jump the line and come here," said his spokesman, Allen Kay. "That is an unnecessary hardship for people who follow the rules."

Illegal <u>immigrants</u> complain that the government has changed the rules midstream. Nevertheless, some say they will leave the country rather than risk the penalties.

San Jose resident Luis Garcia, 57, became a legal resident through the amnesty <u>law</u>, and 15 months ago he took a test to become a citizen. But he is still waiting for the paperwork to be finalized.

He has petitioned the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service to grant legal residency to his wife. But if an extension of 245-I is not granted, she and their two children will return to Mexico, he said.

Garcia, a furniture worker, said he would stay in San Jose to earn money to support his family.

A Milpitas engineer, a legal resident from the People's Republic of China, said his wife will return to her native Taiwan if no extension is granted.

The Stanford University graduate, who requested anonymity, said he felt deceived because he thought his wife would be able to stay. He said that because of the 245-I extension, he married last year, established a company and bought a home and a car.

"It's inhuman," he said. "It would take a year and a half for me to become a citizen, so it means she has to leave the country for two and a half years. The result? We can't have children now. We can't be together."

NEW LAW CREATES TOUGH CHOICES TIME RUNS OUT FOR IMMIGRANTS HERE ILLEGALLY

Others say the *new* rules will have other effects.

"Some people are talking about pulling their children <u>out</u> of school because they are afraid to be caught," said Mario Camacho, who volunteers at a Mountain View <u>immigrant</u> advocate agency, St. Joseph Work Center.

Union City resident Leticia Acevedo said her 11-year-old son spends long periods crying, thinking about returning to Mexico and forgetting the English he studied so hard. Acevedo said she and her two children will return to Mexico if she is not approved for citizenship at a scheduled interview this week.

'I was so close'

"I don't know what to think. I was so close to fixing my situation," she said. "What happens if I go back to Mexico, go to the consulate and they say to me, 'There's no visa for you.' "

Other illegal *immigrants* are only vaguely aware of changes to the *law*. And some who know what is coming say they do not care because they have so few options.

Manuel Garcia, a Menlo Park restaurant worker, said he doesn't think <u>new laws</u> will change the demand for cheap, illegal labor.

"I'll keep working until they catch me. I need the money, and that's the bottom line," said Garcia, who has been in the United States *illegally* forfive years.

A Peninsula restaurant owner who declined to be identified said: "These <u>new laws</u> won't have any effect on our supply of workers. When they deport a few, there are always more who take their places."

Notes

TERMS OF LAW

Until now, an illegal <u>immigrant</u> who was deported could apply to legally re-enter the United States immediately. But under the terms of a sweeping 1996 immigration <u>law</u>:

- * As of Saturday, an illegal *immigrant* found to be living in the country for 180 days will be barred from re-entering for three years.
- * Someone discovered to be in the country <u>illegally</u> for one year on April1, 1998, will be prohibited from legal reentry for 10 years.

Source: Immigration and Naturalization Service

Graphic

Photo:

PHOTO: JULIE PLASENCIA -- MERCURY NEWS

Clients fill the Immigration and Naturalization Service office in San Jose before rules affecting illegal <u>immigrants</u> are to take effect.

[970924 FR 20A 2]

Classification

NEW LAW CREATES TOUGH CHOICES TIME RUNS OUT FOR IMMIGRANTS HERE ILLEGALLY

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (91%); ILLEGAL <u>IMMIGRANTS</u> (90%); FINES & PENALTIES (89%); FAMILY (89%); PUBLIC POLICY (78%); AMNESTY (72%); ARRESTS (72%); APPROVALS (70%); TECHNICIANS &

TECHNOLOGICAL WORKERS (63%); LOBBYING (60%)

Organization: IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION

SERVICE (59%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: October 21, 2002

End of Document