## Immigrants Fear Filing Suits, Advocates Say

## The New York Times

April 30, 2005 Saturday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2005 The New York Times Company

Section: Section B; Column 3; Metropolitan Desk; Pg. 4

Length: 561 words

Byline: By ANDREA ELLIOTT

## **Body**

Discrimination cases involving Muslims in the workplace, at school and in airports increased markedly after Sept. 11 but are most commonly brought by American-born Muslims because <u>immigrants</u> are reluctant to take legal action, lawyers and civil rights <u>advocates say</u>. A <u>fear</u> of retaliation by employers or more extreme outcomes, like deportation, drives many Muslim <u>immigrants</u> to stay quiet.

"There's a definite <u>fear</u> in the undocumented community to have any interaction with police or law enforcement," <u>said</u> Laila Al-Qatami, a spokeswoman for the American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee in Washington. "American citizens are definitely in a position where they can facilitate through the courts."

The cases, some of which have been settled by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, cover a spectrum of harassment and discrimination claims. Children have been barred from boarding airplanes because their names resembled those on a terrorist watch list; longtime female employees were suddenly told, after 9/11, to remove their hijabs.

African-American Muslims are among those most likely to <u>file</u> lawsuits, <u>said</u> Omar T. Mohammedi, a lawyer in New York City who has taken about 20 Muslim discrimination cases since 9/11.

He is representing Stephanie Lewis, one of four female bus drivers who sued the Metropolitan Transportation Authority and New York City Transit after, they <u>said</u>, they were asked to remove their head scarves. Transit agency officials would not comment on the case because it is in litigation.

Even though two of the female plaintiffs had been driving buses wearing their hijabs for years, after 9/11 they were told by various supervisors that their head scarves violated the transit agency's uniform policy, their lawyers *said*.

By November 2003, after the plaintiffs refused to take off their hijabs or wear a baseball cap issued by the transit agency over it, they had all been removed from their passenger bus routes and sent to depots to park and clean buses, they **said**.

In June 2004, Kevin Harrington, a 53-year-old Sikh, was temporarily removed from his job operating the No. 4 train after he declined to take off his turban. Mr. Harrington, who has worked with the transit agency for 23 years, was vexed: the agency had given him an award a few years earlier for driving his train backward on Sept. 11 to keep passengers away from ground zero.

Late last year, the transit agency presented the women and Mr. Harrington with an alternative: they could wear a blue cloth garment on their heads, with a transit agency logo patch above their foreheads, their lawyers <u>said</u>. The option was in keeping with a revision of the agency's uniform policy, which states that employees can only wear agency-issued headwear, but the women rejected the offer, their lawyers <u>said</u>. Mr. Harrington has agreed to wear the patch pending the outcome of his complaint.

The cases took a new turn last month when the Justice Department released to lawyers a study it conducted of the transit agency in January and February. The study found that in more than 300 instances, uniformed employees violated policy by wearing knit hats, baseball caps, berets and other garments. Lawyers for the plaintiffs <u>said</u> the study confirmed that the transit agency was singling Muslims and Sikhs out by forcing them to wear special head garments.

http://www.nytimes.com

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: RELIGION (92%); <u>SUITS</u> & CLAIMS (91%); IMMIGRATION (90%); CIVIL RIGHTS (90%); DISCRIMINATION (90%); MUSLIMS & ISLAM (90%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (90%); LITIGATION (90%); MUSLIM AMERICANS (90%); SCHOOL DRESS CODES (89%); LAWYERS (89%); WOMEN WORKERS (78%); SIKHS & SIKHISM (78%); HUMAN RIGHTS & CIVIL LIBERTIES LAW (78%); ARAB AMERICANS (78%); WOMEN (78%); DEPORTATION (77%); AFRICAN AMERICANS (73%); TERRORISM (73%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (71%)

**Organization:** AMERICAN-ARAB ANTI-DISCRIMINATION COMMITTEE (57%); US EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION (56%)

Industry: URBAN TRANSIT SYSTEMS (90%); AIRPORTS (90%); MOTORCOACHES & BUSES (89%); LAWYERS (89%); PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (87%)

Geographic: NEW YORK, NY, USA (92%); NEW YORK, USA (92%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: April 30, 2005

**End of Document**