

Lockout; A timely new book argues that barring U.S. doors to immigrants is folly.

The Washington Post

May 28, 2006 Sunday, Final Edition

Copyright 2006 The Washington Post



Section: **Book** World; T02

Length: 1263 words

Byline: Reviewed by Tamar Jacoby

Body

LOCKOUT

Why America Keeps Getting Immigration Wrong When Our Prosperity Depends On Getting It Right

By Michele Wucker

PublicAffairs. 288 pp. \$25.95

Continued from page 1

from Europe, today more than half come from Latin America and a quarter from Asia. The problem is that **U.S.** immigration quotas accommodate only about two-thirds of this influx, and the spillover -- a half-million illegal **immigrants** each year -- is creating problems from coast to coast, undermining the rule of law, endangering **U.S.** security and souring native-born Americans' attitudes toward all newcomers. With the White House and Congress deep in debate about the issue, Wucker usefully lays out what's wrong with the current system and summarizes the key arguments for the package of changes being discussed by lawmakers.

The **book's** most vivid and informative chapters deal with a subject not much highlighted in the media in recent months: the highly educated, highly skilled **immigrants** who account for, by my calculations, perhaps 10 to 15 percent of the current annual influx. It's not just our top math and science students who are **immigrants**; it's also a quarter of our PhDs, a quarter of our doctors and nurses, some 40 percent of our science and engineering doctorates and a corresponding four in 10 of our top scientists and engineers. Without them, Silicon Valley would not have happened, America's growing shortage of doctors and nurses would be a national emergency, and the **U.S.** R&D engine that has been driving globalization around the world would be sputtering ominously, if not petering out. Wucker introduces readers to these newcomers and underscores the importance of the contributions they make, scolding us fervently and urgently for taking them for granted.

Her concern is that our ambivalent attitudes toward foreigners and globalization, combined with our broken immigration bureaucracy, are going to deprive us of this talent. Her case is somewhat exaggerated. In one chapter, for instance, she imagines a day without not just Mexicans but without any **immigrants**, skilled or unskilled. This is downright silly; no one is thinking of sealing America's borders to top-level scientists. But she's on the money when

Lockout; A timely new book argues that barring U.S. doors to immigrants is folly.

she argues that we let in too few of these people, that our immigration bureaucracy handles their cases poorly and that, as a result, more and more prospective Americans would now rather immigrate to other countries.

Wucker frames her brief about the importance of welcoming skilled newcomers with a broader case about immigration generally. Americans, she argues, have always been ambivalent about foreigners, and we made a critical wrong turn at the start of the 20th century when the "Americanization" movement, which initially focused on helping immigrants adapt to life in the United States, turned coercive and xenophobic. Our post-Sept. 11 fears of jihadist infiltration have made a bad situation worse. We need to change course now, Wucker writes, and bring our immigration policy more into line with our labor needs, even as we rethink our wider approach to ethnicity and assimilation. She's right on all points, and she argues them passionately.

Things begin to go wrong when she moves beyond broad brush strokes to look more closely at American attitudes and immigration policy. Part of the problem is the fervor of her beliefs, which often seems to get the better of her writing. While she recognizes in her more sober moments that Americans have mixed feelings about immigrants -- at once welcoming, fearful and resentful -- too frequently she sounds as if the United States were one step away from xenophobic meltdown, or, as she puts it, a "lockout."

Her opening description of American attitudes is "us-versus-them . . . isolationism." Her picture of the 20th-century reaction to immigration is a litany of horrors: persecution, hate crimes, lynchings and internments. All these abuses happened on occasion, to our great shame, and from 1924 to 1965 the United States sharply restricted the number of immigrants we admitted. But Wucker's portrait of a nation violently hostile to all things foreign is misleading, and her unrelentingly alarmist tone works, if anything, to undermine her case.

When she gets to the present, she paints a vivid, damning picture of the excesses that occurred in the wake of 9/11: Middle Eastern men were required to register with the government, several hundred were detained under unusually harsh circumstances, and several thousand were eventually ordered to leave the country, often for technical legal violations. Meanwhile, heightened security checks made for long delays and growing backlogs. But here, too, Wucker seems to miss the forest for the trees. After all, for all the discrimination and inconveniences (and the skilled immigrants who decided to go elsewhere as a result), even in the wake of the attacks, we have not tightened our immigration policy -- the criteria for whom and how many immigrants we admit remain unchanged. Indeed, on Thursday, the Senate passed landmark, liberalizing legislation that would bring U.S. immigration quotas more into line with our labor needs and legalize some 12 million illegal immigrants.

Wucker endorses these changes in her last chapter, although, strangely enough, with few hints that anyone else is discussing them. Her recommendations also seem somewhat muddled, if not contradictory. She argues, quite rightly, for "a system that allows the people our economy needs to come here legally." But in the very same chapter, she proposes that we reduce the number we admit -- a change that would have the effect of strangling large parts of the economy. What Wucker seems to be saying is that we need more skilled workers and fewer unskilled. But this is far from true: We also need a great many more unskilled laborers than we now admit legally -- something she does not seem to recognize.

When it comes to assimilation -- that mysterious alchemy by which immigrants become Americans -- Wucker would like to strike a middle course between those who feel that the process must mean a renunciation of ethnicity and those who glorify difference at any cost, including the cost of a common mainstream culture. Once again, she makes an appealing, broad-brush case but never goes deeper -- never tells us how Americans might balance what she calls "symbolic" ethnicity with shared civic values and patriotism. Not only is it unclear what she means by "symbolic," but when she writes about immigrants' attachments to their home countries -- arguing, for example, that people should be able to vote in two nations -- she seems to endorse something far more than symbolism.

Are today's immigrants -- and their American-born children -- likely to get the immigrant bargain right? Can we find a way to admit the robust influx that's in our interest and all but inevitable in a globalizing world while maintaining our civic values and sense of ourselves as a nation? At the end of Lockout (somewhat surprisingly, given her emphasis on American xenophobia), Wucker predicts hopefully that we are just a step or two from striking that balance. She's plainly right that we need to do so -- and, indeed, in urging that we forge a more realistic

Lockout; A timely new book argues that barring U.S. doors to immigrants is folly.

policy, she helps sketch the road map. If only the dire rest of her book had not painted the destination as so hopelessly far away. *

Tamar Jacoby, a senior fellow at the Manhattan Institute, is the editor of "Reinventing the Melting Pot: The New Immigrants and What It Means To Be American."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (91%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); RULE OF LAW (78%); BOOK REVIEWS (78%); US CONGRESS (78%); IMMIGRATION LAW (78%); RACISM & XENOPHOBIA (76%); MATH & SCIENCE EDUCATION (72%); ENGINEERING (71%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (70%); LABOR SHORTAGES (66%)

Industry: BOOK REVIEWS (78%); ENGINEERING (71%)

Geographic: SILICON VALLEY, CA, USA (54%); UNITED STATES (96%); MEXICO (79%); LATIN AMERICA (73%)

Load-Date: May 28, 2006

End of Document