Justice Department Not Expected to Ask High Court to Review Sinking Fund Ruling

The Bond Buyer

December 11, 1987, Friday

Copyright 1987 The Bond Buyer, Inc.

Section: Pg. 1

Length: 464 words

Byline: By Lynn Stevens

Dateline: WASHINGTON, Dec. 10

Body

The Justice Department probably will not ask the Supreme Court to review a lower court ruling that struck down the Treasury Department's **sinking** fund regulations, a government lawyer said this week.

Justice Department lawyers have until Dec. 23 to ask the Supreme Court to review the June 12 ruling by a three-judge panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The ruling invalidated 1978 Treasury regulations that restricted the amount that can be earned on investments of money from <u>sinking</u> funds. State and local governments set up <u>sinking</u> funds to pay the interest and principal on their bonds.

Tucson had challenged the regulations about five years ago, charging that they were without statutory basis. The appeals court judges agreed with Tucson in their June ruling, which blasted Treasury for overstepping its bounds and ignoring statutory intent.

The Justice Department considered asking the Supreme Court to review the case after the appeals court judges on Sept. 24 denied the department's request to reconsider their June ruling.

Treasury lawyers initially said the Justice Department might not have to go to the Supreme Court, because Congress was expected to enact soon tax law technical corrections legislation that contained provisions nullifying the <u>court's</u> ruling.

But last month, the chairmen of the House and Senate committees that were working on the tax and budget bill that is expected to be enacted before the end of the year said they would not include the technical corrections *measures*.

Lawyers following the <u>sinking</u> fund case said that if there were <u>delays</u> in getting the <u>sinking</u> fund ruling nullified by Congress, then the Justice Department might ask the Supreme Court to review the ruling.

But one government lawyer this week said, "I frankly don't think that we will go to the Supreme Court." He said the Justice Department probably would not succeed in getting the court to review the case because of the legislation pending in Congress that would nullify the *court's sinking* fund ruling.

Technical corrections <u>measures</u> in the House and Senate contain identical provisions codifying into law the <u>sinking</u> fund regulations that the appeals court had ruled were without statutory basis.

Justice Department Not Expected to Ask High Court to Review Sinking Fund Ruling

And while bond counsel and issuers have vowed to fight the <u>sinking</u> fund provisions because they also appear to give the Treasury unlimited authority to interpret the tax law's arbitrage restrictions in writing regulations, congressional tax staff members say enactment of the provisions next year is virtually assured.

"Technical corrections legislation will be enacted by Congress next year, and the **sinking** fund provisions will be in that legislation," one staffer said.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: LAWYERS (92%); JUSTICE DEPARTMENTS (92%); TREASURY DEPARTMENTS (91%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (90%); APPEALS (90%); APPELLATE DECISIONS (90%); LEGISLATION (90%); DECISIONS & RULINGS (90%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (90%); SUPREME COURTS (90%); JUDGES (90%); APPEALS COURTS (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (89%); TAX LAW (89%); TAXES & TAXATION (89%); PUBLIC FINANCE (78%); **DELAYS** & POSTPONEMENTS (77%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (77%); REGIONAL & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (77%); PENDING LEGISLATION (66%)

Company: JUSTICE DEPARTMENT (94%); TREASURY DEPARTMENT (93%)

Organization: JUSTICE DEPARTMENT (94%); TREASURY DEPARTMENT (93%)

Industry: LAWYERS (92%); TREASURY DEPARTMENTS (91%); BUDGETS (78%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (77%)

Geographic: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (79%)

End of Document