

**THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES  
NETWORK HOLD A TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN  
2011**

CQ Transcriptions

January 10, 2012 Tuesday

Copyright 2012 CQ-Roll Call, Inc. All Rights Reserved

All materials herein are protected by United States copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, published or broadcast without the prior written permission of CQ Transcriptions. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice from copies of the content.

## **Body**

---

**EVENT DATE:** January 10, 2012

**TYPE:** NEWS CONFERENCE

**SPEAKER:** THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK

**WITNESSES:**

A. ELENA LACAYO, IMMIGRATION FIELD COORDINATOR, NCLR IMMIGRATION POLICY PROJECT

ISABEL RUBIO, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, HISPANIC INTEREST COALITION OF ALABAMA

SUMAN RAGHUNATHAN, DIRECTOR OF POLICY AND STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS, PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK

**OPERATOR:** Please standby, your program is about to begin.

Good day everyone, and welcome to the Immigration Legislation 2011 call.

At this time, all sites are currently in a listen-only mode, but later there will be a chance to ask questions. As a reminder, today's call may be recorded.

And I would now like to turn it over to Ms. Elena Lacayo. Please go ahead.

**LACAYO:** Thank you. Hello and welcome everyone today to -- to today's telephonic press conference on State Immigration Legislation, hosted by the National Council of La Raza and the Progressive States Network.

My name is Elena Lacayo. And I am the immigration field coordinator at the National Council of La Raza, the largest Latino advocacy and civil rights organization in the United States.

As a moderator for the call, I want to thank everyone for joining. And thank in a special way, our speakers who will share with us their expertise.

The failure of federal -- of the federal government to enact meaningful and comprehensive immigration reform has led to an increased activity on this issue at the state and local levels. Unfortunately after the 2010 passage of Arizona's S.B. 1070, which was at the time the most extreme and draconian immigration law in the country, numerous state legislators also pledged to pass similar or worse laws in their states.

Now that the 2011 state legislative sessions are over, NCLR is releasing a report entitled, The Wrong Approach: State Anti- Immigration Legislation in 2011, which takes a look back at the -- how those copycats failed to gain

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

momentum in 25 states, and outlines the negative impact that these -- that this legislation has had on the states that chose to approve them.

For your reference, you can find this report on NCLR's website at [www.NCLR.org](http://www.NCLR.org).

Before going into some points on the report, please let me introduce our other speakers in the order in which they'll be presenting.

After I outline the report findings, we will hear from Isabel Rubio, executive director of the Hispanic Interest Coalition of Alabama and an NCLR affiliate.

Ms. Rubio has been a tireless advocate for Latinos in her community and in the State of Alabama and has been a key ally in the effort to repeal HB 56, Alabama's Arizona copycat.

On this call, she'll talk about the humanitarian crisis that has resulted as -- from HB 56. And will provide a local perspective on the negative impact this extreme bill has had on her state.

After Isabel and I talk about the consequences of bills passed in the 2011 session, we'll switch gears and hear from Suman Raghunathan, director of policy and strategic partnerships at the Progressive States Network.

Suman will provide an overview on what to expect on 2012 legislative session. And will outline the solutions-based approaches to immigration that are being championed by state legislators across the country.

Lastly, I'm honored to introduce Colorado State Senator Michael Johnston of Colorado's 33rd District.

Senator Johnston will not only discuss why anti-immigrant bills like S.B. 1070 copycats are bad policy, but will also outline the solutions-based approaches he plans to push in Colorado's 2012 legislative session.

After all the speakers have presented, we will open the line for questions.

So before I hand over to the other speakers, I want to make a few comments of -- about the 2012 -- the 2011 legislative session and highlight some of the key findings from NCLR's new report, The Wrong Approach: State Anti-Immigration Legislation in 2011.

After the passage of S.B. 1070, news reports indicated that more than a -- more than half states -- half of the states would pass anti-immigrant legislation and -- similar to Arizona.

Despite this prediction, our report found that 25 states blocked or refused to consider S.B. 1070-style legislation in 2011. This brings the total number of states that have rejected Arizona-style legislation since its passage in 2010 to 31 states.

Not surprisingly, there were a number of reasons this legislation was rejected in 2011. This -- concerns over high cost of implementation, pushback from the business community at the state level, concerns of -- over the impacts these laws would have on public safety, and a desire by many legislators and community leaders to have a civilized debate at a local level stop these bills in 2011.

Legislators also closely monitored the fallout from Arizona's legislation which led to not only deep economical - economic impact and economic losses, but also had political consequences in the state.

It is also notable that in 2011, Arizona-style bills failed in both republican and democratically controlled states. While all five states that approved anti-immigration legislation in 2011 were Republican-controlled, legislatures controlled by both parties rejected legislation similar to S.B. 1070.

In fact, more than half of the states that rejected such bills in 2011 were Republican-controlled legislatures with more of -- more than half of those enjoying a Republican super majority.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

This indicates that elected officials on both sides of the aisle are beginning to recognize the deep price and the consequences that their states pay for passing such bills.

Unfortunately, five states passed anti-immigrant legislation in 2011: Utah, Indiana, Georgia, South Carolina and Alabama.

And while some of these bills have only had the -- have only been in effect for a short time, this report outlines some of the -- some of the challenges and consequences that have come about due to the Arizona copycat bills.

The first has been legal challenges. As in Arizona, all five states that have passed similar legislation have faced costly lawsuits and have had sections of these -- of these laws blocked by the courts.

The second big consequence is that these states have suffered great economic losses as a consequence of these bills.

We saw in Arizona that the boycott of the state due to S.B. 1070 led -- led to more than \$750 million in lawsuits.

In Georgia, even -- even before HB 87, which is their Arizona copycat went into effect, the Georgia Agribusiness Council reported that farms had already lost \$300 million in fruits and vegetables alone due to the lack of workers, and anticipated up to \$1 billion in losses stemming from spoiled and unpicked produce.

The labor shortage in Georgia was so severe, after the passage of this bill, that the governor unsuccessfully attempted to fill agricultural jobs with probationers.

The third point I want to make about the negative impact these bills have had on the state is the humanitarian crisis that they have caused. And I know Isabel will talk about this in -- at more depth about Alabama.

Unfortunately, the passage of Arizona-style legislation in the states have led to great confusion, compelling many Latino and immigrant communities, regardless of their immigration status, to keep their children from attending schools, refrain from working, go into hiding, or flee the state altogether.

And fourth, I would be remiss if I did not mention the political backlash that this had and -- for Arizona State Senator Russell Pearce, who is the father of S.B. 1070 legislation and to whom we can attribute all of these copycat bills.

He -- his defeat is of great significance and serves as a warning to other state legislators that push -- that pushing forward Arizona-style legislation may be politically unfavorable.

Although some states did approve anti-immigrant -- harmful anti-immigrant legislation in 2011, the overwhelming majority of states that considered them, rejected them instead.

Meanwhile, the states that did approve this harmful legislation are now undoubtedly facing numerous negative consequences as a result.

As we start the 2012 legislative state session, I want to take this opportunity to urge state leaders to reject Arizona-style legislation and urge them instead to work with federal legislators and fix the problem at the federal level.

Thank you very much.

And now I want to hand it over to Isabel Rubio of the Hispanic Interest Coalition of Alabama.

**RUBIO:** Thank you, Elena and good afternoon to everyone.

I'm Isabel Rubio, the executive director of the Hispanic Interest Coalition of Alabama, or as we call it, HICA.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

HICA empowers Alabama's Hispanic community and its numerous cultures as an economic and civic integrator, social resource connector, and statewide educator.

We facilitate the integration of citizenship and civic contributions through our programs while connecting our constituents to critical social, legal, and economic resources and opportunities across the state.

HICA has four programs, strong families, community engagement and education, immigration and access to justice, asset building and economic development.

We are the only independent community-based organization serving Alabama's Hispanic community. And we have been doing this work for almost 13 years.

Located in Birmingham, we work statewide. We are also the lead plaintiff of the civil rights coalition lawsuit filed by the Southern Poverty Law Center, the ACLU, the National Immigration Law Center, among others.

HICA is also a founding member of the Alabama Coalition for Immigrant Justice.

As the harshest immigration law in the country, HB 56 has ignited a humanitarian, economic, and civil rights crisis in Alabama.

It has the explicitly stated purpose in making Alabama so inhospitable that immigrants will deport themselves, as stated by one of the sponsors, Micky Hammon.

When this law emerged in the legislature last spring, thousands of confused and frightened Hispanics began flooding to HICA for information about the law, their rights, and how to protect their families with emergency plans.

Over a period of about six and a half months, HICA drafted over 700 powers of attorneys which provide families some protection if one family member is deported, and gives instructions about how to dispose of the family's assets, namely children, so that children aren't taken into foster care.

In all of 2011, HICA worked with over 9,000 Hispanic families, almost double the number of families we worked with in 2010.

HB 56 differs from other state immigration laws in several ways that clearly impact our families. HB 56 makes it a crime for undocumented immigrants to even be in the State of Alabama.

It requires schools to check immigration status. And requires law enforcement to ask folks for, papers please, if they believe reasonable suspicion exists that the person they stopped could be undocumented.

This section particularly harkens back to Alabama's dark past and threatens to take us back decades.

Hispanic families in Alabama have reported to us their fear of sending kids to school because kids are being bullied by teachers, other students, and administrators who are saying, "Go home, you don't belong here."

Folks are afraid to leave home to even go to church. And victims of domestic violence are afraid to report abuse for fear of deportation.

To that point, we know of one victim in particular who did report her abuse, and was told that her protection order hearing by the judge, that if she were to pursue the order for protection, she would be deported.

HB 56 does not reflect the Alabama I have called home for over 20 years now. I am thankful for the outpouring of support from across the country. And also locally from people who have stepped up to say enough.

But we have to continue to push for repeal. The Alabama Coalition for Immigrant Justice has built a strong core of organizations who are working to repeal HB 56.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

We are thankful to our partners who include Alabama Appleseed, the NAACP of Alabama, Alabama Arise, ACLU of Alabama, Greater Birmingham Ministries, Alabama Dreamers for the Future, and the Southern Poverty Law Center who are working across the state, and across color lines, to the leadership in the immigrant community and fortifying support among our allies and the base community.

We have a huge fight on our hands. But we believe that good people can and will prevail.

Thank you. And I look forward to your questions.

**LACAYO:** Great, thank you so much, Isabel.

And now, could -- I'd like to hand it over to Suman Raghunathan of the Progressive States Network.

**RAGHUNATHAN:** Great, thank you so much, Elena, and thanks to all the folks who were on today's call.

Just a brief word about Progressive States Network, we are a nonpartisan organization, a national one, that is here supporting the work of progressive state legislators around the country, and to advancing state policies that deliver on the issues that matter to working families.

And those include integrating immigrants into our communities, strong wage standards and workplace freedoms, bouncing work and family responsibilities, health care for all, smart growth in clean energy, tax and budget reform, clean and fair elections, and technology investments to bridge the digital divide.

I'm here today to speak about our incredibly intense work on immigration policy at the state level here. And I mean I'm here to -- to remind folks on the call that contrary to popular wisdom, there's actually a growing number of state legislators who are committed and are advancing common-sense state approaches to immigration policy, and are in the midst of introducing pro-immigrant bills on a number of issues this season.

In fact, we work closely with a group of progressive state legislators committed to common-sense immigration policy who are -- who are just doing just that. And those include legislators from 38 states and counting.

And the membership of this group has nearly tripled since Arizona passed S.B. 1070, and it continues to expand. The name of that organization is State -- or that affinity group is State Legislators for Progressive Immigration Policy.

And -- and these are the folks who are really at front and center of refuting and rejecting the flawed economically devastating approaches taken by Alabama, Arizona, and as Elena outlined, the other states that last year passed broad immigration enforcement laws.

You know, laws that incidentally some of these states are now seeking to repeal or amend having seen the error of their ways particularly with respect to the economic devastation those laws have brought on their state economies at a time of historic and unprecedented budget deficit.

You know, state lawmakers want what's best for their states and state economies. And 2011 showed us that legislators are increasingly committed to crafting solutions-based approaches to immigration policy focused on expanding opportunity and economic prosperity for all at a time when state budgets need all the help they can get.

Americans and state legislators certainly support federal comprehensive immigration reform. Yet while that effort remains stalled in Washington, state legislators are at the forefront of efforts to expand opportunity for all state residents, both immigrant and native born, through state proposals that seek to make responsible use of tax dollars for education not enforcement, uphold workplace rights and wage levels for all, and welcome the economic energy and contribution of immigrant workers and business owners.

All while staying true to our values as a nation of immigrants.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

I wanted to give the folks on the call a brief snapshot of some of the momentum that we're already seeing and working on with respect to pro-immigrant bills and specific -- around specific issues and states.

We're seeing a lot of continued interest similar to last year on tuition equity proposals. These are bills that allow undocumented students and other non-resident students to pay in-state tuition rates to attend state colleges and higher education institutions.

And state Senator Michael Johnston from Colorado will discuss more about what he's trying to do along those lines in Colorado.

And we're also seeing a lot of interest in pushing back against the flawed federal e-verify system which is essentially a workplace and employment verification system that has been shown to be inaccurate across the board and a jobs killer.

We're seeing a renewed commitment to upholding workplace rights, wage levels, and workplace safety for all workers including immigrants and native born.

And we're seeing a real interest in community policing and anti-racial profiling legislation at the state level, particularly after the more recent developments toward the end of last year around the federal flawed immigration enforcement program facing our communities.

On the anti-immigrant misguided and with respect to state policy, we are expecting to see some more broad enforcement bills based on the Arizona and/or Alabama model.

We are expecting to see continued efforts to mandate the e-verify employment verification system at the state level. We are expecting to see some more efforts to demand identity and citizenship information from those who are applying for public benefits, often for U.S. citizen children.

We are expecting to see more proposals around expanded immigration enforcement. And we are expecting to see some more plainly unconstitutional challenges to immigrant families and immigrant youth educational access, both to being able to attend public schools, our right which has been reaffirmed by the U.S. Supreme Court, as well as higher education.

With that, I'd love to turn the -- a virtual microphone over to State Senator Michael Johnston from Colorado's 33rd District to share more with us about why he is committed to advancing pro-immigrant policies, particularly around higher educational access in Colorado.

Senator Johnston?

**JOHNSTON:** Thanks, Suman, and thanks so much for having me on. And thank you everybody who joined the call.

I couldn't agree with what you said more. I mean, I think what we've seen in Colorado...

(CROSSTALK)

**RAGHUNATHAN:** I think we may be having some trouble with Senator Johnston joining the call.

**LACAYO:** We hear him, Suman. It's OK.

**JOHNSTON:** Can you all hear me?

**LACAYO:** Yes.

**JOHNSTON:** OK, great. Maybe, Suman can't hear me. But I think...

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

**RAGHUNATHAN:** And I'm sure he's going to be able to join us in a minute.

**LACAYO:** Suman?

We can hear Senator Johnston.

I don't think she...

**JOHNSTON:** Should I -- should I...

**LACAYO:** Go ahead, Senator, I'll (inaudible).

**JOHNSTON:** I'll keep going and if -- if it doesn't -- if you need to jump in, let me know, if we -- if we need to adjust the technical call...

**RAGHUNATHAN:** Senator Johnston, are you there?

**JOHNSTON:** I am here.

I am here.

Suman can't hear me, but I think everybody else can.

I'm going -- I'm going to go ahead you all. And keep talking. And if it turns out that I find out I'm talking to myself, I can start over again. But I don't want to keep folks waiting on the call if they are.

Quick overview, I think, of where Colorado is. And I think this is exactly what Suman indicated. Which is, when Colorado takes a look at what it is that we want to do in the state, I think like most states in the country, there are a couple of big priorities.

One, our -- we want to strengthen our education system. We want to make sure we're competitive in -- in -- in training and preparing kids to be able to compete in our economy in this state.

We want to be able to use public resources wisely, right, in a time when we're trying to balance the budget. We don't want to be spending a tremendous amount of money on enforcement on people that need to be deported for broken taillights.

And -- and we want to be able to try to support our systems of higher Ed that we know are struggling dramatically in this economy.

Do -- am I -- can you still hear me?

Hello?

**LACAYO:** Hi.

**JOHNSTON:** Yes, can -- can you still hear me?

**LACAYO:** Yes, we can hear you.

**JOHNSTON:** OK, great.

So, I think those three big issues, what are we doing to support a quality K-12 and higher education system. What are we doing to be fiscally responsible with the money we spend? And what are we doing to help prepare our workforce of college educated young people that will fuel our economy with big benefits for us?

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

And what we're seeing is at a time when there is a great shortage in Colorado of engineers, and scientists, and skilled labor, when we're more and more importing labor from other states and other countries, we have a tremendous resource right here in our own state.

Which our kids who've been in this country since they were three or four or five or 10 years old, who've come through our entire K12 (ph) education system, who are valedictorians of their high school classes, and who can't go on to college in this state because they don't have papers, and because they are being forced to pay six, often 10 times the tuition rates of -- of their salutatorians.

So I was a principal of a high school for six years. Literally my high school last year, the valedictorian of our class is a -- is undocumented. Salutatorian is documented for them to go to the same university in Colorado she would pay \$28,000 a year. The salutatorian would pay \$6,000.

So needless to say, what we have is a situation where some of our great talent in this state we're losing because we're making it impossible for these kids to be able to access college.

What that means is upwards of \$3 million to \$4 million a year of lost revenue to our higher Ed system that is being strangled by our budgets.

It means young people with no hope in high school and middle school, who then are making much bigger challenges for our education system, where in fact they are working hard in a way to be ready to go to college.

And it means jobs that are still unfilled that we're trying to import talent for.

And so, we proposed a bill last year. We modified it this year to say we think all universities in Colorado should be able to create a third category of tuition, one that is not an in-state resident, and one that's not an out of state student, but a student who has attended three years of a Colorado high school and graduated in good standing.

And that that student would be able to go to college at an in- state rate without any state subsidies. And so, in Colorado, every student receives about a \$2,000 state voucher for higher ed.

Our students by their own recommendation said that's OK, we don't have to be eligible for that stipend. But we want to make sure that we have access to these schools.

And so we're proposing a bill that's going to make sure that all Colorado kids that graduate from Colorado high schools can get to Colorado colleges. And now we're -- we're seeing more actually moderate Republican support for our bill this year than we had last year.

So what we've seen here is the tide has really turned away from the ideology and demagoguery about immigrants to a deeper realization that we've got to be attuned to the economics and to the educational pipeline of this state.

And that means really developing and supporting the talent we have, not punishing kids for where their parents brought them from.

So, we're optimistic that'll pass this year. And we're hopeful that that'll continue to make Colorado a place that we think is -- is true to the commitment, you know, that this country made, which is we hold these truths to be self-evident that all are created equal.

And if you come to Colorado and you work hard, then we'll make sure you have a chance to go to college.

**LACAYO:** Great, thanks so much, Senator. And I apologize to everybody on the call for the technical difficulties that we were having earlier.

And now, we'd like to open it up for -- for questions. And hopefully, we'll have participation from all of our speakers and be able to hear all of them.



THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

Operator, could you open the first question, please?

**OPERATOR:** Yes, at this time, if you would like to ask a question, it is star one to do so. Again, it is star one to ask a question.

If for any reason you'd like to remove yourself from the queue, you may do so by pressing the pound key.

And we will pause for a moment for any questions to populate the queue.

As a reminder, it is star one to ask a question.

And we will take our first question from the site of Dustin Volz with Cronkite News. Please go ahead, your line is open.

**QUESTION:** Hi, thank you. This is Dustin Volz with Cronkite News based in Arizona.

Can you talk a little bit more about what Senator Russell Pearce's recall meant nationally in terms of being a litmus -- a litmus test for anti-immigrant legislators around the country?

And how important was this recall to fighting this type of S.B. 1070-style legislation across the nation?

Thank you.

**LACAYO:** Sure, this is Elena Lacayo with NCLR again.

And we think that, you know, the recall of Senator Pearce's very significant -- obviously the -- the legislator who was elected in his place was very similar to him politically with the exception that he took a different stance on immigration.

And so -- and this is really significant because it was seen on the national level as a -- a referendum on his anti-immigrant approach, and his anti-immigrant agenda. That he's really been pushing for years in the state.

So, we think it's been really significant and should serve as a lesson for other legislators who are approaching immigration.

And I think also it'll -- it -- it serves a lesson for legislators at the national level as well as to what the consequences could be if -- if you pursue an anti-immigrant agenda.

**RAGHUNATHAN:** This is Suman Raghunathan.

I just wanted to -- to jump in and say that, it was -- it sent an incredibly powerful message to all the legislators that we work with and continue to attempt to work with to outline for them that there is in fact no -- you know, a low level of voter support for extreme anti-immigrant policies.

And I think that -- you know, the -- the hard and fast truth of Senator Pearce's historic recall was -- sent an incredibly powerful message to them that there is in fact support for the work that we are doing with them to advance pro-immigrant policies.

And I'm interested also to -- to hear Senator Johnston's reaction as well.

**JOHNSTON:** Yes, I mean, I -- I agree. I think that there is always, you know, the first -- the first chapter of this debate is always is it -- or is this not good policy. And I think that the national conversation is bearing out.

So, economically for the state among other -- other indicators is that policy, I think, the second question for elected officials becomes is it good politics.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

And I think it's this second phase makes clear that this is both good policy and good politics. Even within your own party.

I think that the -- I think that as was mentioned that the replacement candidate was still similar on many other issues. But this was the defining difference.

So, I think that it's a pretty good sense that even -- even voters and conservative voters are not looking for someone to wage ideological battle. They're looking to help balance the budget and create jobs in their states.

**LACAYO:** Great, the next question operator?

**OPERATOR:** The next question comes from the site of Patricia Fennell with El Latino newspaper. Please go ahead, your line is open.

**QUESTION:** Well, I'm with a Latino agency. But, basically to affirm everything that you -- that everyone has said, in Oklahoma we pass an anti-immigrant legislation that was supposed to be one of the most stringent in the country.

And what has happened is exactly what some of you have described, is that the economic impact on the state was felt so strongly and so quickly after the passage of the legislation that the business community has become our ally.

And although we have a very conservative legislature right now, the leaders in the legislature that were the proponents of anti-immigrant legislation, have basically become isolated and neutralized by their colleagues.

So, while there's not necessarily a pro-immigrant environment in the legislature, there's certainly -- there certainly have been efforts, maybe not necessarily public, but certainly negotiated efforts to neutralize any additional anti-immigrant legislation.

So, I think what -- what was -- has become an ally is the economic impact that basically demonstrated how negative all this anti-immigrant legislation is not just to our people, but really to the economies of the state.

**LACAYO:** Thanks, Patricia, and good to hear from you.

Operator, could you repeat the -- the instruction for questions and see if we -- and take the next question?

**OPERATOR:** Of course.

If you would like to ask a question, again, it is star one to do so.

That is star one to ask a question. And it looks like we have a follow-up from Dustin Volz with Cronkite News.

Please go ahead, your line is open.

**QUESTION:** Thank you. Yes, it's getting back to S.B. 1070, another question on -- I'm just wondering how -- is there anybody -- how you anticipate the Supreme Court's pending decision on S.B. 1070 will impact other states' consideration of similar bills, and going off of what -- everything you said, overall opinion nationally of this type of legislation.

And what are your -- what are you anticipating with that Supreme Court decision?

And how -- how big of a decision is it as far as what you guys are trying -- trying to do?

Thank you.

**RAGHUNATHAN:** I can at least begin to speak to that a little bit.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

This is Suman Raghunathan from Progressive States Network.

I would just say that we look forward to the Supreme Court argument around S.B. 1070. I think there have been some very strong messages sent by the federal courts. And particular in Arizona, there is real questions about the state's overreach, in particular with respect to immigration enforcement.

And essentially, you know, the overreach through S.B. 1070 and HB 56 on the other broad immigration enforcement bill, that raise questions about -- or that -- that continue to raise questions about how immigration enforcement continues to be strongly a federal issue, not a state issue.

I would also say that the other pro-immigrant proposals that we do have, you know, in the hopper and that we're working on, work with the current reality of the end of federal immigration responsibility which is largely related to determining who comes into the country, and enforcing immigration laws.

But that there is a whole window of opportunity that has already been quantified in the most recent series of immigration reform laws that allow states to decide for themselves whether or not they would like to expand opportunities for immigrant families and residents in their state.

And that's particularly around the question of access to higher education, access to some emergency medical care, and childcare -- I'm sorry, health care for immigrant women and children.

And the question about wage enforcement actually doesn't even take immigration status into account at all. In fact, current labor law specifies that workplace protections and wage and hour protections apply to all workers regardless of their immigration status.

So, I would just remind folks that while the Supreme Court decision will be major, it is specifically related to immigration enforcement, and so does not necessarily extends to the broader questions about determining immigrant families' access to various state's institutions or programs.

**JOHNSTON:** Elena?

**LACAYO:** Yes.

**JOHNSTON:** Can I add a -- a quick comment on that from the legislative level. This is Mike Johnston from Colorado.

I actually don't think it has an impact. And -- and it goes back to the comment that the women from Oklahoma City or from Oklahoma made which is, we are not in the State of Colorado having a debate where we're saying, gosh, you know, we'd really like to pass a piece of legislation like S.B. 1070.

We're just worried that it might be unconstitutional. So let's wait and see if the Supreme Court overturns it. And then we'll do it.

We're saying, we have no desire to pass S.B. 1070, because A, it's bad policy. And it's going to be a terrible use of public resources.

And B, it can have a terrible impact financially on the state from the amount of revenue we'll lose from taking that kind of stand.

So, it does make a bit of difference to us how that Supreme Court goes.

We already know in Colorado we have no interest in this policy. And so, the Supreme Court decision doesn't push us one way or the other.

**LACAYO:** Thank you.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

Next question please.

**JOHNSTON:** And I apologize, you all, I have to jump off. But, thank you all so much for joining and love to help if I can in any follow-up questions.

**LACAYO:** Thank you, Senator Johnston.

**JOHNSTON:** You bet.

**OPERATOR:** Our next question comes from the site of Betty Lin with the World Journal. Please go ahead, your line is open.

**QUESTION:** Hi, thank you (inaudible). I'd like you to comment on -- on today's announcement by the White House that Cecilia Munoz is being promoted as director of Domestic Policy Council and what kind of impact on immigration?

And what do you expect what happened from the White House on immigration this coming year?

**LACAYO:** Hi, this is Elena with NCLR.

We -- we just learned about this -- this announcement ourselves. I mean, what I can say is that we are heartened that someone who knows the issue of immigration and has that expertise is going to have an elevated role within the administration.

And so we look forward to seeing forward movement on the issue of immigration in -- after this announcement.

**QUESTION:** And what kind of impact do you think immigration will be -- will be playing out in this election cycle?

And do you think the immigrants will support President Obama and -- and the mostly the Hispanic voters?

**MARTINEZ:** Hello, this is Clarissa Martinez with the National Council of La Raza, working closely with Elena on these issues, and also on Latinos civic participation.

I think that where -- where it comes to immigration, we all know that it is an issue of great concern to Latino voters. And they're going to be watching very closely.

On the one hand, there is concern about the record of deportations with the Obama administration. And on the other hand, there is a great deal of concern over the demonizing and antagonizing language that many candidates on the GOP column have been using.

So, I think that for anybody who follows politics in our country, it is hard to miss the fact that Latinos are an increasingly important element of a victorious electoral equation. And it's going to be very interesting to see how the GOP emerging candidate makes amends with this community coming out of the primary season.

At the same time, I think that the record of the Obama administration is on the minds of people. There's been some positive steps as well.

And though immigration is not the only issue, it's definitely going to motivate Latino voters to the polls as almost half the -- half of them know someone who has been affected by the congressional inaction on immigration, and by the current -- by -- by the execution of the current policies we're seeing.

**LACAYO:** Thanks, Clarissa.

Do we have any more questions, operator?

**OPERATOR:** At this time, there are no further questions.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA AND PROGRESSIVE STATES NETWORK HOLD A  
TELECONFERENCE ON IMMIGRATION LEGISLATION IN 2011

**LACAYO:** Well, thank you so much to everybody who joined the call. And thanks especially to our speakers, Suman and Isabel, thank you so much for joining us.

If you would like to follow-up on anything that was -- if you want to follow-up with any speakers, please call NCLR.

Our main line is -- or the number you can contact us at is 202- 776-1566.

Thanks very much to everyone and we'll talk to you soon.

**OPERATOR:** This does conclude your teleconference. Thank you for your participation. You may now disconnect.

END

## Classification

---

**Language:** ENGLISH

**Subject:** IMMIGRATION (91%); IMMIGRATION LAW (91%); PUBLIC POLICY (90%); US STATE IMMIGRATION LAW (89%); EXECUTIVES (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); US STATE GOVERNMENT (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (78%); MIGRATION ISSUES (78%); PRESS CONFERENCES (78%); ARIZONA IMMIGRATION LAW (78%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (78%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (78%); HUMAN RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS (67%); APPROVALS (60%); STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS (57%); CIVIL RIGHTS (52%)

**Geographic:** ALABAMA, USA (94%); ARIZONA, USA (92%); UNITED STATES (79%)

**Load-Date:** January 10, 2012