Border agents' view: Target the employers

St. Paul Pioneer Press (Minnesota)

May 30, 2006 Tuesday

Copyright 2006 St. Paul Pioneer Press All Rights Reserved

Section: MAIN; Pg. 8A

Length: 515 words

Byline: KEVIN G. HALL, Knight Ridder News Service

Body

ARIVACA, Ariz. — The <u>agents</u> who patrol the porous U.S.-Mexico <u>border</u> welcome the plan to clamp down on illegal immigration, but they also want a crackdown on <u>employers</u> who hire undocumented workers.

On the Arizona <u>border</u> with Mexico, many of the 2,400 men and women of the <u>Border</u> Patrol support President Bush's call to raise the total number of <u>agents</u> protecting the southern <u>border</u> by 6,000 to 18,000. This week, Bush is to begin sending National Guard members to the four U.S. states that <u>border</u> Mexico for temporary, rotating deployments until new **Border** Patrol **agents** can be hired and trained.

They also support Thursday's Senate action to extend walls erected along the **border** with Mexico and to deploy more vehicle barriers and new technology to frustrate illegal entry.

But they part ways with Bush, many vehemently, on his guest-worker program, a centerpiece of the Senate's immigration legislation. And they believe that without cracking down hard on <u>employers</u>, higher-paying American jobs will remain a magnet for the down-and-out in Mexico.

"When the top guy offers amnesty and guest-worker programs, what does that tell them?" grumbled one veteran **Border** Patrol **agent**, pointing to 38 men and women he and colleagues had just apprehended near Arivaca Lake, some 40 miles from the **border** in Arizona's vast moon-like desert. The group had walked for two nights in hopes of reaching Tucson, about 68 miles from where they entered illegally.

The <u>agent</u> and several colleagues, who are prohibited by law from giving their names when discussing pending legislation, complained that Bush isn't willing to penalize those who've immigrated illegally, and they said that invites more illegal immigration.

On the Web site of U.S. <u>Border</u> Patrol Local 2544, the union that represents <u>agents</u> along much of the Arizona <u>border</u> with Mexico, there's contempt for Bush and Democrats alike as they work on immigration reform.

"Every day that President Bush and the Senate hold real <u>border</u> security hostage to their misguided amnesty program, thousands upon thousands of illegal aliens continue to flood into the country," reads the chapter's home page. "Make no mistake, most of them get by us. We are losing this war, and it's not even close."

Local 2544 spokesman Mike Albon, a 32-year retired **Border** Patrol veteran, is equally blunt. Washington's solutions, he said, are bound to fail because they don't punish **employers**.

"The interior enforcement has taken a back seat to <u>border</u> enforcement. So once they (immigrants) get past the <u>border</u>, they're home free," Albon said. "If they don't have jobs that they can come to, then there's no reason for them to come, and they would stay where they are."

Border agents' view: Target the employers

Border Patrol agents and their critics agree on that.

"It's good for Mexico and it's convenient for the United States to have cheap laborers," said Sandy Yahn, a retiree and volunteer for Los Samaritanos, or The Samaritans, a group that aids those found wandering through Arizona's desert.

On the Web site of U.S. <u>Border</u> Patrol Local 2544, there's contempt for the solutions offered by Bush and Democrats alike.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>BORDER</u> CONTROL (99%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL <u>BORDERS</u> (93%); IMMIGRATION (91%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (89%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (89%); LEGISLATION (88%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (78%); RECRUITMENT & HIRING (77%); AMNESTY (74%); NATIONAL SECURITY (73%); PENDING LEGISLATION (67%)

Industry: WEBSITES (62%)

Person: GEORGE W BUSH (58%)

Geographic: TUCSON, AZ, USA (78%); ARIZONA, USA (94%); MEXICO (95%); UNITED STATES (94%);

NORTH AMERICA (92%)

Load-Date: May 30, 2006

End of Document