Critic of Immigration Proposal Cited Lower I.Q. of Immigrants in Dissertation

The New York Times Blogs
(The Caucus)
May 8, 2013 Wednesday

Copyright 2013 The News York Times Company All Rights Reserved

Section: US; politics Length: 792 words

Byline: ASHLEY PARKER and KITTY BENNETT

Highlight: A co-author of a new Heritage Foundation study highly critical of the Senate's bipartisan immigration proposal also wrote a doctoral dissertation in which he argued that <u>immigrants</u> generally have an I.<u>Q</u>. that is “substantially lower than that of the white native population.”

Body

A co-author of a new Heritage Foundation study highly critical of the Senate's bipartisan immigration proposal also wrote a doctoral dissertation in which he argued that <u>immigrants</u> generally had an I.<u>Q</u>. that was "substantially lower than that of the white native population."

Jason Richwine, who joined the Heritage Foundation in 2012 as a senior policy analyst after receiving his doctorate in public policy from Harvard University in 2009, focused <u>his dissertation</u>, "I. $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. and Immigration Policy," on his view that the lower intelligence of <u>immigrants</u> should be considered when drafting immigration policy.

A summary of Mr. Richwine's dissertation, first reported by The Washington Post, says:

"The statistical construct known as IQ can reliably estimate general mental ability, or intelligence. The average IQ of *immigrants* in the United States is substantially lower than that of the white native population, and the difference is likely to persist over several generations. The consequences are a lack of socioeconomic assimilation among low-IQ *immigrant* groups, more underclass behavior, less social trust, and an increase in the proportion of unskilled workers in the American labor market. Selecting high-IQ *immigrants* would ameliorate these problems in the U.S., while at the same time benefiting smart potential *immigrants* who lack educational access in their home countries."

In a section titled "The *Immigrant* I. Q. Deficit," Mr. Richwine writes, "*Immigrants* living in the U.S. today do not have the same level of cognitive ability as natives."

He concludes that section with the belief that Hispanics are not likely to catch up to their non-Hispanic counterparts.

"No one knows whether Hispanics will ever reach I. $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. parity with whites, but the prediction that new Hispanic <u>immigrants</u> will have low-I. $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. children and grandchildren is difficult to argue against," he writes. "From the perspective of Americans alive today, the low average I. $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. of Hispanics is effectively permanent."

He argues that $I.\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. should be used as a "selection factor" in admitting $\underline{immigrants}$ into the country, and he says that he is unsure whether "the deficit" is a factor of genes, environment, or both.

"Today's $\underline{immigrants}$ are not as intelligent on average as white natives," he writes in his concluding section. "The I. $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$. difference between the two groups is large enough to have substantial negative effects on the economy and on American society."

Critic of Immigration Proposal Cited Lower I.Q. of Immigrants in Dissertation

His assertions quickly drew attention in the closely watched immigration fight on Wednesday, and the Heritage Foundation immediately sought to distance itself from the academic paper.

"This is not a work product of the Heritage Foundation," Mike Gonzalez, vice president of communications for the organization, said in an e-mail statement. "Its findings in no way reflect the positions of the Heritage Foundation. Nor do the findings affect the conclusions of our study on the cost of amnesty to the U.S. taxpayer."

The Heritage Foundation study is at the center of a split among conservatives about how to proceed on immigration, and a similar study helped kill earlier efforts at an immigration overhaul. The new study concluded that the plan would cost taxpayers \$6.3 trillion, by calculating that <u>immigrants</u> would receive \$9.4 trillion in government benefits and services, while paying only \$3.1 trillion in taxes. It has <u>already come under significant scrutiny and criticism</u>, including from prominent conservatives like Senator Marco Rubio, Republican of Florida and an author of the immigration legislation.

Mr. Richwine is no stranger to controversy. In a <u>2012 op-ed in The Wall Street Journal</u>, in response to a fight over collective bargaining in Wisconsin, Mr. Richwine argued that public workers were overpaid.

"When the public pay debate began to simmer two years ago, we were among the few analysts to show that many public employees - federal, state and local, including public school teachers - are paid more than what their skills would merit in the private economy," he wrote. "Our core insight was that public-sector pensions are several times more generous than typical private-sector plans, but this generosity is obscured by accounting assumptions that allow governments to contribute far less to pension plans than private employers must."

He also <u>has written previously</u> about how he believes I.<u>Q</u>. and immigration are intertwined. In a 2009 article in Forbes, Mr. Richwine held up Indian-Americans as "the model minority."

- Estimate of Immigration Overhaul's Cost Gets Mixed Reviews on Right
- McCain Says Airstrikes in Syria Put Pressure on Obama to Act
- Sessions Says Immigration Bill Is a Threat to U.S. Workers
- · Lawmakers Call for Stronger U.S. Action in Syria
- Obama and G.O.P. Spar Over Direction of Cuts

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Document-Type: News

Publication-Type: Web Blog

Subject: IMMIGRATION (93%); PUBLIC POLICY (91%); INTELLIGENCE & COGNITION (90%); ECONOMICS (78%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (78%); WRITERS (78%); AMNESTY (72%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (67%); GRANDCHILDREN (62%); ECONOMIC NEWS (50%)

Company: GRAHAM HOLDINGS CO (56%)

Organization: HERITAGE FOUNDATION (94%); THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION (58%); HARVARD UNIVERSITY

(57%); Heritage Foundation

Ticker: GHC (NYSE) (56%)

Industry: WRITERS (78%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (96%); United States

Load-Date: May 12, 2013

End of Document