## Effect of Immigration on Jobs, Wages Is Difficult for Economists to Nail Down

The Washington Post

April 15, 2006 Saturday, Final Edition

Copyright 2006 The Washington Post

## The Washington Post washingtonpost.com

**Section:** Financial; D01 **Length:** 1185 words

Byline: Nell Henderson, Washington Post Staff Writer

## **Body**

According to the economic models, it's a no-brainer: a surge of low-skilled immigrants should increase the supply of such workers, driving **down wages** at the expense of working-class Americans.

Sen. Byron L. Dorgan (D-N.D.), explaining why he opposes legislation to allow more legal <u>immigration</u>, said recently: "I don't think you need a professor to understand that when you import substantial cheap labor, it displaces American workers."

But recent research suggests that the economic impact of <u>immigration</u> is not so simple. The <u>effects</u> are <u>difficult</u> to disentangle from other factors that have dampened <u>wage</u> growth for most workers in recent decades, including new technologies, the decline in manufacturing <u>jobs</u>, the drop in unionization, globalization and recessions.

Yes, an influx of immigrants has helped depress the incomes of the lowest-skilled workers in recent decades, many <u>economists</u> agree. But they argue about the magnitude of the <u>effect</u>, some say it's big while others see it as slight.

Meanwhile, increased <u>immigration</u> -- legal and illegal -- helps keep inflation low, boosts rents and housing values, and benefits the average U.S. taxpayer while burdening some state and local governments, other research finds.

"Immigration provides overall economic gains to a country," wrote economist Albert Saiz, summarizing the literature in a 2003 article for the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. "Indeed, the U.S. experience as an immigrants' country is one of phenomenal economic growth. However, there are winners and losers in the short run."

The primary losers in this country are workers who do not have high school diplomas, particularly blacks and native-born Hispanics, according to George J. Borjas, a Harvard University **economist** who has studied **immigration** for years.

From 1980 through 2000, *immigration* reduced average <u>wages</u> for the nation's 10 million native-born men without high school educations by 7.4 percent, Borjas wrote in 2004. They earned an average of \$25,000 a year in 2000.

Other <u>economists</u> contend that the <u>effect</u> is much smaller -- a <u>wage</u> reduction of close to 1 percent -- and has dissipated as Americans have become better educated. The proportion of the adult labor force, including immigrants, without high-school diplomas has dropped to just 10 percent.

The proportion of immigrants in the U.S. adult urban population nearly doubled from 1980 to 2000, from 9.5 percent to 18 percent, according to census figures cited by David Card, an <u>economist</u> at the University of California at Berkeley, in a paper presented at a Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia conference last year. The Washington area mimicked the national trend, with the immigrants' proportion growing from 9.6 percent to 20.6 percent during those two decades.

And immigrants are, on average, less schooled than native-born Americans. Looking at census data from hundreds of the nation's urban areas where immigrants cluster, Card found that in both 1980 and 2000, more than a third of adult immigrants did not have high school diplomas. But the proportion of working-age natives at that education level fell from 23 percent to 13 percent from 1980 to 2000, "more than offsetting the inflow of less-educated immigrants."

The <u>wage</u> gap between high school graduates and dropouts stayed relatively constant from 1979 to 2000, with the graduates earning 25 to 30 percent more, Card wrote.

The "evidence that immigrants harm native opportunities is scant," he concluded, observing "a surprisingly weak relationship between *immigration* and less-skilled *wages*."

<u>Immigration</u> also has made up for population losses in some parts of the country. In New England and the Mid-Atlantic, the labor force would have declined from 1990 to 2000 without <u>immigration</u>, according to a report released in February by Northeastern University's Center for Labor Market Studies.

Other economic trends have had much more impact on <u>wages</u>, analysts say. Perhaps the biggest is the general health of the economy.

During the 1990s, the surge in <u>immigration</u> coincided with an economic boom driven in large part by investment in new technology and rising stock prices. Unemployment fell below 4 percent in 2000, for the first time in three decades, and <u>wages</u> rose for workers at all skill levels.

Since 2000, increasing *immigration* has coincided with the 2001 recession, an initially weak recovery and a period of lackluster *wage* growth for most workers.

In the 1990s, "you saw all boats being lifted, whether foreign-born or native," said Jared Bernstein, a senior **economist** at the Economic Policy Institute.

The recent downturn also coincided with a steep loss of manufacturing **jobs** -- more than 2.7 million shed from the start of the recession in March 2001 through last month, accelerating a trend that began in 1979, according to Labor Department figures.

The quarter-century decline in manufacturing <u>jobs</u>, which often paid middle-class <u>wages</u> and benefits, is one factor that has contributed to the fall during that period in average hourly earnings, adjusted for inflation, <u>economists</u> say.

Those losses were part of the long-term evolution of an industrial-based economy into one based more on services and information. Those <u>jobs</u> have been lost to international competition or erased by new technologies that have boosted overall worker productivity.

The shrinking of the manufacturing sector has also corresponded to a drop in union membership to 13 percent of the workforce currently from 24 percent in 1979, weakening labor's power to bargain for better *wages*.

"An extra million immigrants a year cannot possibly explain why the vast majority of workers in a labor market of 150 million workers have had stagnant <u>wage</u> growth," said Harry J. Holzer, an <u>economist</u> at Georgetown University. "All these other factors matter more."

Effect of Immigration on Jobs, Wages Is Difficult for Economists to Nail Down

To the extent that cheap low-skilled labor helps hold <u>down</u> prices, there is more demand for some services, fostering economic growth. Lower menu prices encourage consumers to dine out more, leading to the opening of more restaurants. Lower construction costs make home-building more profitable and home remodeling more affordable.

Low-<u>wage</u> immigrant labor generally has helped keep inflation low in recent years, for items other than energy and housing, <u>economists</u> say.

The nation's 34 million immigrants also collectively pay more in taxes than they consume in public services and benefits, according to a National Research Council study. A high proportion of them work and pay federal, state and local taxes. Many return to their home countries before retirement and never claim Social Security payments or Medicare coverage.

But the <u>effects</u> vary locally. In states such as New Jersey and California, with high proportions of immigrants and relatively generous social services, immigrants pay less in taxes than they use in government services such as public schools, the NRC study found.

Such burdens "cannot be ignored," Saiz wrote, "although which mix of distributive or <u>immigration</u> policies is better for dealing with them is a matter of opinion."

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (93%); ECONOMICS (91%); <u>WAGES</u> & SALARIES (89%); ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (89%); ECONOMIC NEWS (88%); CENSUS (85%); TRENDS (79%); MIGRATION ISSUES (78%); RECESSION (78%); INFLATION (78%); LABOR FORCE (78%); ECONOMIC GROWTH (78%); MANUFACTURING OUTPUT (78%); AVERAGE EARNINGS (77%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (76%); IMPORT TRADE (76%); LABOR UNIONS (73%); ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (73%); LEGISLATION (72%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (71%); CENTRAL BANKS (71%); HIGH SCHOOLS (68%); REGIONAL & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (66%); CITIES (50%); CITY LIFE (50%)

Company: FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF PHILADELPHIA (68%)

Industry: MANUFACTURING OUTPUT (78%); REAL ESTATE (73%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (71%); CENTRAL BANKS (71%); HIGH SCHOOLS (68%)

Person: BYRON DORGAN (57%)

**Geographic:** SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA, CA, USA (79%); NORTH DAKOTA, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (96%)

Load-Date: April 15, 2006

**End of Document**