N.J. ranks third in increase of nonnatives; It trails only California and Texas, a new study says. N.J. immigrants tend to be more affluent, though.

The Philadelphia Inquirer

November 29, 2007 Thursday, JERSEY Edition

Copyright 2007 Philadelphia Newspapers, LLC All Rights Reserved

Section: SOUTH JERSEY; Inq South Jersey; Pg. B01

Length: 923 words

Byline: By Craig R. McCoy and Jennifer Moroz

Inquirer Staff Writers

Body

New Jersey trails only California and **Texas** in the recent explosive growth of its **immigrant** population, according to a **study** to be released today.

But the state's <u>nonnatives</u> appear to be <u>more <u>affluent</u> than <u>immigrants</u> elsewhere in the country, the <u>study</u> notes. And the Garden State's <u>immigrants</u> arrive legally in greater numbers - and receive government services somewhat less often.</u>

The number of <u>immigrants</u> in <u>New Jersey</u>, both legal and illegal, has jumped by almost 600,000 since 2000, according to the report from the Center for Immigration <u>Studies</u> in Washington.

It was the *third*-largest numerical *increase* among the states.

As a result, <u>immigrants</u> and their U.S.-born children currently make up slightly <u>more</u> than a quarter of all <u>New</u> Jerseyans, among the highest percentages in the nation.

Immigrants and their native-born offspring constitute almost 17 percent of the U.S. population.

In Pennsylvania, their share is 6 percent.

In the Garden State, 77 percent of all <u>nonnative</u> residents are present legally, compared with 70 percent nationwide. In Arizona, <u>only</u> 35 percent of the <u>immigrant</u> population is composed of legal residents.

Steven A. Camarota, research director at the Center of Immigration <u>Studies</u> and author of the <u>new study</u>, <u>said</u> yesterday the think tank supported reduced immigration and stricter enforcement of immigration laws, but no cutoff in social services to legal <u>immigrants</u>.

The center recently served in an advisory capacity to Hazleton, Pa., in a legal challenge to that city's effort to enforce penalties for housing or employing illegal *immigrants*.

In his 44-page report, Camarota statistically details the wave of immigration that has led to a near-doubling of the country's foreign-born population since 1990.

Nationwide, legal and illegal <u>immigrants</u> now make up 13 percent of the population. That is just under the historic peak of 1910, when 14.7 percent of the country was foreign-born.

N.J. ranks third in increase of nonnatives; It trails only California and Texas, a new study says. N.J. immigrants tend to be more affluent, though.

Today, one in eight U.S. residents is an *immigrant*. In 1990, it was one in 13, the reports notes.

The report draws upon the annual survey of the U.S. census, as well as estimates of the illegal population developed by the Department of Homeland Security, the Pew Hispanic Center, and others.

About 37 million current American residents are <u>immigrants</u>, and almost one in three is here illegally, the <u>study</u> concluded.

"The most important things are the numbers are big, they are growing very fast, and the number of illegal residents is big," Camarota *said*.

Since 2000, the report found, the total number of <u>immigrants</u> in <u>New Jersey</u> has gone up 46 percent, to 1.9 million.

During that time, the <u>immigrant</u> population of Pennsylvania <u>increased</u> to 581,000. That was a 60 percent hike, but it still left the state with a much smaller foreign-born mix than <u>New Jersey</u>, both in count and as a share of total population.

The <u>study</u> also broke down the <u>immigrant</u> population by such factors as income, use of welfare services, and coverage by health insurance.

In <u>New Jersey</u>, the median household income among <u>immigrants</u> was closer to that of native residents than in the nation as a whole.

In the <u>affluent</u> Garden State, the income figure was \$66,170 for <u>immigrants</u> versus \$68,988 for the native-born. Nationally, the native-born population had a median income of about \$49,000 - \$5,000 <u>more</u> than that of <u>immigrants</u>. Low incomes among <u>immigrants</u> are most common in states with larger populations of Mexicans, 60 percent of whom live in or near poverty nationwide.

About 20 percent of the state's <u>immigrant</u> households receive some form of welfare, compared with 14 percent of native households. Nationally, a <u>third</u> of all households of the foreign-born received such help, versus 19 percent of native-born households.

In <u>New Jersey</u> and elsewhere in the nation, there is a large gap between <u>immigrants</u> and natives when it comes to private health insurance.

About 37 percent of *immigrants* in the state had no private coverage, twice the rate of native-born *New* Jerseyans.

As for educational costs, the report found that illegal <u>immigrants</u> make up an unusually high percentage of the state's school-age population. Of children 5 to 17 years old, 6 percent were illegal residents - twice the national rate and the highest percent in the nation.

Despite these expenses, Charles "Shai" Goldstein, executive director of the **New Jersey** Immigration Policy Network, which favors helping undocumented **immigrants** become citizens, **said immigrants** pay **more** in taxes than they receive in services.

"One of the big lies in the immigration debate is based on a failure to understand that every <u>immigrant</u> pays 100 percent of the sales taxes and 100 percent of the property taxes," he <u>said</u> yesterday. In addition, because undocumented workers often present fraudulent Social Security numbers, they "pay <u>more</u> into payroll taxes than they receive because they're not going to get Social Security."

Tim Smith, a senior economist and immigration expert at the Rand Corp., a <u>California</u> research center, led a <u>study</u> in 1997 that focused on the costs of <u>immigrant</u> populations in <u>California</u> and <u>New Jersey</u>.

In an interview yesterday, Smith <u>said</u> that native Californians faced an additional tax "hit" of several thousand dollars to cover the schooling and other government costs of <u>immigrants</u>.

N.J. ranks third in increase of nonnatives; It trails only California and Texas, a new study says. N.J. immigrants tend to be more affluent, though.

But because <u>New Jersey</u>'s <u>immigrant</u> population is <u>more affluent</u>, he <u>said</u>, Garden State natives likely faced a much smaller additional tax burden of perhaps a few hundred dollars.

Contact staff writer Craig R. McCoy at 609-989-9016 or cmccoy@phillynews.com.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (93%); US STATE IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); RESEARCH INSTITUTES (90%); RESEARCH REPORTS (90%); ILLEGAL *IMMIGRANTS* (89%); POPULATION GROWTH (89%); POPULATION & DEMOGRAPHICS (89%); POPULATION SIZE (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (75%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (75%); POLLS & SURVEYS (70%); NATIONAL SECURITY (68%)

Geographic: <u>NEW JERSEY</u>, USA (94%); PENNSYLVANIA, USA (93%); ARIZONA, USA (79%); <u>TEXAS</u>, USA (79%); <u>CALIFORNIA</u>, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: November 29, 2007

End of Document