# Helping Workers in Hard Times

The New York Times
February 15, 2009 Sunday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2009 The New York Times Company

Section: Section WK; Column 0; Editorial Desk; Pg. 9; EDITORIAL

Length: 634 words

## **Body**

Immigration <u>hard</u>-liners have wasted no <u>time</u> harnessing their dreams of mass expulsion to the recession. The avalanche of lost jobs and grim national mood cry out for the laying of blame, and restrictionist groups are angrily pointing the finger at illegal immigrants. <u>Workers</u> without papers have already been accused of causing leprosy, crime waves, environmental ruin, global warming and the decline of Western civilization, so tying them to a bad economy seems only natural.

But maybe we should think this through.

Undocumented immigrants make up only about one-twentieth of the work force but are overwhelmingly represented in the most dangerous, dirty and low-paying jobs. Driving out every undocumented <u>worker</u>, a temptation in <u>hard times</u>, clears the way for laid-off Americans to pick lettuce, wash dishes and cars, and wait all morning outside Home Depot for a contractor to drive up.

That doesn't sound terribly smart. Nor were the efforts by tough-talkers in Congress to slip into the stimulus package a ban on any illegal <u>worker</u> receiving a penny of recovery money. They wanted to require every business receiving that money to use an error-plagued employment-verification system, E-Verify.

That idea crashes on the rocks of common sense. It is impossible to know how many undocumented <u>workers</u> might get hired through the stimulus, but the Congressional Budget Office has already quantified the cost -- in thousands of lost jobs and billions in lost tax revenue -- of the mandatory mass expansion of E-Verify. That is from all the *workers* who would be fired because of database errors or simply moved off the books.

There is a better strategy that hews to core American values and common sense. It is to support <u>workers</u> -documented or not. It is to fight back against abuses that make wages and job conditions worse for everyone. It is
to throw light on off-the-books labor, and on the tax-cheating businesses that have exploited it for too long.

American union members understand this. The Laborers' International Union of North America, which represents the <u>hard</u> hats who build condos, shopping malls and bridges, is working to organize immigrant <u>workers</u> in the Sun Belt who built homes during the boom. Exploited then and jobless now, they need <u>help</u>. "It isn't <u>workers</u> that are trying to drive down wages in the residential construction industry," said Terrence O'Sullivan, the union president. "It's unscrupulous employers who try to use <u>workers</u>' illegal status to do so."

In Los Angeles, the United Steelworkers are supporting the Clean Carwash Campaign, a drive to improve a notoriously dirty industry. Carwash <u>workers</u>, mostly Latino and European immigrants, work long hours for low pay. They say they are routinely cheated of wages, denied breaks and exposed to chemicals that burn their skin and eyes. Last week the city attorney in Los Angeles filed charges against the owners of six local carwashes, accusing them of wage theft and other abuses in a business that bordered on "indentured servitude."

### Helping Workers in Hard Times

And in New York, the state labor commissioner, M. Patricia Smith, has begun a program to enlist immigrant **workers** in places like nail salons and restaurants as the agency's eyes and ears for wage and hour violations.

Putting government on the side of <u>workers</u>? Sounds crazy, but it works better than the alternative. Raul Hinojosa-Ojeda, a professor of urban planning at the University of California, Los Angeles, argues that current law creates "an artificially low wage floor" that actually increases demand for undocumented <u>workers</u>.

The idea that immigrant <u>workers</u> deserve protection simply as a matter of human decency can be <u>hard</u> to sell in <u>hard times</u>. It is just as valid to make the pragmatic case. After the bottom drops out of the economy, raise the floor.

#### http://www.nytimes.com

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

**Document-Type:** Editorial

Publication-Type: Newspaper

**Subject:** IMMIGRATION (91%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (91%); RECESSION (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (90%); WAGES & SALARIES (89%); LABOR UNIONS (89%); LAYOFFS (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); ECONOMIC STIMULUS (78%); LABOR FORCE (78%); FACTORY **WORKERS** (77%); TAX FRAUD (77%); CONSTRUCTION SECTOR PERFORMANCE (76%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (72%); GLOBAL WARMING (56%)

**Organization:** CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE (54%)

Industry: RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION (88%); BUDGETS (78%); FACTORY <u>WORKERS</u> (77%); REAL ESTATE (76%); CONSTRUCTION SECTOR PERFORMANCE (76%); CONDOMINIUMS (73%); GOVERNMENT BUDGETS (72%); RESIDENTIAL CO-OWNERSHIP (64%); CONSTRUCTION (60%); GLOBAL WARMING (56%); RETAILERS (50%); SHOPPING CENTERS & MALLS (50%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (93%); NORTH AMERICA (79%)

Load-Date: February 15, 2009