The New York Times

September 4, 2000, Monday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2000 The New York Times Company

Distribution: National Desk

Section: Section A;; Section A; Page 1; Column 1; National Desk; Column 1;

Length: 1543 words

Byline: By STEVEN GREENHOUSE

By STEVEN GREENHOUSE

Body

As employers clamor for more <u>foreign</u>-born <u>workers</u> and as tens of thousands of Mexicans slip across the border each year, the percentage of immigrant <u>workers</u> in the nation's labor force has climbed to its <u>highest level</u> in seven decades.

New figures from the Bureau of Labor Statistics showed that the number of immigrant <u>workers</u> jumped to 15.7 million last year, up 17 percent from three years earlier. Immigration analysts estimate that nearly 5 million of these <u>workers</u> are illegal immigrants.

This influx -- immigrants now represent 12 percent of the nation's <u>workers</u> -- is having profound effects on the work force and the economy, helping hold down wages in unskilled jobs and giving many companies the employees needed to expand.

Immigrants dominate dozens of jobs, especially arduous, low-paying ones: poultry plant <u>workers</u>, meatpackers, gardeners, hotel maids, seamstresses, restaurant <u>workers</u>, building demolition <u>workers</u> and fruit and vegetable pickers.

"Immigrants are now a critical part of the labor force across the board," said Demetrios Papademetriou, co-director of international migration policy at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. "We are into a new world of immigrants basically spreading throughout the economy. This is something that is going to continue and intensify."

With the unemployment rate at 4.1 percent, near its lowest point in 40 years, the debate about immigrant <u>workers</u> has shifted considerably from five years ago, when many politicians called for more restrictive policies.

Now, software companies, farmers, hotels and other employers desperate for <u>workers</u> are pressuring Congress to enact legislation that would admit hundreds of thousands of additional immigrants each year.

But some groups, most notably labor unions and engineers' associations, are fighting such an easing, fearing that it would depress wages and cost jobs for American <u>workers</u>. At the same time, unions have softened their once hard line toward illegal immigrants already in the country, as they seek to unionize them.

Economists and demographers see a continuing surge in immigrant <u>workers</u> unless there is a major economic downturn. Under existing quotas, about 800,000 immigrants enter the nation legally each year. An estimated 300,000 enter illegally.

These <u>workers</u> range from supermarket delivery people who have received an illegally low \$3 an hour, far less than the \$5.15 an hour minimum wage, to \$100,000-a-year software developers from India.

As the number of immigrant <u>workers</u> has soared, Americans are voicing less anger and anxiety about immigration than in the mid-1990's. The reason, political analysts said, is that the expanding economy and low jobless rate have reduced economic insecurity.

Not only that, politicians who led the attack against immigrants -- with measures to cut government benefits to the children of illegal immigrants -- have seen their efforts backfire, especially in California. There, the fast-growing Hispanic and Asian populations have moved to punish anti-immigrant politicians in the voting booth.

"You have a very robust economy and full employment, and that always changes the terms of debate on immigration," said James P. Smith, an economist with the Rand Corporation who was chairman of a 1997 National Academy of Sciences study on the effects of immigration. "When there are plenty of jobs around, the finger pointing that goes on about immigration goes away."

Even so, in some places, like Farmingville, N.Y., residents have complained that dozens of immigrant day laborers who assemble in streets and parking lots each morning to be picked up by employers are an unsightly horde who litter, commit crimes and hurt property values.

At the same time, many Americans have found that the stream of immigrants has provided them with affordable gardeners, nannies, housekeepers -- even manicurists

"Americans rely very much on low-cost immigrant labor to maintain their living standards, which means everything from lawn care to inexpensive agricultural production to the construction business," Mr. Papademetriou said.

One unhappy result of the influx of immigrants has been a wave of violations of the nation's labor laws. Unscrupulous employers have refused to provide <u>workers'</u> compensation or pay minimum wage or time-and-a-half overtime to immigrants required to work 70 to 80 hours a week. Some female immigrants have complained that their bosses sexually harass them, and they fear that if they complain, their bosses will report them to the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

One immigrant, Silverio Otero, a grocery store <u>worker</u> on New York's Lower East Side, complained that for a long time he was paid \$240 a week even though the store owner required him to work 72 hours a week. That came to \$3.33 an hour.

"They didn't treat us very nice," said Mr. Otero, a 29-year-old native of Mexico who will receive \$7,000 in back wages because of a settlement arranged by Eliot L. Spitzer, the New York State attorney general. "They took advantage of us because we were immigrants and they didn't think we would speak up."

Officials from a coalition of industries pushing Congress to grant several hundred thousand more temporary <u>worker</u> visas each year said they were not looking for easy-to-exploit <u>workers</u>, but simply for <u>workers</u>. Among the groups pressing for such legislation are the National Restaurant Association and the American Hotel and Motel Association.

"We want to make it easier for employers who are facing shortages to hire <u>foreign workers</u>," said John F. Gay, cochairman of the industry group, the Essential <u>Worker</u> Immigration Coalition. "We've tried everything we could think of to bring more American <u>workers</u> into the labor force, but with this booming economy, it hasn't been enough. It's not a question of finding cheaper bodies. It's a question of finding bodies."

Other industries are making similar pleas. The agricultural industry wants Congress to allow in more foreigners to plant and pick produce. Computer and software companies have asked that more three-year visas be granted each year to foreigners with technology expertise. In 1998, Congress expanded that program to allow in 115,000 *workers*, up from 65,000, and now the *high*-technology industry wants that quota lifted to more than 200,000.

Many labor groups, including the United Farm <u>Workers</u> and the A.F.L.-C.I.O., are fighting these proposals. The unions argue that <u>workers</u> brought in under such programs drive down wages and essentially are easy-to-exploit captives restricted to working for one company.

The Clinton administration, mindful of Silicon Valley's political contributions and organized labor's political muscle, has signaled that it favors increasing the number of *high*-technology visas, but not those for unskilled *workers*.

"In <u>high</u> technology, we are now trying to meet a real spot shortage," Labor Secretary Alexis M. Herman said. "But when you talk about jobs in the hotel industry and other areas, there's still a lot of untapped employment potential in the nation, and I believe that we can meet those demands with Americans."

This debate is influenced heavily by the way immigration creates different winners and losers.

American businesses, ranging from motels and lettuce farms to corporate giants like Intel and Apple, benefit from the influx of immigrants. But the National Academy of Sciences study found that immigrants, especially illegal, unskilled <u>workers</u> from Mexico and the rest of Latin America, have pushed down wages for American-born <u>workers</u> with less than a <u>high</u> school education by 5 percent.

That study found that immigration increased the nation's economy by \$10 billion -- a tiny amount in a country with a gross domestic product of nearly \$10 trillion.

"The real impact of immigration is not to increase the size of the pie, but to redistribute the pie," said George J. Borjas, a Harvard University professor of public policy. "If you happen to have the type of job that competes with immigrants, you're often hurt, but if you're a company or an upper-middle-class person who hires immigrants, then you benefit."

In recent months, one of the biggest changes has been the softening stance of labor unions toward illegal immigrants. The A.F.L.-C.I.O. has called for repealing the law that bars employers from hiring illegal immigrants -- a law organized labor lobbied successfully for in 1986.

While still favoring strict limits on the number of immigrants entering the country, the A.F.L.-C.I.O. has reversed itself by calling for the granting of legal status to hundreds of thousands of illegal immigrants. Giving them legal status, union officials said, would make it harder for employers to exploit them and hold down their wages.

"There was a recognition of how immigrants are being discriminated against and of the indignities they suffer," John J. Sweeney, president of the A.F.L.-C.I.O., said. "We saw that the present immigration policies weren't working and that it was time to call for a fairer policy that treats these people in a more humane way."

As part of this shift, many unions that once sought to keep immigrants out of their fields now are eager to organize those *workers*.

http://www.nytimes.com

Graphic

Photo: Silverio Otero, an immigrant from Mexico, had been paid \$240 for working a 72-hour week at a grocery in lower Manhattan. After action by the State of New York, Mr. Otero will receive \$7,000 in back wages. (Frances Roberts for The New York Times)(pg. A12)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (95%); LABOR FORCE (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (90%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); WAGES & SALARIES (89%); LABOR UNIONS (89%); TECHNICIANS & TECHNOLOGICAL WORKERS (89%); ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (89%); UNEMPLOYMENT RATES (89%); ECONOMIC NEWS (88%); SERVICE WORKERS (78%); MINIMUM WAGE (78%); STATISTICS (78%); LABOR SECTOR PERFORMANCE (78%); HOTEL STAFF (78%); FARM LABOR (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (77%); ECONOMICS (77%); ECONOMIC DECLINE (74%); ECONOMIC GROWTH (74%); LABOR DEPARTMENTS (73%); FACTORY WORKERS (73%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (72%); FOUNDATIONS (71%); LEGISLATION (70%); POLITICS (69%); DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS (66%)

Company: BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%); BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%)

Organization: BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%); BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%); BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%); BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (58%)

Industry: HOTEL STAFF (78%); FARM LABOR (78%); FACTORY <u>WORKERS</u> (73%); SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT & ENGINEERING (69%); POULTRY (68%); HOTELS & MOTELS (68%); FRUITS & VEGETABLES (68%); RESTAURANTS (68%); HOTEL HOUSEKEEPING (68%); COMPUTER SOFTWARE (64%); SOFTWARE MAKERS (64%); POULTRY PROCESSING (53%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%); INDIA (79%)

Load-Date: September 4, 2000

End of Document