## To Turn the Illegal Tide

# The New York Times January 11, 1981, Sunday, Late City Final Edition

Copyright 1981 The New York Times Company

Section: Section 4; Page 22, Column 1; Editorial Desk; editorial

Length: 601 words

### **Body**

At last, the country seems to be getting somewhere in the tormenting effort to reduce *illegal* immigration.

To some people, the numbers are so alarming they are ready to close the Golden Door with a slam. Others, for whom immigration means infusions of energy, resist closing it at all, even to *illegal* migrants. Still others, and we count ourselves among them, advocate keeping the Golden Door open - while shutting the back door. Editorial discusses problem of *illegal* aliens in US following vote by Federal commission on immigration recommending alien identification cards

Whatever one's view, the debate so far has been sterile. How can one seriously argue whether to increase legal immigration by 80,000 or 250,000 when maybe 6 million *illegal* aliens are already here and thousands more are coming daily? There can be no sensible policy without the means to enforce it.

The good news is that last week, after 19 months of work, a blueribbon Federal commission took two final votes that, taken together, will vitalize the debate. If Congress goes along, a humane and consistent immigration policy will not be far behind.

In the first, more important decision, the Select Commission on Immigration voted 14 to 2 for something called employer sanctions. It was, in simpler language, a resounding vote against hypocrisy.

At present, the United States says to the Immigration Service: patrol the border, conduct sweeping raids - keep the illegals out. But the message to employers is a big wink: even if we catch illegals working for you, they're culpable, not you. So the economic magnet continues to draw thousands. The Border Patrol, understaffed by budget-cutters and undermined by employers, is dispirited, and small wonder. If Congress now in fact makes employers culpable for hiring *illegal* aliens, that alone would be a strong deterrent.

The second commission vote, concerning the emotional question of identification, was disappointing. Employers cannot be held accountable if they can't readily tell which aliens are *illegal*. Since forged papers are cheap, the answer is some form of secure identification. One proposal is to require job applicants to identify themselves with several pieces of I.D. and to swear that they are legal residents. Another idea is a call-in data bank, permitting employers to check an applicant's truthfulness, the way retail clerks verify credit-card purchases.

The most effective method would probably be forgery-proof Social Security cards. That arouses fears of internal passports and police statism. But all workers must now supply their number when they start a job, or a bank account; it's hard to see what freedom is at issue, beyond the freedom to give a false number.

Which method did the commission choose? None; it ducked. By the narrowest imaginable vote, 8 to 7 with one pass, it voted for some kind of more secure identification without specifying. Commissions are meant to lead opinion and absorb criticism. That hair-thin vote is not likely to inspire Congressional courage.

#### To Turn the Illegal Tide

Two things have been missing from the debate. First, technical information; for years, people in Congress have been saying, yessir, we need to clean up the immigration mess, but it's complicated and needs further study. The Select Commission has provided that study and nullified that excuse. What has been missing, second, is the will to enforce sound immigration rules once they're in place. The commission has expressed that will. The rest is up to Congress.

#### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (93%); <u>ILLEGAL</u> IMMIGRANTS (92%); IMMIGRATION LAW (91%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (78%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (78%); MIGRATION ISSUES (78%); BORDER CONTROL (78%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (78%); FOREIGN LABOR (78%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (78%); PUBLIC POLICY (75%); SOCIAL SECURITY (72%); SERVICE WORKERS (71%); EMPLOYMENT SEARCH (71%); RECRUITMENT & HIRING (71%); EDITORIALS & OPINIONS (69%); COUNTERFEITING & FORGERY (66%)

Company: SELECT COMMISSION (58%)

Organization: SELECT COMMISSION (58%); SELECT COMMISSION (58%)

Industry: BUDGETS (74%); BANKING & FINANCE (73%); CREDIT CARDS (60%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (79%)

**End of Document**