Reno Challenges 1996 Law As Harsh on Immigrants; Congress Asked to Rewrite Key Provision

The Washington Post

July 11, 1997, Friday, Final Edition

Copyright 1997 The Washington Post **Section:** A SECTION; Pg. A18

Length: 749 words

Byline: Pamela Constable, Washington Post Staff Writer

Body

The Clinton administration yesterday <u>asked Congress</u> to overturn a <u>key provision</u> of a tough new immigration <u>law</u> that threatens tens of thousands of longtime <u>immigrants</u> from Central America with deportation. If lawmakers do not go along, officials said they may use administrative measures to protect the Central Americans.

As part of its effort to soften the <u>law</u>'s impact, the administration also took the unusual step of suspending an immigration court ruling that could have forced as many as 40,000 Nicaraguan <u>immigrants</u> to leave the country.

"We want to ensure that the <u>1996</u> immigration <u>law</u> will not have an unduly <u>harsh</u> effect on those individuals who have made vital contributions to their local communities . . . while putting down deep roots in our nation," Attorney General Janet <u>Reno</u> said yesterday.

Reno's announcement marked the administration's first **challenge** to a comprehensive immigration **law** that **Congress** passed last year in an attempt to crack down on illegal **immigrants**. Several Republican leaders praised the move to assist the Nicaraguans, but they reacted far more cautiously to the broader effort to **rewrite** portions of the **law**.

House Speaker Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.), who had <u>asked Reno</u> to help the Nicaraguans, called her action "a positive first step," but a spokesman said Gingrich would not comment on the proposed changes to the <u>law</u>. Sen. Spencer Abraham (R-Mich.), who heads a <u>key</u> immigration panel, said he hopes the administration can assist the Central Americans through other, internal, actions rather than seeking to retool the new <u>law</u>.

On the other hand, more than 120 members of <u>Congress</u> have joined in a campaign to seek relief for as many as 180,000 Central American <u>immigrants</u> who entered the country illegally, were given temporary legal amnesty to remain here while civil conflicts raged in their countries, and put down roots. Now that those amnesties have expired, many of the <u>immigrants</u> face possible deportation.

Yesterday, Central American <u>immigrant</u> groups and diplomats welcomed <u>Reno</u>'s announcement, but they warned that no final decision has been made on the immigration court ruling and that it will be difficult to persuade lawmakers to backtrack on legislation they supported overwhelmingly.

"We are thrilled, but we know that the struggle must continue," said Nora Sandigo, an official of the Nicaraguan Fraternity in Miami, a group that sued the government in March to stop the deportations.

"This news will be a tremendous joy to the community," said Saul Solorzano, director of the nonprofit Central American Resource Center in the District's Adams-Morgan neighborhood. "We have to be nervous about the final outcome, but this is a chance for **Congress** to close a murky chapter in American foreign policy."

Reno Challenges 1996 Law As Harsh on Immigrants; Congress Asked to Rewrite Key Provision

Under the old immigration <u>law</u>, illegal <u>immigrants</u> could win relief from deportation by proving they had lived in the United States for seven years, had obeyed the <u>law</u> and would suffer hardship if deported. Under the new <u>law</u>, which took effect April 1, such <u>immigrants</u> must prove they have lived here 10 years and that being deported would cause "extreme and unusual" hardship to their U.S. citizen spouse or children.

In addition, a February ruling by the Board of Immigration Appeals interpreted the new <u>law</u> to mean that those new, <u>harsher</u> standards applied retroactively to people who sought relief from deportation before April 1, and that <u>immigrants</u> who received a deportation order in the past could not count any time since that date toward their total time spent in the United States.

<u>Reno</u> announced that she has suspended the board's controversial ruling, under which immigration agents had begun to deport Nicaraguan refugees who had been allowed to live in the United States for years. Sources in the Justice Department said she would probably reverse the ruling.

More broadly, the administration is <u>asking Congress</u> to change the <u>1996</u> immigration <u>law</u> so that all Central American <u>immigrants</u> now living here under amnesty, and any other <u>immigrants</u> who applied for relief from deportation before April 1, can have their deportation cases judged under the previous, more lenient rules.

<u>Reno</u> stressed that neither the decision about the Nicaraguans nor the legislative proposal to **<u>Congress</u>** would grant any illegal **<u>immigrants</u>** the automatic right to remain in the United States.

Instead, they would allow such <u>immigrants</u> to have their cases judged by "more humane" standards in some cases.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (95%); IMMIGRATION <u>LAW</u> (91%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (90%); DEPORTATION (89%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); LITIGATION (89%); ILLEGAL <u>IMMIGRANTS</u> (78%); FOREIGN RELATIONS (78%); AMNESTY (78%); ADMINISTRATIVE <u>LAW</u> (78%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (78%); DECISIONS & RULINGS (76%); SUITS & CLAIMS (76%); NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS (74%); INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (73%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2012 (71%); ATTORNEYS GENERAL (71%)

Company: CENTRAL AMERICAN LIFE INSURANCE CO (85%); CENTRAL AMERICAN PRINTING INC (85%); CENTRAL AMERICAN EQUITIES CORP (85%)

Person: NEWT GINGRICH (58%)

Geographic: NICARAGUA (94%); UNITED STATES (92%); CENTRAL AMERICA (90%)

Load-Date: July 11, 1997

End of Document