# STATES KEEP BENEFITS INTACT FOR IMMIGRANTS / WHILE FEDERAL LAW CUT WELFARE, NEARLY ALL STATES FILLED THE GAP. SOME DECIDED TO USE THEIR OWN MONEY.

## The Philadelphia Inquirer OCTOBER 20, 1997 Monday SF EDITION

Copyright 1997 Philadelphia Newspapers, LLC All Rights Reserved

### The Philadelphia Inquirer

Found on Philly . com

Section: NATIONAL; Pg. A06

Length: 674 words

Byline: Laura Meckler, ASSOCIATED PRESS

**Dateline: WASHINGTON** 

#### **Body**

Congress was hard on <u>immigrants</u> when it restructured the nation's <u>welfare</u> system last year, but the mood in <u>state</u> capitals has been strikingly different.

<u>While</u> the <u>federal law cut nearly</u> all <u>benefits</u> for legal <u>immigrants</u>, almost every <u>state</u> has <u>decided</u>, sometimes <u>using</u> its <u>own money</u>, to <u>keep immigrant benefits</u> <u>intact</u>.

"The <u>federal</u> government is shirking its responsibility," Texas Gov. George W. Bush, a Republican, said recently as he announced <u>state</u> aid for elderly and disabled <u>immigrants</u> who lost food stamps. "Texans are compassionate people who will help those who truly cannot help themselves."

Last year's massive <u>welfare</u> overhaul <u>cut immigrants</u> from federally funded food stamps and disability rolls, although disability <u>benefits</u> were partly restored later.

The <u>law</u> also gave <u>states</u> the power to <u>decide</u> whether to <u>cut immigrants</u> from cash assistance and Medicaid, programs financed with a combination of <u>federal</u> and <u>state</u> dollars.

In almost every case, the <u>law</u> made it easier for <u>immigrants</u> in the country when the bill was signed in August 1996 to get <u>benefits</u> than those arriving later.

A <u>state</u> gets its allotted amount of <u>federal</u> cash no matter what it does for <u>immigrants</u>. So if it denies <u>welfare</u> to <u>immigrants</u>, it has more <u>money</u> to <u>use</u> elsewhere.

Faced with the new responsibility of <u>deciding</u> who qualifies for aid, all but a few <u>states</u> are continuing <u>benefits</u> for <u>immigrants</u> who were here when the <u>law</u> took effect. And almost every <u>state</u> also will provide cash help and Medicaid for <u>immigrants</u> arriving after that, once they have been in the country five years.

Many <u>states</u> are going further. It is illegal under the new <u>law</u> for a <u>state</u> to <u>use <u>federal money</u> for future <u>immigrants</u> during their first five years here. More than a third of <u>states</u> are <u>using state</u> dollars to make sure <u>immigrants</u> are covered from the day they arrive.</u>

## STATES KEEP BENEFITS INTACT FOR IMMIGRANTS / WHILE FEDERAL LAW CUT WELFARE, NEARLY ALL STATES FILLED THE GAP. SOME DECIDED TO USE THEIR OWN MONEY.

That includes California and New York, where half the country's legal <u>immigrants</u> live. Florida and Texas, the third-and fourth-largest <u>immigrant states</u>, are helping replace <u>cuts</u> in food stamps, <u>using state money</u> to pay aid once paid solely from the <u>federal</u> treasury.

Such <u>state</u> actions are in striking comparison to the mood in Washington, where congressional Republicans argued that **immigrants** abused **welfare**, which should be reserved for Americans.

"The American dream is not coming here and going on <u>welfare</u>," Rep. E. Clay Shaw Jr. (R., Fla.) said in the midst of a debate over disability **benefits** this summer. "I guess they can go home if they don't like what they have here."

Advocates say **states** were much more hospitable.

"The <u>states</u> are closer to the reality of the fact that <u>immigrants</u> are there. They're part of the community," surmised Josh Bernstein of the National Immigration <u>Law</u> Center.

In California, for instance, Speaker Cruz Bustamante, the first Latino to head the Assembly, vowed to fight for *immigrant* aid.

"It's because of maybe who I am and where I come from. It's about my background and how I got here," Bustamante said during a budget fight this summer.

**<u>Nearly</u>** half the \$55 billion saved in the <u>**welfare**</u>-reform <u>**Iaw**</u> came from <u>**cuts**</u> to legal <u>**immigrants**</u>. The <u>**Iaw**</u> made exceptions for political refugees; illegal <u>**immigrants**</u> never have been eligible for <u>**welfare**</u>.

But given the chance to reap similar savings, <u>states</u> generally declined, according to reports by advocacy groups and interviews in almost every <u>state</u> capital.

#### Specifically:

- \* Twelve <u>states</u>, including New Jersey, are compensating for some food-stamp <u>cuts</u> with their <u>own money</u>, particularly for children, elderly and disabled <u>immigrants</u>.
- \* Every <u>state</u> but Alabama is allowing <u>immigrant</u> residents as of August 1996 to remain eligible for cash payments. Alabama also is the only <u>state</u> to bar new <u>immigrants</u> from cash help once they have been in the country five years.
- \* For Medicaid, new arrivals qualify after five years everywhere but Virginia, Wyoming and Louisiana. And *immigrants* already in the country remain eligible everywhere except Wyoming and Louisiana.

#### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>WELFARE BENEFITS</u> (91%); US <u>FEDERAL</u> GOVERNMENT (90%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (89%); IMMIGRATION (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); FOOD STAMPS (89%); DISABILITY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (89%); POLITICAL PARTIES (79%); INCOME ASSISTANCE (78%); MEDICAID (78%); TREASURY DEPARTMENTS (72%); SENIOR CITIZENS (71%); PUBLIC FINANCE AGENCIES & TREASURIES (71%)

Organization: MEDICAID (83%)

**Industry:** MEDICAID (78%); TREASURY DEPARTMENTS (72%); PUBLIC FINANCE AGENCIES & TREASURIES (71%)

## STATES KEEP BENEFITS INTACT FOR IMMIGRANTS / WHILE FEDERAL LAW CUT WELFARE, NEARLY ALL STATES FILLED THE GAP. SOME DECIDED TO USE THEIR OWN MONEY.

Person: GEORGE W BUSH (56%)

Geographic: TEXAS, USA (93%); CALIFORNIA, USA (92%); FLORIDA, USA (92%); NEW YORK, USA (79%);

UNITED STATES (93%)

Load-Date: October 18, 2002

**End of Document**