## Afraid of Husbands, and the Law;

# **Deportation Risk Grows for Abused Illegal Residents**

The New York Times

April 18, 1999, Sunday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1999 The New York Times Company

Distribution: Metropolitan Desk;

Section: Section 1; ; Section 1; Page 37; Column 2; Metropolitan Desk; Second Front; Column 2; ; Second Front

Length: 1689 words

Byline: By GINGER THOMPSON

By GINGER THOMPSON

### **Body**

Shy and soft-spoken, the 26-year-old Dominican woman uses pictures rather than words to tell about the nightmare that was her marriage.

One photograph shows a ransacked apartment, the bedroom dresser pushed onto its side, lamps, mirrors and pots of tulips shattered on the floor. Another shows the woman battered; her mouth is swollen, her eyes are black and she has bruises on her legs.

The photographs, she said, were secretly taken by her sister and show what happened when her <u>husband</u> became angry. In his fits of rage, she said, he would beat her and throw her out of their home in Manhattan. Then he would apologize and ask her to return -- always with a warning that made it impossible for her to refuse.

"He told me that if I did not come back, he would call the police and have me <u>deported</u>," said the woman, who entered America illegally five years ago from the Dominican Republic. "He would say that because he is legal, he has more rights in this country than I do, and that he could take my son away."

Advocates for immigrants say spousal <u>abuse</u> has long been one of the most critical and widespread problems endured by women who do not have legal residency in the United States but are married to someone who does. As the Federal Government has toughened immigration <u>laws</u> in recent years, it has become increasingly difficult for these women to gain permanent residency, making threats like those of the Dominican woman's <u>husband</u> more potent.

One of the most disputed changes came last year, when Congress allowed the expiration of a provision that permitted immigrants entitled to permanent residency to stay in this country while awaiting green cards. A green card certifies that an immigrant is a permanent <u>resident</u>.

The expiration has roused immigrant advocates, particularly in New York, over the implications for battered women.

Advocates say the loss of 245i, as the expired provision of the Immigration and Naturalization Act was known, has already deterred battered women from seeking permanent residency because of concerns over what could happen when they are sent back to their native countries while their green card applications are processed.

Leslye Orloff, the national policy director for Ayuda, a group supporting battered immigrants, said many of the women feared that if they left the United States, they would be exposed to suits claiming custody of their children, many of whom were born here. Many also worry, Ms. Orloff said, that once they are outside this country, it will be easier for United States consular officials to challenge claims of <u>abuse</u> and hardship, and refuse to grant green cards -- an irreversible decision.

"They know that they are going to be forced to make an impossible choice to either leave the country to get their green card, and <u>risk</u> extreme danger for themselves and their children," Ms. Orloff said, "or to give up their chance of ever getting a green card, putting themselves and their children at <u>risk</u> for different kinds of dangers."

Since 245i expired, groups like Ms. Orloff's have organized meetings to explore legal strategies that have so far allowed them to keep their clients in the United States. And members of Congress are debating whether to pass a bill introduced in January by Representative John Conyers Jr., a Democrat from Michigan, that would in effect reenact 245i for battered women. Called the Violence Against Women Restoration Act of 1999, it would allow battered immigrant women to stay in this country while they wait for their green cards. The Immigration and Naturalization Service also supports the measure.

"These women are in these situations through no fault of their own and our Government should be encouraging them to get out of abusive relationships," said Johanna Minguez, a lawyer at the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights. "But without 245i, we are forcing them to make a choice between getting beat up, or getting removed from the country."

Before 245i expired, advocates said, roughly 400 undocumented women across the country filed petitions for permanent residency each month. Unlike most groups of undocumented immigrants -- as those lacking any legal documents are commonly called -- domestic <u>abuse</u> victims are able to file petitions without the support or knowledge of their spouses as long as they can show that leaving the United States would cause extreme hardship for themselves and their children and they can provide evidence of their <u>abuse</u>, like medical records, police reports and photographs.

While 400 women is not a high number, advocates consider it significant because immigrants are often uninformed about Federal <u>laws</u> or avoid filing for any kind of protection or benefits from Federal agencies for fear of <u>deportation</u>.

Now, more than a year since 245i expired, fewer than 250 women a month are filing petitions. The Immigration and Naturalization Service said there were no reliable estimates of the total number of undocumented women living in New York or nationally who are battered by their spouses.

Advocates say stories like the Dominican woman's, whose name is not being used to protect her identity, are widespread among battered women in New York.

Tucked in her purse is a copy of the permanent order of protection a New York court issued to prohibit her <u>husband</u> from approaching her. If she returned to Santo Domingo, she said, she would be an open target should her <u>husband</u> follow her there. "If I go home, those orders will not mean anything," she said. "The police in the Dominican Republic will not come if I call."

Federal <u>law</u> prohibits immigration court officials and immigration officials from notifying battered women's <u>husbands</u> about their wives' petitions for permanent residency. The New York Times could not contact the <u>husbands</u> of the women interviewed for this article. The Times did examine court documents to verify the accuracy of the women's claims and found that all the women interviewed had obtained court orders of protection against their *husbands*.

One of them, a 34-year-old native of the Dominican Republic, said she stayed in an abusive marriage for nine years because she was <u>afraid</u> of losing custody of her two daughters, who are United States citizens. She and her <u>husband</u>, a legal permanent <u>resident</u> of the United States, met in the Dominican Republic. When they married, she said, he promised that he would file residency petitions for her once they arrived in New York.

But he never did. Many battered immigrants said that their spouses used their <u>illegal</u> residency status to oppress them.

"He did not want me to become legal because that was his way to control me," said the 34-year-old woman, who left her *husband* a year ago and has just moved from a women's shelter to a public housing complex in New York City. "He never let me leave the house. He never let me take English classes. He never helped me find a job."

"It was like being a prisoner," she added.

Officials at the Immigration and Naturalization Service point to such cases in their support for restoring 245i. Officials said that battered women must show in their petitions that leaving the United States would cause them extreme hardship. It would be inconsistent, officials said, for the agency to accept hardship claims and still force battered women to leave the country to get their green cards.

"On the one hand, we are saying it would be an extreme hardship for these women to leave the country," said Efren Hernandez, an assistant legal counsel at the immigration service, "but then on another, we are forcing them to go. The I.N.S. has always supported some kind of measure to fix that gap."

Others feel that fixing the gap would mean creating a loophole. Daniel A. Stein, executive director of the Federation for American Immigration Reform, a conservative group that has long supported efforts to restrict immigration, said that if Congress allows battered women to stay in this country while waiting for their green cards, other immigrant groups will seek similar allowances.

Mr. Stein also said that battered undocumented women should be sent back to their homelands, where they could live in more familiar environments and get more support from friends and relatives.

"If a person enters this country illegally or overstays a visa, showing flagrant disregard for the <u>laws</u> of this country," he said, "the fact that they have suffered <u>abuse</u> is a tragedy, but it does not mean that they should not be subject to the same *laws* as any other undocumented immigrant."

He added, "We should not allow the woman to become a beachhead for a long chain of family migration to the United States, which is why a lot of them came here in the first place."

But a 31-year-old woman from Mexico said she never thought of living in America until she met her <u>husband</u>, who was a citizen. And now that she has left him, after three years of <u>abuse</u>, she said that she wished she could return home. But she is <u>afraid</u> for her life.

She was an office clerk in Mexico when she met her <u>husband</u> five years ago. They met on a picturesque cobblestone street, she said, and walked and talked for hours. After their wedding, her <u>husband</u> drove her across the border illegally, the woman said. A year later they had a son.

Then, she said, her <u>husband</u>'s moods became erratic and violent and he began beating her. After one beating, the woman said, she packed her suitcases and told her <u>husband</u> she was going back to Mexico. He beat her and tore off her clothes. As she lay terrified on the bed, she said, he got a knife from the kitchen and cut apart all the clothes she had packed in her suitcases.

The Mexican woman and her son, 3, are now living in hiding in a heavily guarded women's shelter in New York. The woman said she would love to go home. But she is terrified that her *husband* will follow her.

"He has already gone to Mexico to try to talk to my family, telling them that he wants me to come home," she said, her eyes filling with tears. "It would be easier for him to hurt me, and maybe my family, if I go back to Mexico. I feel safer here."

## **Graphic**

Photos: The abusive <u>husband</u> of a 26-year-old Dominican woman was able to add to his threats to her because he was a legal <u>resident</u> and she was not. "He would say that because he is legal, he has more rights in this country than I do," she said. (Joyce Dopkeen/The New York Times)(pg. 37); Leslye Orloff, policy director of a group supporting battered immigrants, says mothers could be exposed to custody suits if they leave. (Peter Yates for The New York Times)(pg. 41)

#### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

**Subject:** IMMIGRATION (89%); DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (89%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (88%); IMMIGRATION *LAW* (88%); MARRIAGE (78%); WOMEN (78%); CONTUSIONS (76%); CITIZENSHIP (75%); NATURALIZATION (72%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (70%); PUBLIC POLICY (70%); CHILD CUSTODY & SUPPORT (60%); EMBASSIES & CONSULATES (60%)

**Geographic:** NEW YORK, NY, USA (71%); NEW YORK, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%); DOMINICAN REPUBLIC (79%)

Load-Date: April 18, 1999

**End of Document**