Immigration reform faces a wide divide

USA TODAY

May 25, 2007 Friday, FINAL EDITION

Copyright 2007 Gannett Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Section: NEWS; Pg. 21A

Length: 701 words

Body

The Albuquerque Tribune, in an editorial: "After years of inaction, political dodgeball and head-butting, it looks as if the Senate has drafted a potential winner on comprehensive *immigration reform*. ... It's about time that this nation is on its way to dealing realistically with the complex problem of illegal *immigration* in a manner that attends to its vexing economic, national security, tax and social aspects. ... The Senate bill would: toughen border security ... use new technology to implement an employment verification system; and recognize the realities of millions of existing undocumented immigrants well-entrenched in the country's economic system by providing a path to citizenship. It may not be the perfect solution from every vantage point, but the fact that 18 months before the national elections, leading Senate Republicans and Democrats are on the same page of this politically loaded issue is extremely encouraging."

The Washington Times, in an editorial: "The bipartisan <u>immigration 'reform</u>' legislation ... is a disaster in the making. ... It's a disaster for national security, for keeping Islamist jihadists out of the country, for exploding the costs of Social Security, Medicare and Medicaid, for preserving the rule of law, and for that quaint principle called national sovereignty. ... The legislation, which provides amnesty for nearly all of the 12 million (or maybe even 20 million) illegal aliens already here, would swell the size of the welfare state in a way we haven't seen since Lyndon Johnson imposed his Great Society on us four decades ago."

The Sacramento Bee, in an editorial: "It's not news to anyone that the U.S. <u>immigration</u> system is broken. A year ago, in a major effort to fix it, the Senate, with President Bush's support, passed a bipartisan bill. ... But House Republicans killed the measure. ... Now a dozen senators have pushed forward incoherent mishmash that will solve very little. A root cause of the current mess is that legal channels of <u>immigration</u> are few and inadequate to U.S. needs. One result is a huge backlog in family visas for those seeking to join family members. The wait is routinely seven to 10 years and can be as long as 22 years. Another is that employment-based visas don't begin to fill the demand for workers. ... This latest proposal is unworkable and a formula for more illegal <u>immigration</u>. The best bet still is to revive last year's Senate bill."

St. Petersburg (Fla.) Times, in an editorial: "Critics from the left and right have taken aim at the comprehensive *immigration* bill being considered in the Senate. They appear to be trying to hack the proposal to death even before there is a chance for serious debate and revision. The legislation has serious flaws, including a provision that would require illegal immigrants to leave the country and apply for re-entry in order to qualify for legal status. Even so, our nation has an urgent need to address the ongoing problem of illegal *immigration*. ... And before it's shredded by hysterical critics, it should be carefully considered and revised. Whatever its flaws, this legislation may be as close as Congress will come anytime soon to enacting serious *immigration reform*."

Kevin O'Brien, columnist, The Plain Dealer, Cleveland: "Critics on the left don't like the bill because it would punish people who have broken the law, a concept that's simply too radical for them to grasp. Critics on the right see it ... as an eraser aimed squarely at the United States' southern border. Heaven knows, we've been down this well-worn desert trail before. At regular intervals, Congress emerges from its hole and presents the nation with a bill designed

to 'fix' <u>immigration</u> policy. Invariably, Congress sees its own shadow, and we end up with 6 million more illegal aliens. Except when we get 12 million more. Or 20 million more. ... Illegal aliens should be sent home whenever they're caught, and employers who hire them should be fined up to their eyeballs. It's that simple: Dry up the jobs, and the people who come here just for money won't come here anymore. Those who want to come here because they want to be Americans will still be welcome to come, by legal methods."

Graphic

PHOTO, B/W, Tim Dillon, USA TODAY

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: NEWSPAPER

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (92%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); NATIONAL SECURITY (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> REGULATION & POLICY (90%); LEGISLATION (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (90%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (89%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (89%); MEDICAID (89%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); SOCIAL SECURITY (78%); CAMPAIGNS & ELECTIONS (78%); US SOCIAL SECURITY (78%); EMPLOYMENT VISAS (77%); CITIZENSHIP LAW (77%); RULE OF LAW (76%); FAMILY (76%); WORKFORCE DEMAND (76%); ELECTIONS (73%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (73%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (72%); BORDER CONTROL (71%); AMNESTY (70%); TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS (66%); MEDICARE (66%); OPINION; <u>IMMIGRATION</u>; SENATE

Company: SACRAMENTO BEE (54%)

Industry: MEDICAID (89%); MEDICARE (66%)

Geographic: FLORIDA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: May 25, 2007

End of Document