# Lawmakers Near Agreement On New Immigration Rules

#### The New York Times

May 17, 2007 Thursday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2007 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 5; National Desk; Pg. 19

Length: 706 words

Byline: By ROBERT PEAR

Dateline: WASHINGTON, May 16

## **Body**

Senators from both parties said Wednesday that they were close to <u>agreement</u> on a <u>new</u> system of selecting immigrants that would give greater weight to education and to job skills deemed helpful to the economy.

Under the proposal, the government would adopt a point system to evaluate the qualifications and claims of many people seeking permission to *immigrate*.

Family ties would remain an important factor, but would have less weight than under current law.

The point system is one element of a comprehensive bill that calls for the biggest changes in *immigration* law and policy in more than 20 years. The full Senate plans to take up the legislation next week.

Although Democrats now control the Senate, the bill incorporates many ideas advanced in some form by President Bush. A draft of the legislation says that Congress intends to "increase American competitiveness through a merit-based evaluation system for immigrants."

Moreover, it says, Congress will "reduce chain migration" by limiting the number of visas issued exclusively on account of kinship.

Democrats insisted, and Republicans agreed, that some points be awarded to people who had close relatives in the United States or could perform low-skill jobs for which there was a high demand.

Senator Lindsey Graham, Republican of South Carolina, one of the more optimistic negotiators, said, "There's a 90 percent chance that we will get a deal this week." Mr. Graham added that the legislation "would free up thousands of green cards in the future for people who meet our economic needs, while still allowing members of the nuclear family to come to this country."

Stephen W. Yale-Loehr, who teaches *immigration* law at Cornell University, said: "The legislation taking shape in the Senate represents a major philosophical shift. It tells the world that we are emphasizing characteristics that will enhance our global competitiveness, like education and job skills. We would not rely as much on family background as we have in the past."

Under the proposal, Mr. Yale-Loehr said, "foreign-born spouses and minor children of United States citizens could still get green cards, but foreign-born siblings and adult children of citizens would be hurt."

Senator Edward M. Kennedy, Democrat of Massachusetts, said <u>lawmakers</u> had narrowed their differences on some issues.

### Lawmakers Near Agreement On New Immigration Rules

"This is not the architecture of an <u>immigration</u> bill that I would have initially liked to see," Mr. Kennedy said. "But this is a legislative process. A lot of different interests are at work. For the sake of this legislation, we had to come back to a point system. It will recognize a number of elements: high skills, low skills and family relationships. There are always differences about what the proportions ought to be."

The Senate majority leader, Harry Reid, Democrat of Nevada, had set a test vote for Wednesday. But after learning that negotiators had made progress, he deferred it to Monday, to allow more time for talks.

The bill would offer legal status to most of the estimated 12 million illegal immigrants in the United States. But they would not automatically qualify for citizenship. The proposal would require them to "touch back" in their home countries and apply for green cards, like other immigrants seeking permanent residence in the United States.

Some conservatives still dislike the idea of a large legalization program. But Mr. Graham said the bill struck a realistic balance.

"We are not going to put 12 million people in jail," Mr. Graham said. "Nor should we give them an advantage over those who played by the *rules* to become citizens."

Senator Jim DeMint, Republican of South Carolina, said he had doubts about this approach, but said Congress had to do something because his constituents were telling him that "they feel they are being overrun with uncontrolled *immigration*."

The legislation also calls for major increases in the Border Patrol and tougher enforcement at the border and in the workplace.

Senator Ken Salazar, Democrat of Colorado, one of the negotiators, said he wanted the <u>new</u> point system to be equitable. "We do not want to create a system that is just for the wealthiest and most educated immigrants," Mr. Salazar said.

http://www.nytimes.com

### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (92%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (90%); POLITICAL PARTIES (90%); LEGISLATION (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); <u>AGREEMENTS</u> (90%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (89%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> REGULATION & POLICY (78%); OUTPUT & DEMAND (77%); FAMILY (77%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2016 (74%); ADULTS (71%)

**Person:** LINDSEY GRAHAM (58%); TED KENNEDY (58%); HARRY REID (58%); GEORGE W BUSH (57%); Robert Pear

Geographic: UNITED STATES (93%); United States

Load-Date: May 17, 2007

**End of Document**