INS PROVIDES US WITH A NEW CATCH-22 STORY

San Jose Mercury News (California)

April 13, 1998 Monday MORNING FINAL EDITION

Copyright 1998 San Jose Mercury News All Rights Reserved

Section: EDITORIAL; Pg. 7B

Length: 1146 words

Body

IF you want a good example of the crowning glory of the Immigration and Naturalization Service, here it is <u>in</u> a nutshell:

- 1) My wife's petition for permanent residence (not citizenship) was already approved by the **INS in** Laguna Niguel three months ago.
- 2) Laguna Niguel needs to do nothing more with my wife's file than forward it to the National Visa Center <u>in New</u> Hampshire for distribution to the local U.S. consulate where she will have an interview and get her Green Card.
- 3) Neither <u>INS</u> Laguna Niguel nor the National Visa Center claims to have the file; NVC has informed me that after three months, they don't even bother to look for it anymore and officially consider it lost. This necessitates refiling (we filed <u>in</u> mid-October 1997) and another six-month wait, at least.
- 4) It's tax time! The IRS informs me that since I cannot supply a Social Security number for my wife, I will be charged with filing a fraudulent return (their interpretation of the blank field for her SSN on the 1040 form), which could prove unduly costly, and perhaps worse, for me.
- 5) The Social Security Administration informs me that it no longer issues numbers (to non-citizens) for non-work reasons -- my wife cannot obtain a number until **INS** processes her Green Card, which brings us back to point 1) above.

And round and round we go.

What burns me is that my taxes are funding these agencies and paying the salaries of their staffs, agencies of the same organ (the federal government) under whose laws and regulations one agency requires me to supply a Social Security number for my wife on the tax return, and the other agency says no, we can't have one yet, until yet another agency finds a file which it has already approved and finished with and it simply needs to get it off the desk and on its way.

-- Thomas R. LaVenia

Sunnyvale

Sex, politics -- ho hum

REGARDING the lessons of the Paula Jones affair -- no pun intended -- the outraged and energized portion of the American populace that wants to nail Clinton's backside to the nearest wall ought to look back <u>in</u> legend and history.

There were many examples of men <u>in</u> high political positions whose amorous inclinations got them into trouble. Paris just had to have Helen, Mrs. Menelaus, and we all know what happened to Troy -- archaeologists are still

INS PROVIDES US WITH A NEW CATCH-22 STORY

digging around trying to find bits of it. Marc Antony could have been ruler of the western world had he not fallen for the charms of Cleopatra. We won't even get into MacBeth's case.

Irish political leader Charles Stuart Parnell might have been able to solve the Irish question back <u>in</u> the 19th century if he hadn't been brought down by love for his Kitty. <u>In</u> our own history, Thomas Jefferson, that consummate Virginia gentleman, dallied with one of his slaves, or so said the unproven rumor that dogs his memory to this day.

Alexander Hamilton, who might have been president but for his peccadilloes, let a woman pay off her debt to him with sexual favors. He apologized for his infidelity <u>in</u> print, though there was no evidence that he kept his trousers buttoned after. Andrew Jackson took another man's wife, and fought a duel to preserve her honor.

Grover Cleveland acknowledged an illegitimate child, while Warren Harding didn't but should have. Franklin Roosevelt found comfort *in* the arms of hismistress. More recently, JFK chased MM around the Oval Office.

There is nothing <u>new</u> under the sun, and that includes the heady mix of sex and politics. Having acknowledged these truths, let's get on with it. Ken Starr -- get a real job.

-- Betsy Plette

San Jose

The TV dilemma

I enjoyed the rich irony **provided** by the juxtaposition of two articles **in** the April 6 Mercury News.

<u>In</u> "TV ratings rise as taste level plummets" (Page 1A), we read the hardly surprising news that mainstream TV is "flaunting the most vulgar and explicit sex, language and behavior that it has ever brought into American homes." However, Lawrie Miflin jumps to a major conclusion by stating after an undisclosed number of interviews with parents that "American families are not as distressed about television vulgarity" as the politicians would like to think.

Many parents have found alternatives to "battling with their children about television." Getting rid of the TV, keeping children busy, using the VCR and choosing what the family watches, or choosing not to give up the authority regarding TV-watching to your children -- these are some of the answers for about 50 families I know.

Which brings me to the article I found when I turned the page. <u>In</u> "People <u>in</u> the news" (Page 2A) there was an item about Madonna stating that her daughter, "unlike many American children," has had little exposure to television. "TV is not a big part of my life, it just hasn't been a part of her life."

You see, yet another American family (!) that doesn't fit into Miflin's sweeping generalization.

Madonna knows that she didn't get where she is by watching television. The really critical question is, "What else could my children be doing with the time they spend watching TV?"

-- Catherine Sleight

Cupertino

Our neglected schools

I would like to thank Lori Aratani for her insightful article on poverty's role <u>in</u> classroom performance (Page 24A, March 22), and also Michael Bazeley for his well-researched related article on making schools responsible for student achievement (Page 25A, March 22).

California faces socio-economic diversity issues unparalleled <u>in</u> the nation. We have to respond to a broader range of student needs and yet are made to contend with these issues with one of the lowest revenue rates <u>in</u> the country.

Additionally, our libraries are incredibly outdated -- the average school library non-fiction book <u>in</u> a California school library was published <u>in</u> 1972, eight years before our 18-year-old high school seniors were even born. We also

INS PROVIDES US WITH A NEW CATCH-22 STORY

have one of the lowest access-to-technology rates <u>in</u> the country. Since we exist <u>in</u> the heart of Silicon Valley, shouldn't we be demonstrating to the rest of the country how technology can be integrated throughout course curriculum?

Accessibility to technology will be helped somewhat by the governor's Digital High School Grants, but meanwhile our facilities are <u>in</u> dire need of at least \$22 billion of infrastructure support, and our teachers are underpaid. And yet, everyone acts surprised that our student test scores are so low.

I think our renewed attention to the state of our schools is somewhat analogous to a caretaker returning to a neglected garden only to be surprised to find it overrun with weeds. Just as we've needed to "raise the bar" with regard to academic standards, we also need to "raise the bar" with regard to basic program funding, professional development and parental involvement.

-- Susan MayerBoard Member,Campbell Union High School District

Notes

LETTERS, E-MAIL & FAXES

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: PASSPORTS & VISAS (90%); CITIZENSHIP (90%); TAXES & TAXATION (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); SOCIAL SECURITY (88%); IMMIGRATION (78%); APPROVALS (77%); US SOCIAL SECURITY (75%); PETITIONS (72%); EMBASSIES & CONSULATES (72%); ARCHAEOLOGY (63%); BRITISH MONARCHS (61%); ANTHROPOLOGY & ARCHAEOLOGY (50%)

Organization: SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (55%); SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (55%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: October 18, 2002

End of Document