## Higher Pay For Low-Wage Earners? Get tough with illegal immigration.

## The Washington Post

August 25, 1992, Tuesday, Final Edition

Copyright 1992 The Washington Post

Section: EDITORIAL; PAGE A21; OPINION EDITORIAL

Length: 883 words

Byline: Robert M. Dunn Jr.

Series: Occasional

## **Body**

The United States in general, and the Democratic Party in particular, face a direct conflict between two historic goals: increasing real incomes for working-class Americans and the maintenance of a relatively open and compassionate *immigration* policy. Real incomes of *low-wage* American workers have been falling for almost two decades, and there is strong reason to suspect that the arrival of large numbers of unskilled people from abroad, many of whom are *illegal* immigrants, has been an important reason for that decline. It is unlikely that real *wages* of U.S. unskilled and semi-skilled workers will recover unless the flow of undocumented workers into the country is sharply reduced.

Despite debates over magnitudes, the evidence is now overwhelming that real <u>wages</u> for U.S. non-supervisory production workers have declined in recent years. U.S. government data show that such hourly <u>wages</u> rose steadily from World War II to a peak in 1973 and then declined by about 13 percent by 1991. Real weekly <u>wages</u> of U.S. production workers fell by about 19 percent, the difference representing the growing role of part-time workers. This decline occurred during the Ford, Carter, Reagan and Bush administrations, so it is the result of basic trends in the economy rather than the policies of a particular president.

During the same 1973-1991 period, average real per capita disposable income in the United States rose by 27 percent. If real per capita incomes rose by more than a quarter in a period during which <u>low-wage</u> Americans saw their real weekly <u>wages</u> fall by about one-fifth, the distribution of U.S. incomes must have become far more unequal.

U.S. government data show that the real incomes of families in the bottom fifth of the population rose steadily from the 1930s until the early 1970s, were relatively constant until 1978 and then fell by about 15 percent to a trough in 1984. A modest recovery in the next five years still left such incomes about 8 percent below the 1970s peak in 1989. A Department of Commerce study indicates that the share of total incomes received by the top fifth of U.S. families increased sharply between 1970 and 1990, while the shares going to the bottom groups declined.

There are a number of causes of this decline in real incomes of less-skilled U.S. workers, including rapid growth of imports of labor-intensive manufactured goods from *low-wage* developing countries, which has helped to reduce manufacturing jobs from 31 percent of U.S. employment in 1960 to only 16 percent in 1991. In addition, U.S. labor demand has shifted toward *higher* professional skill categories, which tends to reduce the relative incomes of less-well-educated Americans. Undocumented *immigration*, however, may be a larger factor.

There is no way to know how many <u>illegal</u> immigrants are here, but when such people were offered an opportunity to regularize their status a few years ago, 2.3 million people from Mexico alone tried to do so. Press reports suggest that Southern California is particularly inundated, and it is obvious that an increasing share of construction and restaurant jobs in Washington are held by recent arrivals from Latin America and the Caribbean.

Higher Pay For Low-Wage Earners? Get tough with illegal immigration.

If the United States faces an unlimited supply of labor from the south at a <u>wage</u> of about \$ 5 per hour, incomes of less-skilled Americans will not increase even if economic growth in this country accelerates. If real <u>wages</u> of poorer Americans are to increase and the inequality of incomes is to be reduced, there must be some restriction on the foreign supply of <u>low-wage</u> labor, and that means both stricter <u>immigration</u> policies and <u>tougher</u> enforcement measures.

<u>Immigration</u> of <u>high</u>-skill, professional and technical labor has an effect on the distribution of income that is the opposite of the <u>immigration</u> of unskilled people. Adding to the supply of highly educated labor reduces incomes of <u>high</u>-income Americans and makes the distribution of income more equal. It is not a pleasant conclusion, but it is inescapable. If Washington wants to increase incomes of <u>low-wage</u> Americans and reduce the growing inequality of U.S. incomes, it must severely restrict the inflow of unskilled workers from abroad and allocate the available <u>immigration</u> quotas to highly educated foreigners.

When supply-siders and other "free market" economists argue for open <u>immigration</u> policies, it ought to be remembered that they usually reflect the views of owners of businesses, who benefit from the abundance of <u>low-wage</u> labor that immigrants provide.

It is Bill Clinton and the Democratic Party who face a nasty conflict over this issue. <u>Low-wage</u> Americans are part of the core constituency of the Democrats, and such people would clearly gain from policies that restrict the <u>immigration</u> of unskilled people from Latin America and the Caribbean. But the Democrats also represent black and Latin voters who have personal and political reasons to oppose policies that would restrict entry of more black and Spanish-speaking people. Clinton has begun to face this issue, saying that our "first obligation" is "to ensure that **immigration** laws do not displace American workers." But it is going to be a **tough** issue for Democrats.

The writer is a professor of economics at the George Washington University.

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>WAGES</u> & SALARIES (91%); FACTORY WORKERS (90%); PERSONAL & FAMILY INCOME (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (89%); <u>ILLEGAL</u> IMMIGRANTS (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); AVERAGE WEEKLY EARNINGS (89%); MANUFACTURING OUTPUT (88%); POPULATION GROWTH (78%); POLITICAL PARTIES (78%); PUBLIC POLICY (77%); FOREIGN LABOR (77%); WORKFORCE DEMAND (77%); IMPORT TRADE (73%); DISPOSABLE INCOME (73%); PART TIME EMPLOYMENT (72%); DEVELOPING COUNTRIES (69%); RESEARCH REPORTS (67%); COMMERCE DEPARTMENTS (65%); WORLD WAR II (53%)

Company: DEMOCRATIC PARTY (69%)

Organization: DEMOCRATIC PARTY (69%); DEMOCRATIC PARTY (69%)

Industry: FACTORY WORKERS (90%); MANUFACTURING OUTPUT (88%)

Person: RONALD REAGAN (78%); JIMMY CARTER (58%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (94%)

**End of Document**