The Problem With Immigration: Same as It Ever Was

The Washington Post November 09, 1996, Saturday, Final Edition

Copyright 1996 The Washington Post

Section: OP-ED; Pg. A25; FREE FOR ALL

Length: 668 words

Body

Stephen Rosenfeld's column "Getting a Grip on <u>Immigration</u>" [op-ed, Oct. 18] might better be headlined "Putting the Screws on Aliens." It is studded with generalities. His view of the "romance of <u>immigration</u>" in the 1930s and '40s -- with "productive and easily . . . assimilated foreigners" -- is belied by the facts regarding those two decades as well as by the struggle over <u>immigration</u> throughout U.S. history. The first of the two decades recalled by Rosenfeld brought the Depression; the second saw the disruption resulting from World War II. In each, <u>immigration</u> was sharply reduced.

The idea that the "reality of <u>immigration</u> today" has social and economic costs that make "some immigrants" and "illegals" grating competitors of citizens, including working-class blacks, and that it "has created an ambiguous attitude toward previously unquestioned notions of the cultural and civic obligations of American citizenship" is no different from the realities of previous yesterdays. Rosenfeld's reference to the effect on working-class blacks is antedated by the post-Civil War industrialization, when European immigrants were favored to work in our mines and factories in place of recently emancipated southern slaves, a sore that still festers.

The "clean cut" that Rosenfeld says "Congress attempted to make between legals and illegals" is not so clean. It ignores the vast use of Mexican farm workers by California agribusiness. It was a policy countenanced by the California delegation in Congress and by Gov. Pete Wilson. Mexican farm labor was "invited," if not into our houses, then onto our ranches. However, we have invited into our houses domestic servants, child- and elder-care attendants, without whom American female citizens in cities throughout the country could not have taken up employment.

El Salvador is a country in point. Thousands fled that country as a result of a wasted war financed by the U.S. government. Today, they are "illegals" in an ambiguous status: El Salvador doesn't want them, and the *Immigration* and Naturalization Service doesn't have the money to deport them. They remain in this country performing useful and needed work, many with two and three jobs; they require federal funds for schooling for their children and for emergency medical costs, but they pay their share of taxes.

Rosenfeld's claim that legals and illegals are different because the legals "respected the rules and waited in line," while the illegals "jumped the queue" is uninformed. Legals also have had the benefit of so-called queue-jumping by being able to enter the United States and to remain here through the <u>same</u> methods used by illegals -- meeting prospective spouses who are American citizens, finding employers who could claim they filled shortage occupations, or obtaining asylum because political conditions had changed in their home countries.

Rosenfeld's solution that the United States must "gain control of its borders with Mexico" is more hortatory than realistic. No country, including the United Kingdom -- which is surrounded by water, has borders so controlled that the migration of human beings, like that of birds and animals, can be prevented. The Chinese Wall did not work nor did the Berlin Wall. A 2,000-mile wall to seal off the ages-old impulses of human beings to migrate would be a waste of money and an ugly image of a "fortress America."

The Problem With Immigration: Same as It Ever Was

Rosenfeld's insight would be more applicable and beneficial if used to analyze the current low rate of unemployment and shortages of workers in many states, the current demand for workers in most occupations, the changing technology that needs both skilled and unskilled workers, and the increasing proportion of the aging population. An <u>immigration</u> policy that reflects the future demands will serve the U.S. interest more than taking away the benefits acquired by legal immigrants and demonizing so-called illegals.

-- David Carliner

The writer is an attorney specializing in *immigration* law.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (95%); CITIZENSHIP (90%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (78%); CIVIL WAR (75%); ECONOMIC DEPRESSION (75%); MANUFACTURING FACILITIES (71%); WORLD WAR II (70%); HISTORY (70%); FARM LABOR (67%); EDITORIALS & OPINIONS (58%); AGING (50%)

Industry: MANUFACTURING FACILITIES (71%); FARM LABOR (67%); AGRICULTURE (64%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (92%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: November 9, 1996

End of Document