Immigration Bill's Point System Worries Some Groups

The Washington Post

May 27, 2007 Sunday, Regional Edition

Copyright 2007 The Washington Post All Rights Reserved

The Washington Post washingtonpost.com

Distribution: Maryland

Section: A-SECTION; Pg. A11

Length: 1181 words

Byline: Michael Abramowitz

Washington Post Staff Writer

Body

For weeks, U.**S**. senators wrestled among themselves and with White House officials over the question of what mix of skills, background and experience prospective immigrants should bring to their new country.

The answer they came up with, embodied in the <u>immigration bill</u> now on the Senate floor, would represent a radical shift in the philosophy of the U.<u>S</u>. <u>immigration system</u>. Rather than focus on reunifying families, the <u>system</u> would emphasize bringing in better-educated, higher-skilled immigrants who would help the United States compete in the world economy.

Other elements of the <u>immigration bill</u> have, so far, proved more controversial, such as the initiative to legalize the status of the estimated 12 million illegal immigrants. But that seems likely to change as lawmakers and interest **groups** scrutinize the fine print of the 380-page **bill**.

The U.<u>S</u>. Conference of Catholic Bishops is raising concerns about a new <u>point system</u> for permanent residency in the <u>bill</u>, which would favor new immigrants with advanced degrees or who work in engineering or the sciences. Business <u>groups</u>, which the White House had counted on for support, seem lukewarm to the idea.

Sen. Barack Obama (III.), one of the leading Democratic presidential candidates, is serving notice that he will try to change the proposal on the Senate floor, to give a higher value to reuniting families. The Senate will resume debate on the measure when it returns next week from the Memorial Day recess.

Obama has called the <u>point</u> plan a "radical experiment in social engineering." In a speech last week, he said the <u>bill</u> "fails to recognize the fundamental morality of uniting Americans with their family members. It also places a person'<u>s</u> job skills over his character and work ethic. How many of our forefathers would have measured up under the <u>point system</u>? How many would have been turned back at Ellis Island?"

White House officials and lawmakers who developed the initiative defend it as a rational response to the requirements of the world economy. They note that the new plan would also favor workers in high-demand but

lower-skill occupations, such as home health-care workers or receptionists, and it would maintain a preference, albeit less, for family members.

"We have a <u>system</u> today that is very heavily weighted to whether or not you happen to have a family member in the United States," said Joel D. Kaplan, the White House deputy chief of staff and <u>point</u> person on <u>immigration</u>. "There was a view that, if you really wanted to have an <u>immigration</u> system that was geared to making sure we were competitive in the 21st century, we had to try to rebalance that . . . and focus more on our national interests."

The <u>point system</u> was a relatively late addition to the <u>immigration</u> debate on Capitol Hill, with the Bush administration apparently adding it to deliberations after studying similar <u>systems</u> in Canada and Australia, according to lawmakers and aides.

Sen. Jon Kyl (Ariz.), one of the key Republican negotiators, said that Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff mentioned the concept to GOP senators sometime this spring as they were debating how to fashion a new **bill**.

"It really became quite clear to us that the U.<u>S</u>. <u>system</u> was completely out of sync with how other countries determined which immigrants they would accept," said Stewart A. Baker, assistant secretary for policy at the Department of Homeland Security.

The idea appealed to Kyl and other Senate Republicans because it held out the prospect of ending what they call chain migration, family members bringing in still more family members. Baker noted that it is one thing to give legal status to 12 million illegal immigrants, but that if each were to bring in his or her relatives, "you're talking about 30 million" prospective immigrants.

Kyl and Sen. Lindsey O. Graham (R-**S**.C.) described the difficult negotiations among a small bipartisan **group** of senators over how to devise a **point system** under which prospective immigrants would compete for green cards. Kyl said he pushed for rewarding highly skilled workers, "the best and the brightest," while Graham and Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.) pushed for more recognition of less-skilled workers.

Kyl said he tried to address their concerns by reserving 10,000 green cards for low-wage workers, but that did not satisfy other negotiators. Instead, they sought other ways to allocate **points** on a 100-**point** scale to lower-income people. Immigrants in high-demand occupations, such as janitors, cleaners and landscapers, would get 16 **points** -- a bit fewer than the **points** for engineers and scientists, who would get 20.

The horse-trading continued up to the last minute. Early in the day on which the senators announced their deal this month with the White House, Sen. Ken Salazar (D-Colo.) called Graham to voice concern, Graham said, that the deal still did not go far enough to help low-income workers. So the senators agreed to add five *points* for workers who have completed a certified vocational-training program. "It hit home with me because I have a cousin who had one of those certificates," Graham said. (People with graduate degrees could earn 20 *points*, by contrast.)

The agreed-upon **point system** does retain some benefits for extended family members, who would receive 10 more **points** in their application if they have already met a threshold of 55 **points** earned through their employment, education and knowledge of English. And the new **system** would still reserve green cards for U.**S**. citizens or permanent residents who want to bring in their spouses and minor children.

But after clearing the backlog of existing applications -- a process that DHS officials estimate would take eight years -- the current preferences for the adult children and siblings of Americans would be eliminated, and they would have to compete with everyone else. The applicants with the highest scores would be the ones to earn green cards.

The plan is already generating alarm among immigrant **groups**. "People who have come to this country worked hard, they have become U.**S**. citizens -- their first goal is to bring in their loved ones," said Nazanin Nasri, an **immigration** lawyer in Arlington who has many Iranian and Afghan clients. "They will be destroyed."

Kevin Appleby, director of migration and <u>immigration</u> policy for the organization of Catholic bishops, said the new <u>system</u> "ignores the fact that immigrant families have helped build this nation. Families start businesses, keep their members from government dependency and invest their energy in their new land."

Immigration Bill's Point System Worries Some Groups

Doris Meissner, director of the <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service under President <u>Bill</u> Clinton, said the <u>point</u> <u>system</u> is being proposed with inadequate analysis. "I was amazed when I saw it because it hasn't really been talked about," she said. "There'<u>s</u> been no ground laid for this whatsoever. <u>Point systems</u> are known in other countries, and there is certainly a body of written material on it, but it hasn't had any careful research.

"It may be a good idea," she added, "but there isn't any evidence to argue one way or the other."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (93%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2012 (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2008 (90%); FAMILY (89%); US CONGRESS (89%); ENGINEERING (87%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); US PRESIDENTS (78%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (78%); ETHICS (75%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (73%); US PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS (73%); POLITICAL CANDIDATES (72%); ADMINISTRATIVE & CLERICAL WORKERS (62%); CHRISTIANS & CHRISTIANITY (57%); CATHOLICS & CATHOLICISM (53%); CLERGY & RELIGIOUS VOCATIONS (53%)

Organization: UNITED STATES CONFERENCE OF CATHOLIC BISHOPS (56%)

Industry: ENGINEERING (87%); HEALTH CARE (60%)

Person: BARACK OBAMA (79%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (96%); CANADA (79%)

Load-Date: May 27, 2007

End of Document