## WAR ON TERRORISM: Opposition heard on tighter immigration laws;

### Shorter visas, police help face new resistance

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

May 30, 2002 Thursday, Home Edition

Copyright 2002 The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

# The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

Section: News;

Length: 563 words

**Byline: JULIA MALONE** 

### **Body**

Washington --- Almost nine months after the Sept. 11 attacks, some key tactics in the domestic battle against **terrorism** are running into growing **opposition**:

- \* A proposal to enlist <u>help</u> from local <u>police</u> in enforcing federal <u>immigration laws</u> is meeting fresh <u>resistance</u>. A pro-<u>immigration</u> group opposed to the move plans a briefing today to show it has allies among conservatives and within <u>law</u> enforcement.
- \* The American Civil Liberties Union and the human rights group Amnesty International each issued reports this week criticizing the U.S. government for detaining more than 1,000 people from the Middle East and South Asia in its anti-terrorist probe.

Cox Washington Bureau\* The travel and tourist industry has lined up against a Bush administration plan to limit many tourist <u>visas</u> to 30 days instead of the customary six months. The U.S. <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service is just beginning to wade through more than 10,000 e-mails and 300 letters it has received on the matter; some of them claim the *tighter visa* rule would cost billions of dollars in lost business.

Few of the measures have been more controversial than enlisting local **police** to back up INS investigators, an idea now being weighed by the Bush administration. The INS has just 2,000 interior investigators to deal with an estimated illegal foreign population of more than 8 million.

The National <u>Immigration</u> Forum, a pro-immigrant group, has called a news conference today to argue that involving local <u>police</u> in INS enforcement "could undo progress made" in forging trust between immigrant communities and local authorities.

Enlisting local <u>police</u> as a response to <u>terrorism</u> "seems to be a meat-cleaver approach" when "surgical precision" would suffice, according to the <u>Immigration</u> Forum. That group is aiming to demonstrate broad backing with <u>help</u> from <u>Police</u> Chief Arturo Venegas Jr. of Sacramento, Calif., and Grover Norquist, president of the pro-Bush Americans for Tax Reform.

However, proponents of tighter immigration law enforcement have not given up on help from local police.

WAR ON TERRORISM: Opposition heard on tighter immigration laws ;Shorter visas, police help face new resistance

"It's something that we're strongly committed to see," said Dan Stein, executive director of the Federation of Americans for *Immigration* Reform.

Stein said the <u>opposition</u> to many border-security measures is becoming more vocal as Sept. 11 recedes. "As soon as the public's attention is diverted, they are going to try to go back to business as usual," he said. "Many parties have such huge financial interests in <u>immigration</u>."

Business groups counter that the <u>new</u> rules would not <u>help</u> fight <u>terrorism</u> but would hurt the economy.

"We didn't think the rule would have an impact on anti-<u>terrorism</u>," Theresa Brown said about the plan to reduce most <u>visa</u> stays to a maximum 30 days.

Brown, *immigration* policy manager for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, said the plan "would possibly have an impact on tourism."

Earlier this month, the U.S. Chamber argued in a letter to the INS that the <u>tighter</u> <u>visa</u> procedures would discourage many travelers from coming to America.

Nearly 1 million visitors in 2000 stayed longer than 30 days, and restricting those visits would cost \$2.1 billion to the U.S. economy, the chamber said.

The INS, expected to issue its <u>new</u> rule by August, has said that visitors who show they need more time will be able to stay as long as the full six months.

### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (93%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); HUMAN RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION LAW</u> (90%); INVESTIGATIONS (90%); <u>POLICE</u> FORCES (89%); <u>LAW</u> ENFORCEMENT (89%); <u>TERRORISM</u> (89%); COUNTERTERRORISM (89%); PASSPORTS & <u>VISAS</u> (78%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); HUMAN RIGHTS (78%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (78%); HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS (78%); PUBLIC POLICY (77%); BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS (77%); BORDER CONTROL (73%); CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS (72%); CONSERVATISM (71%); EXECUTIVES (67%); LETTERS & COMMENTS (67%); CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE (67%); TAX REFORM (62%); PRESS CONFERENCES (50%); TAXES & TAXATION (50%)

Company: AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (57%); AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (57%); AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (57%); AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (57%)

**Organization:** AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (57%); AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (57%); AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (57%); AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (57%)

**Industry:** TOURISM (70%)

**Geographic:** SACRAMENTO, CA, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (99%); ASIA (79%); MIDDLE EAST (79%); SOUTHERN ASIA (57%)

WAR ON TERRORISM: Opposition heard on tighter immigration laws ;Shorter visas, police help face new resistance

**Load-Date:** May 30, 2002

**End of Document**