Washingtonpost.com

April 28, 2010 Wednesday 12:30 PM EST

Copyright 2010 Washingtonpost.Newsweek Interactive All Rights Reserved

washingtonpost.com

Section: LIVEONLINE Length: 1845 words

Byline: Rep. Raul Grijalva, (D-Ariz.), washingtonpost.com

Highlight: Rep. Raul Grijalva (D-<u>Ariz.</u>) was online Wednesday, April 28, at 12:30 p.m. ET to discuss the growing <u>conflict</u> in <u>Arizona</u> against a bill that will make it a state crime to be an illegal immigrant in <u>Arizona</u>. The Democrat has asked the federal government not to cooperate when illegal immigrants are picked up by local police.

Body

Rep. Raul Grijalva (D-<u>Ariz.</u>) was online Wednesday, April 28, at 12:30 p.m. ET to discuss the growing <u>conflict</u> in <u>Arizona</u> against a bill that will make it a state crime to be an illegal immigrant in <u>Arizona</u>. The Democrat has asked the federal government not to cooperate when illegal immigrants are picked up by local police.

Video: Furor grows over **Arizona**'s **immigration** law (AP)

Programming note: We have extended invitations to authorities who represent the other side of the *immigration* issue in *Arizona*. Please consult the Live Chats schedule.

Phoenix, <u>Ariz.</u>: How do you reconcile your commitment to uphold the Constitution as a member of Congress and yet suggest that federal authorities not enforce U.S. *immigration* law?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I have never said or suggested that federal <u>immigration</u> laws should not be enforced. I have suggested that federal law is a federal obligation and should not be delegated to local authorities.

Washington, D.C.: An <u>Arizona</u> sheriff, Sheriff Clarence Dupnik, has already announced that he won't uphold this law because it is, in his words, a "racist law." Even Tom Tancredo (!) thinks the law goes over the line. Is this law even going to live long enough to be enforced?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I believe that the legal challenges of the Constitutionality of this law will eventually overturn SB 1070.

Annapolis, Md.: Other than amnesty, what is your solution to the illegal *immigration* problem? Another amnesty would only make the problem worse.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I have never supported blanket amnesty. I have supported and still support a path to legalization, border security, additional funds to support enforcement in high drug trafficking areas, and other elements of a comprehensive solution. I co-sponsored HR 4321, the Comprehensive *Immigration* Reform ASAP bill.

Washington, D.C.: Under the new law, what will happen to legal visitors, legal immigrants, or citizens who are stopped by the police but unable to produce any documentation of their status?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: The person would be subject to arrest, possible detention, and a \$500 fine for not having the correct papers on demand.

Portland, Ore.: Don't you realize that your proposal for a boycott of all things <u>Arizona</u> may well hurt the people who need help and support the most? As a frequent visitor to the Phoenix area, I can't help but notice that most service workers are Mexican or of Mexican descent. Fewer tourists add up to less jobs, which equals more misery. Would you reconsider?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I have not called for a general "boycott" of <u>Arizona</u>. I have called for a targeted ban on conventions and conferences in the state for a limited time. The idea is to send a message, not grind down the state economy.

Minneapolis, Minn.: Representative Grijalva -- Thanks for taking questions today. Are you satisfied with the president's response to the passage of this law? Is there more you would like him to do or say?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I am encouraged by the president's instructions to the Department of Justice to investigate the Constitutionality and civil rights implications of the new law. Hopefully, this will lead the DOJ to seek an injunction against the law.

Washington, D.C.: I know you're head of Progressive Caucus. Do you worry that since you played nice with Obama on health care, he might not do *immigration* reform? I would worry about it.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I don't believe the president is ignoring the issue of *immigration* reform. The real impediment is members of Congress who are too afraid of the issue or those that seek to exploit it for political gain. That's the real problem.

Anonymous: What provisions does the new <u>immigration</u> law have in it with regards to illegals who have resided in <u>Arizona</u> like more than five years and own businesses, homes and pay income taxes??

Thank you for your response.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: For the law-abiding, hard-working people that you describe, comprehensive reform would provide a path to legalization, which involves background checks, a waiting period, and a fee for processing. SB 1070, which we're discussing today, does not deal at all with the people you describe other than in an enforcement and detention capacity.

Philadelphia, Pa.: Do you have any thoughts as to whether the Republican National Convention should consider being held in Phoenix?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: It certainly would fit nicely into the RNC's theme on immigrants.

Rockville, Md.: Why don't you advocate enforcement of existing federal <u>immigration</u> laws so that states won't have to create their own in desperation? If laws against employers of illegals were enforced, most of the problem would go away.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: Employer sanctions under comprehensive reform would be an effective deterrent because all employees would be identified as legal and eligible for employment. Without comprehensive reform, we rely on a system in which employees work in the shadows, unseen and unreachable.

Phoenix, <u>Ariz.</u>: How many of those commenting have actually read the AZ bill have actually read it. Do you think people really understand all of its parts? It seems to be that reactions to the bill are based on preconceived biases.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: <u>Immigration</u> has unfortunately become a gut reaction issue. SB 1070 upon reading will be more distasteful than one's original impression.

-

Washington, D.C. (via Navajo Nation): What is your plan to get Arizona Indian Tribes aboard to end 1070?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: Opposition to SB 1070 has included Native nations. The racial profiling demand for Tribal identification will be reason enough for more tribes to oppose it.

North Manchester, Ind.: Please explain why illegal immigrants should not be subject to our border laws. If I am in England illegally, they have every right to toss me out until I can do the right thing and provide documentation that I have respected their rules. What in your argument am I missing?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I am not implying that <u>immigration</u> laws should not be enforced. Your assumption is that I believe the opposite, which is wrong. My opposition to SB 1070 is based on Constitutional concerns.

Detroit, Mich.: Given concerns over the state of the national debt, how are members of both parties proposing to pay for their <u>immigration</u> proposals? I find it hard to stomach complaints about our debt when the same people propose building a giant and expensive fence.

Rep. Raul Grijalva: The <u>immigration</u> reform bill presently in the House requires the payment of a fee for those who are undocumented. This fund would pay for the processing of applications, which is the key expense. The irony about the fence is that despite its ineffectiveness and cost overruns, it remains a rallying cry for people who think they're solving the problem. It's simplistic and doesn't do what proponents think it does.

Belfast, U.K.: I don't understand the logic behind an earned pathway to citizenship for those who have broken the rules. How is this fair when faced against many others who would like to come to the United States, from Ireland for example, who pursue legal means of entry?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: One of the largest groups of undocumented are the Irish and Eastern bloc residents. They too would benefit from an earned path to legalization. They would have to get at the back of the line and wait with every other undocumented group, but they would have the opportunities they deserve and don't have now.

San Francisco, Calif.: I agree that this law goes way over the line. However, as a resident of another very strained border state, I sympathize a bit with the frustration of the people of *Arizona*, which led to this overreaction. What do you suggest as a more reasonable way to deal with the huge numbers of illegal immigrants coming into our country?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: Dealing with migration to this country from Latin America will require the United States to have a real strategy to build capacity in the region, including economic development, humanitarian assistance, and education. I am convinced that people come here driven by poverty and hunger, not malice or greed. They would remain home if they could sustain their families there. This has to become an essential part of reform.

Arlington, Va.: I was quite stunned this morning to hear John McCain blame the *immigration* law on President Obama for "failing to protect our borders."

How can he honestly lay the blame for this at the president's doorstep?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: For eight years or more, the Republicans have been funding and promoting "border security" -- troops on the border, more Border Patrol agents, fences, detention centers, virtual fences -- and we still have the same issue before us. For them or McCain in particular to blame those who are trying to deal with this complex issue now is the height of hypocrisy. While Sen. McCain was a maverick he promoted comprehensive *immigration* reform, and now as a Tea Party convert mimics his primary opponent J. D. Hayworth. This for me calls anything he says into question.

Apache Junction, *Ariz.*: What exactly do you propose as a solution to illegal *immigration* in *Arizona*? Do you view illegal *immigration* as just that, the people breaking the law of the U.S.?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: As I've stated before countless times, I support comprehensive <u>immigration</u> reform as a key to dealing with unauthorized entries. I see undocumented immigrants as committing a civil infraction, which is stated in present *immigration* law.

Rochester, N.Y.: Is it true that if police stop someone under the new AZ law, and that person simply declares himself to be a citizen, the police can't demand ID from him or her?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: Under the new law, if police have "reasonable suspicion" that the person is an undocumented immigrant, they can demand proof. Refusing to provide it will not end the conversation.

Virginia: I lived in Latin America most of my life, speak Spanish fluently, and love its music, culture, and people. My Hispanic friends and I support enforcing *Arizona* law. Does that make us racists?

Rep. Raul Grijalva: I'm glad you like the music. No, you're not a racist. However, you might want to protect your Constitutional rights by opposing this law.

washingtonpost.com: This now concludes today's discussion with Rep. Grijavla. Thank you for joining.

-_____

Editor's Note: washingtonpost.com moderators retain editorial control over Discussions and choose the most relevant questions for guests and hosts; guests and hosts can decline to answer questions. washingtonpost.com is not responsible for any content posted by third parties.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Web Publication

Subject: IMMIGRATION (92%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); ARIZONA IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); AMNESTY (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); PHARMACEUTICALS ASSOCIATIONS (88%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (79%); CONFERENCES & CONVENTIONS (78%); RACISM & XENOPHOBIA (78%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (78%); US STATE IMMIGRATION LAW (77%); MIGRATION ISSUES (77%); ARRESTS (77%); SHERIFFS (77%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (77%); SERVICE WORKERS (76%); POLICE FORCES (76%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (72%); BORDER CONTROL (72%); CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES CRIME (72%); DRUG TRAFFICKING (50%)

Industry: PHARMACEUTICALS ASSOCIATIONS (88%)

Person: RAUL M GRIJALVA (89%); THOMAS G TANCREDO (59%)

Geographic: PHOENIX, AZ, USA (90%); ANNAPOLIS, MD, USA (79%); PORTLAND, OR, USA (79%); MINNEAPOLIS, MN, USA (79%); <u>ARIZONA</u>, USA (97%); DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (92%); MINNESOTA, USA (79%); OREGON, USA (79%); MARYLAND, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: April 30, 2010

End of Document