## BRING BACK THE DRAFT To fight this war, put the best & brightest to wo rk in security jobs

Daily News (New York)

July 7, 2002, Sunday, SPORTS FINAL EDITION

Copyright 2002 Daily News, L.P.

Section: EDITORIAL:; COLUMN, OPINION

Length: 622 words

**Byline:** BY ZEV CHAFETS

## **Body**

President Bush keeps saying America is involved in a new kind of war. Now it's time for a new kind of draft.

Obviously, federal <u>security</u> agencies are drastically shorthanded. The Canadian and Mexican borders are wide open. Overburdened diplomats hand out visas like they were tickets to afternoon TV shows. The Immigration and Naturalization Service farms out its work and gets fertilizer-quality results. The FBI doesn't have enough translators. The CIA lacks analysts. Sensitive public places across the country are unguarded.

Even when there are sufficient people, they are often underpaid and incompetent. In a recent test, the Transportation **Security** Administration managed to sneak fake guns and bombs onto planes all over the country.

It has been more than nine months since the Islamic sneak attack on America, and it is abundantly clear that the government can't find and train a sufficient number of high-quality personnel to <u>fight back</u>. So Washington will have to do what it has done in times of national emergency since the Civil <u>War</u>: conscript qualified young people.

This is not an easy concept for Americans. We haven't had a <u>draft</u> since Vietnam. <u>Back</u> then, middle-class students said, "Hell no, we won't go!" and left the *fighting* mostly to the poor.

Today, the U.S. doesn't need combat soldiers. The professional military is more than able to whip whatever conventional and terrorist forces the Islamic Axis <u>puts</u> in the field. It is the home front that is vulnerable. That's where conscripts are needed.

The first task of the proposed Department of Homeland <u>Security</u> should be to ask Congress to pass a civilian Selective Service Act, mandating two years of compulsory duty for vital personnel - starting with the <u>best</u> and the <u>brightest</u> young men and women in the country. They should have a choice of being inducted after high school or going to college, but any educational deferments would come with an ironclad commitment to future duty.

A <u>draft</u> would dramatically improve America's ability to protect itself against terrorism. Homeland <u>Security</u> corps personnel would provide full-time, high-quality <u>security</u> screeners to airports, railroad and bus stations, malls, schools and other sensitive public places. They would give the INS Border Patrol enough personnel to cut off illegal immigration and the Customs Service the ability to check more than 2% of incoming cargo.

The Homeland <u>Security</u> corps would be able to draw from a deep pool of talent and abilities. Linguists and computer nerds, for example, could serve in the FBI or other <u>security</u> agencies as translators or intelligence and systems analysts. Medical and nursing students would beef up the nation's Emergency Medical Service capacity. Science types might be used by federal laboratories to produce vital vaccines. Budding lawyers and accountants could help the INS keep up with its casework.

In theory, a <u>draft</u> is compulsory. But the '60s taught us that conscription collapses when too many people refuse to serve. That wouldn't happen today, because the objections of the Vietnam-era no longer apply.

The U.S. is not <u>fighting</u> a foreign <u>war</u> of debatable purpose and questionable morality. It is, on the home front, engaged in a defensive struggle against foreign terrorists. Draftees into the Homeland <u>Security</u> corps wouldn't be called upon to kill or be killed. They would do necessary civilian work, unarmed and out of uniform.

Not everybody will like this idea. Two years of duty is an inconvenience. But <u>wars</u> require sacrifice. Thirty years ago, the national elite forced its stepchildren to shoulder the burden. This time, the task properly belongs to America's favorite daughters and sons.

E-mail: zchafets@yahoo.com

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (90%); AVIATION <u>SECURITY</u> (89%); IMMIGRATION (89%); NATIONAL <u>SECURITY</u> (89%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (89%); TERRORISM (88%); PROFESSIONAL WORKERS (86%); US SELECTIVE SERVICE (79%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (78%); BORDER CONTROL (78%); <u>WAR</u> & CONFLICT (78%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (77%); CITIZENSHIP (77%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (77%); PUBLIC FINANCE AGENCIES & TREASURIES (77%); AVIATION ADMINISTRATION (75%); TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS (74%); TECHNICIANS & TECHNOLOGICAL WORKERS (73%); OUTSOURCING (71%); LINGUISTICS (71%); RELIGION (69%); LAWYERS (68%); EXCISE & CUSTOMS (67%); ARMED FORCES (65%); VACCINES (50%)

Company: ENVISION HEALTHCARE HOLDINGS INC (50%); ENVISION HEALTHCARE HOLDINGS INC (50%); FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (83%); FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (83%); US TRANSPORTATION <u>SECURITY</u> ADMINISTRATION (57%)

**Organization:** FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (83%); FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (83%); US TRANSPORTATION <u>SECURITY</u> ADMINISTRATION (57%)

Ticker: EVH (NYSE) (50%); EVHC (NYSE) (50%)

Industry: AVIATION <u>SECURITY</u> (89%); AIRPORTS (78%); TRAVELER SAFETY & <u>SECURITY</u> (78%); PUBLIC FINANCE AGENCIES & TREASURIES (77%); <u>SECURITY</u> GUARD SERVICES (76%); AVIATION ADMINISTRATION (75%); FERTILIZERS (71%); LAWYERS (68%); ARMED FORCES (65%); BUS STATIONS & TERMINALS (50%); VACCINES (50%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (96%); MEXICO (79%); CANADA (79%); NORTH AMERICA (79%)

Load-Date: July 8, 2002