The New York Times

April 12, 2006 Wednesday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2006 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 3; National Desk; Pg. 16

Length: 1205 words

Byline: By RANDAL C. ARCHIBOLD; Reporting for this article was contributed by Brenda Goodman in Atlanta,

Colin Moynihan in New York, Rachel L. Swarns in Washington and Katie Zezima in Boston.

Dateline: LOS ANGELES, April 11

Body

Meeting in February at a convention center in Riverside, Calif., some 600 Latino <u>immigrant</u> advocates from across the country tossed around ideas about how to defeat what they considered anti-immigrant legislation in Congress.

The advocates cheered denunciations of Representative F. James Sensenbrenner Jr., Republican of Wisconsin, who sponsored a bill that would make being an illegal <u>immigrant</u> a felony and would criminalize the providing of aid to people living in this country illegally.

They also vowed to take their anger to the streets, setting a date of <u>March</u> 25 for what would turn out to be the largest protest in recent weeks, more than 500,000 people in downtown Los Angeles, and promising months of other demonstrations.

"I said this is the time for us to have an organizing contagion," said Armando Navarro, a professor of ethnic studies at the University of California, Riverside, who helped organize the February **session**.

The Riverside meeting was one of many that gave birth to a wave of demonstrations that is still playing out nationwide.

Soon afterward, grass-roots groups and members of the clergy, many heeding a call to action from leaders of the Roman Catholic Church, reached out for organizing and political muscle.

They contacted labor groups, including the Service Employees International Union, whose membership of janitors, security guards and others includes large numbers of *immigrants*.

Through e-mail messages, phone calls, word of mouth, and coverage in ethnic and mainstream news media, the loose network has shared tactics and developed an ad hoc blueprint repeated from Fresno, Calif., to Omaha to Atlanta: engage Spanish-language radio DJ's, who reach millions; place leaflets in churches; and buttonhole members of Latin American soccer leagues.

There are now unified chants like "Today we *march*, tomorrow we vote" added to chestnuts from the civil rights era and reflecting an urge for a longer-lasting mark.

Buoyed by a string of major protests in early <u>March</u>, they arranged a conference call and set the stage for Monday's round, in which hundreds of thousands of demonstrators <u>marched</u> in more than 100 cities.

Some organizers are now planning a "day without an <u>immigrant"</u> on May 1, International Workers Day. Others are planning rallies and other events.

Leaders said they had developed coalitions that had set aside differences among *immigrant* groups for what they regarded as an important galvanizing issue.

The leaders have taken heart in the breadth of the protests and their locations in places like Birmingham, Ala., and other parts of the South where Latino political awakening is occurring after more than a decade of surging Hispanic growth.

"About two weeks ago, we called a meeting in which we included the radio stations, members of the Catholic Church, the newspapers, the directors of the soccer leagues," said Sigfredo Rubio, 34, a student at Cumberland School of Law in Birmingham, where a few thousand people protested Monday.

With "the first meeting of 15 people," Mr. Rubio said, "we had a connection with a couple of thousand, just through those groups."

"It just grew," he added.

The snowball effect helps to explain why so many people in such an array of places have taken part.

"There was definitely a turning point on this one where as people saw the events that took place in Chicago, L.A. and elsewhere, that inspired and empowered people in way I haven't seen before," said Darcy Tromanhauser of the Nebraska Appleseed Center for Law in the Public Interest, which helped organize rallies in Omaha and Lincoln that drew several thousand people.

Organizers focused on two events, the <u>March</u> 25 rally and demonstrations on Monday in downtown Los Angeles, the San Fernando Valley and Santa Ana, a heavily Latino working-class city 30 miles south of Los Angeles.

The <u>March</u> 25 demonstration was largely the work of an umbrella group of <u>immigrant</u> rights and community groups calling itself the <u>March</u> 25 Coalition. The coalition is taking the lead in promoting a nationwide boycott for May 1, asking <u>immigrants</u> not to work, shop or go to school.

Members of the New American Opportunity Campaign, a group of 60 <u>immigrant</u> rights organizations based in Washington, and other advocates met in Chicago on <u>March</u> 16 and 17 and held a series of conference calls to decide how to make a larger statement than the local protests.

Organizers decided to hold a wave of rallies this week while members of Congress were in their home districts on a recess.

They urged members to include appeals to vote, to wave American flags and to emphasize the need for changes in immigration law that would lead to citizenship.

"We are a powerful community," said Angelica Salas, executive director of the Coalition for Humane *Immigrants* Rights in Los Angeles, an organizer of Monday's demonstration.

"These are *immigrants* and their families, many of them U.S. citizens, having a voice in this process," Ms. Salas said.

She said her group and others would not participate in the May 1 boycott out of concerns that it could jeopardize *immigrants*' jobs and because they did not think that children should stay home from school.

Another important organizer in recent Los Angeles demonstrations, the Central American Resource Center, said it probably would abstain from the boycott.

"Some boycotts have proved successful in the past, but it would be hard to measure how successful a one day boycott is," said Stephanie Kotin, a spokeswoman for the organization.

Her group and others are focused on broadening the range of participants in the street protests. In Los Angeles, advocates of Korean *immigrant* rights took part in the *march* on Monday, and organizers enlisted an appearance

by Bruce Gordon, the president of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, in a fledgling effort to draw more black support.

The Service Employees union played a large role in many of the demonstrations on Monday, including one in New York, where union members acted after witnessing a rally last month in Washington.

"I saw people coming from all over Washington, working people coming from all over the place," said Rhadames Rivera, a vice president of Local 1199 of the Service Employees union in New York, one of the lead organizers of Monday's demonstration. "They were so enthusiastic. People were getting out of work going to the rally and *marching*. It was very inspiring."

Mike Garcia, of Local 1877 of the S.E.I.U. in Los Angeles, said that apart from organizing prowess the unions brought credibility to the demonstrations, making it safe for politicians and other community leaders to participate with grass-roots groups they knew little about.

"We make sure these organizations are stable and respected players," he said.

Professor Navarro said he was pleased with the results. He echoed the sentiments of other protest leaders in declaring a movement at hand that has raised awareness of the immigration issue.

"The idea we were a sleeping giant, sitting under a cactus saying manana, is gone," Professor Navarro said. "This is a community showing conviction and power. It is not a passive community."

http://www.nytimes.com

Graphic

Photo: Protesters demanding changes in immigration laws held candles after <u>marching</u> last night in Los Angeles. (Photo by J. Emilio Flores for The New York Times)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (90%); TALKS & MEETINGS (90%); LEGISLATION (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); POLITICAL PARTY CONVENTIONS (90%); PROTESTS & DEMONSTRATIONS (89%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (89%); RELIGION (89%); RACE & ETHNICITY (78%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (77%); CHRISTIANS & CHRISTIANITY (76%); ILLEGAL *IMMIGRANTS* (73%); LABOR UNIONS (73%); ETHNIC & CULTURAL STUDIES (73%); LAW SCHOOLS (73%); HUMANITIES & SOCIAL SCIENCE (73%); CATHOLICS & CATHOLICISM (71%); SERVICE WORKERS (70%); LANGUAGE & LANGUAGES (69%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (68%); SOCCER (67%); CLERGY & RELIGIOUS VOCATIONS (66%); CIVIL RIGHTS (62%); FELONIES (56%); Terms not available from NYTimes

Organization: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA (56%); SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (55%)

Industry: CONFERENCE CALLS (78%); LAW SCHOOLS (73%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (68%); ELECTRONIC MAIL (65%); RADIO BROADCAST INDUSTRY (63%)

Person: F JAMES SENSENBRENNER JR (57%)

Geographic: RIVERSIDE, CA, USA (91%); BIRMINGHAM, AL, USA (83%); ATLANTA, GA, USA (79%); LOS ANGELES, CA, USA (79%); FRESNO, CA, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (93%); ALABAMA, USA (79%)

Load-Date: April 12, 2006

End of Document