Jury Rules for Hospital That Deported Patient

The New York Times

July 28, 2009 Tuesday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2009 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 0; National Desk; Pg. 10

Length: 957 words

Byline: By DEBORAH SONTAG; Rod Proctor contributed reporting.

Body

In a benchmark case dealing with the obligations of <u>hospitals</u> toward uninsured illegal immigrants, a <u>jury</u> in Stuart, Fla., decided Monday that Martin Memorial Medical Center did not act unreasonably when it chartered a plane and repatriated a severely brain-injured Guatemalan <u>patient</u> against the will of his guardian.

Judge James W. Midelis instructed the <u>jury</u> in state court late last week that because of a Florida appeals court decision, it was already "a matter of law" that the <u>patient</u>, Luis Alberto Jimenez, had been unlawfully detained and deprived of his liberty.

Still, the jury found that Martin Memorial had not committed false imprisonment and was not liable for damages.

In a statement, the <u>hospital</u>, which provided Mr. Jimenez life-saving care and kept him as a ward for several years before undertaking his repatriation in 2003, applauded the <u>jury</u>'s decision.

At the same time, the <u>hospital</u>'s president and chief executive, Mark E. Robitaille, chastised "our political leadership" for failing to address the challenges facing <u>hospitals</u> that provide uncompensated health care to illegal immigrants.

"Unfortunately none of the proposed national health care reform bills currently being debated in Washington address the issue," Mr. Robitaille said. "That means there are still cases like Luis Jimenez's in *hospitals* across the country and there will continue to be cases like Luis Jimenez's."

The case of Mr. Jimenez, which was featured in an in-depth report in The New York Times last summer, is believed to be the first to test the legality of <u>patient</u> repatriations and to judge the liability of the <u>hospitals</u> that undertake them. Such repatriations are a relatively rare but widespread practice, especially in cases involving catastrophic injuries or serious illnesses, where <u>patients</u> need continuing care that is not covered by Medicaid because of their immigration status.

Mr. Jimenez's case has been closely watched by health care providers and fiercely debated in the blogosphere. In cyberspace and in Stuart, a relatively sleepy town north of Palm Beach where supporters of the <u>hospital</u> packed the small gallery of the courtroom during the three-week trial, the case has elicited considerable anti-immigrant sentiment.

But the courtroom on Monday morning was quiet and empty of spectators when the verdict was unexpectedly announced. The sole observable reaction came from William B. King, one of Mr. Jimenez's guardian's lawyers, who repeatedly shook his head.

"I'm stunned," Mr. King said.

Jury Rules for Hospital That Deported Patient

The jurors, all of whom were white, with no Hispanics among them, declined to discuss the verdict; one said, "It was a very tough decision."

Mr. Jimenez's cousin and legal guardian, Montejo Gaspar, filed the lawsuit seeking nearly \$1 million to cover the costs of providing care for Mr. Jimenez in Guatemala and seeking damages for what he essentially saw as the **hospital**'s kidnapping and **deportation** of his profoundly disabled cousin.

Reached by telephone on Monday, Mr. Gaspar, who works in golf course maintenance in South Florida, said he was saddened by the outcome.

"I don't know why that <u>jury</u> didn't recognize the injustice of what was done," he said in Spanish. "And I don't know what to do next for Luis. He really needs help."

A Mayan Indian from the highlands of Guatemala, Mr. Jimenez paid a smuggler to transport him to the United States about a decade ago so he could work as a gardener and send money home to his wife and two sons. He had been living in Stuart with Mr. Gaspar for just under a year when a drunken driver in a stolen vehicle plowed into his car in the winter of 2000.

Now 37, Mr. Jimenez, who cannot walk and has the mental age of a child, lives in a one-room house in a remote village, tended by his elderly mother. He is largely confined to his bed and suffers from routine seizures. When The Times visited him last summer, he had not received medical care for over five years.

In Florida, Martin Memorial, a nonprofit <u>hospital</u>, spent \$1.5 million to care for Mr. Jimenez. The costs especially mounted because of a conundrum faced by the <u>hospital</u>. As a condition of receiving Medicaid and Medicare money, the <u>hospital</u> was required to care for Mr. Jimenez until it could properly discharge him under federal law.

That meant discharging Mr. Jimenez into a skilled nursing home, but the <u>hospital</u> could not find one willing to accept an uninsured illegal immigrant. So it kept Mr. Jimenez as a boarder until, in 2003, a state judge gave the <u>hospital</u> permission to send him back to Guatemala.

As his cousin's lawyer sought to delay the move, the <u>hospital</u> leased a plane for \$30,000 and early one morning flew Mr. Jimenez to an orthopedic <u>hospital</u> in Guatemala City. Arriving to visit him at the <u>hospital</u> in Florida, Mr. Gaspar found his bed empty.

The next year, the state appeals court voided the judicial order that the <u>hospital</u> had relied on to justify the repatriation. It was too late for Mr. Jimenez, but Jack Hill, one of Mr. Gaspar's lawyers, said the appeals court decision had set "a very good precedent that tells <u>hospitals</u> you can't send foreign nationals back to their home countries against their will."

It was not enough to convince the *jury* in Stuart, however.

In his instructions to the <u>jury</u>, Judge Midelis said the appeals court had already established three of the four elements that support a claim of false imprisonment: that Mr. Jimenez had been detained unlawfully, "without legal authority" and against the will of his guardian. But the <u>jury</u>, in just over a day of deliberations, concluded that the fourth element that the <u>hospital</u>'s actions were "unreasonable and unwarranted under the circumstances" had not been proved.

http://www.nytimes.com

Graphic

Jury Rules for Hospital That Deported Patient

PHOTOS: The difficult journey of Luis Alberto Jimenez since he was injured: with relatives at Martin Memorial Medical Center in 2002, in a Guatemala City <u>hospital</u> after being <u>deported</u> in 2003, and last year at his home in Jolomcu, high in the hills of Guatemala. (PHOTOGRAPHS BY DAVID FRIEDMAN FOR THE NEW YORK TIMES

RODRIGO ABD/ASSOCIATED PRESS

JOSH HANER/THE NEW YORK TIMES)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); JURY TRIALS (90%); VERDICTS (90%); IMMIGRATION (89%); RESETTLEMENT & REPATRIATION (89%); SUITS & CLAIMS (89%); MEDICAID (89%); LITIGATION (89%); DAMAGES (88%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (78%); APPEALS (78%); PUBLIC HEALTH & WELFARE LAW (78%); APPELLATE DECISIONS (78%); DECISIONS & RULINGS (78%); HEALTH CARE POLICY (78%); HEALTH CARE LAW (78%); JUDGES (78%); IMMIGRATION LAW (78%); WOUNDS & INJURIES (78%); GUARDIANSHIPS (77%); US STATE GOVERNMENT (76%); LAWYERS (75%); CIVIL FALSE IMPRISONMENT (75%); HEALTH CARE REFORM (73%); HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS (73%); EXECUTIVES (72%); BRAIN INJURIES (72%); APPEALS COURTS (71%); BLOGS & MESSAGE BOARDS (60%)

Industry: <u>HOSPITALS</u> (92%); UNDERINSURED & UNINSURED <u>PATIENTS</u> (90%); MEDICAID (89%); HEALTH CARE (89%); HEALTH CARE POLICY (78%); HEALTH CARE LAW (78%); LAWYERS (75%); HEALTH CARE REFORM (73%); HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS (73%); BLOGS & MESSAGE BOARDS (60%)

Geographic: FLORIDA, USA (92%); GUATEMALA (91%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: July 28, 2009

End of Document