## New Immigration Policy Gets 11th-Hour Go-Ahead

The Washington Post

April 01, 1997, Tuesday, Final Edition

Copyright 1997 The Washington Post

Section: A SECTION; Pg. A01

Length: 733 words

Byline: Pamela Constable, Washington Post Staff Writer

## **Body**

A federal judge decided yesterday to delay until Saturday implementation of a tough <u>new immigration</u> law, saying he agreed with immigrant advocacy groups that the public had not been given enough time to learn about the law's complex regulations.

But early this morning, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, acting on an appeal by the Justice Department, overturned the judge's stay.

Brian Jordan, a spokesman for the *Immigration* and Naturalization Service, said the appeals court's action puts the law into full effect today, as scheduled. It was not clear what action would be taken next by lawyers for the immigrant advocates, who had sued for the delay.

The immigrant advocates argued that the government's regulations, intended to guide <u>immigration</u> agents in enforcing the law, had not had adequate public review, and U.S. District Judge Emmet G. Sullivan sided with that view.

<u>Immigration</u> officials had said that Sullivan's ruling would cause "wide-scale confusion" and "significantly undermine" their ability to enforce the <u>new</u> law and filed an immediate appeal last night. The appeals court issued it's ruling at about 1 a.m. today.

The government warned that if the regulations were not in place, thousands of deportation cases could be jeopardized and <u>immigration</u> agents at airports and borders would have to process more than 5 million people entering the country between today and Saturday, including several hundred thousand with questionable documents or other problems, without knowing exactly how to treat them.

The <u>new</u> law stiffens requirements for the granting of political asylum, makes it easier for the <u>immigration</u> service to deport arriving foreigners and makes it harder to win a waiver of deportation. It also requires all illegal immigrants to leave the country within the next six months or risk being barred from returning for several years.

"I can't emphasize enough, if we have a bare statute with no regulations . . . there will be sheer chaos at ports of entry," Linda Wendtland, a lawyer for the Justice Department, said during the hearing before Sullivan.

But Sullivan said he was not convinced that a "chaotic situation" would result from delaying the regulations. In contrast, he said, he was persuaded by immigrant advocates who sought the delay that it was crucial to give the public a full 30 days, as required, to learn about the <u>new</u> law. As of today, the rules have been available to the public for 26 days.

"This is not an ordinary law. . . . Four days may seem minimal, but under these circumstances, four days cannot be trivialized," Sullivan said in his ruling, which came after a long day of arguments and recesses and just seven hours before the law officially took effect.

Wendtland and other lawyers for the Justice Department, which oversees the <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service, also argued that they had delivered the regulations to the Government Printing Office on time and that was the same as making them public. The immigrant rights groups argued that the rules were not made public until March 6, when they appeared in the Federal Register.

"This is very serious legislation that will affect a lot of people's lives, so every day matters," said Judy Rabinovitz, a lawyer with the American Civil Liberties Union, which sued the government along with the American <a href="Immigration">Immigration</a> Lawyers Association and the San Francisco-based Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights.

Immediately after Sullivan's ruling, attorneys for the advocate groups filed a second suit against the <u>new</u> law, this one directly challenging the regulation on political asylum. They said that asylum seekers arriving in the United States could risk being sent home without an adequate chance to prove they fear persecution.

<u>Immigration</u> officials have said they will make every effort to ensure that bona fide asylum seekers are given an opportunity to make their case, while weeding out fraudulent claims. Immigrant advocates, however, say the <u>new</u> rules give <u>immigration</u> officers too much power to deport an asylum seeker instantly.

In recent weeks, the <u>new</u> law has created widespread confusion and alarm in immigrant communities. The law was passed by Congress in the fall, and immigrants have flooded legal aid clinics and <u>immigration</u> service offices, many mistakenly fearing that they would be deported after today.

## **Graphic**

Chart, The Washington Post, WHO IS AFFECTED BY THE <u>NEW IMMIGRATION</u> LAW? The <u>new immigration</u> law affects all illegal immigrants living in the United States, including those with expired visas and those who crossed the border illegally. The new law affects any foreigner who tries to enter the country without a proper visa or who tries to seek political asylum. The <u>new</u> law does not affect legal permanent residents who have "green cards." The <u>new</u> law does not mean that illegal immigrants will be immediately deported. Immigrants affected by the new law should take steps to become legal before Sept. 30, or else leave the country and reapply for legal admittance. If they remain illegally in the United States after that date, they can be barred from returning for several years. Steps an illegal immigrant can take to become legal include applying for political asylum or seeking sponsorship for permanent residency from an employer or spouse who is a U.S. citizen. An immigrant who is ordered deported can apply for a waiver on grounds that deportation would cause extreme and unusual hardship to an immediate relative who is a U.S. citizen or permanent resident. Foreigners should not attempt to enter the United States without proper documents unless they believe they can convince an *immigration* officer on arrival that they would face persecution or death if sent back to their native country. For answers to questions about immigration status, consult any of the following organizations: Immigration and Naturalization Service Washington 800-375-5283 Ayuda Washington 202-387-0434 Hogar Hispano Arlington 703-979-2640 Lawyers Committee for Human Rights Washington 202-547-5692 American Immigration Lawyers Association Washington 202-371-9377

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (96%); APPEALS (90%); APPELLATE DECISIONS (90%); JUDGES (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (90%); APPEALS COURTS (90%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (89%); DEPORTATION (89%); CITIZENSHIP (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); LEGISLATION (89%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (89%); LAWYERS (89%); JUSTICE DEPARTMENTS (89%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); DELAYS & POSTPONEMENTS (78%); SUITS & CLAIMS (78%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (78%); LITIGATION (78%)

**Company:** AMERICAN <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAWYERS ASSOCIATION (59%); HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST (59%); HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST (59%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%)

Organization: AMERICAN <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAWYERS ASSOCIATION (59%); HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST (59%); HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST (59%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%); AMERICAN <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAWYERS ASSOCIATION (59%); HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST (59%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (57%)

Industry: LAWYERS (89%)

Geographic: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: April 1, 1997

**End of Document**