St. Petersburg Times (Florida)

November 05, 2001, Monday, 0 South Pinellas Edition

Copyright 2001 Times Publishing Company

Section: EDITORIAL; LETTERS; Pg. 11A; LETTER

Length: 1855 words

Body

Years of mass <u>immigration</u> without properly investigating immigrants' backgrounds have undoubtedly helped potential terrorists to settle among us in this country. More than 1-million legal immigrants and more than 300,000 illegals enter the United States every year. There is no way that <u>immigration</u> officials can properly <u>screen</u> this mass influx.

It's high time that members of Congress realize that legal <u>immigration</u> should be <u>cut back</u>, and illegal <u>immigration</u> should be brought to a screeching halt. In view of the Sept. 11 cowardly attack on this nation, it should be very clear that identity and background checks of immigrants be of the highest priority. There is no way that this can be successfully done unless we <u>cut back</u> on the mass exodus from other countries to our own.

Equally important is for Congress to pass "English only" legislation. If our government continues in its taxpayer-financed promotion of multiculturalism, it could destroy what has kept us together as a diverse, but unified, nation our English language. Foreigners who leave their own countries to settle in the United States obviously must think that their lives in this country will be better than in their native lands. Therefore, they should be willing to learn English, embrace our customs and our way of life.

LETTERS

Richard Preston, St. Petersburg

Immigration reform required

An associated Press report appearing in the Times on Oct. 28 states that there are 8-million illegal immigrants living in the United States.

In the wake of the attacks on New York and the Pentagon, I deem it necessary and of the utmost importance that we review our *immigration* policies. Terrorists, taking advantage of our laxity and loose laws found it entirely too easy to enter this land of opportunity and to inflict a dastardly and cowardly act upon innocent and unsuspecting people.

Sloppy administration of our **immigration** laws must no longer be tolerated. We desperately require reform. Naivete invites disaster. Students, travelers and those seeking political relief and/or medical aid using the visa privilege should be more closely monitored. Stories about students and others overstaying and getting lost in the system abound. Are we so inept that we cannot devise a more stringent method of control?

Orfeo Trombetta, Seminole

War has no room for niceties

While a case may be made for some measure of "political correctness" in American society, its practice in an all-out war on terrorism causes a damaging effect to our military strategy.

For example, our leaders should not feel obliged to regularly confess that we have injured or killed Afghan civilians. We need only to acknowledge that our forces cannot fight a surgically antiseptic war. It is well-established that the Taliban regularly locate themselves among the civilian population. American television and newspapers should cease showing close-<u>ups</u> of injured Afghan children, portraits that can weaken our national resolve. Instead we should endlessly repeat that civilian casualties are an absolute necessity of war.

Acknowledging incidents of "collateral damage," as we regularly do, only serves the enemy's propaganda.

Also, we should stop acknowledging our sensitivity to the enemy's Ramadan holiday, which lasts for a month. Helping the Taliban to celebrate their holiday would virtually destroy our military campaign. Such "sensitivity" was not shown by the Japanese at Pearl Harbor on the Sunday Sabbath, or in the Arab attack on Israel on Yom Kippur, or during the Iraq-Iran War when Ramadan was suspended for nine years.

War is hell, Gen. William Tecumseh Sherman once advised us. There's no room for political correctness, religious holidays or the observance of other niceties.

Our motto throughout this war forced on us by the terrorists should be: "Remember the twin towers, remember the Pentagon, remember Pennsylvania."

Laurence M. Christman, lieutenant colonel, U.S. Army

(retired), South Pasadena

We mustn't fight for revenge

I've been a part of war. I saw massive carpet bombing in Cambodia as well as South Vietnam. I saw huge arsenals of air power in Vietnam as well as in Thailand.

What did it get us? Tragedy. Of course, when Americans get bombed, we call it tragic. When civilians in poor Third World countries are bombed by us, we call it "regrettable."

I am as patriotic as anyone. But I don't want us to be responsible for the deaths of starving Afghans this winter. We can't only blame the Taliban, as distasteful as that group is.

International security is important, as well as homeland security. But that won't be helped by bombing Afghanistan. We are embarking on a reckless campaign that will have far reaching repercussions for the entire century. Pakistan may be destabilized. World War III will be a sustained, low-intensity conflict and won't be winnable militarily. It will be high-tech terror against clever manipulation of weak links in security. The suitcase will prove to be the ultimate weapon, not missiles - except ours, of course.

A massive campaign of police work and humanitarian aid will accomplish more for international peace than all the air power in the world, and we have the majority of either at our disposal. Our "peace-loving" nation is wanting to slit throats, but this is only what the enemy wants. And it won't work without restraint and nonviolent strategy. I can fight in combat or defense as well as anyone, but not in revenge at the expense of innocents.

Also, I am aware of the manufactured lies that got us into Vietnam in the first place, and continue to be doubtful of the wisdom of those who march us forward into another sustained war.

Jim Willingham, Veterans for Peace, St. Petersburg

Shakespeare can teach us much

As Alfred North Whitehead, with some justification, remarked, there is nothing in the world so useless as well-informed man. The study of history enables us to see what has happened, but much of what has happened in the world is produced by chance, circumstance, missed or seized opportunities, unexpected consequences. Nothing goes as planned: "The best laid schemes o' mice an' men" History gives us the material for understanding but not

the understanding. If you want to know about the nature of war, spend two weeks in infantry combat; that will teach you what Clausewitz can't.

T.S. Eliot said that Shakespeare was true, not to actual history, but to essential history. William Shakespeare was not interested, as Hamlet was, in holding a mirror <u>up</u> to nature; he was interested in enabling us to understand the meaning of human nature. History is at the service of art; Shakespeare's art is at the service of teaching us what it means to be a human being. Although Shakespeare's plays may be treated differently by different readers, unless the plays are considered as moral documents, they will remain just literary or social exercises.

And so, as a practical matter in these most difficult times, if you want to understand human nature, turn off the braying TV set and study Shakespeare. If you want, for instance, to know how dangerous and destructive a self-perpetuating feud can be, study Romeo and Juliet. As the story of these star-crossed lovers unfolds, you can discern how (abetted by feuding, by mischance and unruly passion) a pliable Benvolio, a waspish Mercutio, a zealous Tybalt, a time-serving Friar and an amoral Nurse can change Romeo from a lovesick youth to a resolute husband and can transform Juliet from a willful girl to a certified heroine. What a shame it is to watch these determined young lovers commit deliberate suicide. But then their story is like a jewel in a ghastly night, like a good deed in a naughty world. Romeo and Juliet fill <u>up</u> our hearts with awe and wonder, utterly unlike the sickness and revulsion with which those terrorists defile our minds.

William B. Bache, Tarpon Springs

The wonders of diversity

Re: American ignorance of Islam isn't bliss - it's embarrassing, Sept. 20.

This is another of those disgusting guilt-ridden articles by a do-good American.

It seems Mary Jo Melone didn't recognize a replica of an Islamic holy place, thinking it was the U.S. Capitol. Ooh, I'm "dumb" and Americans are "ignorant," she says. As though we should be walking encyclopedias of forty-leben religions. Isn't diversity wonderful?

President Bush even used the word "crusade," she rants; can't have that because Muslims are sensitive to crusades that ended - now get this - 800 years ago. Isn't diversity wonderful?

Muslims even claim to be offended by attempts at proselytizing them and got a law in Australia against it. Isn't diversity wonderful?

Francis J. Sullivan, St. Petersburg

Display the flag properly

Re: There's more to good citizenship than flag-waving, The suddenly patriotic, and Actions show patriotism, letters, Oct. 25.

These three timely, excellent letters prompted me to write.

Waving the flag to show patriotism is fine, as long as it is done properly. However, every day we see examples of disrespect to our flag. Some of the most flagrant examples of disrespect are:

Letting the flag touch the ground or floor or merchandise.

Wearing it as apparel or as a costume.

Displaying it in inclement weather (when it's not an all-weather flag).

Flying it during hours of darkness when it's not illuminated.

Also, flags flown on vehicles should only be attached to the right front fender (not from the roof or the rear end of a pickup truck), and no part of the flag should touch the vehicle.

My references are the National Flag Foundation, U.S. Code Title 36, the American Legion and your two previous articles (which you should re-publish): Symbol of patriotism, July 4, 1996, and Waving the flag, Sept. 29, 2001.

Rose B. Kehrer, South Pasadena

Worn out flags should be retired

I have noticed that over the last several weeks, more and more people are flying the American flag. I think it's great that everyone is being so patriotic. But I have already seen many flags that need to be retired because they are torn or faded.

According to the flag code, "when a flag has served its useful purpose, it should be destroyed, preferably by burning." Many local organizations such as the American Legion and Boy Scouts of America, offer disposal through Unserviceable Flag Ceremonies. For individual citizens, flag burning should be done in a non-public way so it's not mistaken for protest or desecration.

Those of you flying torn and tattered flags know who you are. Do the right thing and get a new flag.

Patrick Hurst, senior patrol leader, Boy Scout Troop 413,

Safety Harbor

Share your opinions

We invite readers to write to us. Letters for publication should be addressed to Letters to the Editor, P.O. Box 1121, St. Petersburg, FL 33731.

They can be sent by e-mail to <u>letters@sptimes.com</u> or by fax to (727) 893-8675.

They should be brief and must include the writer's name, address and phone number. Please include a handwritten signature when possible.

Letters may be edited for clarity, taste and length. We regret that not all letters can be published.

Graphic

CARTOON, DON ADDIS; A guard stops a passenger at a security checkpoint and says, "Wait a minute... does that little American flag have a sharp pin on the **back**?"

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (96%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (90%); TERRORISM (89%); BACKGROUND CHECKS (78%); WAR ON TERROR (78%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (78%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (78%); TALIBAN (78%); LEGISLATION (76%); PUBLIC POLICY (76%); INVESTIGATIONS (73%); WAR & CONFLICT (66%); POLITICAL CORRECTNESS (61%); LETTERS & COMMENTS (51%)

Geographic: NEW YORK, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: November 6, 2001

End of Document