WAR ON TERRORISM: Free association arising as issue;

Civil rights groups challenge new law

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

January 24, 2002 Thursday,, Home Edition

Copyright 2002 The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

Section: News;

Length: 611 words

Byline: JULIA MALONE

Body

Washington --- <u>Civil</u>-liberties <u>groups</u> are scrutinizing a far-reaching <u>law</u> aimed at uprooting foreign terrorists and their sympathizers.

The administration has detained hundreds of foreigners and put some into deportation proceedings in the three months since President Bush signed the anti-<u>terrorism</u> measure. But most of the charges are for technical violations of immigration <u>laws</u>, such as overstaying visas.

Cox Washington Bureau

Still to be tested are such controversial provisions of the <u>new law</u> as the power to deport both suspected terrorists and foreigners who associate with <u>groups</u> on the government's terrorist list.

Supporters of the <u>law</u>, known as the USA Patriot Act, argue that excluding and removing immigrants who promote or finance terrorist causes will help close off supply lines for terrorists.

Critics of the <u>new law</u> counter that foreigners should have the <u>right</u> of <u>free</u> political expression, so long as they are not participating in violent or illegal acts.

"The <u>new law</u> gives the government a great deal of power to go after people on the basis of their political beliefs and <u>associations</u>," American <u>Civil</u> Liberties Union legislative counsel Tim Edgar said. "I think the courts are going to have to decide on that."

Edgars said his **group** is monitoring the Justice Department's use of the **new** anti-**terrorism law**. "If the government goes too far, we would certainly **challenge** it," he said.

The liberal ACLU has been joined by others, including the conservative <u>Free</u> Congress Research and Education Foundation, in a line-by-line critique of the act. The analysis is scheduled to be released next month.

Expanded deportation power has been among the most controversial <u>issues</u> in the anti-<u>terrorism</u> effort. In fact, the battle lines for the current dispute have been drawn since 1987.

WAR ON TERRORISM: Free association arising as issue; Civil rights groups challenge new law

That year, the U.S. government began trying to expel eight foreign students in the Los Angeles area for supporting the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, which was linked to a series of hijackings in the 1970s and now is high on the State Department's list of terrorist organizations.

Although the "L.A. Eight" never were accused of violence, the government wanted to deport them for raising money for a day care center that was allied with the Popular Front.

After nearly a dozen court rulings, the students --- seven of them Jordanian and one Kenyan-born --- are approaching middle age and are raising their families in the United States. Only one is still an activist for Palestinian causes.

"I firmly believe that none of my clients will be deported," said David Cole, a Georgetown University <u>law</u> professor, who has guided the L.A. Eight through 15 years of procedural and constitutional assaults on the government's case.

At one point, Cole argued that his clients were the victims of unfair selective prosecution on the basis of their political views. That position went as far as the U.S. Supreme Court, which rejected the claim.

That decision sent the case back to resume its legal journey through lower courts. The Board of Immigration Appeals, part of the Justice Department, is reviewing it now.

In drafting the Patriot Act in the weeks after the Sept. 11 attacks, Justice Department officials made sure the <u>new</u> spelled out the authority to remove immigrants for even indirect terrorist ties.

Critics question the provision and argue that foreigners should have full *rights* of *free* expression.

"If you have a constitutional <u>right</u> to engage in a certain type of expression or <u>association</u>, then what that means is the government can't throw you in jail on that basis," Cole said. "And it can't deport you on that basis."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>TERRORISM</u> (92%); IMMIGRATION (90%); DEPORTATION (90%); HUMAN <u>RIGHTS</u> ORGANIZATIONS (90%); LEGISLATION (89%); COUNTERTERRORISM (89%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (78%); FOREIGN STUDENTS (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS (78%); <u>LAW</u> ENFORCEMENT (78%); <u>LAW</u> SCHOOLS (78%); IMMIGRATION <u>LAW</u> (78%); US PATRIOT ACT (78%); PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (78%); <u>ASSOCIATIONS</u> & ORGANIZATIONS (78%); FUNDRAISING (77%); JUSTICE DEPARTMENTS (77%); LITIGATION (77%); LIBERALISM (76%); CONSERVATISM (75%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (73%); HIJACKING (73%); STUDENTS & STUDENT LIFE (69%); DECISIONS & RULINGS (68%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (66%); SCHOOL SUSPENSION & EXPULSION (61%)

Company: AMERICAN <u>CIVIL</u> LIBERTIES UNION (73%); AMERICAN <u>CIVIL</u> LIBERTIES UNION (73%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (55%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (55%); <u>FREE</u> CONGRESS RESEARCH & EDUCATION FOUNDATION (54%)

Organization: AMERICAN <u>CIVIL</u> LIBERTIES UNION (73%); AMERICAN <u>CIVIL</u> LIBERTIES UNION (73%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (55%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (55%); <u>FREE</u> CONGRESS RESEARCH & EDUCATION FOUNDATION (54%)

Industry: <u>LAW</u> SCHOOLS (78%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (66%)

Person: GEORGE W BUSH (58%)

Geographic: LOS ANGELES, CA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (95%); STATE OF PALESTINE (92%); JORDAN

(67%)

Load-Date: January 24, 2002

End of Document