TRACES OF TERROR; Excerpts From the Ruling Against Secret Hearings

The New York Times

August 27, 2002 Tuesday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2002 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 3; National Desk; Pg. 12

Length: 662 words

Body

Following are <u>excerpts</u> from a ruling yesterday by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, in Cincinnati, that the Bush administration illegally held hundreds of deportation <u>hearings</u> in <u>secret</u>. The full text is available at nytimes.com/national.

The primary issue on appeal in this case is whether the First Amendment to the United States Constitution confers a public right of access to deportation <u>hearings</u>. If it does, then the government must make a showing to overcome that right.

No one will ever forget the egregious, deplorable and despicable terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001. These were cowardly acts. In response, our government launched an extensive investigation into the attacks, future threats, conspiracies and attempts to come. As part of this effort, immigration laws are prosecuted with increased vigor. The issue before us today involves these efforts.

The political branches of our government enjoy near-unrestrained ability to control our borders. "These are policy questions entrusted exclusively to the political branches of our government." Since the end of the 19th century, our government has enacted immigration laws banishing, or deporting, noncitizens because of their race and their beliefs.

While the Bill of Rights jealously protects citizens from such laws, it has never protected noncitizens facing deportation in the same way. In our democracy, based on checks and balances, neither the Bill of Rights nor the judiciary can second-guess government's choices. The only safeguard on this extraordinary governmental power is the public, deputizing the press as the guardians of their liberty.

Today, the executive branch seeks to take this safeguard away from the public by placing its actions beyond public scrutiny. *Against* noncitizens, it seeks the power to secretly deport a class if it unilaterally calls them "special interest" cases. The executive branch seeks to uproot people's lives, outside the public eye and behind a closed door. Democracies die behind closed doors. The First Amendment, through a free press, protects the people's right to know that their government acts fairly, lawfully and accurately in deportation proceedings. When government begins closing doors, it selectively controls information rightfully belonging to the people. Selective information is misinformation.

The framers of the First Amendment "did not trust any government to separate the true from the false for us." They protected the people <u>against secret</u> government.

The office of the chief immigration judge, under the authorization of Attorney General John Ashcroft, designates certain cases to be special interest cases, conducted in <u>secret</u>, closed off from the public. Arguing that closure of these <u>hearings</u> was unconstitutional, plaintiffs in three separate cases sought an injunction <u>against</u> such action. . .

.

TRACES OF TERROR; Excerpts From the Ruling Against Secret Hearings

The district court granted the injunction, finding blanket closure of deportation <u>hearings</u> in "special interest" cases unconstitutional. For the reasons that follow, we affirm the district court's order. . . .

The public's interests are best served by open proceedings. A true democracy is one that operates on faith -- faith that government officials are forthcoming and honest and faith that informed citizens will arrive at logical conclusions. This is a vital reciprocity that America should not discard in these troubling times.

Without question, the events of Sept. 11, 2001, left an indelible mark on our nation, but we as a people are united in the wake of the destruction to demonstrate to the world that we are a country deeply committed to preserving the rights and freedoms guaranteed by our democracy.

Today, we reflect our commitment to those democratic values by ensuring that our government is held accountable to the people and that First Amendment rights are not impermissibly compromised. Open proceedings, with a vigorous and scrutinizing press, serve to ensure the durability of our democracy.

http://www.nytimes.com

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Document-Type: Text

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: DEPORTATION (91%); APPEALS (90%); CITIZENSHIP (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); APPELLATE DECISIONS (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (89%); IMMIGRATION (89%); DEMOCRACIES (89%); US PRESIDENTS (89%); APPEALS COURTS (89%); TYPES OF GOVERNMENT (89%); INJUNCTIONS (89%); FREEDOM OF PRESS (89%); JUDGES (78%); SUITS & CLAIMS (78%); LITIGATION (78%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (77%); CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS (76%); BORDER CONTROL (73%); ATTORNEYS GENERAL (73%); TERRORIST ATTACKS (70%); TERRORISM (70%); TERRORISM; DECISIONS AND VERDICTS; IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEES; DEPORTATION; SUITS AND LITIGATION; ASSETS, FROZEN; NEWS AND NEWS MEDIA; ILLEGAL ALIENS

Organization: GLOBAL RELIEF FOUNDATION

Person: BUSH, GEORGE W (PRES); CONYERS, JOHN JR (REPR); HADDAD, RABIH

Geographic: CINCINNATI, OH, USA (58%); UNITED STATES (93%)

Load-Date: August 27, 2002