In Pursuit Of Freedom, Only to Find Prison Bars;

Immigration Officials Try to Discern Those Fleeing Persecution

The New York Times

July 8, 1996, Monday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1996 The New York Times Company

Distribution: Metropolitan Desk

Section: Section B; ; Section B; Page 1; Column 2; Metropolitan Desk ; Column 2; ; Series

Length: 1991 words

Byline: By CELIA W. DUGGER

By CELIA W. DUGGER

Series: FAILURES WITHOUT PENALTY -- Second of two articles.

Body

The highest administrative tribunal in the nation's *immigration* system never doubted the harrowing story of Hawa Jama, a 26-year-old Somali woman who sought asylum in the United States in 1994.

Miss Jama, who told of discovering the bodies of her father and two little brothers shot in the head by clan warriors, and of being herself sliced with a bayonet and battered with a rifle butt, was jailed upon her arrival here at the Esmor detention center in Elizabeth, N.J., where her lawyer, Gloria M. Alfonso, said she sank into deep depression.

"She could not stop crying," Ms. Alfonso said. "She was so thin, so pale. You felt she was on the verge of death."

After a disturbance led to Esmor's closing, Miss Jama was moved to another jail. She was released after 14 months in detention, and a month ago was granted asylum. She now lives with her sister in Tennessee.

Her lengthy detention after entering the country illegally is emblematic of broader failings in the United States <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service's program to release asylum seekers who have credible claims, pose no danger to society and have ties here, say <u>immigration</u> experts and advocates for refugees.

The disturbance at the Esmor detention center a year ago, fueled by detainees' frustrations over their prolonged incarcerations and conditions at the jail, highlighted serious problems in that program. Though the *immigration* service has not yet made nationwide changes, *officials* say there will be an improved program ready to be carried out in the detention center in Elizabeth when it reopens in the fall.

The program for asylum seekers has been plagued by inadequate staffing, inconsistent application, a lack of accountability for district directors who ignore recommendations for parole, and an inability to track the cases of detainees, according to *immigration* service reports and lawyers who have *tried* to get their clients released.

Tellingly, the <u>immigration</u> service says it does not know how many asylum seekers it has in detention. Last year, 53,255 immigrants came forward to ask for sanctuary here.

When the Elizabeth jail reopens, I.N.S. officers who handle asylum cases will also screen immigrants for possible parole, an approach the *immigration* service plans to expand nationally, said T. Alexander Aleinikoff, the service's

associate commissioner. A working group within the agency is now developing specific changes in the program, he said, ranging from which asylum seekers will be considered for parole to how their cases will be monitored.

The goal, he said, is to deter fraudulent asylum claims by detaining immigrants who are not credible, while paroling those with bona fide claims on humanitarian grounds.

"We're on our way to **finding** that balance," Mr. Aleinikoff said, although conceding that the asylum release program "has not been a core commitment of the agency."

Advocates for refugees say they have heard promises to reform the process before, but have yet to see results. "What's it going to take?" said Elisa Massimino, legal director in the Washington office of the Lawyers Committee for Human Rights. "Another Esmor?"

Promises of Support, But Jailed Anyway

In recent weeks, the <u>immigration</u> service's detention of asylum seekers has come under increasing scrutiny. The Board of <u>Immigration</u> Appeals, in granting asylum to 19-year-old Fauziya Kasinga, who said she had fled Togo to escape genital mutilation, asked why the <u>immigration</u> service had detained the young woman, who had no criminal record, for more than a year.

And on June 19, Amnesty International asked the <u>immigration</u> service to investigate lawyers' complaints that asylum seekers from Bangladesh, Ghana, Ivory Coast and the former Yugoslavia who had relatives willing to support them and who were ultimately granted asylum had been detained for four to six months in the <u>immigration</u> service's Philadelphia district.

Mary Frances Holmes, the service's acting district director in Philadelphia, said her staff had just begun looking into the allegations and did not yet have a response.

Amnesty International also questioned the 14-month detention of a Sri Lankan asylum seeker, Ehamparam Appukuddy, who died of a heart attack in May at the Lehigh County *Prison* in Pennsylvania.

A year earlier, when Mr. Appukuddy was at Esmor, his lawyer had asked the <u>immigration</u> service to release him because he had a serious heart condition. Warren Lewis, the district director, refused, replying that there was no evidence that Mr. Appukuddy had heart trouble and that he had not submitted documents proving his identity, according to documents supplied by Mr. Appukuddy's lawyer.

A few days after Mr. Appukuddy's death, Ms. Holmes said in an interview that he had received appropriate medical care, but she declined to give more details.

His lawyer, John Assadi, said that Mr. Appukuddy's wife and 16-year-old son were still grieving in Canada, where they had hoped he would join them. "The I.N.S. wouldn't let him go to Canada, but they're sending the corpse there," Mr. Assadi said.

The goal of the <u>immigration</u> service's policy on deciding which asylum seekers to parole, issued in April 1992, was to avoid wasting scarce, expensive detention space on legitimate asylum seekers whom the country was prepared to admit. Under the policy, <u>immigration officials</u> trained in asylum law were to interview asylum applicants, review their cases and make a recommendation to district directors.

From the start, there was tremendous resistance to the policy within the <u>immigration</u> service, particularly from some district directors. They saw the release of people who entered the country without legal documents as antithetical to their battle against illegal <u>immigration</u>, said Grover Joseph Rees, who was general counsel at the <u>immigration</u> service during the Bush Administration, when the policy was adopted.

"Many I.N.S. employees view the job as simply <u>trying</u> to keep people out of the country," Mr. Rees said. "They don't distinguish between people who have been <u>persecuted</u> and people who have not. The enforcement people

see the asylum branch and the general counsel's office as softies who have no real world experience and are an easy mark for fraudulent claims."

Since the asylum release policy was adopted, the pendulum has swung toward a crackdown. Soon after President Clinton took office in 1993, two people were gunned down outside the Central Intelligence Agency in Virginia and a Pakistani national who had extended his stay in the country by applying for asylum became the leading suspect.

Then a suspect in the February 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center, Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman, was <u>found</u> to have applied for asylum. Two weeks after the bombing, "60 Minutes" broadcast a report on the thousands of people who were arriving at Kennedy International Airport without proper travel documents and who were being released simply because of a lack of detention space.

The broadcast quoted the Federation for American <u>Immigration</u> Reform, a group that favors restrictive <u>immigration</u> policies, as saying that <u>only</u> 1 or 2 percent of those thousands were really <u>fleeing persecution</u>.

Immigration officials say that, over all, 20 to 25 percent of those who request asylum are granted it, but they also say that the asylum system at the time of the policy change was so overwhelmed that immigrants who had no basis for a claim of **persecution** could ask for asylum, get immediate authorization to work, and rest easy that their cases would simply disappear into the backlog.

Routine Detention For Asylum Seekers

"These events had a major effect on Capitol Hill," David A. Martin, the current general counsel of the <u>immigration</u> service, wrote in a law journal article. "Hearings proliferated on what was suddenly being portrayed as an asylum crisis."

When the Esmor detention facility opened in 1994, the <u>immigration</u> service used it to routinely detain asylum seekers who <u>tried</u> to enter the country illegally through Kennedy and Newark airports. About 35 percent of the immigrants detained at Esmor during its 11 months of operation were asylum seekers, the I.N.S. said.

And in January 1995, the *immigration* service also began requiring asylum seekers to wait six months for work papers. It also beefed up staffing so claims could be processed more quickly.

The changes in the handling of asylum seekers caused the number of new asylum cases filed in New York and Newark to plummet to 18,483 in 1995 from 42,199 in 1994, officials said.

But in its zeal to deter fraudulent asylum claims, the <u>immigration</u> service, advocates and refugees say, has too often lost sight of its humanitarian responsibilities.

The most thorough critique of the flawed execution of the policy to release credible asylum seekers is to be <u>found</u> not in the pronouncements of advocates, but in an internal evaluation produced in August 1995 by the general counsel's office of the <u>immigration</u> service itself and provided to The New York Times by a critic of the service's track record.

In some cases, the report said, the program has worked well. "At its weakest, it can be inefficient, inconsistent from district to district, and operated without full information about whether the asylum claim is successful in *immigration* court or even what the district director determines in response to the recommendation," the report stated.

The evaluation also said that the Esmor disturbance had highlighted problems with the program.

Only 30 of the 394 asylum seekers at Esmor received reviews from **immigration** service lawyers charged with considering their possible parole under the program, according to the **immigration** service's post-Esmor report. The lawyers recommended releasing seven of them. But the district director released **only** one person as a result of those recommendations.

According to the Justice Department, <u>immigration</u> judges granted asylum to 35 of the Esmor detainees. Most of those who lost filed appeals. In the cases involving Miss Kasinga and Miss Jama, the Board of <u>Immigration</u> Appeals overturned the judges' rulings that had denied asylum.

At Esmor, Miss Kasinga and Miss Jama, both young Muslim women from Africa, befriended each other and sometimes prayed together in the recreation area. Miss Jama, sad already, said she became even more despairing after learning that her mother had died in a refugee camp. Miss Kasinga said she recalled that Miss Jama was so weak from not eating that she had to lean against the walls to support herself when she walked.

Violence at Home; *Prison* in the U.S.

The Catholic Legal <u>Immigration</u> Network in Newark, which was representing Miss Jama, first asked for her release in October 1994, two months after she arrived in the United States, and got no reply, Ms. Alfonso said. That request was renewed in March, with greater urgency, because of Miss Jama's deteriorating health, she said.

In April 1995, Mr. Lewis denied the request for parole, saying in a letter that medical records did not substantiate the claim of depression, Ms. Alfonso said.

After the Esmor disturbance, Miss Jama was moved to the York County <u>**Prison**</u> in Pennsylvania. In a letter to the I.N.S. dated July 27, 1995, Ms. Alfonso said that Miss Jama had told her she wanted to die.

"At this point, I do not know how long she will be able to endure detention," Ms. Alfonso wrote. "I would not wish her to die in a detention facility and I am certain I.N.S. shares this sentiment."

Ms. Holmes of the Philadelphia district said she could not comment on the specifics of Miss Jama's case. "It's not right and proper to give out information about people," she said.

On Oct. 26, 1995, Miss Jama was released because of her poor health.

"I'll never forget that day," she said. "I was crying. My family was waiting for me. I was in joy. But the <u>freedom</u> was hard that day. I had waited 14 months. I was innocent."

Graphic

Photos: The illegal-immigrant jail in Elizabeth, N.J., scene of an uprising last year. (Associated Press); Hawa Jama, a 26-year-old Somali who sought asylum in the United States, was jailed for 14 months before it was granted. (Alan S. Weiner for The New York Times); Fauziya Kasinga, right, who fled genital mutilation in Togo, was released from *immigration* jail in April. Karen Musalo, a lawyer, rejoiced with her. (Greg Mahany for The New York Times) (pg. B1); Fauziya Kasinga, 19, spent more than a year in jail for entering the country illegally. She was granted asylum in April. (Amy Toensing for The New York Times) (pg. B2)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (92%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (90%); IMMIGRANT DETENTION CENTERS (90%); PAROLE (89%); POLITICAL DETAINEES (89%); REFUGEES (89%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); SHOOTINGS (77%); LAWYERS (75%); AGENCY ADJUDICATION (73%); HEAD INJURIES (72%)

Company: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (US) US CITIZENSHIP & <u>IMMIGRATION</u> SERVICES (82%)

Organization: US CITIZENSHIP & <u>IMMIGRATION</u> SERVICES (82%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (US) US CITIZENSHIP & <u>IMMIGRATION</u> SERVICES (82%)

Industry: LAWYERS (75%)

Geographic: NEW JERSEY, USA (79%); TENNESSEE, USA (78%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: July 8, 1996

End of Document