Langberg: Tech visas come with obligation for valley leaders

San Jose Mercury News (California)

June 26, 2006 Monday

Copyright 2006 San Jose Mercury News All Rights Reserved

The Mercury News

Found on BayArea . com

Section: PT; Pg. 1 Length: 970 words

Byline: Mike Langberg, Mercury News

Body

Silicon <u>Valley</u>'s lobbyists in Washington are reviving a touchy topic that's been largely dormant since the <u>tech</u> bubble burst in 2001: whether we need to continue importing thousands of foreign engineers and other skilled professionals on temporary H-1B <u>visas</u>.

Many U.S. engineers believe the H-1B program is nothing more than a back door for greedy corporate bosses to get low-cost workers who can be quickly sent home if they complain.

Employers, on the other hand, say they have lots of jobs they can't fill, and argue that U.S. <u>tech</u> companies will lose ground to foreign competition without H-1B talent or will be forced to move even more operations overseas.

Whatever the truth about H-1Bs, Silicon <u>Valley</u> companies need to do more to resolve the issues surrounding these *visas*.

The dispute, meanwhile, is likely to land in the lap of Congress.

Rep. John Shadegg, a Republican from Arizona, is planning to introduce a bill this week or next that would nearly double the number of H-1B <u>visas</u> granted every year to 115,000 from 65,000 -- a key goal of lobbying groups including TechNet and AeA, formerly the American Electronics Association.

This would complement a similar bill introduced in the Senate last month by Sen. John Cornyn, a Republican from Texas.

Also in D.C., the H-1B process is getting new scrutiny. The Government Accountability Office, the investigative arm of Congress, released a report last week criticizing federal agencies for lax enforcement of H-1B rules.

The IEEE-USA, a group representing engineers, seized on the GAO report as further evidence the H-1B program is a failure.

AeA, meanwhile, added fuel to the H-1B fire last week by sending every member of Congress a position paper arguing that criticisms of the H-1B program are ``myths," three of which are worthy of debate.

The first AeA myth is that foreign nationals steal American jobs.

Langberg: Tech visas come with obligation for valley leaders

"High-<u>tech</u> companies are increasingly seeking skilled labor to feed a growing industry and cannot find it," the position paper says. "Visit the website of many American technology companies and you will find thousands of unfilled U.S.-based positions. Foreign nationals are critical for filling this void."

Norman S. Matloff, a professor of computer science at UC-Davis and a longtime H-1B critic, counters that claims of low unemployment among engineers don't count underemployment.

For example, many Silicon <u>Valley</u> professionals were driven out of the <u>tech</u> industry during the downturn from 2001 to 2004. A former software engineer now working as a teacher or a real estate agent doesn't count in the statistics, and may be making significantly less money.

Current engineering vacancies could reflect employers unwilling to hire older engineers, even if they've retrained themselves, when the companies can hold out for the alternative of cheaper H-1B labor.

The AFL-CIO, in a February position paper, argued that H-1Bs and other loopholes allow employers ``to turn permanent jobs into temporary jobs. . . . As a result, working conditions for all professional workers have suffered: pressures caused by employer exploitation of professional guest workers coupled with increases in outsourcing continue to have a chilling effect on any real wage increases for professionals, even those not directly or immediately impacted."

AeA's second myth is that foreign nationals are paid less than U.S. workers.

"The vast majority of companies (hiring H-1B workers) play by the rules, pay market wages and do not wish to see the integrity of the program called into question by a minority of infractors," the position paper says.

AeA cites several supporting studies, although Matloff and other critics cite competing studies and even interpret the same studies in different ways.

It's impossible to settle the question definitively, in part because immigration laws don't require H-1B employers to disclose sufficient data.

AeA's third myth is that H-1B employers are bound to their U.S. employers ``and are therefore little more than indentured servants."

Legally, H-1B <u>visa</u> holders are free to take other jobs in the United States, and some do. But any H-1B hoping for a "green card," the much-sought-after ticket to permanent residence, must restart the application process if they switch employers. Given the glacial pace of green-card approvals, this can create a de facto **obligation** to stay put.

Last week's report by the GAO said the U.S. Department of Labor isn't doing enough to verify even the minimal protections built into the current H-1B law.

From January 2002 through September 2005, the GAO reported, the Labor Department approved 99.5 percent of the 960,563 applications it received for H-1Bs -- a suspiciously high number.

"We do not know the true magnitude of the error rate in the certification process," the GAO report concluded.

Ralph W. Wyndrum Jr., president of the IEEE-USA, issued a statement in response to the GAO's findings:

"Implementation of the H-1B program fails every test of the principles its advocates have asserted. Employers can and do give preference to H-1Bs over U.S. workers. Employers who choose to do so can easily manipulate the system to pay below-market wages."

Silicon <u>Valley</u>'s <u>tech leaders</u> have a broader immigration agenda with some laudable objectives, such as making it easier for bright foreign students to study at U.S. universities and for those students to remain when they graduate.

Langberg: Tech visas come with obligation for valley leaders

To keep that agenda on track, <u>valley</u> companies should balance their demand for H-1Bs with a commitment to making the program more transparent. They should also support clearly visible programs to retain and retrain their existing employees, so we can accept at face value their requests for more temporary foreign workers.

Contact Mike <u>Langberg</u> at <u>mike@langberg.com</u> or (408) 920-5084. Past columns may be read at <u>www.langberg.com</u>.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: PASSPORTS & <u>VISAS</u> (92%); EMPLOYMENT <u>VISAS</u> (91%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (90%); LOBBYING (90%); TECHNICIANS & TECHNOLOGICAL WORKERS (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (90%); ENGINEERING (89%); PROFESSIONAL WORKERS (89%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (88%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (77%); COMPUTER ENGINEERING (77%); IMPORT TRADE (77%); EMPLOYMENT OPENINGS (76%); ECONOMIC BUBBLE (73%); LEGISLATION (72%); RECRUITMENT & HIRING (71%); UNEMPLOYMENT RATES (70%); INVESTIGATIONS (69%); COMPUTER SCIENCE (68%); GOVERNMENT CONTROLLERS & AUDITORS (64%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (60%)

Organization: AMERICAN ELECTRONICS ASSOCIATION (55%); US GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE (54%)

Industry: ENGINEERING (89%); ELECTRONICS (78%); COMPUTER ENGINEERING (77%); REAL ESTATE (76%); SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT & ENGINEERING (72%); COMPUTER SCIENCE (68%); GOVERNMENT CONTROLLERS & AUDITORS (64%); COMPUTER SOFTWARE (60%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (60%); REAL ESTATE AGENTS (50%)

Person: JOHN B SHADEGG (71%); JOHN CORNYN (58%)

Geographic: SILICON VALLEY, CA, USA (93%); DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: June 26, 2006

End of Document