Immigration Service Keeps a Wary Eye on Its Newark Office

The New York Times

August 18, 1996, Sunday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1996 The New York Times Company

Distribution: Metropolitan Desk;

Section: Section 1; ; Section 1; Page 43; Column 2; Metropolitan Desk; Second Front; Column 2; ; Second Front

Length: 1393 words

Byline: By JOHN SULLIVAN and CLIFFORD LEVY

By JOHN SULLIVAN and CLIFFORD LEVY

Dateline: NEWARK, Aug. 15

Body

When agents from the <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization <u>Service</u>'s <u>office</u> here swooped down on a private cleaning company at <u>Newark</u> International Airport in March 1995, they detained scores of illegal immigrants, recovering a trove of more than 60 fraudulently issued green cards and other work papers.

But the striking success of the raid was quickly tempered by a sobering realization: at least some of those faked documents appeared to have been smuggled out of the *immigration service*'s own *office* in *Newark*, officials said.

That discovery ultimately helped lead to the indictment late last month of the third-ranking I.N.S. official in New Jersey, who was charged with accepting bribes in return for giving work papers to a man who used them to bring immigrants into the country illegally.

The indictment of the official, John F. Lonergan, was the latest blow to an <u>office</u> that has often been roiled by accusations of corruption and mismanagement in recent years, and that remains the focus of an investigation by the United States Attorney here.

In fact, Mr. Lonergan first came under the scrutiny of Federal prosecutors as early as 1983, when he was entangled in an earlier bribery scandal at the **office**. He was not charged at that time, and continued to rise through the agency.

The problems at the <u>office</u> underscore what some <u>immigration</u> experts and law-enforcement officials maintain are lax controls at the <u>immigration service</u>, both in <u>Newark</u> and nationwide. The I.N.S. has long had a reputation as one of the most poorly run Federal agencies, with a history of corruption.

I.N.S. officials in **Newark** declined to be interviewed for this article.

Greg Gagne, a spokesman for the agency in Washington, acknowledged that the <u>Newark office</u> has been troubled, and that it is being revamped by the agency. This month, the <u>office</u>'s director, Warren Lewis, was replaced by a high-ranking official from headquarters in Washington.

But Mr. Gagne cautioned that, "We cannot say there is a quick fix."

"We are handling a very, very valuable commodity," Mr. Gagne said, "which is access to this country. Those who are handling that on our end are susceptible to people trying to find ways to bend the rules."

The <u>office</u> here is one of the five busiest I.N.S. <u>offices</u> in the country. Last year it reviewed the documents of 1.8 million travelers, most of them at <u>Newark</u> International Airport, where the number of international flights has jumped in the last decade.

That growth has increased the burdens on the office, leaving it more vulnerable to problems.

Last year, it faced criticism after a disturbance broke out at its detention center for illegal immigrants in nearby Elizabeth. Senior <u>immigration service</u> officials in Washington later determined that the <u>Newark</u> <u>office</u> had poorly supervised Esmor Correctional <u>Services</u>, a private company that it had hired to run the center.

The detention center was closed and was scheduled to reopuen next month, but the agency recently announced that it was delaying the reopening because it needed more time to iron out the troubles that had occurred under Esmor.

Mr. Lewis, who was removed last week as the **office**'s director, had been brought in two years ago after the previous director, James Pomeroy, resigned.

Law-enforcement officials now say that they had begun an investigation into Mr. Pomeroy before he left the agency. The inquiry appears to have ended after he stepped down, and he was not charged with wrongdoing.

Mr. Pomeroy denied in a telephone interview that he had ever been under investigation and said he had not done anything improper. After 33 years with the agency, he said, he felt it was time to retire. "I don't know what to say," Mr. Pomeroy said. "No one has ever questioned me or my deputy."

In another incident, an I.N.S. agent in <u>Newark</u> pleaded guilty last March in Federal Court here to accepting a \$4,000 bribe in return for an agency template that could be used to manufacture the papers needed to obtain green cards and other documents.

Several <u>immigration</u> lawyers in New Jersey complained about the disarray in the <u>office</u>, asserting that clerks often lost documents and that their superiors were unresponsive. Some lawyers said they suspected that clerks were giving special favors to <u>immigration</u> consultants: people who guide immigrants through the agency's tortuous bureaucracy.

"Perhaps the same person who might deal with me in a very difficult way may be very friendly with some of these nonlawyers," said Robert Frank, a prominent <u>immigration</u> lawyer in <u>Newark</u>. "That raises some questions in my mind about why they are so friendly."

The <u>immigration service</u> is an arm of the Justice Department. Yet the department's senior officials had so little trust in the <u>Newark office</u> that in the weeks before the raid on Lisbon Cleaners at <u>Newark</u> Airport, some senior officials in the <u>Newark office</u> were not told about the plans, officials said.

Investigators said the secrecy stemmed from a fear among some senior I.N.S. officials that someone in the **Newark office** had been tipping off targets of raids.

The raid at Lisbon Cleaners spurred Federal investigators to step up their inquiry at the <u>office</u>. They soon focused on several high-level employees, including Mr. Lonergan, 49, of Belmar, N.J. Since 1988, he had been assistant district director for examinations, which gave him an important role: overseeing the processing of <u>immigration</u> documents.

A longtime informant, Nagy Khairallah, told prosecutors that he had often paid bribes to Mr. Lonergan in return for documents that allowed him to bring illegal immigrants into the country, according to the Federal indictment. Mr. Khairallah said that for more than four years, he had given Mr. Lonergan an array of gifts, from Oriental carpets to construction materials to a vacation in Aruba.

Mr. Lonergan pleaded not guilty to a six-count indictment in Federal Court. His lawyer, Dennis Durkin, did not return repeated phone calls seeking comment on the charges.

Faith S. Hochberg, the United States Attorney in *Newark*, declined to comment.

This was not the first time Mr. Lonergan had come to the attention of the United States Attorney.

In 1983, two senior investigators at the <u>office</u> and two <u>immigration</u> lawyers either pleaded guilty or were convicted in a bribery scheme involving <u>immigration</u> documents. One of the investigators, Donald Duda, was a partner with Mr. Lonergan and a third <u>immigration service</u> official in a corporation that owned a bar near the I.N.S. <u>office</u> in downtown **Newark**.

Mr. Duda was convicted of accepting money from the <u>immigration</u> lawyers, which he used to <u>keep</u> the moneylosing bar afloat. At his trial, one of the lawyers testified that on one occasion, she went to the I.N.S. <u>office</u> to give a bribe -- an envelope filled with cash -- to Mr. Duda, but because he was not there, handed it to Mr. Lonergan.

Mr. Lonergan then told her to bring it to the bar, the lawyer, Cynthia Siwulec, testified.

Mr. Lonergan denied at the trial that that had ever happened. He also said he had never personally accepted money from the lawyers and that he did not know that Mr. Duda was funneling money from the lawyers into the bar's accounts, which Mr. Lonergan helped oversee.

Mr. Lonergan was not charged in that case.

<u>Immigration</u> experts said the turmoil at the <u>Newark</u> <u>office</u> underscores how poor management can expose the agency to an array of problems, including corruption. Some <u>immigration</u> documents, for example, are worth more than \$25,000 to a smuggler, so the temptations are great.

"When you look at the *immigration service*, you have a huge opportunity for corruption, and the amounts of money that are being paid out are incredible," said Walter P. Connery, a former head of the agency *office* in Washington that investigates corruption.

Mr. Connery, who retired from the <u>service</u> in 1992, contended that it had little control over key documents. He said that because there was no effective system for monitoring records, it was often difficult to figure out who had issued a document like a green card, or stamped a passport.

The frayed controls also help prevent the I.N.S. from <u>keeping</u> terrorists or criminals from entering the country through places like **Newark**.

"Nobody knows who does anything," he said. "The whole system needs a top to bottom overhaul."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (94%); BRIBERY (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); INDICTMENTS (90%); CORRUPTION (89%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (78%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (78%); IMMIGRANT DETENTION CENTERS (78%); SMUGGLING (77%); FRAUD & FINANCIAL CRIME (77%); SCANDALS (77%); FEDERAL INVESTIGATIONS (76%); PUBLIC PROSECUTORS (72%); INVESTIGATIONS (72%); PRISONS (60%); CORRECTIONS (50%)

Company: GEO GROUP INC (50%); IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (US) GEO GROUP

Immigration Service Keeps a Wary Eye on Its Newark Office

INC (50%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (93%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (91%)

Organization: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (93%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (91%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> AND NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (US) <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION <u>SERVICE</u> (91%)

Ticker: GEO (NYSE) (50%)

Industry: INTERNATIONAL TOURISM (78%); AIRPORTS (78%); AIRLINES (73%)

Geographic: <u>NEWARK</u>, NJ, USA (93%); NEW JERSEY, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: August 18, 1996

End of Document