## Deportation Case Also Puts An INS Experiment on Trial

The Washington Post

December 21, 1997, Sunday, Final Edition

Copyright 1997 The Washington Post

Section: METRO; Pg. B01

Length: 1697 words

Byline: Pamela Constable, Washington Post Staff Writer

### **Body**

At a recent <u>deportation</u> hearing <u>in</u> an Arlington County courtroom, federal immigration Judge John M. Bryant leaned back <u>in</u> his swivel chair, listening to testimony. Prosecutor Deborah Todd stood at the lectern, asking questions. Defense attorney Stanley Braverman sat at a table, taking notes.

But the defendant <u>in</u> the <u>case</u>, Angel Gomez, a Salvadoran immigrant, wasn't present, except as a blurry figure on a small television screen. The 24-year-old Springfield cook and welder was <u>in</u> a Virginia Beach jail 200 miles away, watching his trial via a two-way video hookup.

An interpreter  $\underline{in}$  the courtroom translated into a speakerphone for Gomez, who speaks little English, but only when Gomez was asked a direct question. A uniformed guard stood next to the prisoner, who sat  $\underline{in}$  a chair and strained to understand the proceedings through beeps and transmission static.

"It is very hard to cross-examine when I can't consult with my client, because everyone <u>in</u> court can hear," Braverman said later, criticizing the "videoconferencing" arrangement at Gomez's hearing. "You have one hand tied behind your back. It's not fair. This kid is being deprived of his rights."

Gomez, who is being held without bond, has been <u>in</u> jail for eight months on a charge of immigration fraud. His <u>case</u> illustrates the limitations of an immigration system that is striving to cut costs and streamline its operations, as well as the potential for human error *in* the Immigration and Naturalization Service's vast bureaucracy.

A restaurant cook and part-time welder, Gomez was arrested April 17 at the White House as he reported to work for a welding job there. He produced a valid green card proving his legal residency, but when the Secret Service ran his name through its computer, Gomez was listed as an illegal immigrant who had been ordered *deported in* 1991.

<u>INS</u> agents arrived, <u>put</u> the astonished and agitated Gomez <u>in</u> handcuffs and leg irons, and transported him to jail. As he was being arrested, according to Secret Service and <u>INS</u> testimony, Gomez swore and spat at authorities and threatened to shoot one agent when he was released.

Prosecutors concede that Gomez was, indeed, issued a valid residency permit by the <u>INS</u>. Before that, he had been living <u>in</u> the United States under temporary amnesty granted to Salvadoran war refugees and had even received <u>INS</u> permission to visit El Salvador <u>in</u> 1994 for his father's funeral.

They argue, however, that Gomez should never have been given amnesty or a green card because he failed to appear for a <u>deportation</u> hearing <u>in</u> Texas after his 1991 arrest there for crossing the border illegally -- a hearing Gomez says he never knew about.

Had the <u>INS</u> computer records reflected his legal residency status, Gomez would not have been arrested that day at the White House. But since his arrest, prosecutors have accused him of lying on various immigration forms. They

#### Deportation Case Also Puts An INS Experiment on Trial

say he failed to disclose a 1991 conviction for shoplifting a shirt and also failed to disclose his **INS**-approved return visit to El Salvador.

Now, citing "national security" concerns stemming from his alleged behavior at the time of his arrest, the government has asked that Gomez be kept <u>in</u> jail pending the outcome of his <u>deportation</u> <u>case</u>.

For the last several months, the young Salvadoran has been part of the immigration agency's new, controversial **experiment** <u>in</u> trial by video. <u>In</u> October, the agency's Washington area office, which covers the District and Northern Virginia, began using videoconferencing technology to expedite <u>deportation</u> hearings for some of the 250 to 300 immigrants currently incarcerated <u>in</u> six jails <u>in</u> Virginia.

When <u>INS</u> detainees are scheduled for <u>deportation</u> hearings, they no longer are driven under guard to immigration court <u>in</u> Arlington. Instead, they are transferred to the Virginia Beach Correctional Center, where the agency has installed videoconferencing equipment to cut back on the time, expense and security concerns associated with bringing the detainees to court.

Immigration officials, who also are using videoconferencing equipment <u>in</u> Baltimore for more routine <u>cases</u>, say that if the <u>experiment</u> succeeds, they'll expand its use throughout the country.

"This makes our operation more efficient and cost-effective. We can process and interview more people because we don't lose all that travel time," said Russell Bergeron, an **INS** spokesman. "The initial results are very favorable, and it has tremendous potential for the future."

But area immigration lawyers and civil rights groups say that placing <u>INS</u> detainees <u>in</u> distant jails, and not allowing them to be physically present <u>in</u> court while their fates are being decided, denies immigrants such basic rights as conferring with an attorney <u>in</u> the courtroom, having an interpreter handy and receiving regular visits from family members.

"<u>In</u> theory, it's a good idea, but it creates a serious lack of representation," said Antoinette Rizzi, a lawyer <u>in</u> Falls Church who works with the American Immigration Lawyers Association. "With such remoteness, there is just no access. And <u>in</u> court, it is impossible to lean over and whisper to your client."

Local immigration prosecutors also expressed concern about the fairness of trial by video, especially <u>in</u> complicated <u>cases</u>. It is hard, they say, for a judge to assess an immigrant's credibility if he cannot observe the person firsthand -- and impossible to hand defendants any documents they might need to review with their attorneys.

"Videoconferencing has some great merit for routine hearings, but on the longer, more complex merit <u>cases</u>, work needs to be done to be sure the needs of both the government and the alien are being met," said Eloise Rosas, district counsel for the Washington area **INS** office.

<u>In</u> an interview <u>in</u> the Virginia Beach jail, Gomez said he has had trouble understanding proceedings at several hearings -- his <u>case</u>, like many <u>deportation cases</u>, has been protracted.

"At the White House that day, my whole life turned upside down," said Gomez, speaking <u>in</u> Spanish behind a thick glass partition. "It is very hard to be here. All I want is to walk down the street with my wife."

He acknowledged being angry last spring when he was arrested but said he did not seriously threaten authorities.

"I have always tried my best to be legal <u>in</u> this country, and I do not have a character to hurt someone," he said. "I never drank or went <u>in</u> gangs. I always worked."

<u>In</u> court, Gomez's two employers testified that he was an honest and even-tempered worker. Michael Harlow, his boss and legal sponsor at Mike's American Grill <u>in</u> Springfield, described him as "one of the best employees I have ever had. He was always quiet, always avoided confrontation. I feel this is a total injustice."

But prosecutors have painted a different picture. They say Gomez lied on immigration forms to obtain certain permits, failing to disclose his *deportation* order and his shoplifting conviction.

Gomez, who left Texas and moved to Washington shortly after his arrival <u>in</u> the United States <u>in</u> April 1991, said he never knew about the <u>deportation</u> order. He also said that his previous lawyer made an error by not noting his shoplifting conviction, for which Gomez was fined \$ 25, on an immigration form.

<u>In</u> a pretrial statement, Todd, the prosecutor, wrote that Gomez should be <u>deported</u> because he "made a series of fraudulent assertions to obtain immigration benefits" and showed a "complete lack of respect for the laws of the country."

Prosecutors, however, were at a loss to explain why various immigration offices had granted Gomez so many permits without realizing that he had been ordered <u>deported</u>.

While his <u>case</u> has dragged on, Gomez has remained <u>in</u> prison <u>in</u> Virginia Beach, where he is allowed one hour of exercise every two weeks and 30-minute visits by family members on Thursday nights. He cannot receive phone calls, and his wife, Flor de Maria, who cleans houses, has been able to make the long trip only once.

Gomez is permitted to make collect calls, including to his attorney <u>in</u> Arlington. But his English is so poor that Braverman has dispatched a Spanish-speaking associate to the jail for consultations.

Immigration officials said Gomez and other detainees awaiting <u>deportation</u> hearings have been sent to Virginia Beach because prison authorities there charge less to house inmates, about \$ 45 a night, and because the prison was the most receptive to letting the <u>INS</u> install videoconferencing equipment. The agency, saying jails <u>in</u> Arlington and Alexandria have very little extra space, also keeps prisoners <u>in</u> Manassas, Winchester, Fredericksburg, Hopewell and Portsmouth.

<u>In</u> addition to their concerns about ensuring a fair trial, some local immigration lawyers and civil rights groups say conditions <u>in</u> the Virginia Beach jail are exceptionally poor, with crowded conditions and inadequate medical care. They have met with **INS** officials, seeking to move the video hearings to another facility.

"This situation is Kafkaesque," said Beth Lyon of the District-based Lawyers' Committee for Human Rights. "They <u>put</u> the video equipment <u>in</u> the most remote place and the facility all my clients dread most. Just when their <u>cases</u> are active and they need the most support, they are the hardest to reach."

<u>INS</u> officials say that the agency inspects the jails it uses before renting space and that they must meet federal standards.

By policy, <u>INS</u> detainees are kept separate from other prisoners, but Gomez and another detainee say they have not been protected from other immigrants who are <u>in</u> prison for drug dealing or violent crimes.

During a recent court session <u>in</u> Arlington, Flor de Maria Gomez testified on her husband's behalf, weeping as she glanced at his face on the television monitor. When she finished, the judge let the young Salvadoran woman, a legal resident who married Gomez last year, speak to him.

"Have faith <u>in</u> God," she said <u>in</u> Spanish. "He is the only one who can bring you tranquillity." Two hundred miles away, Gomez grimaced and brushed at his eyes before the guard told him to move, leaving only an empty chair.

# **Graphic**

#### Deportation Case Also Puts An INS Experiment on Trial

Photo, lucian perkins; Photo, james thresher, Angel Gomez has been <u>in</u> jail for eight months on a charge of immigration fraud. Angel Gomez, 24, waits to be taken back to his cell at the Virginia Beach Correctional Center after talking with visitors. Flor de Maria Gomez has been able to visit her jailed husband only once.

### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (91%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (90%); CORRECTIONS (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); TESTIMONY (90%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (89%); <u>DEPORTATION</u> (89%); ARRESTS (89%); PUBLIC PROSECUTORS (89%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (89%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); AMNESTY (78%); JUDGES (78%); REFUGEES (78%); WITNESSES (78%); CROSS EXAMINATIONS (78%); JAIL SENTENCING (78%); PRISONERS (75%); LAWYERS (75%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (73%); BAIL (73%)

**Company:** IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (84%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (54%)

**Organization:** IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (84%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (54%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (54%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (54%)

Industry: LAWYERS (75%); RESTAURANTS (72%); VIDEO CONFERENCING (70%)

Geographic: TEXAS, USA (79%); EL SALVADOR (79%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: December 21, 1997

**End of Document**