<u>Study finds few terrorism-related deportation cases Private group notes that immigration agencies list their primary purpose as anti-terrorism.</u>

St. Louis Post-Dispatch (Missouri)

May 28, 2007 Monday, SECOND EDITION

Copyright 2007 St. Louis Post-Dispatch, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Section: NEWS; Pg. A3

Length: 564 words

Byline: By Michael J. Sniffen THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

Dateline: WASHINGTON

Body

U.S. <u>immigration agencies</u> say <u>anti-terrorism</u> is their <u>primary</u> mission, but they tried to <u>deport</u> only 12 people on <u>terrorism-related</u> charges from 2004 through 2006, according to a <u>private</u> research <u>study</u> released Sunday.

That **group** of 12 represents a tiny fraction of the 814,073 people the government tried to remove from the country during those three years. The **study**'s authors acknowledge that the figure understates the **anti-terrorism** effort by the Homeland Security Department's **immigration agencies**.

In addition, because no one knows how many terrorists are in the United States or tried to get in, there is no way to say whether the figure of 12 is too low, too high or about right.

"The right number is unknowable," <u>study</u> co-author David Burnham said in an interview. "But the budget and powers of this <u>agency</u> are influenced by all their talk and rhetoric about terrorism and criminals, and if that isn't what they are doing, it should be considered by Congress and the public."

Homeland Security spokesman Russ Knocke said the <u>study</u> failed to appreciate record-setting enforcement totals. "They seem not to grasp that <u>immigration</u> laws are a powerful authority in preventing security risks from setting foot on our soil," Knocke said.

A former New York Times reporter, Burnham is co-director of the Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse. The <u>private</u> research <u>group</u> at Syracuse University analyzed the work of two Homeland Security <u>agencies</u> - <u>Immigration</u> and Customs Enforcement and Customs and Border Protection.

The *group* analyzed records of the more than 200 *immigration* court judges employed by the Justice Department back through 1992 and the department's records of criminal *cases* brought in U.S. district courts. The records were acquired under the Freedom of Information Act.

Researchers also <u>found</u> that a separate, broader category of national security charges were brought to try to <u>deport</u> 114 more people during the three years. Criminal charges such as human trafficking, drug dealing and other traditional crimes were used against 106,878, or 13 percent of those the government tried to <u>deport</u>.

The overwhelming majority of <u>deportation</u> <u>cases</u> - 86.5 percent - were based on traditional <u>immigration</u> violations such as sneaking past border inspections or not having a valid visa, the <u>group</u> said.

Study finds few terrorism-related deportation cases Private group notes that immigration agencies list their primary purpose as anti-terrorism.

Homeland Security <u>agencies</u> were credited during the period with producing or assisting on only 31 of 620 criminal prosecutions in district courts against defendants whom prosecutors labeled international terrorists, domestic terrorists or terrorism financiers, the **study found**.

Last month, Assistant Secretary Julie Myers, who heads <u>Immigration</u> and Customs Enforcement, said, "Our mission remains clear - to protect the United States and uphold public safety by targeting the people, money and materials that support terrorists and criminal activities."

The <u>study</u> says <u>deportations</u> and prosecutions are not the full measure of the <u>agencies</u>' <u>anti-terrorism</u> efforts, which also include patrolling borders and inspecting cargo. The <u>study</u> also says some people suspected of terrorism may be charged only with lesser infractions because those are easier to prove and the maximum penalty for either charge in *immigration* court is removal from the country.

Defending the performance, government officials <u>note</u> the absence of major terrorist attacks in this country since Sept. 11, 2001.

Graphic

GRAPHIC

GRAPHIC - IMMIGRATION CHARGES BY THE CASELOAD | AP

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: TERRORISM (91%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (90%); NATIONAL SECURITY (90%); COUNTERTERRORISM (90%); RESEARCH REPORTS (90%); <u>DEPORTATION</u> (89%); BORDER CONTROL (89%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (89%); CRIMINAL OFFENSES (88%); EXCISE & CUSTOMS (86%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (79%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (78%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (78%); INSPECTIONS (77%); WRITERS (76%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (75%); INTERVIEWS (73%); JUSTICE DEPARTMENTS (72%); PUBLIC PROSECUTORS (72%); JUDGES (68%); CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES CRIME (67%); HUMAN TRAFFICKING (50%)

Organization: US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (83%); SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY (54%)

Industry: SECURITY GUARD SERVICES (86%); WRITERS (76%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (93%)

Load-Date: June 26, 2007

Study finds few terrorism-related deportation cases Private group notes that immigration agencies list their primary purpose as anti-terrorism.

End of Document