Immigrants Fear Deportation After Registration; Number of Mideast, Muslim Men Expelled Rises Sharply

The Washington Post July 28, 2003 Monday, Final Edition

Copyright 2003 The Washington Post

The Washington Post washingtonpost.com

Section: A SECTION; Pg. A01

Length: 1430 words

Byline: Nurith C. Aizenman and Edward Walsh, Washington Post Staff Writers

Body

Andre Aniba acknowledges he hasn't always played by the rules. In 1998, the former pastry chef came to the United States from Tunisia on a three-month tourist visa. He has lived here ever since.

But when Congress passed legislation two years later that allowed illegal <u>immigrants</u> to apply for permanent residency, Aniba quickly sent in the proper forms. Early this year, when the government called on visitors from 25 nations that are considered havens for terrorists to register with immigration authorities, he dutifully appeared.

To his horror, Aniba, whose application to work as a chef at a Maryland restaurant was pending, was told he would be *deported*.

His experience was hardly exceptional. With little public notice outside <u>immigrant</u> communities, the government is moving to <u>deport</u> the largest <u>number</u> of visitors from Middle Eastern and other <u>Muslim</u> countries in U.S. history --more than 13,000 of the nearly 83,000 <u>men</u> older than 16 who complied with the <u>registration</u> program by various deadlines between last September and April.

When they showed up, they were found in violation of immigration laws -- even though, like Aniba, many were already participating in the government-sponsored program to become legal residents.

"The sheer numbers are mind-boggling," said Sohail Mohammed, an attorney in Clifton, N.J., who handles many of the cases and says <u>Muslims</u> are being unfairly singled out in the tightening of immigration procedures <u>after</u> the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks. "We could end up <u>deporting</u> almost as many <u>Muslims</u> in one year as we have in the last 10. And to me that shows that we're not administering our immigration laws fairly."

To Aniba, now 27 and living in Rockville, the frustration is more personal. "It's like [the United States] said to me, 'Yes, come here. Get a green card.' And then suddenly said, 'Oh, wait! I changed my mind,' <u>after</u> I had gone through all that hassle and expense and waited more than two years for my application to go through," said Aniba, who agreed to speak only on condition that his nickname of Andre be used to avoid jeopardizing his <u>deportation</u> case. " . . . It's unfair and it's discrimination."

Middle Easterners still make up a very small percentage of the 150,000 to 180,000 foreign nationals <u>deported</u> annually; the overwhelming majority are Mexican. Yet even if many of the 13,000 <u>men</u> facing <u>deportation</u>

Immigrants Fear Deportation After Registration; Number of Mideast, Muslim Men Expelled Rises Sharply

proceedings persuade judges not to <u>expel</u> them, government officials agree that the <u>number</u> of Middle Eastern and <u>Muslim men</u> forced to leave probably will dwarf totals from previous years.

<u>Deportations</u> of people from the same Middle Eastern and largely <u>Muslim</u> countries totaled about 1,300 annually in recent years. They reached about 2,800 in the year <u>after</u> the Sept. 11 attacks. Among the 25 nations on the list are Afghanistan, Algeria, Egypt, Indonesia, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Syria and Tunisia, and one non-<u>Muslim</u> nation, North Korea.

The dramatic <u>rise</u> in <u>deportations</u> is a side effect, not an objective, of the <u>registration</u> program, said Bill Strassberger, a spokesman for the Department of Homeland Security.

"The goal was to register individuals from these particular countries. . . . I don't know that anyone expected that we would have that many visitors who were here unlawfully," he said. "And any time an immigration officer comes into contact with someone who is unlawfully present, they do have a responsibility to place that person in <u>deportation</u> proceedings."

Strassberger described the program as the first step in establishing a new entry and exit system under which all visitors to the United States eventually will be required to register. He said visitors from the 25 countries covered in the initial stages of the program are considered "higher risk" because people from those countries have been linked to terrorist organizations.

"Since 9/11, the country is at a higher alert," he said. "We have to take extra precautions, and that would include monitoring the arrival, departures and travels of individuals from countries that have an active terrorist organization presence that poses a threat to us."

But Ibrahim Hooper, a spokesman for the Council on American-Islamic Relations, said the policy "is being used as almost a *deportation* trap. I think it's causing a lot of *fear* and apprehension. It creates a sense of being besieged. There are heart-wrenching stories of whole communities being decimated."

<u>Muslim</u> and Arab American advocates also charge that those costs have not been matched by corresponding benefits in the war on terrorism. They note that government officials have said the <u>registration</u> program, and an associated program to track visitors as they enter and leave the United States, have netted only 11 <u>men</u> with possible links to terrorism.

"The next Mohamed Atta is not going to register," said Arlington lawyer Tariq Syed, referring to the leader of the airplane hijackers who attacked the World Trade Center. Instead, Syed said, the *registration* program has snagged people who were trying to comply with the law.

Aniba agreed. "Why are they doing this to us?" he asked. "When they asked me to come register, I did. Now I'm being punished."

When they signed up, some visitors were discovered to be in the United States illegally, and have been <u>deported</u> or ordered to return home. Others appear to have committed technical infractions of immigration law such as paperwork errors or missed filing deadlines on their visa applications.

But many had previously applied for permanent residency under the same provision as Aniba -- a since-expired 2000 law that allowed illegal <u>immigrants</u> who paid a \$ 1,000 fee to seek a green card while living in the United States.

That law had a catch: It did not protect applicants from <u>deportation</u> if they drew the attention of immigration authorities before their green cards were approved. Because so many <u>immigrants</u> rushed to apply before the law's April 2001 deadline, their green cards have been delayed by huge backlogs at the Labor Department, which must approve employer sponsorships, and the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

Now they are in a race against time -- pleading with immigration judges to postpone a ruling on whether they should be **deported** until their green cards come through. The judges, however, face pressure from the immigration

Immigrants Fear Deportation After Registration; Number of Mideast, Muslim Men Expelled Rises Sharply

system's chief judge to avoid clogging immigration courts by issuing a final ruling on each case within eight months of its first hearing.

"That timetable is in direct conflict with the time it takes to get an <u>immigrant</u> visa petition processed," Falls Church immigration lawyer Antoinette J. Rizzi said.

Kamal Nawash agreed: "I'm down to the last 90 days with all my clients. There are not a lot more excuses I can use." Nawash is a Northern Virginia lawyer and a Republican candidate for the state Senate.

Many of his clients have told him that if they lose their cases, they will go into hiding rather than allow themselves to be *deported*.

"They say if they go back now, they'll be even worse off than when they came here," Nawash said. "Any money they managed to save they had to spend on legal fees, which came to thousands of dollars."

Aniba, whose lawyer got his next <u>deportation</u> hearing pushed back to late September, still has a chance of squeaking through.

Less fortunate is Ahmed Nahaf, 25, a former travel agent from Egypt living in Parsippany, N.J. He overstayed his tourist visa about two years ago and does not have a pending application for a green card.

His best option was to take a judge's offer to leave the country "voluntarily" by September, rather than be officially **deported**. Immigration attorneys say many clients in similar positions are making that choice because it leaves the possibility of applying for a waiver of the three- to 10-year ban on reentering the United States that illegal **immigrants** face. Illegal **immigrants** who have been **deported** cannot appeal.

Still, that is slight consolation, Nahaf said. Unable to find employment in Cairo, he came to the United States to support his six younger siblings and his parents, who are nearing retirement age at home. He has found only odd jobs here -- the last at a Dunkin' Donuts. But they have paid enough to allow Nahaf to supplement his father's small salary as a clerk in a government office and to enable the family to save money for his sisters' weddings.

Now he is struggling to plan his next move. "It gives me bad headaches," he said. "In Egypt there is no good work, and it's expensive to live. But I have a responsibility to my family. So what can I do?"

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (93%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (89%); <u>DEPORTATION</u> (89%); <u>MUSLIMS</u> & ISLAM (89%); SEPTEMBER 11 ATTACK (89%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (78%); ILLEGAL <u>IMMIGRANTS</u> (77%); DISCRIMINATION (77%); LEGISLATION (77%); TERRORISM (76%); RELIGION (73%); LAWYERS (68%); HISTORY (53%)

Industry: RESTAURANTS (73%); LAWYERS (68%)

Geographic: NEW JERSEY, USA (79%); MARYLAND, USA (79%); MIDDLE EAST (93%); UNITED STATES (92%); IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF (79%); IRAQ (79%); EGYPT (79%); AFGHANISTAN (79%); INDONESIA (79%)

Load-Date: July 28, 2003

End of Document