3 Prison Guards Guilty of Abuse Of Immigrants

The New York Times

March 7, 1998, Saturday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1998 The New York Times Company

Distribution: Metropolitan Desk

Section: Section A; ; Section A; Page 1; Column 5; Metropolitan Desk ; Column 5;

Length: 989 words

Byline: By RONALD SMOTHERS

By RONALD SMOTHERS

Dateline: ELIZABETH, N.J., March 6

Body

Three Union County <u>prison guards</u> were convicted today of assaulting and <u>abusing</u> more than a dozen asylum-seeking <u>immigrants</u> who had been brought to the county jail on the night of June 18, 1995, after the nearby Federal detention center was shut down in a disturbance.

The announcement of the verdicts in the nine-week-long trial unleashed a torrent of emotions in the seventh-floor courtroom here, where more than 100 friends and relatives of the three *guards* had flooded in. Some held their breath in astonishment as the verdicts began to be read, some sobbed. That quickly gave way to shouting of threats and gesturing toward the prosecution team.

Judge Miriam Span of Union County Superior Court had warned spectators to control themselves before jurors entered the jury box after 13 hours and 20 minutes of deliberation over three days. Even before the completion of the lengthy reading of the verdicts on the 27-count indictment, she had a force of 30 armed and uniformed court officers clear the room. A woman identified as a sister of one of the defendants spat in the direction of the prosecutors as she was being led out.

The verdicts came in the first of a series of trials of Union County <u>prison guards</u>. The trials grew out of the violence at the <u>immigrant</u> detention center here, which was run by a private company that investigators said hired poorly trained and abusive <u>guards</u>. The disturbance by the detainees there, a protest of their treatment, led to widespread changes in how the Federal Government operates its detention centers and the asylum program.

Prosecutors painted a picture of the <u>immigrants</u> going from the abysmal conditions of the Federal detention center to the even more abusive atmosphere of the Union County jail, where the <u>guards</u> beat and kicked them and used pliers to pull the hairs around their genitals.

Many of the former detainees, some of them deported to their native countries, were flown in to testify against the *guards*.

Convicted on nine counts each of assault, misconduct and conspiracy to obstruct the investigation of what happened at the jail in June 1995 were James Rice, 42, a *guard* for 16 years, and Charles Popovic, 35, who had been a corrections officer here for 11 years.

3 Prison Guards Guilty of Abuse Of Immigrants

Also convicted on nine counts of conspiracy to cover up misconduct was Michael Sica, 35, a Policemen's Benevolent Association official at the jail who was not working on the day of the assaults on the detainees but who prosecutors said coordinated a cover-up effort that included threats aimed at officers who cooperated with investigators.

Judge Span immediately revoked bail for the three men and they were taken away to an undisclosed jail outside Union County. The men face up to 10 years in *prison*.

Thomas K. Isenhour, an assistant Union County prosecutor who was the lead prosecutor on the case, attributed the jury's verdict to the testimony of seven corrections officers who described the <u>abuse</u> they witnessed and the conversations they secretly recorded of the defendants in which threats were aimed at anyone who cooperated with investigators.

He attributed the emotional reaction to the verdict to the sense of personal betrayal.

"Any time you have one law enforcement agency in a jurisdiction go after another, there is going to be a perception of unfairness," he said, as he and Alan Silver, another prosecutor, reacted to the verdicts.

The lawyers for Mr. Rice and Mr. Popovic did not comment on the verdicts, but Robert Galantucci, the lawyer for Mr. Sica, vowed to appeal, saying, "We have to move this out of Union County because from the beginning it has been lopsided."

The disturbance by detainees at the former detention center run by the Esmor Corrections Services Corporation led to a sweeping review by the Immigration and Naturalization Service of its policies nationwide on asylum seekers as well as on the way the agency monitored private contractors who ran the centers. The center in New Jersey remained closed for more than 18 months after the melee.

When it reopened in 1997 under the management of the Corrections Corporation of America, the immigration agency announced several new measures. These included allowing parole for some asylum seekers as an alternative to lengthy detention, speeding hearings on their claims and better monitoring of medical, legal and other services and management practices at the four privately run detention centers around the country.

The Union County jail was one of a dozen <u>prisons</u> to which detainees caught up in the 1995 disturbance were taken. But it was the only one where allegations of <u>abuse</u> and assaults led to criminal charges as well as a civil suit by some of the former detainees. From the beginning, lawyers for the plaintiffs in the civil suits said their cases against the county would proceed regardless of the outcome of the criminal trials.

The fact that civil suits had been filed was repeatedly noted by lawyers for the jail <u>guards</u> as they cross-examined the former detainees and suggested that the detainees were exaggerating the accounts of <u>abuse</u> and their injuries to strengthen the damage suits.

Fourteen former detainees, some traveling back to the United States from their home countries, to which they had been deported by the I.N.S., told stories of being pushed, punched, kicked and knocked to the ground by shouting and abusive *guards* from the time they arrived at the county *prison*. Their injuries ranged from bruises and cuts to bumps and lumps, but no one was so seriously injured as to have to be hospitalized.

But Judge Span cautioned the jurors that the inflicting of pain, regardless of how serious the injury, was sufficient to qualify as assault and *abuse* under the law. None of the former detainees were on hand to hear today's verdict.

In their own accounts, most former detainees spoke more of the fear, shame and humiliation they felt from the experience.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: CORRECTIONS WORKERS (90%); CORRECTIONS (90%); JURY TRIALS (90%); POLITICAL DETAINEES (90%); PRISONS (90%); VERDICTS (90%); IMMIGRANT DETENTION CENTERS (90%); IMMIGRATION (89%); CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS (89%); JUDGES (89%); CONSPIRACY (89%); PUBLIC PROSECUTORS (89%); INVESTIGATIONS (89%); TESTIMONY (89%); MISCONDUCT (86%); DEPORTATION (78%); INDICTMENTS (78%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (78%); COUNTY GOVERNMENT (78%); JAIL SENTENCING (78%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (77%); LAW COURTS & TRIBUNALS (76%); OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE (74%); BAIL (73%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (50%)

Company: UNION COUNTY JAIL (NJ) UNION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT (92%)

Organization: UNION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT (92%); UNION COUNTY JAIL (NJ) UNION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT (92%)

Load-Date: March 7, 1998

End of Document