# Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care that immigrants have a positive impact on the economy; Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care that immigrants have a positive impact on the economy

#### Canadian Press

October 15, 2019 Tuesday 12:32 AM EST

Copyright 2019 The Canadian Press All Rights Reserved

## THE CANADIAN PRESS

Section: SPARE NEWS

Length: 1525 words

Byline: Arvind Magesan, Associate Professor of Economics, University of Calgary, The Canadian Press

## **Body**

This article was originally published on The Conversation, an independent and nonprofit source of news, analysis and commentary from academic experts. Disclosure information is available on the original site.

Author: Arvind Magesan, Associate Professor of Economics, University of Calgary

The anti-immigration rhetoric heard on stage at the national leaders' debates may have surprised many Canadians. Calls from the political fringes for major immigration reform to preserve or restore some imagined character of the state has been a trend in other western industrialized democracies like France, the Netherlands and the United States, but up till now, has been absent in mainstream Canadian political discourse.

The emergence of immigration as an election issue in Canada is due largely to the country's newest political party, The People's Party of Canada (PPC), which was formed in 2018. For the PPC and its leader Maxime Bernier, immigration is a core issue and forms a major component of the party's election platform.

While the PPC relies heavily on populist rhetoric - for example, opposing Canada's supposed "cult of diversity" - its platform does try to provide an economic rationale to support both a drastic reduction in the number of <u>immigrants</u> admitted to Canada each year and a shift in the criteria used for deciding who gets in.

It is a curious position to take for a party that wants to form government, given that a recent poll finds the majority of Canadians support immigration - either keeping immigration levels as they are or increasing them. But it is a position that has found some supporters, if only at the relative fringes of Canadian politics, and has been politically successful in other countries known historically to be open to immigration.

There is a compelling case for not providing a space to air the ideas of Bernier and the PPC. But the federal Canadian debate commission allowed his participation in the two national debates, so the ideas are out there and demand a critical analysis. In particular, careful attention should be paid to separating fact from fiction, given the way fringe anti-immigration politics have successfully infiltrated other western democracies.

Misunderstanding or misinformation?

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care that immigrants have a positive impact on the economy;

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care tha....

The economic rationale provided by Bernier and the PPC belies either a fundamental misunderstanding of the economics of immigration, or worse, an unabashed attempt to stoke anti-<u>immigrant</u> sentiment for political ends.

The PPC's platform begins by saying that <u>immigrants</u> "should not put excessive financial burdens on the shoulders of Canadians...."

This is a commonly used justification for tightening immigration. It is intended to create the impression that *immigrants* receive a high level of benefits relative to other Canadians, and that the economic cost in terms of taxpayer-funded government benefits received by *immigrants* outweighs the economic benefit in terms of income tax paid.

Using the latest available data from the 2016 census, we can calculate average benefits received and taxes paid for *immigrants* and other Canadians.

The truth is, the average Canadian <u>immigrant</u> does not receive more government benefits than the average non-<u>immigrant</u> and the average <u>immigrant</u> to Canada did not "cost" more than they paid in taxes.

The total amount of government transfers received by the average Canadian <u>immigrant</u> was \$7,776.80, while the total income tax paid by the average Canadian <u>immigrant</u> was \$10,803.73. The numbers for the average non-<u>immigrant</u> Canadian citizen were \$7,891.86 and \$12,610.88 respectively.

### *Immigrants* receive fewer benefits

In its platform, the party states non-<u>immigrants</u> pay more in income tax than <u>immigrants</u>, which is supported by the data. The reason, as the platform also correctly suggests, is that non-<u>immigrants</u> have higher labour market earnings than do <u>immigrants</u>. However, the children of <u>immigrants</u> - second generation Canadians - end up earning more and paying more taxes than the average non-<u>immigrant</u> Canadian.

That <u>immigrants</u> earn less than non-<u>immigrants</u> is neither news nor a surprise. The <u>immigrant</u> wage gap is a well-documented phenomenon, and much research has been devoted to understanding its source.

Highly employed and educated

The PPC's platform implies that <u>immigrants</u> earn less because they do not offer the same value to the economy as a non-<u>immigrant</u>. The suggestion is that <u>immigrants</u> are supposedly less likely to be employed or are simply paid less to reflect their lower market value.

In reality, Canada's <u>immigrant</u> employment rate, at 92.4 per cent, is actually on par with (or marginally higher than) the non-<u>immigrant</u> employment rate at 92.3 per cent. Second, the education level of a typical <u>immigrant</u> is higher than that of the typical non-**immigrant**.

As one example, the census data shows the typical <u>immigrant</u> is 40 per cent more likely to have a bachelor's degree than a non-**immigrant**.

The fact that <u>immigrants</u> are just as likely to be employed and have a higher level of education than other Canadians while earning less perhaps says more about the functioning of the Canadian labour market than anything else.

Problems with recognizing and understanding foreign credentials and work experience or even simple labour market discrimination have both been identified as issues in the Canadian labour market.

Well-functioning economies are characterized by high labour mobility -workers being willing and able to move from one region to another for economic opportunity.

Another way <u>immigrants</u> play an important role is that they are four times more likely to have moved provinces in the five years leading up to the 2016 census than are non-<u>immigrants</u>. Canada's economy is a collection of

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care that immigrants have a positive impact on the economy;

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care tha....

industries that in some cases are regionally concentrated. As such, there are often labour shortages in one region of the country and high levels of unemployment in another.

## Immigrant labour supports non-immigrant labour

One particularly divisive claim that is often made by anti-immigration populists is that <u>immigrants</u> are bad for non-<u>immigrant</u> labor outcomes. But recent studies that have looked at the effect of <u>immigrants</u> on non-<u>immigrant</u> wages and well-being have found evidence of positive effects in both cases.

Using the 2016 and 2006 census data, we can look at the relationship between <u>immigrants</u> per capita and non-<u>immigrant</u> wage growth in Canada's largest communities over a 10-year period.

The pattern is striking - metropolitan areas that experienced an increase in <u>immigrants</u> per capita also experienced growth in the wages of non-<u>immigrants</u>. This should not be read as a causal relationship. Being more willing to move provinces, <u>immigrants</u> "equilibriate" local labour markets by leaving low wage/low opportunity locations for high wage/high opportunity ones, which at least partly explains the pattern.

But there is economic logic for why more <u>immigrant</u> labour can increase wages for non-<u>immigrants</u> - <u>immigrants</u> often do jobs that are complementary to, as opposed to a substitute for, the jobs that non-<u>immigrants</u> do.

Trump has praised Canadian system

The PPC immigration platform includes a call to reform Canada's immigration system in an echo of the the Trump administration's repeated call for a switch to "merit-based" immigration in the U.S. Ironically, Trump cites Canada as a shining example of such a system.

This call asks <u>immigrants</u> to be selected based on "economic class" and their skills (education, work experience, language) as opposed to the "family class" that exists primarily to reunify families of <u>immigrants</u>.

The PPC platform claims that only 26 per cent of <u>immigrants</u> to Canada come through the economic class. This is factually incorrect.

The 2017 Annual Report to Parliament on Immigration states the majority of <u>immigrants</u> to Canada (53 per cent) already come through the economic class, which is likely why Canada's system is envied by the Trump administration.

In any case, the PPC is also wrong to claim that entrants through the family class take more from the system in terms of government transfer payments than they pay in taxes. The census data reveals that <u>immigrants</u> who entered through the family class pay an average of \$8,231.28 in income tax, while receiving \$6,665.73 in government transfers.

Further, though <u>immigrants</u> through the family class are not selected specifically for their economic value, they often provide key services like free child <u>care</u> for <u>immigrant</u> parents. There is robust evidence that free childcare has large effects on maternal labour supply, which of course ultimately means higher taxes paid by <u>immigrant</u> parents.

Ultimately, the case for immigration reform as envisioned in the PPC platform cannot be an economic one. As such, Bernier and his PPC should not be so surprised when they are accused of xenophobia and racism by the media and other political parties.

This article is republished from The Conversation under a Creative Commons license. Disclosure information is available on the original site. Read the original article:

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care that immigrants have a positive impact on the economy;

Maxime Bernier either doesn't know or doesn't care tha....

<u>https://theconversation.com/maxime-bernier-either-doesnt-know-or-doesnt-care-that-immigrants-have-a-positive-impact-on-the-economy-125035</u>

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

**Document-Type:** news

Publication-Type: Newswire

**Subject:** IMMIGRATION (89%); TRENDS (72%); TYPES OF GOVERNMENT (71%); ELECTIONS (71%); GOVERNMENT & PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (71%); POLITICAL DEBATES (71%); CAMPAIGNS & ELECTIONS (71%); POLITICS (70%); POLITICAL PARTIES (70%); PLATFORMS & ISSUES (70%); BUSINESS EDUCATION (67%); WRITERS (67%); ECONOMICS (66%); IMMIGRATION REGULATION & POLICY (66%); DEMOCRACIES (65%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (52%); POLLS & SURVEYS (50%)

Industry: WRITERS (67%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (52%)

Person: MAXIME BERNIER (50%)

Geographic: CALGARY, AB, CANADA (59%); ALBERTA, CANADA (59%); CANADA (98%); FRANCE (79%); NETHERLANDS (79%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: October 18, 2019

**End of Document**