

OUR OPINIONS: Security in numbers; Use Social Security information to get better control of illegal immigrants' entry into U.S. workplace

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

November 9, 2005 Wednesday, Home Edition

Copyright 2005 The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution
ajc.com

Section: EDITORIAL; Pg. 18A

Length: 461 words

Body

The surest way to discourage **illegal** immigration is to make it hard for unlawful **immigrants** to land a job here. But with up to 12 million illegals in the country already, it's obvious that neither the federal government nor employers have tried very hard to deny work to those who don't have the legal right to be here.

Improved enforcement of immigration laws requires changes: Inspections of **workplaces** that attract a high proportion of **illegal immigrants**; reducing the hodgepodge of documents that can be **used** by illegals for identification, and making them harder to counterfeit; making employers more responsible and stiffening penalties for putting illegals on the payroll.

Even with existing tools, though, federal agencies could do a **better** job simply by cooperating with one another.

Social Security numbers are a place to start. All **U.S.** workers are required to have a **Social Security** card, and employers are required to ask new hires for their **numbers**. Generally, **illegal** workers **get** around that law by giving employers false **numbers**; for their part, most employers don't bother to check whether those **numbers** are valid because they simply don't want to know whether their work force is legal.

However, both the Internal Revenue Service and the **Social Security** Administration have the means to identify workers who **use** phony **Social Security numbers**. But according to a government study, the Department of Homeland **Security**, which is supposed to enforce immigration law, has not **used** data from the **Social Security** Administration that would raise red flags about worker status.

Some of the results of that investigation by the Government Accountability Office were startling:

- * Some 295 **Social Security numbers** were **used** as many as 1,000 times each on earnings statements.
- * About 1.4 million earnings reports cited **Social Security numbers** that had never been issued.
- * In more than 300 cases, an employer **used** the same **Social Security number** on the earnings statements of 10 different workers.

That GAO study also found that the IRS fails to enforce a law requiring employers to report accurate **Social Security numbers**. The IRS "has no record of ever penalizing an employer for inaccurate" **Social Security numbers**, the GAO reported.

OUR OPINIONS: Security in numbers; Use Social Security information to get better control of illegal immigrants' entry into U.S. workplace

When that report was issued a year ago, the IRS promised it would consider ways to improve enforcement. Last week the agency declined to disclose what if any changes have been made, noting that the law does not require employers to "validate identification numbers provided by employees."

The IRS also said it "has no legal authority to enforce immigration and Social Security Administration laws."

Clearly, there are ways to spot employers who may be using illegal workers. What's missing is the political and bureaucratic will to do so.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspapers

Subject: IMMIGRATION (92%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (92%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (91%); PUBLIC FINANCE (90%); US SOCIAL SECURITY (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (89%); COMPANY EARNINGS (89%); TAXES & TAXATION (89%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (78%); INSPECTIONS (77%); LABOR FORCE (77%); GOVERNMENT CONTROLLERS & AUDITORS (72%); INVESTIGATIONS (68%); RESEARCH REPORTS (66%); NATIONAL SECURITY (65%)

Organization: SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (82%); INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (55%); US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (54%)

Industry: GOVERNMENT CONTROLLERS & AUDITORS (72%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: November 10, 2005