Congress Plans Stiff New Curb On Immigration - Correction Appended

The New York Times

Correction Appended

Copyright 1995 The New York Times Company

Distribution: National Desk

Section: Section A;; Section A; Page 1; Column 1; National Desk; Column 1;

Length: 1085 words

Byline: By STEVEN A. HOLMES

By STEVEN A. HOLMES

Dateline: WASHINGTON, Sept. 24

Body

With the public growing angry over illegal <u>immigration</u>, the Republican <u>Congress</u> is considering the adoption of the most restrictive changes in the country's <u>immigration</u> laws in 71 years.

While the House and Senate bills differ in important respects, the overall thrust of the two measures is the same. Both would crack down on illegal <u>immigration</u> and end what has been a 30-year-old policy of welcoming legal immigrants. For the first time since 1924, there will be a reduction in the number of foreign-born people who are legally permitted to come to the United States.

The proposals have been criticized by an unusual array of interests, from traditional supporters of <u>immigration</u> to American industries, particularly high-tech companies, that need more professionals than the United States produces.

These are among the provisions in one or the other of the bills:

- *Slash the number of people allowed to <u>immigrate</u> legally to a maximum of 535,000 a year, down from the present average of about 800,000.
- *Make it more difficult for foreigners to gain political asylum and place a ceiling of 50,000 on the number of refugees admitted each year -- about half the number accepted annually in the last five years.
- *Sharply increase the financial requirements for an American citizen to sponsor an immigrant.
- *Establish a national registry of Social Security numbers and alien registration numbers, and require companies to use it to verify the legal status of job applicants.
- *Make it more difficult for American companies to recruit foreign workers.
- *Eliminate some important categories of immigrants with automatic entry into the United States, including brothers and sisters of legal residents and children over the age of 21.

Congress Plans Stiff New Curb On Immigration - Correction Appended

Many proposals in the bills represent ideas and policies that have been advocated unsuccessfully for years by groups urging <u>curbs</u> on <u>immigration</u>. But passage last year of a ballot initiative in California, an important state in Presidential politics, to halt benefits to illegal aliens has given these groups an unexpected lift.

"This has happened very quickly," said Charles B. Keeley, a professor of demography at Georgetown University who has written extensively on the politics of *immigration*. "This was not an issue in '92, not even an issue in California."

In addition, a Congressional commission on <u>immigration</u> led by former Representative Barbara Jordan recommended in June that legal <u>immigration</u> be cut by one-third, a recommendation that was immediately endorsed by President Clinton.

"A consensus is emerging here," said Representative Lamar Smith, a Texas Republican who is the chief sponsor of the House bill. "I think the debate about whether legal <u>immigration</u> reform should occur is over. The question is no longer whether legal <u>immigration</u> should be reformed, but how it should be reformed."

The changes envisioned by Mr. Smith's measure are angering those who traditionally back <u>immigration</u> and frightening some foreign-born people who are on waiting lists to gain legal residency and whose eligibility may be eliminated.

Take Lourdes Rivera, 24. Last month, her mother filed citizenship petitions for her three children, including Ms. Rivera, who was born in Mexico and illegally entered the United States in 1983 with her two younger sisters. They came to join their mother, who had been working here illegally since the late 1970's after overstaying a tourist visa.

Under the 1986 law that granted amnesty to illegal aliens who had entered the country before January 1982, Ms. Rivera's mother became a permanent resident. That allowed her to get temporary visas for her children. In August, she became a citizen, allowing her to petition for citizenship for the children.

But the House bill would remove automatic eligibility for foreign-born children of American citizens if the children were over 21. Should it become law, Ms. Rivera would lose her chance to become a citizen and could be deported. She has no family in Mexico.

"I understand what they're trying to do, but they need to look at cases like mine," said Ms. Rivera, a graduate of Texas Christian University who lives in Arlington, Tex. "We're one family and we mean everything to each other. And it's kind of scary to be separated."

The percentage of foreign-born residents is at a post-war high, and is occurring as many businesses, especially high-tech companies, say they need to recruit foreign computer specialists and engineers because qualified Americans are in such short supply.

As a result, some companies say they are incredulous that **Congress** would cut the number of visas issued to foreigners sponsored by businesses to 75,000, from 140,000.

"There is a shortage of skilled personnel," said Charles Billingsley, a vice president of the Information Technology Association of America, a trade group based in Washington. "Go to any metro area, pick up the Sunday want ads; the thickest part will be for computer programmers."

Immigrants like Vivek Prabhu, 32, a computer software specialist from Bombay, India, say they are helping American companies and creating jobs for other Americans. "This is a double-edged sword," said Mr. Prabhu, who arrived here on a student visa six years ago and is awaiting approval of his petition for permanent residency. "What happens if the United States Government insists on imposing quotas on immigrants or restricting *immigration*? There is labor outside the U.S. that can do much of this work and is cheaper."

Mr. Prabhu was alluding to a growing trend: American companies moving their computer manufacturing or programming development to other countries.

But those who support reducing <u>immigration</u> say that given the cutbacks in the military and aerospace industry and downsizing in other high-tech areas, the idea of an overall shortage of scientists, engineers, programmers and computer specialists is based more on anecdote than on hard evidence. Reduction in skill-based <u>immigration</u> is needed, they say, to protect college graduates.

"My job is to try and represent the national interest," said Senator Alan K. Simpson, a Wyoming Republican who is the chief sponsor of the Senate bill. "To do that, you will hopefully trod on every segment of American society and be called everything from xenophobe to a racist to a poop."

Because of an editing error, the article misstated the employment record of Ms. Rivera's mother. Ms. Rivera said her mother did not work in this country before gaining legal status.

Correction

An article on Monday about Congressional efforts to <u>curb immigration</u> referred incorrectly to the arrival of Lourdes Rivera, whose case was used as an example of people on waiting lists to become citizens. Ms. Rivera and her two sisters entered the United States in 1983 and overstayed their tourist visas, becoming illegal immigrants; they did not enter the country illegally.

Correction-Date: September 28, 1995, Thursday

Graphic

Photo: As Republicans try to trim <u>immigration</u>, some companies complain that the restrictions would make a shortage of skilled labor worse. Vivek Prabhu of India, above, who works in Los Altos Hills, Calif., as a computer software specialist, warned that making it harder for technically skilled workers to <u>immigrate</u> would be a "double-edged sword" that could force some companies to leave the United States. (George Olson for The <u>New</u> York Times) (pg. A12)

Graph: "Coming to America" shows <u>immigration</u> to the United States in 1994 (Source: <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service) (pg. A12)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (95%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (90%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (89%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); US SOCIAL SECURITY (89%); POLITICS (89%); POLITICAL PARTIES (78%); LEGISLATION (78%); SOCIAL SECURITY (78%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (78%); FOREIGN LABOR (78%); US PRESIDENTS (75%); REFUGEES (73%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (73%); REFERENDUMS (70%); RECRUITMENT & HIRING (69%); DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS (68%); BALLOTS (67%); HEADS OF GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS (66%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (50%)

Company: AMERICAN INDUSTRIES LIFE INSURANCE CO (56%); AMERICAN INDUSTRIES INC (56%); AMERICAN INDUSTRIES LIFE INSURANCE CO (56%); AMERICAN INDUSTRIES INC (56%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%); IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%)

Organization: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> & NATURALIZATION SERVICE (59%)

Industry: COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (50%)

Person: LAMAR SMITH (58%); BILL CLINTON (58%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (92%); TEXAS, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (95%)

Load-Date: September 25, 1995

End of Document