Social Security Scales Back Worker Inquiries; Agency Contacted Employers When False Data Were Used but Got Little Response

The Washington Post

June 18, 2003 Wednesday, Final Edition

Copyright 2003 The Washington Post

The Washington Post washingtonpost.com

Section: A SECTION; Pg. A06

Length: 890 words

Byline: Mary Beth Sheridan, Washington Post Staff Writer

Body

The U.S. government is <u>scaling back</u> an aggressive program to <u>contact</u> all <u>employers</u> whose <u>workers</u> were <u>using</u> bogus or incorrect <u>Social Security</u> information, concluding that the effort produced few corrections to its records.

The program last year had a major, if unintended, impact: It exposed many unauthorized immigrants who were working "on the books," *using* stolen or made-up *Social Security* numbers. Confronted by their *employers*, tens of thousands of them quietly left their jobs or were fired, according to estimates by immigration advocates and attorneys.

The <u>Social Security</u> Administration had launched the initiative, sending letters last year to about 950,000 <u>employers</u> who had at least one <u>worker</u> whose name and number did not match <u>Social Security</u> files. Government officials said at the time that they were simply trying to <u>get employers</u> and <u>workers</u> to correct the <u>agency</u>'s records.

But few responded, said Mark Hinkle, a spokesman for the <u>Social Security</u> Administration. As a result, he said, the <u>agency</u> plans to <u>contact</u> only about 130,000 <u>employers</u> this year in an effort to be "<u>using</u> our resources effectively -- time, money, staff, that kind of thing."

Immigrant advocates and members of business groups, who had protested the earlier **Social Security** program, said they were pleased with the change. Activists for reduced immigration criticized the move and said it underlined the government's lack of coordination in targeting unauthorized **workers**.

"It's aggravating beyond belief that the <u>Social Security</u> Administration isn't waking up to its responsibility to be part of the federal government's immigration enforcement arm," said Dan Stein, executive director of the Federation for American Immigration Reform.

The <u>Social Security</u> Administration stumbled into the contentious issue of illegal immigration because of a major bookkeeping problem. For years, it has received a growing pile of money from mystery <u>workers</u> whose names or numbers do not match <u>Social Security</u> files. In 2000, the contributions from such <u>workers</u> and their <u>employers</u> totaled about \$ 6 billion -- money that goes into the general fund, since it cannot be linked to individual <u>workers</u>.

Social Security Scales Back Worker Inquiries; Agency Contacted Employers When False Data Were Used but Got Little Response

Some of the mystery <u>workers</u> are legally employed but simply have a mistake in their records: a misspelling of their name, or a maiden name listed instead of their married one.

But the government has found that most "no-match" cases are in industries that rely on low-skilled and migrant **workers**, such as restaurants, farms and hotels.

That leads such people as Steven A. Camarota of the Center for Immigration Studies, a think tank that favors reduced immigration, to question why <u>Social Security</u> is cutting <u>back</u> on the letters and not coordinating with other <u>agencies</u> to target undocumented <u>workers</u>. The number of people in the country illegally has swelled to an estimated 7 million to 8 million.

"This process . . . could have been an important step in controlling or reducing illegal immigration," Camarota said.

<u>Social Security</u> officials respond that that's not their job. In addition, they say that privacy laws bar them from giving their information to immigration authorities. Although the <u>agency</u> sent follow-up letters last year to <u>employers</u> who ignored their first notices, it did not have the power to do much more, said spokesman Mark Hinkle.

"We're not an enforcement agency," he said.

Immigrant advocates argue that unauthorized <u>workers</u> were not the only ones who lost jobs. They said some bosses panicked and fired employees named in the letters -- even though the notices emphasized that they were not grounds for dismissal.

"Many companies <u>used</u> this as an excuse to terminate the employment of various people" without cause, said Saul Solorzano, executive director of CARECEN, an immigrant <u>social</u>-service <u>agency</u> in Columbia Heights.

He said **Social Security's** plan to send fewer letters is "better, to avoid confusion."

The impact of the letters on the Washington area was "significant but not severe," said Elissa McGovern, head of the local chapter of the American Immigration Lawyers Association. "It was felt in the service sectors -- hotels, restaurants, landscaping, industries where you have high turnover."

Immigrant and business groups had urged the **Social Security** Administration to change its program. Did their efforts succeed?

"I'd like to think we had some impact," said Theresa Brown, director of immigration policy at the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. She noted, however, that the **Social Security** Administration attributed its shift to the lack of results from the letter-sending campaign.

Hinkle said that this year's letters will go only to <u>employers</u> who have at least 10 <u>workers</u> whose information does not match <u>Social Security</u> files. Such people have to make up at least 0.5 percent of the <u>employer</u>'s personnel to trigger a letter.

The <u>agency</u> will continue to send individual letters to the homes of all employees whose name or number do not match <u>Social Security</u> records.

Experts who work with or study immigrants said the "no-match" program did not appear to reduce the number of people in the country illegally. Many <u>workers</u> who were confronted with the letters "went somewhere else" to work, said Jeffrey Passel, a researcher at the Urban Institute. "Or they showed up on Monday with a different <u>Social</u> <u>Security</u> number."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (90%); US **SOCIAL SECURITY** (90%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); FOREIGN LABOR (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); MIGRANT **WORKERS** (78%); MIGRATION ISSUES (77%); IMMIGRATION LAW (77%); POPULATION SIZE (77%); RESEARCH INSTITUTES (60%)

Organization: SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (84%)

Industry: RESTAURANTS (60%); HOTELS & MOTELS (50%)

Geographic: UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: June 18, 2003

End of Document