Agency to Use Dormant Law to Bar Homosexuals From U.S.

The New York Times

June 3, 1990, Sunday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1990 The New York Times Company

Section: Section 1; Part 1, Page 24, Column 1; National Desk

Length: 1240 words

Byline: By PHILIP J. HILTS, Special to The New York Times

Dateline: WASHINGTON, June 2

Body

On the eve of a major international AIDS conference <u>in</u> San Francisco, the Immigration and Naturalization service is preparing to invoke a little-<u>enforced law</u> that <u>bars</u> the entry of <u>homosexuals</u> to the <u>United States</u> as sexual deviants, agency officials say.

The officials said Friday that they had taken steps to <u>enforce</u> the <u>law</u> after being told that some gay groups from abroad were going to test the <u>law</u> by declaring themselves <u>homosexuals</u> when they arrived for the Sixth International Conference on AIDS <u>in</u> San Francisco on June 20.

<u>Homosexual</u> rights groups <u>in</u> the <u>United States</u> said they knew nothing of a plan to test the statute. Tom Stoddard, executive director of the Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, a rights organization, said the idea behind the immigration service'<u>s</u> assertion was nonsense.

"They are living <u>in</u> a fantasy world," Mr. Stoddard said. He said that the <u>law</u> was not being <u>enforced</u> and that his organization did not want to challenge it because of the conservative makeup of the Supreme Court.

Action Is Called Reluctant

An immigration service spokesman, Duke Austin, said the agency was taking the action reluctantly. "We didn't want to implement the statute, but we are under a court order to do that," he said. Even though the court ruling Mr. Austin referred to came <u>in</u> 1983, Mr. Austin said the immigration service felt compelled to act now <u>in</u> the face of an open challenge.

The gay organizations were shocked and puzzled over the agency's <u>move</u>. They cited the Bush Administration's new policies making it easier for people infected with the AIDS virus to travel to the <u>United States</u> to attend international meetings.

"This is a sudden, irrational and unexpected move in another direction," Mr. Stoddard said.

Geoffrey Knox, a spokesman for the Gay Men's Health Crisis in New York City, said: "This will exacerbate rather than defuse the problems at the conference. It is unbelievable that they are going to do something like this."

The Public Health Service also seemed embarrassed by the plans. A spokesman for the agency, James Brown, said Secretary Louis W. Sullivan of the Department of Health and Human Services and Assistant Secretary James Mason "along with many others from the Public Health Service will attend this important conference and want it to be a success."

Memorandum Discloses Plans

Agency to Use Dormant Law to Bar Homosexuals From U.S.

The immigration service's plans came to light this week <u>in</u> a memorandum written by a Federal health offical <u>in</u> which he warned his superior that Public Health Service doctors would have to be involved <u>in enforcing</u> the <u>law</u> and that the agency faced potential embarrassment as a result. Before a <u>homosexual</u> can be <u>barred</u> by the immigration service, a Public Health Service doctor must certify the alien to be afflicted with a "sexual deviation, or a mental defect."

The requirement that a doctor's certification be issued before the <u>law</u> could be <u>enforced</u> was a result of a 1983 ruling by the <u>United States</u> Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, <u>in</u> California. The court let stand the Federal <u>law</u> that had been on the books since the 1950's that <u>barred homosexuals</u>, but at the same time it ruled <u>in</u> favor of the challenge to the statute that had been brought by the Lesbian Gay Freedom Day Committee of San Francisco.

The committee had contended that a Public Health Service doctor's certificate declaring an alien a <u>homosexual</u> was required before the <u>law</u>, which <u>bars homosexuals</u> even for a visit, could be <u>enforced</u>. The appeals court agreed. <u>In</u> addition to California, the decision affects the states of Alaska, Arizona, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington and Hawaii.

The immigration service did not appeal the decision.

The agency contends that a doctor's certificate is not necessary to <u>bar homosexuals</u>' entry to this country <u>in</u> states outside the Ninth Circuit. But <u>in</u> most places the immigration service avoids <u>enforcing</u> the <u>law</u>, by not asking people if they are <u>homosexual</u>. When people declare themselves <u>homosexual</u>, an immigration service official who spoke on the condition of anonymity said, the service simply defers action on the case for the duration of the person's visit.

The <u>law</u> was amended <u>in</u> 1965 to describe people who should be <u>barred</u> from entry to the <u>United States</u> as those "with psychopathic personality, or sexual deviation, or a mental defect." The Supreme Court ruled <u>in</u> 1967 that **homosexuals** could be **barred** from the country as sexual deviants.

It was not immediately clear how many doctors the immigration service would need to <u>enforce</u> the <u>law</u>, but the Public Health Service said doctors would be available at any airport where they were required.

Official Cites Concern

<u>In</u> the memorandum by the Federal health official, dated May 22, Dr. John West, Acting Regional Health Administrator for the Health and Human Services <u>in</u> the Western States, said the department had been requested to make available "a P.H.<u>S</u>. medical officer to conduct examinations of aliens who enter the <u>U.S.</u> and who self-profess to be <u>homosexuals</u>."

He suggested <u>in</u> the memo that involvement by the Public Health Service could be embarrassing because the Bush Administration had been working to make the conference a success and because Public Health Service itself was involved. "I want to alert you to a potentially sensitive matter which relates to the Sixth International AIDS Conference <u>in</u> San Francisco and will affect public perceptions of P.H.<u>S.</u>," Dr. West wrote to Dr. James Allen, Director of the National AIDS Program Office. "I am bringing this to your attention given the heightened sensitivities about our agency's participation <u>in</u> various aspects of the conference."

He wrote <u>in</u> the memorandum: "<u>In</u> conducting these examinations, a P.H.<u>S</u>. medical officer would ask several questions, including, 'Are you a <u>homosexual</u>?' Then the medical officer would certify a form and return it to I.N.<u>S</u>. for whatever action I.N.<u>S</u>. deems is warranted."

'Inspectors Have No Choice'

Mr. Austin of the immigration agency said the issue was an old one and was not about AIDS. But he said, "If the inspectors get a guy who is coming into that conference and he wants to make an issue, make that kind of proclamation at the border, the inspectors have no choice."

If the I.N.S. bars the entry of a homosexual, the person has the right to appeal to an administrative law judge.

Mr. Brown, the Public Health Service spokesman, said, "We do not want to issue these certificates, but we were told by the Department of Justice that the circuit court ruled that we must do it because it is the *law*."

This ruling by the Justice Department was made not long after the 1983 court decision requiring the doctor's certificate before a *homosexual* could be *barred*.

The Public Health Service stopped issuing certificates declaring a person a <u>homosexual</u> after the American Psychological Association officially stopped listing homosexuality as a mental disorder <u>in</u> 1979.

Gay organizations had thought they would not have to worry about <u>homosexuals</u> being <u>barred</u> from the country after the appeals court decision, which said, "Because the P.H.<u>S</u>. refuses to issue medical certificates on the basis of homosexuality per se, and because we today hold that the I.N.<u>S</u>. may not exclude <u>homosexual</u> aliens without such certificates, it is completely speculative that any aliens will be excluded <u>in</u> the future on the basis of their homosexuality per se."

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (92%); GAYS & LESBIANS (91%); PUBLIC HEALTH (90%); AIDS & HIV (90%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); PUBLIC HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (89%); CONFERENCES & CONVENTIONS (89%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (89%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); TALKS & MEETINGS (78%); *LAW* ENFORCEMENT (78%); LGBT RIGHTS (77%); HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (77%); DECISIONS & RULINGS (76%); MEN'<u>S</u> HEALTH (73%); *LAW* COURTS & TRIBUNALS (72%); SUPREME COURTS (67%); VIRUSES (63%); MEN (62%)

Company: MEN'S HEALTH MAGAZINE (53%); MEN'S HEALTH MAGAZINE (53%); LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE & EDUCATION FUND (56%)

Organization: LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE & EDUCATION FUND (56%); IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE

Industry: HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (77%)

Geographic: NEW YORK, NY, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (92%); NEW YORK, USA (79%); *UNITED* STATES (94%)

End of Document