HEARING OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE: SUBJECT: ESCALATING VIOLENCE IN MEXICO AND THE SOUTHWEST BORDER AS A RESULT OF THE ILLICIT DRUG TRADE: CHAIRED BY: REPRESENTATIVE ROBERT C. SCOTT (D-VA); WITNESSES: STUART G. NASH, ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL, DIRECTOR, ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCES (OCDETF), U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; SALVADOR NIETO, ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF INTELLIGENCE AND OPERATIONS COORDINATION, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; JANICE AYALA, DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS, U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY: ANTHONY PLACIDO. ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR INTELLIGENCE, U.S. DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; WILLIAM J. HOOVER, ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.; LOCATION: 2141 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.

> Federal News Service May 6, 2009 Wednesday

Copyright 2009 Federal News Service, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Section: CAPITOL HILL HEARING

Length: 15726 words

Body

HEARING OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBJECT: ESCALATING <u>VIOLENCE</u> IN <u>MEXICO</u> AND THE SOUTHWEST <u>BORDER</u> AS A RESULT OF THE ILLICIT DRUG TRADE CHAIRED BY: REPRESENTATIVE ROBERT C. SCOTT (D-VA) WITNESSES: STUART G. NASH, ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL, DIRECTOR, ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCES (OCDETF), <u>U.S.</u> DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; SALVADOR NIETO, ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF INTELLIGENCE AND OPERATIONS COORDINATION, <u>U.S.</u> CUSTOMS AND <u>BORDER</u> PROTECTION, <u>U.S.</u> DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; JANICE AYALA, DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS, <u>U.S.</u> IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, <u>U.S.</u> DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; ANTHONY PLACIDO, ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR INTELLIGENCE, <u>U.S.</u> DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, <u>U.S.</u> DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; WILLIAM J. HOOVER, ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES, <u>U.S.</u> DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. LOCATION: 2141 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. TIME: 2:00 P.M. EDT DATE: WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 2009

REP. SCOTT: Good afternoon. I first want to apologize for the delay. We had crime bills on the floor unexpectedly. We thought we were going to be there by 11:00 this morning, didn't get on it till about 1:30 and we're just getting back. So I appreciate your indulgence.

The subcommittee will now come to order. Welcome to the hearing before the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security on "Escalating <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u> and the Southwest <u>border</u> as a result of the illicit drug trade."

For several months, the media has reported horrific <u>violence</u> occurring in <u>Mexico</u> and <u>along</u> the <u>U.S.</u> Southwest <u>border</u> stemming from illegal drug trafficking. Traffickers have been brazen enough to threaten police, government officials, and even their families because of stepped-up government efforts into drug interdiction. The actual attacks have been rampant and gruesome.

Our hearing today will explore the extent of the <u>violence</u> and the role of the <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement agencies in combating it. Of course, <u>violence</u> associated with drug trafficking and organized crime is nothing new. Other nations, most notably Colombia and Italy, experienced heightened <u>violence</u> when their government stepped up enforcement efforts in the late 1980s and '90s.

Similarly, the surging <u>violence Mexico</u> is experiencing seems to be related to Mexican President Calderon's targeted and successful crackdown on illicit drug organizations. As Mexican security forces have seized thousands of firearms and tons of drugs, the trafficking has become more difficult. As a result, the traffickers have become more violent as they fight to control fewer trafficking routes.

Because these routes flow to and from the <u>United States</u>, our <u>border</u> states are most directly affected by the <u>violence</u>. In Phoenix alone, a special task force of 10 investigators has dismantled 31 crime cells, made more than 220 arrests in response to over 350 kidnappings and other <u>violence</u> over the past two years. But the <u>violence</u> is by no means limited to the **border**.

According to a December report by the Department of Justice National Drug Intelligence Center, Mexican drug trafficking organizations have established a presence in 230 <u>U.S.</u> cities as far as Anchorage and Atlanta. In further intertwining Mexican and <u>United States</u> is the fight to control the <u>violence</u> over firearm trafficking.

According to the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, approximately 90 percent of the weapons seized in <u>Mexico</u> that are traced, originate here and end up in the hands of Mexican drug traffickers. Clearly we have a shared problem. However, before we can solve the problem, we must assess it accurately, focusing on reality and not sensationalism.

The <u>violence</u> has indeed been gruesome. However, according to the Mexican government, 64 percent of the drug-related <u>violence</u> is mostly concentrated in three Northern and Southwestern states where only 15 percent of their population lives. Moreover, the murder rate in city of Juarez, <u>Mexico's</u> hardest hit city, it is six times lower than in Colombia's murder rate during the early '90s. And since that time, the Medellin's homicide rate has dropped by 90 percent.

Thus the situation is serious, but is not as widespread as some reports would lead us to believe, and neither is it insurmountable. <u>Mexico</u> has initiated key steps to overcoming the latest escalation of <u>violence</u>. In addition to increased enforcement efforts, <u>Mexico</u> has made crucial institutional reforms in its judicial system, police hiring, technology investment, and drug abuse prevention and treatment efforts.

These key changes promise a more secure long-term solution than enforcement efforts alone could provide. And <u>United States</u> federal law enforcement efforts have been greatly enhanced. In March of this year, the Obama administration announced a major increase in law enforcement resources to partner with <u>Mexico</u> in combating drug and firearm trafficking.

Today we'll hear from representatives of the Department of Justice to explain its role in combating the drug and firearm trafficking and its resultant <u>violence</u>. So I'm pleased, at this point, to recognize the esteemed ranking member of the subcommittee, the gentleman from Texas, Judge Gohmert.

REP. LOUIE GOHMERT (R-TX): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for holding this hearing and thank you for calling me esteemed.

But we are grateful for you being here, the witnesses. I know that this has been an inordinate delay, but thank you for your patience.

For several months now, we've heard reports of escalating <u>violence</u> by Mexican drug cartels, <u>violence</u> targeted at rival cartels and officials of Mexican government. And not just <u>violence</u>, but gruesome acts intended to terrorize local communities and intimidate Mexican government into abandoning its mission to rid <u>Mexico</u> of the scourge of illegal drugs.

<u>Mexico</u> is a primary transit point into the <u>U.S.</u> for all four major drugs of choice -- marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamine and heroin. In fact, 91 percent of all cocaine abuse in America is supposedly trafficked through **Mexico**.

So it comes as no surprise that these cartels would resort to such tactics given the Calderon government'<u>s</u> efforts to shut down the trafficking operations and rid the government of the corruption that has allowed these cartels to prosper for years, and I would submit, has caused the country to not become a power in the world that it could be.

In addition to dozens of extraditions of drug cartel members from <u>Mexico</u> to the <u>U.S.</u> for prosecution, Mexican authorities in recent weeks have arrested the suspected leader of the violent Zeta gang in the <u>border</u> city of Matamoros across from Brownsville, Texas, as well as a top official in the Juarez and Sinaloa Cartels.

In March, Forbes Magazine listed Joaquin "El Chapo" Guzman Loera, the head of the Sinaloa Cartel, as one of the world's self-made billionaires. His inclusion on this list brings the breadth of the illegal drug trade into stark reality. We simply cannot address the cartel <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u> without addressing both the supply and demand of illegal drugs here in America.

According to the Drug Enforcement Administration, <u>Mexico</u> is the number one foreign supplier of marijuana abuse in the <u>United States</u> like marijuana is considered the cash crop that finances the cartels' drug trade, corruption, and <u>violence</u>.

So it came as no shock to me when Attorney General Holder announced in February that the DEA would no longer conduct raids -- I'm sorry, it did come as a shock to me that they wouldn't conduct raids any longer on facilities that are operating in compliance with state law despite their violation of federal drug laws.

This is an issue that still needs to be addressed. Another matter that has caused concern was when some of us heard the administration say that 90 percent -- even the president saying 90 percent of all the guns in <u>Mexico</u> involved in <u>violence</u> are apparently from the <u>United States</u>, which as it turns out, so appears from the numbers that I've been able to get, that only 17 percent of the guns found at Mexican crime scenes have been traced to the <u>United States</u>.

A large percentage of the guns recovered in <u>Mexico</u> are not sent back to the <u>United States</u> for tracing, because it's obvious from their markings they did not come from the <u>U.S.</u> But the numbers that we've been provided say that in 2007 to 2008, 6,000 guns were successfully traced, and of these 90 percent -- as by the ATF, 90 percent of 5,114 were traced to the <u>U.S.</u>

But in those same two years according to Mexican government, 29,000 guns were recovered at crime scenes; so not 90 percent coming from the <u>United States</u>, but 17 percent. Another issue that has just arisen today, as reported in the press, the Chicago Tribune reported that the Sinaloa Cartel now is authorizing the use of force and

<u>violence</u> inside the <u>United States</u> to protect their loads of illegal drugs, that force supposedly being authorized in the <u>United States</u>.

Now, that may be a testimony to effectiveness and how they've been hurt by the <u>U.S.</u> curtailing the drugs being imported into the <u>United States</u>. But whatever the reason, if this is true and they are authorizing <u>violence</u> against our people that we are paying to protect us, then we have got to have an appropriate counterstrategy to that, and I hope we hear about that shortly.

Anyway, I do appreciate your patience, look forward to your testimony, and yield back the balance of my time.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

And we have the ranking member of the full committee here, the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Smith, who actually suggested the hearing. Good to see you here.

REP. LAMAR SMITH (R-TX): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Actually I was going to give you credit and thank you for having this hearing, which is both timely and appropriate. And I do appreciate the collaboration, it is a bipartisan subject and always appreciate being able to work with you on items like this.

Also, Mr. Chairman, I think I heard you as I walked in express your appreciation to the witnesses for waiting so long. And I too was going to say to them that the debate and the votes on some three judiciary bills that were on the House floor took a lot longer than we thought. In fact, the vote actually occurred about one hour longer than we were told when it was going to occur. And I'm afraid you all had to wait, but we do appreciate that.

<u>Mexico</u>, our neighbor to the south, is experiencing a surge in homicides and other violent crimes, and drug cartels are to blame. In a little over a year, more than 7,000 people have been murdered, many of them cartel members or associates. These international crime syndicates are like any other criminal organization that attempts to exercise its authority through threats, fear, and murder.

The Mexican President Felipe Calderon has vowed to take on the Mexican drug cartels and put an end to their reign. And we are seeing the results of this effort; better cooperation with <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement agencies, increased extraditions of cartel members to the <u>U.S.</u>, and a campaign to rid the Mexican government of the corruption that has fostered the cartels' power for years.

Regrettably though, some are using the <u>violence along</u> the <u>border</u> as a justification for stricter gun laws. In recent weeks, both the news media and elected officials have repeated a statistic that would be alarming, if true, that 90 percent of the firearms seized in <u>Mexico</u> come from the <u>U.S.</u> This is simply false. What is true is that 90 percent of those weapons that are seized and traced are linked back to a point of sale in the **United States**.

But this accounts for only 17 percent of the guns actually found at Mexican crime scenes. The remaining 83 percent come from Central and South America, or as far away as Russia, according to a recent report. Regulating ownership of firearms by law-abiding citizens will do nothing to stop criminals from trafficking guns into *Mexico*.

There are those who suggest that the solution to <u>border violence</u> is to legalize drugs. That'<u>s</u> like saying the solution to our economic crisis is to legalize fraud. If Congress is serious about addressing <u>border violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u>, we should first eliminate the demand for illegal drugs in the <u>U.S.</u> by cracking down on drug dealers.

Unfortunately, some want to significantly reduce the punishment for drug crimes. But reducing the demand for drugs in the <u>U.S.</u> will help prevent drug-related <u>violence</u> from spilling across the <u>U.S.</u>- <u>Mexico border</u>. In late March, the administration announced that it planned to redeploy personnel and resources <u>along</u> the <u>border</u> to help curtail the *violence*.

I support these actions, but remain concerned that the redeployment of personnel and resources may come at the expense of other critical law enforcement activities. **Border violence** should not be used as an excuse to reduce interior enforcement of our immigration laws and enact gun restriction.

Mr. Chairman, the threat of <u>violence</u> spilling across the <u>U.S.</u> <u>border</u> would be much less if we would complete construction of the <u>border</u> fence. The <u>Border</u> Patrol has stated that where used, it has reduced apprehensions by 95 percent. And when apprehensions are down, so is the amount of drugs coming across the <u>border</u> and the related <u>violence</u>. Mr. Chairman, thank you, and I yield back the balance of my time.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

We've also been joined by the gentle lady from Texas, Ms. Jackson Lee.

And I ask if any other additional statements to be made a part of the record, without objection. I will now go to our panel of witnesses.

Our first witness will be Stuart Nash, associate deputy attorney general, director of Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces. Under that directorship, he oversees the combined efforts of over 2,000 law enforcement agents and over 600 prosecutors with the mission of investigating, prosecuting, and dismantling the world's largest drug trafficking and money laundering organizations.

He also serves as associate deputy attorney general with responsibility for a range of criminal justice issues including drug enforcement, money laundering, and asset forfeiture. He has a law degree from Harvard Law School and a bachelor's degree from Duke University.

Our next witness will be Mr. Salvador Nieto, the deputy assistant commissioner, Office of Intelligence and Operations Coordination for the <u>United States</u> Customs and <u>Border</u> Protection. As deputy assistant commissioner he is the chief executive officer responsible for leveraging the skills of intelligence operations professionals and targeting experts to maximize the CPB'<u>s</u> enforcement efforts. He began his career in 1988 with the <u>U.S. Border</u> Patrol, served in the <u>United States</u> Air Force, and attended the Air Force Community College and Florida State University.

Our next witness will be Janice Ayala, deputy assistant director of Financial, Narcotics and Public Safety Division within the Office of Investigations, <u>U.S.</u> Immigrations and Customs Enforcement. In this position she has direct oversight of the financial, narcotics, and national gang programs conducted by ICE officers throughout the <u>United States</u>. She served for four years with the <u>U.S.</u> Air Force Intelligence Squadron, and holds a Bachelor of Science degree in business administration.

Our fourth witness will be Mr. Anthony Placido, assistant administrator for intelligence for the <u>United States</u> Drug Enforcement Administration or DEA. As the leader of DEA'<u>s</u> intelligence program, he is DEA'<u>s</u> senior officer for the <u>U.S.</u> intelligence community, and his duties include development of the agency'<u>s</u> global intelligence collection enterprise. He holds a bachelor'<u>s</u> degree from Northeastern University, and a master'<u>s</u> degree from Golden Gate University.

Final witness is Mr. William J. Hoover, acting deputy director for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. As deputy director, he is the ATF'<u>S</u> second highest official, and oversees all ATF operations including criminal investigations, intelligence, and regulation of federal firearm licensees. He has a bachelor'<u>s</u> degree from Shepherd College in Shepherdstown, West Virginia.

I thank all of our witnesses for joining us. I would ask you to summarize your testimony within five minutes or less. There's a timing device at the table which will go from green to yellow with one minute left, and red after your five minutes are up. We're also being joined by the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Poe. We'll begin by starting with Mr. Nash.

MR. NASH: I can't help but notice it may be a bad omen for me, the last vote. You mentioned my degree from Duke University. The last vote the House took before this hearing was convened was to congratulate the North Carolina Tar Heels for their victory in the National Basketball Championship. But I will try to soldier on.

My thanks to you and to Ranking Member Gohmert and to all the members of the committee. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to discuss the alarming <u>rise</u> of <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u>, and to share with you the Department of Justice's strategy for dismantling the Mexican drug cartels that are responsible for that <u>violence</u>.

Drug-related <u>violence</u> has skyrocketed in recent years in <u>Mexico</u>, especially <u>along</u> the <u>border</u> with the <u>United</u> <u>States</u>. When <u>Mexico's</u> President Calderon and Attorney General Medina Mora took office in December 2006, the Mexican government, with the support of the <u>United States</u>, undertook a comprehensive program to break the power of the narco-traffickers.

The unprecedented pressure caused the cartels to escalate fighting among themselves for control of the lucrative smuggling corridors <u>along</u> the Southwest <u>border</u>, and also led to retaliatory <u>violence</u> directed at Mexican law enforcement personnel. The <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u> has had direct and serious effects in the <u>United States</u>.

Firearms trafficking from the <u>United States</u> to <u>Mexico</u> contributes to escalating levels of <u>violence</u> on both sides of the <u>border</u> as the cartels and <u>U.S.</u>-based gangs affiliated with the cartels arm themselves with high-caliber firearms. These criminal groups are very well financed. Mexican and Colombian drug trafficking organizations annually generate between (\$)18 billion and \$39 billion in drug proceeds in the <u>United States</u>, a large portion of which is believed to be smuggled in bulk cash shipments back into <u>Mexico</u>.

For decades, <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement agencies have recognized that the best way to fight the most powerful criminal organizations is through intelligence-based prosecutor-led task forces. It was this approach, for example, that fueled the groundbreaking mafia prosecutions in the late '80s and '90s. DOJ is currently applying the same intelligence-driven tactics that broke the back of the Mob to fighting the Mexican drug cartels.

The department's strategy to dismantle the Mexican drug cartels has several key elements. First, the strategy employs expensive intelligence capabilities. The department pools information generated by federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies, and uses that intelligence to direct resources against the most powerful cartels.

Second, through prosecutor-led multi-agency task forces, the department focuses its efforts on the investigation, extradition, prosecution, and incarceration of key cartel leaders. As the department has demonstrated in attacking other major criminal enterprises, destroying the leadership and seizing the financial infrastructure of the cartels undermines their very existence.

Third, the Department of Justice in concerted efforts with the Department of Homeland Security pursues investigations and prosecutions related to the trafficking of guns and the smuggling of cash from the <u>United States</u> into <u>Mexico</u>. Much of the <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u> is fuelled by weapons and resources that come from our side of the <u>border</u>.

Finally, the department confronts the secondary threats in the <u>United States</u> flowing from the cartel activity. These threats include the widespread distribution of drugs on our streets and gang activities in our neighborhoods. The department'<u>s</u> strategy has already had some spectacular successes.

Just a couple months ago, Attorney General Holder announced the arrest of more than 750 individuals in connection with Operation Xcellerator which targeted the Mexican drug trafficking organization known as the Sinaloa Cartel. Through Operation Xcellerator, federal law enforcement agencies <u>along</u> with the law enforcement officials from the governments of <u>Mexico</u> and Canada and state and local authorities in the <u>United States</u>, delivered a significant blow against the Sinaloa Cartel.

In addition to the 750 arrests, authorities seized over \$61 million <u>U.S.</u> currency and more than 12,000 kilos of cocaine. Project Reckoning, announced in September 2008, was a 15-month operation that severely damaged the

Gulf Cartel. It was one of the largest and most successful joint law enforcement efforts ever undertaken between the *United States* and *Mexico*.

Project Reckoning resulted in over 600 arrests in the <u>U.S.</u> and <u>Mexico</u>, plus the seizure of \$76 million in currency and nearly 20,000 kilos of cocaine. Most importantly, Project Reckoning led to the indictment of the three principal leaders of the Gulf Cartel.

Operation Xcellerator and Project Reckoning were tremendous successes in the <u>U.S.</u> government'<u>s</u> battle against the Mexican cartels, and they illustrate the strengths of the department'<u>s</u> strategy. Neither would have been possible without the development and effective sharing of intelligence between and among federal agencies, our state and local partners, and the government of <u>Mexico</u>.

The operations were each coordinated by the DEA-led special operations division, and handled by prosecutors and investigators from the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces, a program that coordinates elements of the federal government including the DEA, FBI, ATF, the Marshal Service, prosecutors from the <u>U.S.</u> Attorney'<u>s</u> Offices, and the DOJ'<u>s</u> criminal division as well as agents from ICE, CBP, the Coast Guard, and the IRS.

In sum, we believe that the administration has the right strategy for stopping the <u>violence</u> spawned by the cartels. We also recognize that there is much work still to be done. The cartels remain powerful, and they continue to move drugs into the <u>United States</u>. But the strategy we are pursuing is the correct one, and ultimately we will prevail against these cartels.

The Department of Justice remains committed to working in conjunction with our partners to address these serious threats.

And I welcome any questions that you may have.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

We've been joined by the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Rooney.

And we'll continue with Mr. Nieto.

MR. NIETO: Thank you, and good afternoon.

Chairman Scott, Ranking Member Gohmert, members of the subcommittee, it is a privilege and honor to appear before you today to discuss the work of the <u>U.S.</u> Customs and <u>Border</u> Protection.

CBP is the largest uniformed federal law enforcement agency in the country. We station over 20,000 CBP officers at access points around the nation at air, land, and seaports.

By the end of Fiscal Year 2009, we will have deployed over 20,000 <u>Border</u> Patrol agents between the ports of entry. These forces are supplemented with 980 Air and Marine agents, 2,260 agricultural specialists, and other professionals. These personnel are key to the implementation of Secretary Napolitano's Southwest <u>Border</u> Initiative that she announced in March.

A key and growing area of emphasis for CBP involves interdiction of weapons and currency. Escalating <u>violence</u> in the <u>border</u> regions and interior of <u>Mexico</u> poses a significant threat to both the <u>United States</u> and <u>Mexico</u>. Secretary Napolitano has tasked all DHS components, including CBP, to examine how we can increase our enforcement activities in an effort to mitigate southbound weapon and currency smuggling to the extent that resources and infrastructure allow.

We have ongoing initiatives by way of short-term plus-ups and operations plans that call for enhanced resources to include state and local law enforcement agencies, the mobility of CBP resources from outside the immediate area,

and national level tactical teams such as the <u>Border</u> Patrol Tactical Unit and field operations special response teams.

We continue enhancing our plans to address all threats and all hazards at the <u>borders</u>. A majority of the illegal drugs consumed in the <u>United States</u> originate from or pass through Mexican territory or territorial seas. Huge illicit trafficking profits flow back into Mexican drug-trafficking organizations across our common <u>border</u>.

The Mexican government's ability to confront its drug trafficking industry and its willingness to cooperate with <u>U.S.</u> efforts directly affect the impact of any Southwest <u>border</u> initiative. CBP has established positions at the El Paso Intelligence Center, otherwise known as EPIC, the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Fusion Center, and the DEA Special Operations Division.

These initiatives enhance interaction with the Intelligence Community and law enforcement agencies. Additionally, CBP's Office of Intelligence and Operations Coordination established a National Post Seizure Analysis Team, and is in the process of establishing Intelligence Operations Coordination Centers known as IOCCs in the field.

The IOCCs will make CBP a more fully integrated, intelligence- driven organization by linking intelligence efforts and products to operations and interdictions. CBP works with other agencies to provide actionable intelligence to the Joint Interagency Task Force- South, JIATF-South. This intelligence is used to interdict the flow of cocaine from Northern South America to the *United States*.

Detection of <u>U.S.</u>-Mexican <u>border</u> air intrusions is essential to effective interdiction operations <u>along</u> our <u>borders</u> with <u>Mexico</u>. The primary means of detection is a large radar network monitored at the Air and Marine Operations Center or the AMOC in Riverside, California.

Personnel at the AMOC detect aircraft short landings and <u>border</u> penetrations and coordinate CBP assets and Mexican interdiction assets to intercept, track, and apprehend smugglers as they transverse the <u>U.S./Mexico</u> <u>border</u>. CBP continues its evolution to become a more integrated, intelligence-driven organization, and we are in the process of establishing a robust field organization.

Intelligence-gathering and predictive analysis require new collection and processing capabilities. CBP is also developing the Analytical Framework for Intelligence, a set of data-processing tools that will improve the effectiveness of CBP and other DHS analysts in detecting, locating, and analyzing terrorist networks, drug-trafficking networks, and similar threats.

These intelligence and operational coordination initiatives complement the Secure **Border** Initiative's technology programs.

Thank you for the opportunity to describe our plans for <u>border</u> security, and to highlight some of our progress to date. With your continued support of DHS, CBP, and ICE I am confident that we will continue to make tremendous strides in increasing control of our <u>borders</u>. I look forward to your questions.

REP. SCOTT: Ms. Ayala.

MS. AYALA: Chairman Scott, Ranking Member Gohmert, and distinguished members of the subcommittee, on behalf of Secretary Napolitano and Acting Assistant Secretary Torres, I thank you for the opportunity to discuss ICE's efforts to combat cross-border violence and crime and related violence.

ICE has the most expansive investigative authority and the largest force of investigators within DHS. But this challenge can't be addressed by any one agency. Partnerships are essential, and ICE works closely with foreign, federal, tribal, state, and local agencies to secure our **borders**, including the agencies that my colleagues here today represent.

DHS recognizes that southbound weapons smuggling is a grave concern amid the growing <u>violence</u> <u>along</u> our <u>border</u> with <u>Mexico</u>. This <u>violence</u> requires a comprehensive bilateral effort. On January 30th, Secretary Napolitano responded by issuing a <u>border</u> security action directive which focused the wide-ranging authorities of the department on the <u>violence</u> <u>along</u> our Southern <u>border</u>.

The secretary emphasized the necessity of a broad, multi-agency response to attack the flow of weapons and money that continues to fuel the *violence*. ICE contributes to this fight principally through two bilateral initiatives -- Operation Firewall to counter bulk cash smuggling and as well as Operation Armas Cruzadas, to counter weapons smuggling.

The ICE-led **Border** Enforcement Security Task Forces provide a comprehensive multi-agency platform to fight these particular threats. Under Armas Cruzadas, **U.S.** and Mexican investigators synchronized bilateral law enforcement and intelligence-sharing activities in order to detect, disrupt, and dismantle these weapons smuggling networks.

Key supporting actions include use of ICE's long-standing authorities under the Arms Export Control Act, as well as newly acquired export authority that is particularly useful in targeting these weapons smuggling networks.

To more seamlessly investigate these networks that span our common <u>border</u>, BESTs, ICE attaché offices, <u>U.S.</u>-vetted Mexican Arms Trafficking Group, and the ICE <u>Border Violence</u> Intelligence Cell exchange weapons-related intelligence. For example, in August of last year, an ICE investigation developed information that was rapidly shared with Mexican investigators regarding a safe house in Nogales, Sonora, used by cartel hit men.

A subsequent search resulted in six arrests, the seizure of police uniforms, a large amount of <u>U.S.</u> currency, 12 weapons, and four stolen <u>U.S.</u> vehicles. Intelligence stemming from single actions like this is analyzed by the EPIC, who in conjunction with other DHS intelligence components, produce a strategic assessment focused on southbound weapons smuggling.

Let me share another example of how ICE partners with others in combating weapons smuggling. ICE, ATF, and the San Antonio Police Department initiated an investigation of Ernesto Olvera-Garza, a Mexican national; at the time of his arrest in October 2007, trafficked in high-powered, high-capacity handguns and assault rifles. He led a gun-smuggling conspiracy that purchased and smuggled more than 50 weapons into *Mexico*.

One of these weapons was recovered after it was used in a gun battle where two Mexican soldiers were killed. Olvera-Garza pleaded guilty to violations of title 18, <u>U.S.</u>C. 554, 922, and 371, and has been sentenced to 144 months incarceration. Since the initiation of Armas Cruzadas, over 1,440 weapons and over 122,000 rounds of ammunition have been seized, and over 329 individuals arrested.

One of the most effective methods in dealing with violent and transnational criminal organizations is to attack the criminal proceeds that fund their operations. As we have hardened form of financial systems throughout the <u>United States</u>, the smuggling of bulk currency out of the country has been on the <u>rise</u>. ICE investigates bulk cash smuggling as part of its **border** crime portfolio.

ICE and CBP conduct Operation Firewall, interdiction operations, investigations with Mexican Customs and ICE-trained Mexican Money Laundering Vetted Unit. Since its inception, Firewall has seized over \$195 million including \$64 million seized overseas, and more than 452 arrests.

The principal investigative platform for both Armas Cruzadas and Firewall are the ten multi-agency BESTs located **along** high-threat smuggling corridors **along** the Southwest **border**. Created to specifically address **border violence**, these BESTs concentrate on top threats within their geographic areas including weapons, bulk cash, narcotics, and alien smuggling.

Through BESTs, we have dismantled arms trafficking, human trafficking, bulk cash, alien, and narcotics smuggling organizations and their hostage-taking and murder/kidnapping cells in the *United States* and *Mexico*. Since July

of 2005, BESTs have been responsible for more than 5,100 arrests and seizure of about 190,000 pounds of narcotics, thousands of weapons, and almost \$25 million in <u>U.S.</u> currency.

ICE is committed to effective cross-<u>border</u> communication and information-sharing to stem bi-national criminal activity and associated <u>violence</u> through the deployment of the BESTs, Operation Armas Cruzadas, and Operation Firewall. By partnering with other law enforcement agencies we are able to use a broad range of authorities, including the most sophisticated investigative tools to respond and conduct our investigations.

Once again, I'd like to thank the subcommittee for its continued support of ICE and our law enforcement mission. And I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have at this time.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Placido.

MR. PLACIDO: Good afternoon. Chairman Scott, Ranking Member Smith, members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to appear today and discuss the Drug Enforcement Administration's views addressing the <u>violence</u> that's been generated by entrenched criminal organizations based in <u>Mexico</u> as well as the potential impact of this <u>violence</u> on Americans and our regional partners.

It'<u>s</u> vitally important that we accurately describe this problem before we invest taxpayer funds or craft programmatic solutions to deal with it. <u>Mexico</u>-based drug-trafficking organizations have, over a period of many years, become so powerful that they represent a significant threat to the very authority of the Mexican State.

Through the use of corruption, intimidation, and <u>violence</u>, these organizations have for far too long been able to act with virtual impunity and to use <u>Mexico</u> as a base of operations from which to run a global criminal enterprise that has adverse consequences for the <u>United States</u>, for <u>Mexico</u>, and indeed, for the world.

Mexican drug-trafficking organizations now dominate wholesale and retail drug distribution throughout the <u>United States</u>. Their impact is felt far beyond our Southwest <u>border</u>. The contraband drugs entering the <u>United States</u> from <u>Mexico</u>, the drug proceeds and the weapons entering <u>Mexico</u> from the <u>United States</u>, and the related <u>violence</u> are but symptoms of the larger disease.

In fact, it's a mistake in our view at DEA, to geographically delimit this problem or to characterize it as a **border** problem per se. The task in responding to this pervasive threat is to build a comprehensive whole-of-government response that is fully integrated with **Mexico**, our regional partners, to attack the problem, the disease, rather than merely mitigating the symptoms.

This will require better coordination of the <u>U.S.</u> interagency effort, not just better coordination between the <u>United States</u> and <u>Mexico</u>. The importance of focusing on the criminal organizations rather than overemphasizing geography can best be made by examining the supply chain for cocaine. <u>U.S.</u> interagency estimates -- I think they were quoted here earlier -- indicate that approximately 91 percent of the cocaine abused in the <u>United States</u> actually transits <u>Mexico</u> before it arrives.

The seizure of that cocaine in the transit zone -- by which I mean the area from the North coast of Colombia up to <u>Mexico</u> -- is measured by the metric ton. Seizures of cocaine at our Southwest <u>border</u> -- the average seizure is only 47 pounds. This tells us two important things, at least, from an intelligence perspective.

First, we can be far more effective extending our operations south of the **border** seizing the contraband in larger quantities. But probably much more important for our purposes is that the organizations, the people who organize, finance, direct, and control this enterprise have for far too long operated, at least prior to the Calderon administration with impunity, and have used **Mexico** as a base of operations.

These criminal powerbrokers don't personally handle the drugs. They're not the individuals who are smuggling drugs across their <u>border</u>, but they must be dealt with if we're going to make America safer. Well, I have no intention of downplaying the important work done at our <u>borders</u>. We did need a historic opportunity for engagement with <u>Mexico</u> to buttress security at the <u>border</u>.

The strategic opening that we now have with <u>Mexico</u> offers an unprecedented opportunity to achieve defense in depth by denying safe haven to criminal organizations that previously operated with impunity from <u>Mexico</u>. Denying safe haven to these traffickers in <u>Mexico</u> will, over time, reduce the flow of contraband and <u>violence</u> from **Mexico**. The Merida Initiative is the strategy that's focused on attacking criminal organizations, not geography.

The goal of the Merida Initiative is to assist the Calderon administration in breaking the power and impunity of the cartels while simultaneously fortifying Mexican government institutions in infrastructure, essentially transforming what has become a national security crisis that required <u>Mexico</u> to engage tens of thousands of military troops to maintain order into a problem that can be adequately managed with an enhanced Mexican criminal justice system.

This is the problem we face, and it is the problem that we can solve in the next four years if we can maintain our focus. We're fortunate to have willing and increasingly capable partners in the Calderon administration to address such a formidable task.

With our help, President Calderon and his administration are relentlessly attacking the criminal organizations who have caused so much <u>violence</u> and destruction. They've made arrests of important leaders from all of <u>Mexico's</u> cartels. These are the people who are responsible for systematically corrupting public institutions and officials, undermining the rule of law and democratic governance, and challenging regional stability.

These are the kingpins who organize, finance, direct, and control the criminal activity that affects us in the form of contraband, drugs, and <u>violence</u>. The Calderon administration has extradited more than 178 defendants to face **U.S.** justice, and is reforming its own institutions to better address these criminals in **Mexico**.

We are already seeing indications of success here at home. For the two-year period from January of 2007 to December of 2008, which essentially corresponds directly with the tenure of President Calderon, we have seen the price per pure gram of cocaine more than double up a 104 percent in the <u>United States</u>, while the purity of that drug has plummeted almost 35 percent.

We have listened to judicially authorized intercepts of conversations between Mexican cartel members in which they describe the unprecedented stress being placed against them by the Calderon administration. Unfortunately, as the government of <u>Mexico's</u> offensive has dramatically increased the pressure against these criminal organizations, the cartels have responded violently in a desperate attempt to preserve their illegal enterprise.

As unfortunate as this <u>violence</u> is, it is not a harbinger of failure, but rather a signpost of success. <u>Mexico</u> must stay the course, and it is in America's best interest to help them in that endeavor. While intra- and inter-cartel <u>violence</u> has always been associated with the Mexican drug trade, the cartels are now intentionally targeting Mexican government officials and innocent civilians.

This <u>violence</u>, including the brutal murder of public officials in <u>Mexico</u>, is intended -- these mutilated bodies and signs warning of even more graphic <u>violence</u> if they don't break the attack against these organizations -- is intended to break the public's will to support President Calderon's offensive.

(Sounds gavel)

President Calderon's determination and resolve to press forward in spite of the sustained wave of brutal <u>violence</u> is commendable. But it has prompted many to examine the potential for spillover effects here in the **United States**.

REP. SCOTT: And sir, just can you again wrap it up --

MR. PLACIDO: I'll wrap it up, sir --

REP. SCOTT: Okay.

MR. PLACIDO: -- by saying that we must use this unprecedented opportunity to help <u>Mexico</u> take the fight to the criminal organizations, and that helping them play offense is the best way for us to defend America. Thank you.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

Mr. Hoover.

MR. HOOVER: Chairman Scott, Ranking Member Smith, and other distinguished members of the committee, I am William Hoover, the acting deputy director of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

On behalf of acting director Ken Melson, I'm honored to appear before you today to discuss ATF's ongoing role of preventing firearms from being illegally trafficked from the <u>United States</u> into <u>Mexico</u>, and working to reduce the associated <u>violence along</u> the <u>border</u>. For over 30 years, ATF has been protecting our citizens and communities from violent criminals and criminal organizations by safeguarding them from the illegal use of firearms and explosives.

We are responsible for both regulating the firearms and explosives industries, and enforcing criminal laws relating to those commodities. ATF has the experience, expertise, tools, and commitment to investigate and disrupt groups and individuals who obtain guns in the *United States* and illegally traffic them into *Mexico*.

The combination of ATF'<u>s</u> crime-fighting expertise, specific, statutory, and regulatory authority, analytical capability, and strategic partnerships, is used to combat arms trafficking both <u>along</u> the <u>U.S.</u> <u>borders</u> and throughout the nation. For instance, from Fiscal Year 2004 through February 17th of this year, Project Gunrunner, which is ATF'<u>s</u> strategy for disrupting the flow of firearms to <u>Mexico</u>, has referred over 709 cases for prosecution involving 1,658 defendants.

Those cases include 382 for firearms trafficking which involve 1,035 defendants, and an estimate of almost 13,000 firearms. While the greatest proportion of firearms traffic to <u>Mexico</u> originate out of the states <u>along</u> the Southwest <u>border</u>, ATF trace data has established that traffickers are also acquiring firearms from other states, as far east as Florida, and as far north and west as Washington State.

A case from April 2008 involving a violent shootout that resulted in 13 deaths, illustrates this point. ATF traced 60 firearms recovered at the crime scene in Tijuana. As a result, these have been forwarded to ATF field divisions in Denver, Houston, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, Phoenix, San Francisco, and Seattle to interview the first-known purchasers of these firearms.

These investigations continue. Additionally, drug traffickers are known to supplement their firearms cash with explosives. Our expertise with explosives has proven to be another viable tool to use in the fight against drug cartels.

In fact, in the past six months we have noted a troubling increase in the number of grenades seized from or used by drug traffickers. We are concerned about the possibility of explosives- related <u>violence</u> materializing in our <u>U.S.</u> <u>border</u> towns.

We have had at least one such incident in San Juan, Texas, when a hand grenade was thrown into a crowd of 20 patrons. ATF was able to identify that grenade, and believes it was linked to a Mexican drug cartel. We believe these devices were from the same source as those used during attack on our **U.S.** consulate in Monterrey, **Mexico**.

<u>Along</u> the Southwest <u>border</u>, ATF Project Gunrunner includes approximately 148 special agents dedicated to investigate firearms trafficking. Fifty nine industry operation investigators are responsible for conducting regulatory inspections of federally licensed gun dealers knows a Federal Firearms Licenses or FFL.

Just last week, we sent over 100 additional personnel to the Houston field division to support our push against the trafficking of firearms to <u>Mexico</u>. As the sole agency that regulates the FFLs, roughly 7,000 of which are <u>along</u> the Southwest <u>border</u>, ATF has the statutory authority to inspect and examine the records and inventory of licensees for firearms trafficking trends and patterns, and revoke the licenses of those who are complicit in firearms trafficking.

For instance, ATF used its regulatory authority to review the records of an FFL that received close to 2,000 firearms, removed their sale numbers, and then trafficked them to <u>Mexico</u> with the aid of a co-conspirator who resided in <u>Mexico</u>. ATF recovered over \$120,000 in cash and 89 firearms, eight of which had obliterated serial numbers from the FFL.

ATF conducted a buy-bust operation with a Mexican contact at which time he was also arrested. A review of records from the wholesalers confirmed that the FFL had received 1,869 firearms. An essential component of ATF strategy to curtail firearms traffic into *Mexico* is the tracing of firearms seized in both countries.

Using this information, ATF can establish the identity of the first retail purchaser of the firearm, and possibly learn pertinent information such as how the gun came to be used in the furtherance of the crime, or how it came to be located in *Mexico*.

Furthermore, analysis of aggregate trace data can reveal trafficking trends and network showing where the guns are being purchased, who is purchasing them, and how they flow across the <u>border</u>. Let me share an example of how trace data can identify a firearms trafficker. ATF'<u>s</u> analysis of trace data linked a man living in a <u>U.S.</u> city <u>along</u> the <u>border</u> with three crime guns recovered at three different crime scenes in <u>Mexico</u>.

Further investigation uncovered that he was the purchaser of a fourth firearm recovered at yet another crime scene in <u>Mexico</u>, and that he had purchased over 100 AR-15 type receivers and seven additional firearms within a short time span using nine different FFL wholesale distributors as his sources for his firearms.

In April 2008, ATF seized 80 firearms from the suspect, and learned that he was manufacturing guns in his home. He sold over 100 guns alone to an individual who is suspected of being linked to a cartel. These investigative leads are also being pursued.

Lastly, I would like to mention that ATF's operational presence at the EI Paso Intelligence Center or EPIC located in EI Paso, Texas.

EPIC is certainly one of the most valuable tools for intelligence- sharing and the coordination of multi-agency efforts to curb *violence* and firearms trafficking activities *along* the Southwest *border*.

At EPIC, we operate what is known as the ATF Gun Desk. The mission of the Gun Desk is to identify and analyze all firearms- and explosives-related data acquired and collected from law enforcement and open sources. This would include Mexican military and law enforcement <u>along</u> with <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement assets operating on both sides of the <u>border</u>.

Chairman Scott and other distinguished members of this committee, on behalf of the men and women of ATF, I thank you and your staffs for your continued support of our crucial work. With the backing of this committee, ATF can continue to fight violent crime in the nation's cities and on our **borders** making our nation even more secure. Thank you.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

Now I recognize myself for five minutes for questions, and recognize the presence of gentleman from -- my colleague from Virginia, Mr. Goodlatte, who has come in. I recognize myself for five minutes of questions.

Mr. Hoover, you indicated that you used firearm records to trace many of these. Can you indicate the impact that the Tiahrt Amendment on sharing trace data and the requirement that records not be kept more than, what <u>s</u> it, 90 days, what impact that has on your ability to investigate crimes?

MR. HOOVER: The Tiahrt Amendment allows us to share information with the agency that provided the trace data. It does not allow us to share information with any one other than that agency. If the other agencies would like to request to use that data, then they have to go through the agency that submitted it to ATF for the trace.

REP. SCOTT: How does that affect the ability to investigate crimes?

MR. HOOVER: It is not, in fact, our ability to investigate crimes. It would -- simply calls those agencies other than the requesting agency to use that agency's information to investigate that specific firearm trafficking.

REP. SCOTT: So we shouldn't be concerned about that from a law enforcement --

MR. HOOVER: It is not -- (cross talk) -- this point with law enforcement. No, sir.

REP. SCOTT: What about the length of time the licensees have to keep the records?

MR. HOOVER: The licensees keep their records forever. And when they go out of business, they give the information to ATF through our out-of-business records, and we maintain the record on that sale if that licensee goes out of business.

REP. SCOTT: What is the provision that some records are only kept for --

MR. HOOVER: That's part of the NICS Improvement Act, sir, I believe, where NICS records are only allowed to be kept for a certain portion of the time.

REP. SCOTT: Upon 90 days?

MR. HOOVER: I'm not sure. I'll have to get that answer for you, sir.

REP. SCOTT: Does anybody knows? But that is not --

MR. HOOVER: It's the Brady Check, sir, that's kept for 90 days and then those records are destroyed.

REP. SCOTT: That would not be helpful to keep those records longer?

MR. HOOVER: It may be, sir. I would have to check in for that and get the information back to you.

REP. SCOTT: That is not anything that you are asking?

MR. HOOVER: No, sir.

REP. SCOTT: Okay. You said you had a -- you know, 1,035 people that were caught. Did I understand that right?

MR. HOOVER: Thousand thirty five defendants with those trafficking investigations, yes sir.

REP. SCOTT: And what happened to them? What was the disposition of those cases?

MR. HOOVER: They would have been sentenced for various lengths of time through our judicial proceedings. I don't have the exact sentences for each and every one of those.

REP. SCOTT: Do you have an idea -- did they get much time -- six months, eight years?

MR. HOOVER: It varies. If it' \underline{s} an (a)(6) (ph) violation for lying and buying, it' \underline{s} somewhere between 12 months to 2 years, something in that --

REP. SCOTT: Okay.

And Mr. Nash, you indicated that you had 750 arrests?

MR. NASH: Excuse me. That was in connection with a single operation. That was Operation Xcellerator, which came down in March of this year.

REP. SCOTT: And what happened to them?

MR. NASH: The arrests were only made in March of this year. There are prosecutions that are going forward in at least 30 jurisdictions as a result of that operation. It'll take some time for final dispositions. I can represent that none of those people have been sentenced as of yet.

REP. SCOTT: Okay. And you indicated that 12,000 pounds of meth had been captured?

MR. NASH: That is accurate with respect to Operation Xcellerator, yes.

REP. SCOTT: Do you know how much meth gets through?

MR. NASH: We don't have a firm estimate on that.

REP. SCOTT: A million -- over a million Ecstasy pills -- do you know how many get through?

MR. NASH: No, sir.

REP. SCOTT: Do you know whether you are capturing a significant portion of what's being shipped?

MR. NASH: Sir, I think the best indicators as to our effectiveness are the statistics that were <u>cited</u> by Mr. Placido in his testimony which indicates that the prospective cocaine, which is the numbers that we have are firmest and best statistics on. We have right now experienced for two years now, a sustained increase in both the price that you pay on the street for a gram of cocaine and the purity, a decline in the purity of that product on the street.

And as Mr. Placido testified to, the price of that gram has almost doubled in the span of the last two years -- more than doubled in the last two years. The purity during that period has declined by 30 percent. And we use that, at least as one data point, to suggest that our efforts are having a considerable effect on the availability of drugs on the streets of *United States*.

REP. SCOTT: Well, that affects the price.

Does it affect the availability? I mean has anybody gone to a drug dealer and said -- and been told I just can't get any today, you got to wait till tomorrow or next week to see if we can get a shipment?

MR. NASH: Well, again, as Mr. Placido mentioned, we do have anecdotal evidence in listening in through judicialized wire intercepts to dealers that the people have complained about shortages. But again, this is a market and markets operate as a rationing device. And certainly when we were experiencing shortages of gasoline, it was not that people couldn't get gasoline, it was that the price of the pump went from \$2 to \$4.

A consequence of that is that people were driving less. And the market for drugs, I would suggest, work similarly to that in that --

(Sounds gavel)

REP. SCOTT: Let me get in the couple of seconds I have left, to let Mr. Placido comment on availability.

MR. PLACIDO: Certainly, sir. What I can tell you is that on certain drugs such as cocaine which is produced from a plant, marijuana or heroin, we have got estimates about total production -- becomes more difficult with synthetic drugs of abuse like methamphetamine or MDMA. But just to give you an example that may be responsive to your question.

In 2008, the <u>U.S.</u> interagency estimates a range of production between 901 and 1,082 metric tons of cocaine actually produced. Seizures worldwide were about 528 metric tons, or roughly anywhere from 49 to 59 percent of the total amount of cocaine produced having been seized. So it is a significant amount.

We show just by reference in terms of Mexican heroine approximately 15 percent of the estimated 18 metric tons produced having been seized, and in terms of Mexican marijuana, between seizures and eradications about 21 percent of seizures. So there is some significant work being done on the enforcement side.

With regard to shifts in price and purity, one of the things that'<u>s</u> particularly important when you talk about cocaine in particular is as scarcity occurs, that'<u>s</u> where you see the fluctuations in purity. They add adulterants -- lactose, sugar, other commodities. And so not only does price go up, but the purity of the drug that'<u>s</u> being sold, we've seen a 35 percent drop as well. So it is an indicator of increased availability, sir.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

The gentleman from Texas, Judge Poe.

REP. TED POE (R-TX): Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank all of you for being here.

The purpose of this hearing is to determine the problem, if any, of the <u>violence</u> on the <u>borders</u>, especially the American side. We've heard -- and I've heard personally from people on the <u>border</u>, usually politicians, mayors, chambers of commerce, it'<u>s</u> not really a problem, the American side. I'd like for you to look at a chart that'<u>s</u> over here.

Can we have the chart, please?

I spent a lot of time on the Texas-<u>Mexico border</u> talking to folks like the <u>Border</u> Patrol, the DEA, but also the Texas <u>Border</u> Sheriffs. And I ask them to tell me the percentage of people in their jailhouse that are foreign nationals, that are not there being held by the feds on immigration violations only, but being held with felonies or misdemeanors where they've been charged in the county.

And you see starting in El Paso, the statistics vary from -- the El Paso jail has 18 percent foreign nationals; Hudspeth County -- which is the size of Connecticut, vast area -- has 90 percent according to Sheriff Arvin West; Culberson County 22 percent according to Oscar Carrillo. The next four counties, they don't keep those records.

Moving on down to Val Verde County, 39 percent; Kinney County 71 percent of foreign nationals; Maverick County 65 percent; Dimmit County 45 percent; Webb County, which is Laredo area, 45 percent; the Potter County 65 percent; Starr 53 percent; Hidalgo 23 percent; and then the last county that touches the Gulf of *Mexico*, Cameron County, with 28 percent.

It seems to me that \underline{s} a lot of folks that are from foreign countries that are in American jails charged with crimes in the <u>United States</u>. And most of those, no question about it, are charged with some form of drug crime or carrying a weapon, according to the sheriffs themselves. Here are my questions regarding them.

First, Mr. Placido, do you think the use of the Air National Guard should be increased or decreased? I rode with the Air National Guard up and down the Rio Grande River, walking with the <u>Border</u> Patrol and capturing at least one drug interdiction coming across from the <u>border</u>. Do you think that's something that can work with you or not?

MR. PLACIDO: Well, I think there are others at this table who are probably better qualified to talk about interdiction than I am. My focus is really investigations. But if the genesis of your question, as I understand it, is could we do better with additional support to interdict drugs and other contraband before it enters the country, I think the answer to that is yes. Sir, I think we could.

REP. POE: Let me refer you to a Los Angeles Times article from last month that said that there is a turf battle going on with the different federal agencies, and that the effort to stop the drug cartels and the smugglers "has stumbled, in part because Homeland Security and various Justice Department agencies have overlapping responsibilities and are engaged in turf battles."

"The vast majority of ICE agents cannot make drug arrests even though the same smugglers are often moving illegal immigrants. The reason -- the DEA has not authorized the required cost designation authority for them." Is that correct?

MR. PLACIDO: No sir, no sir. And let me begin by telling you that I think there is good news on the horizon. The attorney general of the <u>United States</u> and the secretary of Homeland Security have met on this very subject already. And I believe -- I don't want to get out in front of my bosses -- but I believe that a successful resolution is in the offing.

But let me clarify a couple of facts. First of all, every ICE agent can already make arrests or seize contraband drug at the **border**. The issue is not making arrests or seizures; it is conducting investigations after that seizure is made, and carrying it forward. There are currently 1,475 or approximately 25 percent of all of the ICE agents that are currently on the job or cross-designated to conduct those investigations anywhere in the country they go.

I think what you've heard most recently is a request that goes beyond that. And it is a request for concurrent unilateral authority to investigate drug crimes by ICE. And the issue here is not whether we can protect America better, but how we coordinate the activity of these different agencies as we move forward. And I've got a very detailed response if you like me to give it here or --

REP. POE: No, we're limited on time, but let me cut to the chase. Do you think that ICE should have more responsibility in drug investigation? I want your opinion.

MR. PLACIDO: I think if ICE works within the existing coordination mechanisms that all other federal agencies use to coordinate drug investigations, we would welcome their assistance.

REP. POE: All right.

Mr. Hoover, some questions for you at the ATF. I understand that the Mexican military, the Mexican federal police, the drug cartels, those are primarily the folks that have guns. Regular citizens, they can't have guns like they do in the *United States*. And it seems to me *Mexico* has a responsibility to protect their *border* from guns coming in just like we have a responsibility from protecting criminals and drugs coming into the *United States*.

Hundred thousand Mexican soldiers apparently have deserted with their weapons, weapons made in Belgium. What is the government of <u>Mexico</u> doing to protect their <u>border</u> against firearms coming in to their country? And I'm out of time, so this is the last question.

MR. HOOVER: All right, sir. I know that the Mexican government, under Attorney General Medina Mora, have made great strides, especially in working with ATF to trace the firearms that they recover. A vast majority of those traced are being used in federal prosecutions in <u>Mexico</u>, and they trace those weapons.

I will tell you that we don't know the entire universe of firearms that are recovered in <u>Mexico</u>. We continue to work with the Mexican authorities to do that and to get that information so that we can give better data back to them regarding the -- where these firearms are coming from. I will say that the 90 percent figure came from those weapons that have been recovered and traced by the officials in <u>Mexico</u>.

The vast majority of that has come through Synopsis (ph), which is the intelligence branch under PGR, and that'<u>s</u> where we're getting the vast majority of our information regarding the weapons recovered in *Mexico*.

REP. POE: All right.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REP. SCOTT: Gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Goodlatte.

REP. ROBERT GOODLATTE (R-VA): Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for holding this hearing.

And I want to thank all of our witnesses for their -- to the patience.

Mr. Nash, I wonder if you could comment on -- your thoughts and how we keep this <u>violence</u> from crossing our <u>borders</u> and how we prevent <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement and the citizens from becoming targets.

MR. NASH: Yes sir. I do think that the appropriate paradigm to view this through is the fact that these are not isolated incidents. But these are criminal organizations, a relatively limited number of criminal organizations, and they are reacting to the stress that is being placed upon them by the very heroic efforts of our Mexican partners south of the **border**.

We talk about the war on drugs and to us it is a metaphor; in <u>Mexico</u> it is a reality. And they are experiencing casualties in connection with that war amongst their law enforcement -- very heroic law enforcement officers every day.

REP. GOODLATTE: They do -- we prevent it from coming on to our side of the <u>border</u> by helping them with their effort.

MR. NASH: Well, I think that that'<u>s</u> part of it. And I think that that -- it'<u>s</u> been spoken about by some of the other witnesses already today, that we have a historic opportunity to work with the Mexicans and help with the Mexicans because of the orientation of the current administration down there that has gotten serious about taking care of this problem --

REP. GOODLATTE: Is most of the *violence* one drug cartel fighting another, or drug cartels fighting against law enforcement?

MR. NASH: I think the majority is cartel on cartel or also within cartels. Drug debts that go unsatisfied within a cartel will often be a reason for <u>violence</u> as well. I think right now the numbers are running at about 10 percent of the homicides south of the <u>border</u> are homicides in which a victim is a law enforcement representative of <u>Mexico</u>.

And I think there are things that we can do on our side of the **border**. I think, as I said, our strategy is to put together task forces that bring the statutory authorities and the diverse expertise of all of the law enforcement agencies that you see represented here before you today in a concerted action to use our intelligence resources to identify where the real threats are, identify the leadership of those cartels, and then bring down the organizations in a concerted fashion like the operations.

REP. GOODLATTE: Thank you. I've got a couple other questions.

MR. NASH: Yes, sir.

REP. GOODLATTE: I do appreciate that answer.

Mr. Nieto, the increase in (CBP ?) personnel between 2001 and 2008 should show a reduction of **border violence**, but it's said there is an increase. I wonder if you would explain that or comment on that.

MR. NIETO: Well, sir, we expect that initially we'll have an increase, because we'll have more officers and agents out there until we get to the point that we pretty much overtake that territory again, if you want to call it that. Then that trend starts coming back down. So that's what we attribute it to.

REP. GOODLATTE: And Ms. Ayala, from what ICE enforcement activities, are agents being and will agents be redeployed to the Southwest **border** in order to combat the **rising border** violence? Where are they coming from?

MS. AYALA: Yes, we deployed 95 additional agents to the Southwest <u>border</u> area to backfill agents in the BESTs. And we've increased our attaché personnel by 50 percent, quadrupled our <u>border</u> liaison officers that are assigned to **border** offices, and increased our intelligence commitment to the **border** by tripling it.

REP. GOODLATTE: Where are those new agents coming from? Are they new agents, or they are being reassigned from other areas?

MS. AYALA: Most of them are temporarily reassigned from other areas throughout the nation. And they are for a certain period of time and waiting to see what resource commitments permanently we will be making here in the future.

REP. GOODLATTE: Obviously, we are concerned about what 's going on in the belief of many -- including many of my constituents -- that not enough is done by ICE to deal with immigration violations in the interior of the country, in communities like the Shenandoah Valley and the Roanoke Valley in Central Virginia that I represent, where there is a great deal of activity.

I'm not sure we can share the same percentages of people in the jails that Congressman Poe showed <u>along</u> the Texas-<u>Mexico border</u>. But I do believe you would find a very disproportionate percentage of the occupants of both state and federal facilities in my area. And the number of cases going through our <u>U.S.</u> district courts, as well as our state courts would show a disproportionate number of people who are not lawfully in the <u>United States</u>.

So I want to express my concern that while we divert people to address this problem, we are neglecting another problem. And I wonder if you would comment on what's being done to enhance your enforcement of the immigration laws in the interior of the country.

MS. AYALA: Well, typically this isn't the first time that we've redeployed assets at Southwest <u>border</u> to address issues like this. We did so in 2005 in the same manner to address the increased <u>violence</u> in the northern El Dorado area. And we were successful, <u>along</u> with our Mexican partners, in reducing the murder rate and <u>border</u> <u>violence</u> on both sides of the <u>border</u>.

And we typically assess our needs during the year to decide if we need to plus-up in certain areas based on upcoming large scale law enforcement operations and so forth. As far as our commitment to smuggling issues or immigration, as far as our commitment to the Southwest **border**, mostly focus on human smuggling and trafficking aspects and organizations, transnational organizations that are violent there, specifically in the Phoenix area.

And while we are looking to pull resources from anywhere within the <u>United States</u>, we make sure that we pull resources from offices that are large enough to sustain the loss. It'<u>s</u> not like we're pulling one agent from a two-man office. And if see a need to redeploy during that time period, then we do again reassess our needs and redeploy to those areas.

REP. GOODLATTE: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

I'd like to inform the gentleman from Florida that I should have recognized you first. You'd gotten here before my colleague from Virginia, so I apologize. I recognize you at this time.

REP. THOMAS ROONEY (R-FL): Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm just glad the gentleman from Virginia didn't ask my question.

I believe this is for Mr. Nieto, but anybody on the panel -- it'<u>s</u> a basically straightforward question with regard to something you've all heard of, the Security Fence Act and you know that'<u>s</u> 800 miles of -- required 800 miles of fencing across the **border**. And I'm wondering just basically, the -- obviously, the fence is not complete.

And in your opinion would the completion of the <u>border</u> fence as required by the act have an affect on the decreased flow of drugs, and therefore possibly the decrease in <u>violence</u> as a result of that, if the fence was actually completed.

MR. NIETO: Absolutely, sir, but the answer is not the fence by itself. It has to be that combination of fencing or what we consider tactical infrastructure, technology and the right amount of personnel. If we were to fence the whole **border** and no one was out there to watch it, and we wouldn't know what was happening on it, it would prove useless.

So with that amount of fence which is what the field commander said that was the right amount, or that combination of we call it the three-legged stool, with the technology and resources or personnel. Yes, it would prove effective in affecting all types of traffic out there because we look at it in all-threat, all-hazard -- as an address to it.

REP. ROONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

Mr. Gohmert.

REP. LOUIS BULLER GOHMERT (R-TX): Thank you, Mr. Chairman and I do appreciate your testimony I had long standing commitments, but I was monitoring from C-SPAN, that's a good thing too, it helps. But some are proposing more laws regarding <u>U.S.</u> weapons to try to help <u>Mexico</u>, but as I understand it most of the weapons that are purchased by <u>Mexico</u> that -- our people in <u>Mexico</u> that come from the <u>United States</u> are already being purchased illegally. So rather than add new laws -- and this is open for anyone -- what do you see that could be done to better enforce existing laws to stop illegal purchases even without any new additional laws?

Mr. Nieto.

MR. NIETO: Sir, not to answer the law question, but one of the things we have to do especially with the Merida Initiative, where we're providing money to <u>Mexico</u> and training, is to allow them or help them build the capacity in **Mexico**, to inspect vehicles, and people, and cargo going in to their country.

I think that would -- it'<u>s</u> almost like teaching them how to fish instead of giving them the fish to eat. That at their northern <u>border</u> with the <u>United States</u> at the same time a thorough assessment and the same type of training and capacity building on the southern <u>border</u> with Guatemala. I think that would really have a greater effect than any laws, any changing of any laws in the **U.S.**, in **Mexico**, or elsewhere.

REP. GOHMERT: Great. Thank you. Does anybody else have comment on that?

MS. AYALA: I just wanted to say that as far as our approach to the entire armed smuggling effort, we look at it not just in a vacuum, but all that's associated in underlying crime. So in stepping up our efforts related to narcotic smuggling, weapon smuggling, bulk cash smuggling, and human smuggling and trafficking, more and more we're seeing that many of these activities are directly related to weapons coming back or -- and related to money, also going back.

So by taking comprehensive approach in utilizing the existing taskforces which is the <u>Border</u> Enforcement Security Taskforce, which is international, multi-agency and it'<u>s</u> a taskforce that really brings to bear all the federal agencies that are here in state and locals, we're able to share more information and really target and disrupt and dismantle these organizations.

REP. GOHMERT: Okay. I have a particular issue that has come up with a constituent who was down fishing in Southern <u>Mexico</u>, just few miles from Belize and he disappeared. I don't know if you heard about Mr. Sheepster'<u>s</u> (ph) situation, but I met with his wife Sandy for a couple of hours and she had been down there. And apparently there is drug activity from our trips to -- from visiting with people in Colombia previously about our drug warfare with the British, with the Colombians and Uribe'<u>s</u> doing a fantastic job.

Apparently, but -- looks like about two-thirds of the boats that bring cocaine for example apparently come into **Mexico** and then go up through **Mexico**. Meanwhile Mr. Sheepster was fly-fishing, car there, wallet, passport everything at the room motel in the safe, he's disappeared. **Mexico** says all I can do is list him as missing.

Some people went out and looked. They had some Mexican soldiers look, but you have an issue of corruption there. In that type of situation, we know there are other kidnappings. What can be done to work with the Mexican government to try to find someone like that and what allows us to go in and help.

And number two, since we know there is corruption and that is one of the most difficult issues Calderon is facing, now, who can you -- how do you know who to trust with information we have?

MR. PLACIDO: Well, first of all, I'm very sorry to hear about Mr. Sheepster and his problem down there and perhaps we can get together after to do something to help you with this problem. What I can tell you is that while corruption is a problem in <u>Mexico</u> as it is in the <u>United States</u> or elsewhere, we have a number of what we believe to be, honest, courageous counterparts in <u>Mexico</u> that we work with.

There are a number of vetted units that have been trained in polygraph and given the same kind of background investigations we'd give to a CV agent for example. And the minister of public security Genaro Garcia Luna, the attorney general Eduardo Medina-Mora are both men of high quality, and I'm sure that something can be done to try and further investigate. We need to know some more details, whether ransom was asked for, whether he --

REP. GOHMERT: No, no, no ransom, but it also touches on what Mr. Nieto was pointing out, you know, as far as training. They decided because he was American, they would do a full forensic examination of his car, he never got back to it, they don't know if he was in there.

And so the police got in the car and drove it 45 miles so they could check for fingerprints, you know, or DNA testing, whatever. But anyway, they could apparently either watch CSI or maybe we could help them with some -- you know, you don't drive a car 45 miles with people in it before you do your testing. Do you have a comment?

MR. NIETO: Sir, in relation to the corruption issue and the vetting, they're all working -- CISEN is working with our internal affairs to allow them to build that capacity as well where they can vet their officers, their operatives in *Mexico* to make sure that they keep them clean.

REP. GOHMERT: Any other comments on that?

Well, let me just add -- and thank you, Mr. Chairman, again. But it seems to me that <u>Mexico</u> could be one of the top five or ten economic powerhouses in the world when you look at the resources they have, and we know they've

got some of the best workers in the world in that country. And it just seems that corruption is the thing that keeps them from being one of the greatest nations in the world. So I appreciate any efforts where it can help bring that neighbor alongside of us effectively. Thank you.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you.

Gentle lady from Texas.

REP. SHEILA JACKSON-LEE (D-TX): Let me thank the chairman and the ranking member for holding a vital hearing in the face of the calamity just a few hundreds of miles away from the fourth largest city in the nation. In speaking to my colleagues who lived near or in another **border** state Arizona, it is amazing to hear of the litany of kidnapping and missing persons.

We've just heard my colleague speak of an American citizen missing over the years before this intensity of drugs and gun smuggling and dastardly deeds have occurred. A former colleague of mine, the now Secretary of Labor, Hilda Solis was a huge force in the murder of women <u>along</u> the <u>border</u> particularly on the Mexican side.

And many -- I should just say frankly almost all have been, if you will, not resolved. This calamity is not in any way a reflection on the friendship that we have with the people of <u>Mexico</u>. In fact, it should be stated on the record that the numbers of law enforcement and leadership in <u>Mexico</u>, sheriffs and others who have lost their lives is to be recognized and to acknowledge the deep sympathy that we have for the families of those who've lost their lives actually in this battle in this war.

So I'd lay that ground work and would like to just offer into the record some data that I have that may have already been noted. In 2008, the <u>violence</u> between Mexican drug gangs fighting for trafficking routes to the <u>United States</u> killed approximately 6,000 people in <u>Mexico</u> including more than 500 police officers and soldiers.

In the first eight weeks of 2009, more than 1,000 people were killed as a result of the drug war. I'm certainly grateful to the administration for the appointment of the drug czar or the **border** czar and the dispatch of the numbers of individuals that have gone to the **border**.

But I want to be honest, and I am I guess filled up to my cup and my cup runneth over with the conflict between the second amendment of which I have great respect for, because I do believe the people should have the right to protect themselves as the underlying premise of that legislation.

And my good friends, who believe that there should be nothing in this world regulated having to do with guns. I don't know frankly how many officers will have to be killed, how many Mexican law enforcement would have to be killed, and how many movies will have to be made showing that the guns come from the <u>United States</u>.

Much of it comes from Houston. I'm aware as a member of this committee and also a member of a committee that lives in Houston of the surge of officers that are coming in to assist us. Let me first of all indicate to both mister, I believe, Mr. Placido and Mr. Hoover that I would like to meet with your leadership in Houston and if you would make note of that and be in touch with my office then I'd like to do that as quickly as possible.

But I'd like to refer you to H.R. 1900, because until we wake up about the gun smuggling we know that two of our colleagues have offered legislation in last 24 hours to close the gun show loophole. But I'm going to specifically focus on the intertwining of guns and drugs and how that is a problem coming from this direction and refer you to my legislation H.R. 1900, which is I think a simple premise.

It allows governors to declare disaster -- excuse me -- emergencies and speak from both the Department of Homeland Security and the DOJ an increase -- an emergency increase in <u>border</u> patrol agents, an emergency increase in DEA agents, an emergency increase in ATF agents.

My colleague, Mr. Poe, has joined me on this. It also goes into the increase in equipment I'm not sure if the czar is working on the increase in helicopters, powerboats, other <u>border</u> patrol aspects, motor vehicles such as can be used by overlapping jurisdictions and handheld -- or computers and radio communications, GPSs et cetera, night vision equipment, which believe it or not even today I don't think we have enough.

And certainly if our ATF and DEA officers are on the **border** they need some equipment as well. This legislation also forms a taskforce of ATF, DEA, and **border** patrol who -- members will be appointed by the administration and you'd need every two months that you'd have a report so that we could show that we meant business.

And you collaborate with the local law enforcement. If the Chairman would indulge me I'd like to be able to have my questions answered by Mr. Placido and Mr. Hoover to speak to the interlink of guns of all kinds, AK-47s, that are loosely smuggled through Houston. How much of a role do they play in where we are today? And I realize that there has been some good news in your testimony and I would apologize that at several meetings that were pertained to me from that.

But I'm aware of your testimony, but I want to know where we are in terms of that basic cause of what the crisis at the **border** is at this time. Mr. Placido.

MR. PLACIDO: Thank you, Ma'am. First of all, Ms. Jackson-Lee, we would be delighted to meet with you and your staff regarding this legislation and regarding the <u>border</u> problems, be glad to arrange that after this meeting. The thrust of my oral statement as I began this hearing was to dispel what we believe is a unfortunate mischaracterization of the problem.

Unfortunately, the <u>violence</u> that we're seeing, the problems emanating from <u>Mexico</u> really don't -- cannot be geographically bounded and described as a <u>border</u> problem. Unfortunately, the criminal organizations that should be our focus have impacts well beyond our <u>borders</u>, in cities like Atlanta and Lawrence, Massachusetts and -- really throughout the country.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: Making it a much larger problem.

MR. PLACIDO: It is a much larger problem. And, well, while I certainly appreciate the fact that <u>border</u> governors and people who are on the frontlines of the <u>border</u> with <u>Mexico</u> need resources -- I'll speak only for the Drug Enforcement Administration, that the immediate deployment of 500 DEA special agents would detract from other things that we're doing. And I don't believe that that geographic kind of deployment would be the best way for us to negatively impact those organizations.

We believe that a focused attack on the criminal organizations themselves, rather than one that is geographically based is likely to have the best impact, and I'd be glad to take that up with you in more detail at a different time.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: But obviously, many of us disagree with that. And certainly it is not an automatic -- it is a declaration that would be made. And I did ask you to comment on the interaction with the drugs and guns and you did not comment on that.

MR. PLACIDO: Certainly. Drugs and guns go together. Guns are tools of the trade. It is historic for the -- 30 years that I've been operating in this business, drug traffickers' use of weapons to both intimidate and to cause *violence* has been a problem. It certainly seems to be exacerbated, and at a new fevered pitch, if you will, in our relationship with *Mexico*.

We characterize that <u>violence</u> in three broad categories analytically; inter-cartel <u>violence</u> with members of the same cartel doing battle with one another, <u>violence</u> -- inter-cartel <u>violence</u> with rival cartels doing war -- those have been around for a long time. What is new and disturbing, and I believe what is causing much of the angst is the extent to which the cartels are now lashing out against the government itself, attacking the government of <u>Mexico</u> and attacking innocent civilians.

And one of the things that we're very careful of -- we've gotten inter-agency groups looking at this, is to what extent will that kind of <u>violence</u>, directed against <u>U.S.</u> government personnel or interest or innocent civilians on <u>U.S.</u> soil, spill over our <u>borders</u>.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: But when they engage in violence, they have guns, right?

MR. PLACIDO: Yes they do.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: Okay, so your basic symptom's on the question of the impact of guns that kill?

MR. PLACIDO: Absolutely.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: All right. And many of these guns are smuggled guns illegally secured from the **United States**?

MR. PLACIDO: That's my understanding, but I'll defer to my colleagues from ATF and ICE to describe that -- they've got the portfolio better under control I --

REP. JACKSON-LEE: Mr. Hoover?

MR. HOOVER: Yes, ma'am. The reason we plussed up our resources in the Houston field division, which covers Houston and South Texas was because of the trace information that we had regarding the number of firearms recovered in <u>Mexico</u> and traced, and then those that were purchased in the Houston area and in South Texas. They lead any other part of the country by two or three times the amount of firearms being purchased.

You know, they -- the --

REP. JACKSON-LEE: Like two or three times?

MR. HOOVER: Yes, ma'am. What'<u>s</u> happening in <u>Mexico</u> is they -- you know, with the <u>violence</u> that'<u>s</u> been stated by others is -- a couple of things. They're even using the firearms to protect their shipments; they're using their firearms to protect their routes where they are moving the drugs from <u>Mexico</u> into the <u>U.S.</u>

Drug cartels are coming in and trading drugs for firearms, or we have individuals in the <u>United States</u> capitalizing on the need for firearms by the Mexican cartels by purchasing those firearms legally and then taking them into <u>Mexico</u> and selling them. So that is what -- those are the ways that we see the firearms involving in the narcotics trafficking trade.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: And these are legal firearms or -- are they purchasing legally or illegally?

MR. HOOVER: They're -- in some cases they're purchased legally and then moved into the illegal market. And in some cases, they're illegal from the jump, because individuals are purchasing them illegally -- knowingly purchasing them for the drug cartels or for someone else who they know will then traffic those guns to <u>Mexico</u>.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: And are you meeting and collaborating with local law enforcement like the sheriff department or the Houston Police Department and others?

MR. HOOVER: Yes, ma'am. Our folks in Houston, Texas are collaborating with individuals from all over Houston and South Texas. We collaborate with all the folks you see sitting at this table. We have an OCDETF strike force in Texas. We have one group assigned to that strike force to ensure we get on top of this problem.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: I thank you Mr. Chairman. I look forward to meeting with Mr. Hoover and Placido, but specifically in Houston. I want to meet with the team in Houston. Thank you.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you. The gentle lady's time is expired. The gentleman from Texas, any additional question?

REP. GOHMERT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just one. I alluded to the "Chicago Tribune" article about the Sinaloa cartel and apparently Guzman or supposedly according to the story that they're now authorizing or encouraging the use of <u>violence</u> to protect drug lords within the <u>United States</u>. I've mentioned that, but I'm curious -- does anybody know is this true what'<u>s</u> being reported? That now, we can expect more <u>violence</u> from inside of our <u>borders</u> -- anybody know?

MR. PLACIDO: I can partially address the answer for you Mr. Gohmert. The fact that we have hard empirical evidence indicating that the traffickers consistently have said they do not want to engage in <u>violence</u> on the <u>U.S.</u> soil. There are repeated instances of that that we could provide in a different setting of the document that's for you.

But the problem is we never know what we don't know. And I'm not going to sit here and tell you or anybody else that there hasn't been a decision made or that there won't be a decision made to attack <u>U.S.</u> law enforcement. What we can say is after extensive analytic research by 14 agencies of <u>U.S.</u> government, we have not yet seen a --an effort to systemically attack <u>U.S.</u> government employees or interests for innocent civilians on <u>U.S.</u> soil at a rate that is above what we had normally seen prior to this outbreak of <u>violence</u> in <u>Mexico</u>.

REP. GOHMERT: And that <u>'s</u> why the story said that such a move by Guzman, <u>Mexico's</u> most wanted fugitive would mark a turn from the cartel <u>s</u> previous position of largely avoiding violent confrontation. So the empirical data may be that they have up to this, but the story today is that Guzman is now saying -- and they report that police and federal agents -- I just didn't know if some of yours or you (ph). I said they have recently received at least two law enforcement alerts focused on Guzman's reported orders that a smuggler should "use their weapons to defend their loads at all costs."

And so that would've been recent as of -- well, it is reported today, brand new and this would be a turn from all the empirical data we had up to this point? I just didn't know if any of you --

(Cross talk.)

MR. PLACIDO: -- does not have information on that at this point.

REP. GOHMERT: Okay. Anybody else?

MR. NASH: I would back Mr. Placido's comments. And just suggest that our collective experience until now is that there has been a very firm conviction on the part of the cartel leaders, that engaging in <u>violence</u> of the nature that is mentioned in that article would be bad for business for the cartels. And for that reason alone they have decided that that is not a road that they want to go down.

I agree completely with your characterization that if in fact the statement is something the cartels decide that they're going to go forward with, it would be a turn from past practice. And something that we certainly --

REP. GOHMERT: Well, heading the price of cocaine going up for -- or was cocaine --

MR. NASH: It hasn't. And I think the sentiment that might've motivated Mr. Guzman's comments certainly is an accurate one -- one that --

REP. GOHMERT: You mean, you all are doing a good job.

MR. NASH: Which is those cartels under stress --

REP. GOHMERT: You're being effective, yes. And so did -- if this were true it would actually be a -- you're doing a good job, you're helping. Anyway thank you for all your work, I know it'<u>s</u> a profession that requires great dedication, so we appreciate yours.

REP. SCOTT: Thank you. This has been an oversight hearing, and one of the things we usually expect at oversight hearing is witnesses to tell us what we should be doing more; more resources, change laws or what not. And it gives our witnesses an opportunity to recommend legislative changes. Best I can ascertain, no one availed themselves of that opportunity. You didn't say we needed new gun laws, you didn't need -- say you needed whole lot more money. If I got you wrong -- does anybody want to take one more shot?

MR. NASH: Actually, one that I will raise Mr. Scott, which is -- we've talked a good bit about drugs, we've talked a good bit about guns. The third leg to that stool that we haven't talked quite a bit as much on is we do feel very strongly that cutting off the money flow to these organizations is an essential part of our strategy. There were two Supreme Court decisions at the end of the term last summer that significantly affected our ability to bring successful prosecutions against those involved in bulk cash smuggling in connection with the drug trade.

One of those decisions was the Santos decision.

I understand that within the last 30 minutes prior to the convocation of this hearing, the Santos specs was passed in connection with your efforts Mr. Scott and those of yours Mr. Gohmert and this committee and we appreciate that.

The second decision is the Cuellar decision. And there's proposed legislation that would return the interpretation of that statute to -- the interpretation that was generally accepted prior to the decision of the court in Cuellar. And so we would ask that you take a look at what we've termed the Cuellar effects. We have proposed legislation, and we'd ask that you take a look at that, which would increase our ability to bring successful money laundering charges against those who engage in bulk cash smuggling across the South-West <u>border</u>.

REP. SCOTT: I think the second case you mentioned had a problem, because it didn't require an intent as part of the -- as an element of the crime which is obviously problematic. The Santos case, we, I think we fixed that while you were waiting for us to come back. The bill is on the floor.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: Chairman?

REP. SCOTT: The gentle lady from Texas.

REP. JACKSON-LEE: You are on your time. I'm not sure whether you're -- you had an inquiry and I would just wish to comment. These are very, very fine public servants and I do appreciate their leadership. I think, short of doing no harm on the <u>United States</u> Congress, we have an obligation, Mr. Chairman, to fix things where necessary. We certainly don't want to make things worse. Not putting words in Mr. Hoover'<u>s</u> mouth, he has indicated that out of our community, two or three times the sale of weapons -- we are in essence the epicenter of these weapons going into <u>Mexico</u>.

Frankly, I believe that if they have not offered legislative suggestions, and I'm willing certainly to modify my legislation. But one, I think it needs to be targeted. Two, I think there needs to be immediate response in terms of gun legislation that addresses the question of smuggling and the loopholes. And there are some legislation being put forward. And I can't imagine -- there were many witnesses who came here in years past and said we don't need anymore **border** patrol agents and it was incorrect.

So I appreciate the physical responsibility and the discreteness of the witnesses. But frankly I believe it's the responsibility of the Congress to address glaring issues. And I do think more DEA agents, whether they're shared with Atlanta or elsewhere, are needed. I think more ATF officers are needed.

And one of the issues is being able to make the case. Being able to have the necessary <u>U.S.</u> attorneys and assist <u>U.S.</u> attorneys in these high target areas, that can -- that can make the case.

So I thank the Chairman for yielding. And I would like to pursue the legislation that I've written with corrections or modifications. And I think that we have an obligation, because of what's going on the border at Atlanta and

Chicago and New York on this drug and guns, to really act and give more tools to these very prime public servants. I yield back to the Chairman.

REP. SCOTT: I thank the gentle lady for comments. If the witnesses have any other comments, we would -- the hearing record will remain open for one week for submission of additional materials. Members may have written questions, which we'll forward to you and ask you to respond as quickly as possible so the answers can be made part of the record. Mr. Nieto.

MR. NIETO: Sir, if I can make one last comment. We spoke of <u>border violence along</u> our <u>borders</u> there. And I just want to make sure that I mentioned this. El Paso, Texas, which is just north of Ciudad Juarez, which has been the epicenter of the <u>violence</u> here the last few months is the third safest large city in the <u>United States</u>. San Diego is the fourth.

Two cities, right <u>along</u> the <u>U.S.-Mexico border</u>, and I think a big part of that is the organizations that my colleagues here at the table belong to, and obviously the state and locals in those areas and their efforts.

I just wanted to thank them and -- for the record.

REP. SCOTT: Well, thank you. And if you have other recommendations that we can do to help you do your job, we'd appreciate hearing them. Without objection, the committee now stands adjourned.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Transcript

Subject: <u>BORDER</u> CONTROL (99%); NATIONAL SECURITY (91%); SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE FORCES (91%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (90%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (90%); DRUG TRAFFICKING (90%); TERRORISM (90%); JUSTICE DEPARTMENTS (90%); ILLEGAL DRUGS (89%); ORGANIZED CRIME (89%); INVESTIGATIONS (89%); CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES CRIME (89%); WITNESSES (79%); IMMIGRATION (78%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL <u>BORDERS</u> (78%); ARRESTS (78%); KIDNAPPING & ABDUCTION (78%); NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT (77%); DRUG INTERDICTION (77%); EXCISE & CUSTOMS (77%); ATTORNEYS GENERAL (77%); DELAYS & POSTPONEMENTS (74%); FIREARMS (73%); ASSOCIATIONS & ORGANIZATIONS (73%); INTERIM MANAGEMENT (71%)

Company: GLOBAL ECOLOGY CORP (92%)

Organization: US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (99%); US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (94%); US IMMIGRATION & CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT (91%); US CUSTOMS & **BORDER** PROTECTION (91%); US DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION (91%); BUREAU OF ALCOHOL TOBACCO FIREARMS & EXPLOSIVES (91%)

Person: ROBERT C SCOTT (73%); FELIPE CALDERON (58%)

Geographic: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, USA (79%); SOUTHWEST, USA (79%); $\underline{UNITED\ STATES}$ (96%); \underline{MEXICO} (95%)

Load-Date: May 8, 2009

End of Document