

GREEN CARD LOTTERY TOO MUCH OF A GAMBLE

San Jose Mercury News (California)

OCTOBER 30, 1997 Thursday MORNING FINAL EDITION

Copyright 1997 San Jose Mercury News All Rights Reserved

Section: EDITORIAL; Pg. 11B

Length: 806 words

Byline: JOANNE JACOBS column

Body

SIGN UP NOW to win a fabulous life-time trip to America! 55,000 lucky winners! You must be a high school graduate. Offer ends Nov. 24.

The Armenian programmer, the Irish nurse, the Mongolian yak herder and the Nigerian mail man want to emigrate to America, along with millions of others who don't have close relatives to sponsor them.

Who will get a **green card**?

In this category of U.S. immigration policy, in-demand job skills, advanced education and English fluency don't count. Neither does a cousin in Milpitas who's willing to help. It's a **lottery**.

Contestants in the Diversity Visa **Lottery** must have a high school education (or the equivalent) or two years of work experience in an occupation that requires two years of training or experience. And they can't come from countries with high rates of migration to the U.S., such as Mexico, El Salvador, Vietnam, the Philippines and Britain.

Six million people are expected to enter the **lottery**; 100,000 randomly selected winners will have the right to apply for 55,000 resident visas, awarded on a first-come, first-served basis. The out-of-work clerk has just as good a chance as the research scientist.

It's time for U.S. immigration policy to get choosy about who gets to be an American. If we need to boost immigration from certain countries in the name of diversity, let's pick diverse immigrants who can contribute quickly to our society.

Most legal immigrants become self-supporting workers and taxpayers.

But America offers diminishing opportunity for newcomers who lack skills, education and English. "Immigrants with minimal job skills are making little or no progress after they arrive," writes Kevin McCarthy in the New York Times. A Rand demographer, McCarthy recommends finding a better balance between "low-skilled immigrants admitted for family reasons and high-skilled immigrants admitted for economic reasons."

"Only if there is a compelling national interest, such as nuclear family reunification or humanitarian admissions, should immigrants be admitted without regard to the economic contributions they can make," said the final report of the Commission on Immigration Reform, which ended its five-year term last month.

Next week, the House Immigration Committee will hold hearings on the final report, which recommended limiting admission of unskilled, uneducated immigrants, and stressing Americanization for those who do come.

Our current rules give top priority to the parents, spouse and minor children of a citizen; adult children have a lower priority; married children and grandchildren are even lower.

GREEN CARD LOTTERY TOO MUCH OF A GAMBLE

A legal resident who isn't yet a citizen may wait years even for spouse and young children.

Why do we think it's more important for immigrants to be united with their parents, who may be too old to establish themselves in a new country, than with their grown children and grandchildren? Why favor the past over the future?

If Zitsnk qualifies for a visa, we should include his immediate family immediately.

Beyond that, we should look at all factors that influence a potential immigrant's ability to make it in 21st century America. Give points based on education, job skills and English fluency. We can give additional points for those with a family sponsor who's willing to help: Maybe the married adult child gets 50 points, the parent 25 points, the brother 10, the niece 5 points. If diversity is a goal, give points for coming from a low-migration country. Make choices.

Our current system is setting up too many people for poverty.

Until now, we've let immigrants living in poverty themselves sponsor family members, promising to support Mama when they're having trouble supporting themselves. Too often, Mama ended up on SSI.

Starting in December, would-be sponsors must show their family income is at least 125 percent of the poverty line before being allowed to bring in a relative who might require financial help. Sponsorship, now often an empty promise, will be a legally enforceable obligation.

A third of current sponsors don't earn that **much** -- about \$20,000 for a family of four -- according to a study by the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service. Half of all Mexican immigrant families may not qualify as sponsors.

It's tough on struggling families who want to bring over a parent or an adult child or a brother, but it's realistic: There's a limit to how **much** water can be thrown in the soup.

Immigration is a critical part of the vitality of America, and I'd hate to see us shut the door to legal immigrants because we're afraid they'll end up on the dole or stuck in hopeless poverty. I'm afraid that will happen if we don't get smart er about how we design our system.

And nothing could be dumber than taking Lucky Winner Number 54,999, the Slobbovian disco dancer.

Notes

Joanne Jacobs is a member of the Mercury News editorial board. Her column appears on Mondays and Thursdays.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: IMMIGRATION (91%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (90%); CHILDREN (89%); FAMILY (78%); HIGH SCHOOLS (78%); EMIGRATION (77%); DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS (76%); SECONDARY SCHOOLS (73%); ADULTS (72%); GRANDCHILDREN (66%); SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY (65%)

Industry: **LOTTERIES** (90%); HIGH SCHOOLS (78%); SECONDARY SCHOOLS (73%)

Person: KEVIN MCCARTHY (55%)

GREEN CARD LOTTERY TOO MUCH OF A GAMBLE

Geographic: UNITED STATES (94%); PHILIPPINES (79%); MEXICO (79%)

Load-Date: October 21, 2002

End of Document