## **CQ** Transcriptions

September 26, 2019 Thursday

Copyright 2019 CQ-Roll Call, Inc. All Rights Reserved

All materials herein are protected by United States copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, published or broadcast without the prior written permission of CQ Transcriptions. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice from copies of the content.

## **Body**

House Judiciary Subcommittee On Immigration And Citizenship Holds Hearing On Ice Detention Expansion

September 26, 2019 10:30 A.M.

SPEAKERS:

REP. ZOE LOFGREN (D-CALIF.), CHAIRWOMAN

REP. PRAMILA JAYAPAL (D-WASH.)

REP. LOU CORREA (D-CALIF.)

REP. SYLVIA R. GARCIA (D-TEXAS)

REP. JOE NEGUSE (D-COLO.)

REP. DEBBIE MUCARSEL-POWELL (D-FLA.)

REP. VERONICA ESCOBAR (D-TEXAS)

REP. SHEILA JACKSON LEE (D-TEXAS)

REP. MARY GAY SCANLON (D-PA.)

REP. JERROLD NADLER (D-N.Y.), EX OFFICIO

REP. KEN BUCK (R-COLO.), RANKING MEMBER

REP. ANDY BIGGS (R-ARIZ.)

REP. TOM MCCLINTOCK (R-CALIF.)

REP. DEBBIE LESKO (R-ARIZ.)

REP. KELLY ARMSTRONG (R-N.D.)

REP. GREG STEUBE (R-FLA.)

REP. DOUG COLLINS (R-GA.), EX OFFICIO

[\*]LOFGREN: So the subcommittee on *immigration*--I'm sorry. Mr. Homan, it's good to see you. I didn't see the sheriff walking in. Thank you.

All of our witnesses are seated now. We welcome you and the subcommittee on <u>immigration</u> and citizenship will come to order. Without objection, the chair is authorized to declare recesses of the subcommittee at any time. We welcome everyone to this morning's hearing on the expansion and troubling use of ICE detention.

The Trump administration is detaining over 50,000 people daily, a 50 percent higher rate than the previous administration. With lack of enforcement priorities in this administration, we have seen a significant uptick in the detention of pregnant women asylum-seekers and those without criminal convictions. At the same time there have been several new Inspector General reports which we have had hearings on that shed light on unsanitary and unsafe conditions in ICE facilities, and it is with this backdrop that we hold today's hearing.

Now I have chaired the *Immigration* Subcommittee this year and in prior years. One of the things I am committed to doing is making sure that less senior members of the committee also have an opportunity to sit in the chair, have the opportunities to shape a hearing and learn how to preside and with that in mind and without objection my colleague and champion of this important issue, Representative Pramila Jayapal will preside over this hearing, and I now recognize Ms. Jayapal for an opening statement and ask her to take the chair.

JAYAPAL: Thank you so much and good morning. I would like to thank my colleague Representative Lofgren, Chairwoman of the *Immigration* Subcommittee for her tremendous work and for allowing me to chair this hearing today, also recognize our chairman, Jerry Nadler.

We have witnessed an unprecedented increase in the use of <u>immigration</u> detention over the last few decades. In the mid-1990s the average number of people detained each day was under 10,000 rising to about 20,000 in the early 2000's and then hitting about 30,000 in 2010.

Just three years ago, the average daily population was about 34,000. In stark contrast as of September 7, 2019, the average daily population of people detained in an ICE facility was over 52,000 people every day, well above the 45,000 that Congress provided funding for in fiscal year 2019 appropriations bill and 54 percent higher than in 2016.

It is a universally accepted fact that <u>immigration</u> detention is supposed to be a civil, non-punitive function. According to ICE quote detention is solely for the purpose of either awaiting the resolution of an individual's <u>immigration</u> case to carry out a removal order. ICE does not detained for punitive reasons end quote. However, the <u>immigration</u> detention system is a virtual replica of the criminal incarceration system which is intended to be punitive. In many cases individuals are detained in county jails alongside those who have been charged or convicted of violent crimes. It is for all intents and purposes <u>immigration</u> incarceration often with little or no due process.

Whistleblower reports describe dangerous conditions and subpar medical care in various detention facilities. An ICE supervisor warned that ICE's own medical service provider was quote severely dysfunctional and that preventable harm and death to detained people has occurred end quote. The supervisor statements that the facility staff ignored repeated warnings about the care of a man with schizophrenia who later died by suicide while in solitary confinement.

In addition, the DHS Inspector General has released multiple reports concluding that ICE detention routinely quote undermine the protection of detained people's rights, their humane treatment and the provision of a safe and healthy environment end quote. In one damning report about the Adelanto detention facility in California the IG said that they found nooses dangling from air vents, talked to individuals unable to access basic dental care for months and years resulting in avoidable tooth loss and wrote about a man in a wheelchair being placed in solitary and not once being moved to a bed to sleep or being permitted to brush his teeth for nine days. Despite the serious findings, however, in October 2018 ICE gave Adelanto a passing rating during its last inspection.

This blatant disregard for accountability and failure to conduct meaningful oversight is not confined to Adelanto, it is pervasive across the detention system. The Inspector General has also written several reports concluding that quote ICE does not adequately hold detention facility contractors accountable for not meeting performance standards end quote. In addition, the IG found that rather than imposing financial penalties ICE haphazardly issues waivers to facilities even though the agency does not have formal procedures and policies to provide waivers. Unsurprisingly the Inspector General has concluded that ICE's inspection and monitoring of its facilities does not produce compliance or fleet to systemic improvements in the detention system.

Further, we have seen growing numbers of pregnant people, asylum-seekers, LGBTQ people and other vulnerable populations in detention as well as a disturbing surge in the detention of regular people, people who are trying to do the best that they can for themselves and their families, people living and working in our communities.

Most Americans, I think, would be shocked to learn that the vast majority of people in detention have never been charged of a crime much less convicted of one. In fact the number of people who had never been convicted of a crime or convicted of a minor crime has increased by 58 percent since September 2016 and December 2018 resulting in the detention of about 39,000 people. And among those who do not have convictions we would do well to look closely at those cases. ICE is detaining many people for minor crimes and in some case older crimes where people have faced the justice system and moved on to lead healthy lives. All of this detention is unnecessary, inhumane and wasteful and who is the beneficiary of this system? The private for-profit prisons detained nearly three-quarters of all people in ICE custody, the average cost for detention of an adult is around \$130 a day and even more in family detention, about \$298 per day of American taxpayer money.

Since 2017 the top two private for-profit corporations, GEO Group, and CoreCivic have received over \$730 million of taxpayer money in the form of ICE detention contracts, but we have sensible solutions. I have a bill that I introduced with the chairman of the Armed Services Committee, my colleague Adam Smith. It is the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act, and it would create justice and due process in the detention system by eliminating mandatory detention, terminating the use of for-profit prisons, increasing oversight, accountability, and transparency and establishing real alternatives to detention. Without objection, I would like to make Congressman Smith's statement a part of the record.

I am proud to hold this hearing today, so we can talk about real solutions, and I look forward to hearing the testimony of our witnesses. And with that, it is now my pleasure to recognize the ranking member of the subcommittee, the gentleman from Colorado, Mr. Buck for his opening statement.

BUCK: Thank you. I want to begin my statement by recognizing the parents who are here of individuals who have been brutally murdered by illegal immigrants. I want to thank you very much for having the courage to appear today and let you know that we grieve with you as all Americans do for the unnecessary death of your children. Thank you very much.

ICE detention serves a necessary role in the enforcement of our nation's <u>immigration</u> laws. Detention ensures that an individual in removal proceedings will appear at any <u>immigration</u> hearing and ensures ICE can effectuate a final order of removal. ICE detention is also an essential component of effective border security. The impact of ICE detention extends into the interior of the country to every community.

By detaining individuals who face criminal charges or who have been convicted of serious crimes, ICE ensures that they are not free to re-offend. This reduces crime and enhances public safety in our communities for citizens and lawful immigrants alike. Approximately 95 percent of individuals in ICE custody are recent border crossers, convicted criminals, or face pending criminal charges.

Of the detainee population arrested by ICE in the interior of the country, almost 90 percent of those individuals are convicted criminals or face pending criminal charges. Many of these individuals are involved in serious criminal activity including assaults, homicide, drug dealing, DUIs, and gang activity. While sanctuary jurisdictions force law enforcement to disregard ICE detainers and release removal criminals back into the community many jurisdictions have partnered with ICE.

These partnerships are based on the recognition that ICE detention helps keep dangerous criminals off of the street for good. I commend the work being done by state and local law enforcement officers who recognize the important role that ICE detention place.

It is also important to recognize that ICE detention facilities are held to a high standard of custodial care to keep detainees safe and secure. Through the performance-based national detention standards, ICE ensures that the quality of care received by detainees is high, even higher in many cases than what U.S. citizens receive in state and local jails.

In August, I toured, an ICE contracted detention facility in my home state of Colorado. Here is what I saw. I saw dedicated and professional staff, an extensive health services including access to medical treatment, dental care, and mental health care; every detainee was offered preventative care including in MMR vaccination. A telephone with toll-free access to a detainee's consulate, a law library, and *immigration* court; dormitories with a day room equipped with tables and chairs, televisions, games and Xbox's, a commissary microwaves and very clean food preparation facilities; indoor and outdoor recreational facilities; workout equipment; a basketball court; opportunities to engage in a voluntary work program. Contrary to false claims from members of Congress those are not the kinds of facilities reminiscent of a concentration camp.

Other questions--others questions the use of so-called for-profit prisons arguing that the government should not contract with private detention facilities and denouncing such facilities as unaccountable and prone to provide poor care. But that criticism is simply inaccurate.

Contract detention facilities are accredited by third parties. They must comply with ICE's performance-based national detention standards which impose a very high standard of care. If a facility wants to deviate from those strict standards, it must obtain a waiver from ICE. Facilities are held accountable for complying with the standards.

A toll-free hotline is available in multiple languages for detainees, staff, and members of the public to report any problems in detention. Information about the detention reporting and information line is provided to every detainee during orientation in the detainee handbook and advertised on flyers in English and Spanish throughout the facilities. The detention reporting and information line has resolved more than 2000 case assistance calls monthly on average since it launched in September 2012.

The inspector general also recently audited some facilities and where deficiencies are discovered ICE takes corrective action. Such facilities also tend to be newer and nicer than other facilities available in state and local jails, and many were actually designed with ICE standards in mind. The private sector has also been able to realize cost reductions without sacrificing quality of care, leading to a cost savings for American taxpayers.

ICE detention serves an essential function and offers an appropriate custodial setting. I am pleased today to hear from the witnesses about the importance of ICE detention, the high-quality care detainees receive in custody and urge my colleagues to ensure that ICE is funded at an adequate level to procure sufficient detention space and maintain high standards of care for those in custody and I yield back.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Mr. Buck. I now recognize the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Nadler for his opening statement.

NADLER: Thank you, Madam Chair. Over the last seven months, this committee has devoted considerable attention to the Trump administration's cruel policies at the border and its attempts to deter individuals from seeking asylum and other humanitarian protections from our nation.

Today we shift our focus to examining the impact of the administration's approach to <u>immigration</u> detention in the interior of the United States. Unfortunately much of what has been observed in the interior is the same as what we have seen at the border.

Under the Trump administration, the number of people detained by <u>immigration</u> and customs enforcement, ICE, has skyrocketed while detention conditions and agency transparency and accountability have deteriorated.

Detention levels have increased largely due to the administration's manipulation of the budget process to expand the number of detention beds.

As of September 14, 2019 ICE was holding 51,814 people in detention, roughly 11,000 more people per day than what was authorized by the Fiscal Year 2019 appropriations bill. Of those detained we are seeing an increasing number of women including pregnant women and asylum-seekers who have committed no crime and who pose no threat to our country.

Not surprisingly with the increased number of detainees we are also seeing an increase in reports of unsafe and unsanitary conditions in detention facilities as well as allegations of mistreatment. In September 2018 the Department of Homeland Security inspector general documented significant violations of ICE's own detention standards not to mention basic human dignity at the Adelanto detention center in California.

The IG observed nooses dangling from air vents--nooses; individuals denied access to basic dental care and a disabled man being placed in segregation and left in his wheelchair unattended for nine days. Such treatment should shock the conscience.

Despite this Adelanto passed its last ICE inspection in October 2018. The June 2019 IG report also found unsanitary conditions in the Essex, New Jersey detention facility including mold, spoiled food, and malfunctioning toilets. The IG also found that detainees at Essex suffered from a shortage of personal hygiene products including soap and toiletries. Collectively the violations at Essex were so severe that the IG's investigation led to immediate personnel changes at the facility.

For most of American history, <u>immigration</u> matters have been and are still today adjudicated as a civil matter. In that spirit the detention of immigrants in a system that is based on the criminal justice model should be the exception, not the rule. We have the capability to safely and compassionately process migrants, women, and children and to ensure that they appear for their scheduled hearings. Instead of relying on the physical detention of immigrants ICE could employ a broad array of alternatives to detention with equal success and at far less cost and with much less brutality.

Research has shown that automated telephone systems to remind people of their scheduled court dates and ankle monitors help ensure compliance when people are released on their own recognizance or on bond. According to fiscal year 2018, data of the average cost of detaining an immigrant per day is \$208. In contrast community-based alternatives to detention have been proven to operate effectively for as little as \$17 per person per day.

Further, the family case management program that ICE operated from January 2016 through June 2017 reduced compliance rates of 99 percent at a cost of just \$38 per family per day. Despite the program's success, the Trump administration terminated it. Fortunately Congress has passed legislation that mandates that ICE restart the program this year.

The Trump administration's <u>immigration</u> policies have been a failure at a policy level but more important as a matter of basic human decency. I want to thank Chair Lofgren and Vice Chair Jayapal for holding this important hearing, and I thank all of today's witnesses for testifying especially for those who have come forward to share their experience in ICE detention. I look forward to their testimony, and I yield back, and I look forward to their testimony.

I want to add one note before I yield back the balance of my time. We welcome of course the people who are here today as guests of I'm not sure whom but as guests who are relatives of people who were murdered.

But--but there is a terrible slander embedded by the president and by other people, a lie that says in effect it says directly in fact that illegal aliens as they put it, immigrants are--are a danger to the United States, that they are criminals--that their rate of criminality is greater than that of native-born Americans whereas in fact the statistics all show that every population people born in the United States, people who come here legally, people who come here not--not with our laws some people are criminals, some people are dangerous, but the statistics all show that immigrants legal or otherwise are less dangerous on average than native-born people.

It is a slander bordering on racism to highlight the opposite, and I think it is time that the administration and whoever else practices this work called out on it. I yield back the balance of my time.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before I introduce our witnesses without objection, I would like to make the following documents part of the record. A complaint filed yesterday regarding the failure to provide adequate medical and mental health care to LGBTQ people and people living with HIV in *immigration* detention facilities, letters of support for the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act from over 70 LGBTQ and allied organizations, from the National Council of Asian Pacific Americans, from the Seattle mayor, my mayor, Jenny Durkan and a resolution of support from the US conference of mayors.

It is now my pleasure to introduce today's witnesses, and I want to thank all of you for being with us today and for taking the time to be here before the committee. First we have Selene Saavedra Roman. Ms. Roman is a DACA recipient who currently works as a flight attendant for Mesa Airlines. She is a graduate of Texas A&M University and also has experience working in office administration, physical therapy and early childhood education. We thank her for her courage and strength to share her experience in ICE detention and the treatment she received with us today.

For the next witness, I would like to recognize my colleague from California to introduce our next witness.

LOFGREN: Thank you. I would like to introduce Denis Davydov. He is an asylee from Russia who fled to the United States because of Russia's anti-gay propaganda and the brutal violence that accompanies it. He is also here to share his experience in ICE detention.

Mr. Davydov was granted asylum earlier this year. He now works as a sommelier in California. He is a spokesperson for immigrant equality and an advocate for LGBTQ and HIV-positive asylum-seekers than he also lives in San Jose California which I represent and we are happy to have you here, and I yield back.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Ms. Lofgren. Our next witness is Blanche Engochan. Ms. Engochan is an asylee from Cameroon who has been a victim of the administration's metering policy, CBP detention and ICE detention. She was granted asylum this summer and released from *immigration* detention after more than six months in custody. She now resides in Maryland and lives with her aunt. We commend her courage for testifying before us today, and we look forward to her testimony.

Our next witness is Heidi Altman. Heidi Altman is the director of policy at the National Immigrant Justice Center, an organization that provides legal services to more than 10,000 vulnerable immigrants, refugees, and asylum-seekers each year. Previously Ms. Altman served as the legal director for the capital area immigrant rights coalition. She created the in-house <u>immigration</u> services program at the neighborhood defender service of Harlem and has served as a teaching fellow for Georgetown Law School's <u>immigration</u> clinic. Ms. Altman received her BA from Yale University and her JD from NYU Law School.

Our next witness is Jorge Baron. Mr. Baron has served as the director of the Northwest immigrant rights project since 2008 and has worked with the organization since 2006. The Northwest immigrant rights project is a legal services organization that also engages in community education on and advocacy for the rights of low-income immigrants and refugees. Previously Mr. Baron served as a law clerk at the U.S. court of appeals for the ninth circuit in Seattle and held a fellowship with the New Haven Legal Assistance Association in Connecticut. Mr. Baron is a graduate of Duke University and Yale Law School, and I am very proud to say is a constituent of mine. Thank you, Mr. Baron, for being here.

Melanie Schikore is the executive director of the interfaith community for detained immigrants where she leads their efforts on comprehensive community and policy responses to detention. Ms. Schikore is an academic who specializes in *immigration* policy and has previously taught and completed research at institutions including Concordia University, Depaul University, and Northwestern University. She received her BA from St. Louis University and her MA and Ph.D. from the University of Illinois. Thank you for being with us.

Our next witness is Thomas Homan. Mr. Homan served as acting director of the U.S. <u>Immigration</u> and Customs Enforcement from January 2017 to June 2018. Prior to this he served as executive associate director of ICE enforcement and removal operations and has held a variety of other positions within the agency since its creation. He served as a federal law enforcement officer for more than 34 years, as a police officer, border patrol agent and as an agent of the former <u>Immigration</u> and Naturalization Service. He received his BA in criminal justice from State University of New York Polytechnic Institute. Thank you for being with us, Mr. Homan.

Sheriff Charles Jenkins is currently serving his fourth term as sheriff of Frederick County Maryland and is an active member of the Maryland sheriffs association and the national sheriffs association. In his involvement with the national sheriffs Association he has partnered with DHS and ICE to participate in the 287(g) delegation of authority program and the intergovernmental services agreement ICE detainee housing program. Sheriff Jenkins has testified multiple times before the Maryland legislature and the U.S. Congress on *immigration* and law enforcement issues.

We welcome all of our distinguished witnesses, and we thank you for participating in today's hearing. Now if you would please rise I will begin by swearing UN.

Raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that the testimony you are about to give is true and correct to the best of your knowledge, information, and belief so help you, God? Let the record show that the witnesses answered in the affirmative. Thank you and please be seated.

We will move on to the witness testimony part of this hearing. Please note that each of your written statements will be entered into the record in its entirety. Accordingly, I ask that you summarize your testimony in five minutes.

To help you stay within that time there is a timing light on your table. When the light switches from green to yellow you have one minute to conclude your testimony. When the light turns red it signals that your five minutes have expired. Ms. Roman you may begin.

ROMAN: Good morning. Thank you for inviting me to testify at this important hearing today. My name is Selene Saavedra Roman, and I was detained for 38 days in an ICE detention center.

I was brought to the United States of America undocumented at the age of four. I attended public school in my home state of Texas and graduated from Texas A&M with a bachelor's degree in allied health and a minor in communication. I am a former Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals recipient which provided me a sense of relief from the looming fear of deportation.

In 2017 I married my husband David who is a U.S. citizen and my petition for residency through an I-130(SP) which was approved. The petition is the only first step of the lengthy journey to obtain residency which we hope will lead to my citizenship.

In November 2018, I was hired by Mesa Airlines Inc. as a flight attendant. On February 12, 2019 I was assigned my first international flight to Monterrey, Mexico. I received reassurance from Mesa Airlines the day prior that I would be able to take the international flight. Everything went fine on the flight to Mexico, but when I arrived to the George W. Bush Intercontinental Airport I was detained at U.S. Customs.

After 24 hours in a waiting room at the airport and never having been explained clearly what was going on it was determined that I was to be sent to an ICE detention center. This is how I arrived at the Montgomery Processing Center in Conroe, Texas.

Let me tell you what I experienced while at the MPC. My first morning in the dorm hall, I was awoken by <u>another</u> woman who hurriedly explained that she and I were in charge of cleaning the bathroom that morning. I thought it was a joke at first. We only had brooms and a soapy mixture of water and shower gel to do the job.

It was barely 4:30 AM and yet as soon as we finished cleaning the guards loudly yelled at us it was time for breakfast. At mealtimes we would only get 15 minutes to eat. The food was always cold and tasteless, and there was never any fresh fruit. The tables were dirty; they were not cleaned between dorm rotations and after last dorm

would eat the guards would ask for volunteers to clean the cafeteria when it was their responsibility. Can you imagine the mess? It was disgusting.

We were given used underwear. We slept in freezing temperatures with no pillows, and we were forced to do labor with threat of isolation if not compliant. I watched one woman refuse to clean, she had already cleaned the dorm next door, and as a result she was put in isolation for several weeks. When she came out of isolation she looked so distraught she had plucked away her eyebrows from all of the stress she endured. Why are we being forced to clean? This is supposed to be a civil detention center, but instead we were treated as though we were serving a criminal sentence.

We are just people who are awaiting our <u>immigration</u> trials. After that I knew not to argue or talk back. We were made to believe by the guards that refusing any orders that lead to writeups could directly affect our <u>immigration</u> cases. Many women worried that it would hurt their asylum cases--I'm sorry that it would hurt their asylum cases. These feelings of fear and powerlessness consumed us and loomed over us. They permeated the entire dorm.

Still I could not help wondering why we were all being treated like this. How could we be treated like we didn't mean anything? The guards would bully me around with their words and degrading comments, were surprised and would back off when I would respond to them in English.

There's so much more I could say about the poor conditions that MPC like the freezing temperatures, the mattresses made of hard plastic and no pillows, long time spent indoors with little outside recreation or fresh air and no contact visitation but what I would like to leave you with is the impact that this detention center had on me.

I lived in a constant state of uncertainty and fear each and every one of those days for the 38 days that I was detained. I felt hopeless, powerless and lost. I couldn't even look at myself in the mirror. My hair started to fall out. I am now experiencing constant levels of clinical depression and anxiety. This detention center broke my spirit. I lost faith in our institutions.

I still have nightmares about what I endured at MPC. I am not the person I was before this whole situation happened. It is not easy for me to talk about this experience, but I am doing it because I can no longer be quiet. If this was my experience after 38 days what must it be like for those that are held for months or even years?

The people of the United States need to know the truth of what is going on in these detention centers. Thank you.

JAYAPAL: Ms. Saavedra Roman thank you so much for your courageous testimony. I am sorry you endured what you did. Mr. Davydov you are--Davydov, you are recognized for five minutes.

DAVYDOV: Chairwoman Lofgren, Vice Chairwoman Jayapal, Ranking Member Bank and distinguished members of thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today about the 46 days I should never--I should have never spent in ICE detention.

My name is Denis Davydov and I am a gay man from Russia living with HIV. I am sharing my story in partnership with *immigration* equality, the non-profit what represents me during my five years asylum process and in support of all LGBTQ and HIV-positive people in detention.

In 2013 I had no choice but to escape Russia and come to the United States. Just one year prior the Russian government passed anti-gay propaganda law which painted gay people as perverts who were killing the country. Vigilant groups attacked and raped gay men and posted the videos online. The police did nothing about it, and I lived with a constant fear that I would be harmed.

As an HIV-positive gay man my life was even more at risk. HIV is seen as a gay disease in Russia and seeking treatment could make me a target for violence. I went into hiding and stopped getting my medication, and with the spike in homophobia and lack of HIV treatment medication in Russia, I feared my situation would get much worse, and I couldn't live like that anymore.

I got a six months U.S. tourist visa and flew to San Francisco, and I remember it was the best place on the planet for gay people. For the first time ever it didn't matter that I was gay. I could be open about all aspects of my life. It was magical. I joined a supergroup of other HIV-positive people, and I finally felt safe. I couldn't go back to Russia. Their situation was becoming more hopeless for gay and HIV-positive people.

I applied for asylum after my tourist visa expired, which allowed me to get a job and travel within the U.S. I wanted to explore this beautiful country, so I went on a week-long journey, a vacation to the U.S. Virgin Islands and but I was stopped by <u>immigration</u> officers at the airport on my way back to San Francisco and interrogated about my legal status.

I told them I had an asylum case pending, but I am a resident of California with a Social Security number, paying my taxes, but I was accused of--they accused me of entering the U.S. for the first time and violating my visa then they arrested me. I was flown to a large detention facility in Miami, Krome.

They put me in handcuffs, ankle bracelets and wrapped a chain around my waist and for the next 46 days I was Mr. 876 Russia, and for 10 years I have been managing my HIV in a way would allowed me to live safely and comfortably.

When I was detained my control over my health was completely taken away from me and to protect my compromised immune system from any infection I need to follow a certain diet, have an access to appropriate hygiene and sleep well. *Immigration* detention didn't provide me with any of those things.

I was held in a space with 100 other people while my compromised immune system was exposed to any virus or infection they have. Within the week of being detained I developed a fungal infection. This caused a rash and itchy, red spots on my genitals, and I also developed a cold and fever, and despite my coughing and sneezing and fatigue the officers wouldn't let me--let me rest.

I couldn't sleep at night because it got so cold and it was loud there was 100 persons in the room, and I developed insomnia from stress. Once I spent five hours waiting in the freezing concrete room to see a specialist, and after four hours they told me he wouldn't be able to see me and the doctor wouldn't be back for two days. My lawyer explained to the *immigration* judge which each day I spent in detention put my life in danger, but he didn't seem to care.

I was an HIV gay man; I had a strong asylum case, but he didn't seem to care. Even though I did everything right in my asylum application he didn't seem to care. I got an asylum interview notice while I was in detention, but the judge wouldn't let me attempt. He wouldn't halt my deportation proceedings.

I spent <u>another</u> month in detention. I spent my 30th birthday in detention and the criminal--as a criminal in the eyes of US government, and I never thought this country would treat an HIV positive gay man this way. It felt like it was happening to someone else it wasn't my story.

So in July of this year, five years after arriving in the United States, I won my asylum, and it was a huge relief for me. I felt a security I have never felt before. I can now start building a future knowing I will never have to go into hiding again.

I am asking all of you sitting before me today to protect the rights of all asylum-seekers so they can find these same relief and the security I did to dismantle obstacles to those seeking safe haven in this beautiful country, to clear the path to LGBTQ and HIV-positive asylum-seekers to find what they can't in our home countries support, safety and freedom to be who we are. Thank you very much.

JAYAPAL: Thank you very much for your testimony, Mr. Davydov. Ms. Engochan, you have five minutes.

NEGOCHAN: Chairwoman Lofgren, Vice Chairwoman Jayapal--sorry, Ranking Member Buck and distinguished members of the subcommittee on <u>immigration</u> and citizenship thank you for the opportunity to speak today about my experience an asylum seeker in the United States.

I didn't want to leave Cameroon, it was my home, and it was home to the people I loved. Like all refugees, I was forced to leave. I decided to come to the United States. It was the obvious choice. The United States is a powerful country that will take me.

Since I was a child I knew America welcome people from all over the world. It would be a hard journey but at the end of that I would I assumed find some safety and peace. I never imagined that I would be put in chains or locked up in a crowded detention center with other traumatized humans. Sorry. I decided--sorry.

JAYAPL: It's okay. Take your time.

ENGOCHAN: In February 2019 I crossed the United States at the San Ysidro port of entry in San Diego. I was taken into custody. Two things stood out in my memory. One is the bitter cold in my soul. The other is my bitter fear.

I had no idea what would happen. For all I knew I would never be free again. Two weeks later when they transported me they put me in chains. I now know this is called five point shackles. I didn't understand why they were treating me like a dangerous criminal. I still carry that humiliation with me.

After a brief stop in Arizona I was taken to the Adelanto detention facility in California. The good news was (INAUDIBLE) officer would give me an idea what was happening. The bad news is I was trapped in a cruel. At Adelanto we weren't given enough food. I was hungry all of the time and I was tired all of the time and had trouble sleeping because of the fear that I would be sent back to my country and because the light didn't go off until 1 o'clock in the night and we were woken up only a few hours later between 4 and 5 AM.

The medical staff run test on me twice but after the second test they never told me the results even though I was detained for several months. Maybe the worst thing in Adelanto was all of the crying, women crying because they were reliving trauma or because they had suffered new abuse or because they had gotten bad news in their case. The detention center is a house of tears.

I applied for parole, but it was denied even though I had a sponsor. Other women had more than one sponsor and were also denied parole. There seemed to be no hope for me; then I applied for a lawyer. The asylum process was a mystery to me. The thought of facing a judge alone when my life and freedom on the line that terrified me.

I was lucky I got a lawyer from human rights first even though for her, I probably still be locked up or deported back to danger in my country. At my hearing the judge told me I had received asylum and that same day I was released from Adelanto after over six months in detention.

I am grateful for the asylum. I am living at my aunt's, and I'm looking forward to my new life, but my painful past is still with me, and it includes the months I spent locked up in the United States. It does not have to be this way. There are alternatives to detention that help asylum-seekers understand how to apply for asylum and where and when to show up for our hearings. Locking up refugees who seek asylum is senseless and expensive. The court hearings cost a lot of money.

I urge you please use alternatives to detention programs, expand them. The United States is of all countries should not treat refugees like criminals. Thank you very much.

JAYAPAL: Thank you so much for your powerful testimony. Ms. Altman?

ALTMAN: Thank you. Chairman Nadler, Chairwoman Lofgren, Acting Chairwoman Jayapal, ranking member Buck and distinguished members of the committee my name is Heidi Altman.

I serve as the director of policy at the National Immigrant Justice Center, NIJC. We are a legal services and advocacy organization working to advance the human rights of all immigrants. I am so grateful for the light being shown on this issue today, grateful for the opportunity to be here today and to be following the brave and moving testimony of these three individuals to my right who have survived the destructive system that is the topic of this hearing.

NIJC has for decades endeavored to advocate for the legal and human rights of immigrants in <u>immigration</u> jails throughout the Midwest and nationally and those experiences have brought us to one inexorable conclusion. The United States <u>immigration</u> detention system must end.

I am mindful as we sit here today of a young man named Chris, an NIJC client, he is a legal permanent resident of the United States who has been here since he was 13 and while his deportation case proceeds ICE is holding him right now without the opportunity to seek bond in a county jail in Illinois where he has been for 10 months.

Chris had already served his court-ordered sentence for the drug-related offenses that form the basis of the *immigration* case against him, and these offenses struggled stemmed from struggles with addiction that date back to his childhood when he bore primary responsibility for the care of his mother who is paralyzed from the neck down. Chris's time in *immigration* detention which unlike his criminal sentence has no set end date serves to destabilize his family and disrupt his own recovery, and so today we have to ask toward what end?

Chris is one of nearly 500,000 people held by ICE in this fiscal year in the detention system made up of more than 200 county jails and private prisons that contract with ICE for profit. The Trump administration would have us believe that the only way to manage the migration processing system is by locking up those going through the process, but it hasn't always been this way, and it doesn't need to be this way now.

There are alternative smarter and cheaper and kinder and effective alternatives as you will hear in great detail from my colleague, Ms. Schikore. But the <u>immigration</u> detention system as we know it today constitutes a relatively new experiment in American history.

In the mid-1950s, in fact, the United States government intentionally rejected the institutional use of detention for migration processing, and this move was widely heralded as a forward progress marker. This forward progress halted in the 1980s however when the government adopted a policy of the mass detention of arriving Haitian refugees and explicitly named as its goal the deterrence of future refugees, yet the system took root and through the 1980s and 1990s the same political winds contributing to the mass incarceration of communities of color across the United States fueled the expansion of the *immigration* detention system into for-profit prisons and into county jails.

This administration's commitment to expanding this already bloated system was signaled from day one. The White House's proposed budget for fiscal year 2018 sought \$2.7 billion to ramp up detention capacity to 51,379 people daily, a number they have already surpassed. This astonishing growth has been achieved in direct violation of congressional intent through the transfer and reprogramming of funds across agencies and largely driven by the forprofit prison agency.

This system that is so rapidly expanding is designed for impunity. There are no formal or enforceable regulations providing the minimal standards of care. DHS's own inspector general has sounded the alarm repeatedly regarding deficiencies and corruption in contracting and inspection and as a result abuses persist with little recourse for those harmed.

Medical negligence by ICE and its contractors is responsible for about half of all deaths in custody, and yet men and women continue to die with no remedial measures in place. Thousands of immigrants suffer for months even years in solitary confinement tantamount to torture as while others, as you have heard, are served moldy food, hunger strikes and attempts at suicide are common.

This cycle of abuse and impunity reflects our government's failure to respect the dignity of the lives of those detained, and it is shameful. Today I urge all members of Congress to begin doing the hard work of laying a foundation to end the use of *immigration* detention and while charting the course to finally and urgently bring meaningful accountability to the system. Some quick steps towards that end visiting *immigration* detention center in your district, see what is happening, engage with it; cut funding for ICE's detention and enforcement accounts; support restrictions in DHS transfer authority; support investments in nonprofit operated community-based alternatives and support the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act which remedies many of the most harmful aspects of the detention system including ending mandatory detention, ensuring a presumption of liberty rather than a

presumption of detention for all immigrants and ending the use of private prisons and county jails for <u>immigration</u> detention.

I look forward to working with each of you towards a more humane future. Thank you for being here today.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Ms. Altman. Mr. Baron, you are recognized.

BARON: Chairman Nadler, Chairwoman Lofgren, Vice-Chair Jayapal, Ranking Member Buck and distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to address you today. My name is Jorge Baron, and I serve as the executive director of the Northwest Immigrant Rights Project, a nationally recognized legal services organization that provides *immigration* assistance to over 20,000 low-income people each year in Washington state. I will focus my remarks on how the Trump administration is moving on two different fronts to make it nearly impossible for asylum-seekers to be released from *immigration* detention.

As a way of background what asylum-seekers arrive at our borders, they are generally placed in the expedited removal process, and they are not even considered for release until they have passed a credible fear interview. At that point depending on their manner of entry they may be eligible for a bond hearing before an <u>immigration</u> judge or to ask ICE for release on parole.

Unfortunately the administration is moving to eliminate one option and severely undermine the other. In other words if the administration has its way asylum-seekers will be detained indefinitely.

With regard to bond hearings, it has been settled law for a long time that asylum-seekers who arrive between ports of entry and pass a credible fear interview are eligible to seek release on bond from an *immigration* judge while their full asylum case is considered. It was settled law at least until April of this year when Attorney General Barr issued a ruling in a case known as Matter of M-S-.

In that case, Attorney General Barr purports to reinterpret the <u>immigration</u> statutes to find that asylum-seekers are no longer entitled to bond hearings. Thankfully the attorney general's ruling is currently on hold because of the courage of one of our clients, Yolany Padilla.

Ms. Padilla arrived in the United States in May 2018 with her six-year-old son with the intention of seeking asylum and turned herself in to Border Patrol agents at the southern border. A few hours after Ms. Padilla and her son were taken into custody Ms. Padilla's son was forcibly separated from her without explanation. Ms. Padilla was then taken to a detention center in Texas and later transferred by ICE to Washington state.

Weeks went by, and Ms. Padilla remained detained without a hearing, without a credible fear interview that would initiate the asylum process and most importantly to Ms. Padilla without any contact with her son. And Ms. Padilla was not alone as there were over 200 asylum-seekers in a similar situation at the same federal prison where the women were being held.

With--working with our partners we eventually had to file a federal class-action case on behalf of Padilla and several other parents who have been detained for weeks without any action on their cases. While the case known as Padilla versus ICE was originally focused on the delays in providing credible fear interviews and bond hearings to asylum-seekers after the attorney general's decision in Matter of M-S- the case sought to challenge this ruling as well and in July US District Judge Marsha Pechman decided that the Attorney General Barr could not take away bond hearings from asylum-seekers and put his ruling on hold. However, the government has appealed that decision to the Ninth Circuit, and the risk, therefore, remains that Attorney General Barr's decision may still take effect.

I should note that thanks to the litigation Ms. Padilla was finally granted a bond hearing, was released from detention and was reunited with her son. But if the administration has its way future asylum-seekers in Ms. Padilla situation will remain detained subject to ICE's unreviewable discretion, which brings me to the topic of parole.

Some detained asylum-seekers are not eligible for bond hearings and for them being granted parole by ICE is the only mechanism to obtain release from *immigration* detention yet since 2017 the rate at which ICE has granted

parole to arriving asylum-seekers has dropped to zero or close to zero in some parts of the country. An analysis of five ICE field offices in 2017 found that less than 4 percent of parole request by asylum-seekers were approved even though 92 percent of such a risk at those same offices had been approved just a few years earlier.

The New Orleans Field Office of ICE granted parole in only two out of 130 cases in 2018 and none in 2019 as of a few weeks ago. All of this despite the fact that ICE contends that it continues to abide by the same 2009 policy directive. These practices are being challenged through litigation, and a federal judge just issued an injunction to prevent a number of ICE filled offices from denying parole in violation of its own policy; however, reports from the field indicate that even these judicial orders have not put a stop to ICE's unlawful practices.

We have faced similar challenges in Washington state. Frequently, requests for parole are simply ignored despite multiple attempts at communication with localized officials. And our staff report parole denials in cases that present compelling circumstances for release and that would have been approved in prior years.

As just one example, NIRP is currently representing a young man who arrived in the United States as an unaccompanied child and was initially placed with the Office of Refugee Resettlement. He remained in ORR custody until the day he turned 18 when he is transferred to the Northwest Detention Center where he has spent 18 months in detention all while waiting on his asylum application from U.S. CIS. ICE has twice denied a request for parole on his behalf, and he remains locked up as of this moment despite his significant ties to community he's not representing any danger, and he is having no control on when U.S. CIS will resolve his case.

In closing given the administration's attempt to eliminate or undermine the ability of asylum seekers to obtain release from detention, we call on Congress to move forcefully away from the widespread incarceration of immigrants. As immediate steps we urge Congress to pass into law HR 2415, the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act which addresses some of the most urgent problems in <u>immigration</u> detention system to reduce funding for ICE detention and invest in community-based alternatives to detention programs and to create a robust and effective accountability system that addresses the human rights abuses in the <u>immigration</u> detention system. Thank you for your attention.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Mr. Baron. Ms. Schikore?

SCHIKORE: Chairman Nadler, Madam Chairwoman Lofgren, Vice Chairwoman Jayapal, Ranking Member Buck, distinguished members of the subcommittee thank you for this opportunity to share my experiences and recommendations. It is an honor.

My name is Melania Schikore and I am the executive director of the Interfaith Community for Detained Immigrants, known as ICDI, a 501(c)3 in Chicago. It is in the spirit of compassionate advocacy that I represent 10 staff and over 300 volunteers from 16 different faiths and testify about immigrant detention and alternatives to detention to informed decision-making regarding HR 2415, the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act of 2019. We uphold the dignity and worth of all human beings including ICE officers and county jail staff. All of the world's faiths have a way of referencing our interconnectedness and our responsibility to care for one **another**.

I have worked in a multitude of settings in the realm of <u>immigration</u> since 1990. <u>Immigration</u> is the human-made system we create around the human need to migrate. When a person's safety, livelihood, beliefs or family are threatened they leave and seek a place where they can do what we all hope to do, live, love, laugh, learn and be part of a safe community. This is not a crime, it is a right.

Five of our six programs align with the current <u>immigration</u> system and mitigate the harm of a system modeled after a criminal system. On an annual basis, we make over 8000 detention visits, monitor over 2000 court hearings, pray over 3000 people being deported, assist over 500 people who call our hotline upon release and provide spiritual care for 1500 detained children. Our care is vital, but it is a spiritual Band-Aid in a system that needs a complete overhaul and redesign. We should not be detaining people at the rate that we do nor in the conditions of the current detention system.

Our sixth program, the Marie Joseph House of Hospitality is an alternative to detention and a model for a different future. Here we are free to design care based on the needs of human beings participants enter this program through ICE or lawyer referral, by inquiries we make about people we visit or from the children's centers when a young person ages out which disrupts the standard operating procedure of shackling them and taking them to jail on their 18th birthdays.

Everyone in our care receives individualized case management. We create a short and long-term plan and referred them to medical, dental, mental health, legal, educational and vocational services. Participants learn English, how to take public transportation, how to budget and open up a bank account, use a gas stove, bundle themselves for Chicago winter, enroll in school and much more. They live in a supportive community, make friends, get work authorization and find jobs adjusting to life in this country and becoming independent.

ATDs cost a fraction of what detention does, and they have good compliance rates, but what you get for your dollar is even more important. No one leaves a detention center better than when they got there, but everyone leaves Maria Joseph House better than when they got there.

40 years ago, we did not have the detention system that we do now. In that time private companies have carved out their profit niche on the backs of immigrants. It's not the global norm. In the words of one of our participants from Ghana, I traveled through 14 countries to get here, and the only place they shackled me and put me in jail was the United States. Welcome to America they said.

We are part of a global network of over 400 organizations and individuals in 90 countries that advocate for research and provide direct services to refugees, asylum-seekers, and migrants affected by *immigration* detention. How do we justify putting someone fleeing Nigeria because his life is in danger for being gay into jail? This ICDI participant got asylum, just passed the MCAT and is being solicited by medical schools around the country.

How do we justify detaining and separating for over four months Ms. L and her seven-year-old who were fleeing persecution in the Congo? These ICDI participants were reunited in our house. The daughter who speaks five languages, by the way, is in school and mom is learning English and jobseeking. Each of our participants has a story like this. ATDs are a crucial part of solving the brokenness of our *immigration* system.

The vast majority people seeking refuge in the United States do not pose a threat. It is a stain on our nation's history to imprison people who are vulnerable, have significant trauma histories and are doing what anyone, what any of us would do if we could not live safely or take care of our family where we a\were.

Based on the direct experience of ICDI and the immense body of literature supporting a humane approach to migration I urge you all to do your part in reforming and reconfiguring the current system such that human needs are centered and detention is used minimally and only as a last resort. Lastly I urge you to work to surface the root causes of migration and intervene in all ways possible especially when U.S. trade policies, political interventions, and consumerism make us complicit in the conditions that cause the need to migrate. Thank you.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Ms. Schikore. Mr. Homan, you are recognized for five minutes.

HOMAN: Chairwoman Lofgren, Ranking Member Buck, Chairman Naylor, Ranking Member Collins in recent weeks there has been a slew of stories, and baseless claims that have compared U.S. <u>immigration</u> and customs enforcement detention facilities to that of notorious Nazi death camps. The comparison is 100 percent inaccurate, and it is disgusting to compare us to the atrocities of the Holocaust for God's sakes.

There have been attacks against our facilities and attacks against our agents and officers. There have also been attacks against our contractors that run these facilities for us.

After three--after more than three decades of enforcing <u>immigration</u> law, I can assure you that if we do not have the ability to detain those that illegally enter our country until they see a judge and plead their case, we will never solve the *immigration* crisis on the border.

Here are a few facts you need to know that--that I think America would be shocked at. 72 percent of everybody in ICE custody are in custody because Congress mandates it. So, before you shut down *immigration* detention, you need to look at the law. Nearly 9 out of 10 people ICE arrests have a--a criminal history or pending criminal charges. They are public safety threats.

Our contract facilities have the highest detention standards in the industry. Fact. There is not <u>another</u> state or federal facility that has the detention standards that we have. Go to ICE.gov, look up PBN DS 11. I think a lot of taxpayers would be insulted on the amount of money we spend at such a high standard, highest standards in the industry, best facilities in the world.

Now forgive me, I didn't think Nazi death camps had detention standards. I didn't think they had healthcare. I didn't think they had recreation, law libraries, visitation, three squares a day. It's--it's just--it's a--it--it's a seri--it's just an insulting comparison.

But I really don't think this hearing is about ICE detention. I think this hearing is-this is my third hearing since retirement. I've been asked why do I put myself through this to come up here and get--you know--put--you know--and be--be insulted like I have the last two hearings. Because I love the men and women of ICE and Border Patrol, I know what it's like to wear that uniform and stand in that line, and I will defend the men and women of Border Patrol and ICE until the day I die. This hearing is just <u>another</u> grandstanding political theater to attack our president and this administration and the men and women who serve in this administration.

I talked about the attacks on an ICE facility. We had shots fired at our facility in San Antonio, barely missing an agent, almost killing him. We had a detention facility that was attempted to be burned down with 1400 people in it, 1300, which are immigrants waiting for their detention hearing. I didn't hear one thing from the Democratic leadership about those issues.

I've heard the Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi, make the comment that ICE agents terrorize immigrant-innocent immigrant communities. ICE doesn't arrest immigrant people--innocent immigrants. ICE arrests people who are here in violation of federal law. The law that you enacted. They enforce the law. But there are people in Congress that call for the abolishment of ICE because they don't like what ICE does. ICE is merely enforcing laws that you enact. If you don't like it, change the law. You're the legislator. But don't vilify the men and women of ICE and the Border Patrol.

Nancy Pelosi, said yesterday, the President is not above the law. But she thinks illegal aliens who have a final order issued by the federal judge is above the law. Because when a president announced a national operation to seek those out who had due process and been ordered to remove, she thought that was a terrible thing to do. She went on national TV and talked about how to evade ICE officers, how to not open the doors. So apparently, they're above the law. Because when a federal judge issues a final order after a due process, it means nothing.

You've got Ocasio-Cortez who called our places concentration camps. You've got Congresswoman Yvette Clarke, who stood in front of an ICE building in New York City and called us the Gestapo. You've got Congresswoman Escobar, whose name plaque is over there, that the but she's not here. She had a press the other day--two weeks ago. She compared ICE agents to monsters under children's beds. And we wonder why ICE agents are being attacked, and our facilities shot at and being burned down. We wonder why spouses and children of Border Patrol agents are bullied in schools and churches.

Last hearing, I was called a racist and a bigot because I enforce the laws that you enacted. If I'm a racist and bigot for enforcing the laws that you enacted, what's that make you? You wrote the law. But rather than changing the law and making sense of the law. It's easier to call for the abolishment of federal law enforcement agencies. The men and women of ICE and Border Patrol are national heroes. They're American patriots by the very fact, they put a gun on their hip every day and wear a Kevlar vest. That is their tools of the trade. They put their lives on the line for this country every day. They deserve better than these attacks, from our congressional representatives.

I've buried many Border Patrol agents, and I've buried ICE agents. And those kinds of attacks dishonor their memory, and it dishonors their family. This world is upside down when the people who not only violate the laws, are the victims. And those who enforce the laws are the bad guys.

I'm here to answer your questions. I hope this is a meaningful discussion. I doubt any legislation will come out of this because it's yet <u>another</u> hearing on political theater against our president.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Mr. Homan. Sheriff Jenkins.

JENKINS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Madam Chairwoman, ranking members, and members. I want to offer my perspective from local law enforcement on the importance of ICE detention, honoring ICE detainers, local cooperation with ICE, and how that cooperation really enhances and--and improves local safety.

We're at a crisis point in this country. Congress needs to take serious measures right now in the enforcement of our *immigration* laws both at the border and on the interior. These two--missions have--have overlapping, serious impacts to public safety. One of the critical pieces is detention facilities. We have chaos on the southern border, criminal and gang infiltration of every city, county, and community in the United States, and sanctuary jurisdictions that have placed their citizens at risk by failing to cooperate with ICE or even recognizing ICE. The hostility against ICE has to stop, and demonizing has to end.

Detention and--expeditious removal of criminal aliens are key components of overall public safety. It is imperative that ICE has access to suitable detention facilities throughout the United States. From my perspective, the infiltration of criminal aliens-- crime--criminal alien crime and criminal gangs, such as MS-13 across our open borders and in our communities has severely endangered the public safety of every city and every county in America.

There's been a level of violence never before experienced in this country. This situation would be must--much worse without ICE doing their job. We have allowed the heroin opioid trafficking networks to establish themselves across the entire country through open borders and sanctuary policies with cartels continually infusing heroin, fentanyl, and other dangerous drugs into Americans. Nowhere is it more evident than in my home county of Frederick in Maryland just an hour away from here where we've seen in the past seven years record numbers of both fatal and non-fate--nonfatal overdoses.

For 11 years, my office had--has partnered with ICE in the 287(g) program and the Intergovernmental Services Agreement IGSA housing program. These programs are both examples of the importance and effectiveness of adequate detention beds for ICE. The IGSA agreement provides detention space in the local jail or prison and provides ICE with detention beds to keep those criminals off the street and not be released back onto our--into our communities.

The 287(g) partnership program assists ICE. It facilitates a process within the confines of the jail. No actions are taken on the street whatsoever. No questions are asked regarding <u>immigration</u> status. We have trained correctional officers who work the program under the direct supervision of ICE's close supervision and oversight. And I reemphasize that everything occurs within that jail facility, nothing on the street.

These local agreements are critical and have had the most direct impact on local public safety. They ensure that after criminals are adjudicated and sentences are served that those criminals with detainers are not released back onto the streets of Frederick County to commit what are often more serious and often violent crimes.

Gang membership, by the way, should be an automatic removable offense and nullify any docket protection. The 287(g) program has been very infective--effective over the last 11 years in removing criminal aliens from Frederick County. Over 11 years, there have been 1692 detainers lodged, with 1436 of those detainers served, and those individuals placed into removal. Included in the number are 110 transnational criminal gang members, the majority being MS-13.

In Frederick County, five consecutive years, we have experienced significant reductions in partial or serious crime. We're now in our sixth year. Sanctuary policies across this country have to be stopped. You are going to have to eliminate all and deny all grants to sanctuary counties, eliminate the catch and release loopholes, and enforce in asylum-enforce asylum policies to the letter.

I want to measure-- or I want to mention my neighboring county, Montgomery County, which has recently declared being a sanctuary county. In the past, I'd say 10 weeks. They've had nine rates of young children. They've had a 67 percent increase in MS-13 crime over the past year. Crimes that has shocked the con--conscious of this nation are continuing to happen, and they are totally unnecessary and avoidable.

I want to talk about the jails, and prisons must simply cooperate with ICE to hold a criminal alien. And I do encourage that you find and promote more 287(g) programs.

I went to briefly touch on the--the detention standards some of you mentioned. These federal detention standards are the highest standards out there. We meet--we actually meet all those standards and beyond. We just recently underwent an ODO inspection a week ago before I came down here. Nothing in our jail is subpar, those higher standards are met, and the standards are higher under present--then under President Obama.

So, you have an obligation to Americans first and foremost, before any obligation you might feel you have to--to the people in this country illegally. Americans are frustrated and tired of the open border issue and not enforcing the law. This is all about the rule of law. And I encourage you to support President Trump and his efforts to move this issue forward. Both the interior enforcement and the border crisis. Thank you.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Sheriff Jenkins. We will now proceed under the five-minute rule with questions. And I will begin by recognizing myself for five minutes.

It occurs to me in listening to the compelling testimonies of our first three witnesses that there are two stories being told here. And one is the story of immigrants being murderers, criminals, rapists, people to fear. And the other is the story of countless thousands of people who are being put into <u>immigration</u> jails and incarcerated when they are here with no charge, no conviction, and just awaiting their **immigration** process to continue.

In the last two years, the Trump administration has gone around Congress and has taken millions in funding for crucial functions, like hurricane preparedness, to unlawfully expand detention. The Trump administration would like to have you believe that this is necessary, but I do not believe that is the case.

Mr. Baron, your organization, the Northwest Immigrants' Rights Project provides legal services to people detained at one of the largest detention facilities in the country, the Northwest Detention Center. What does the detained population there look like? What--who are the vast majority of people, the tens of thousands of people that NERP has served over the many years in service, what--tell us about that detained population, who are they?

BARON: Yes. Most of the people that we serve and most of the people who are detained at the Northwest Detention Center are asylum-seekers who have been detained, and it's some--sometimes they're processed people because there have actually been people who have been detained at the southern border by Customs and Border Protection and then brought up to the Northwest Detention Center to undergo their asylum hearings. In most cases, they have already passed own their credible fear interview, meaning that they have already been screened and found to be--to have a significant possibility of qualifying for a humanitarian protection here in the U.S. and--and those are the--the majority of the people who are at the Northwest Detention Center.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. Ms. Altman in--in your role as policy director at the National Immigrant Justice Center, is that consistent with national trends that you see? Who do you typically see making up the vast majority of people in detention?

ALTMAN: It is consistent, Congresswoman. As of the most recent data released by ICE, about 65 percent of those in detention were transferred from the border, which is almost exclusively asylum-seeking population. 35 percent taken from communities inside the United States.

JAYAPAL: And we have three witnesses here today who are survivors of the U.S. detention system. I am so sorry on behalf of our government for what you have experienced, but I'm glad that you're here today to courageously share your stories.

Ms. Saavedra Roman, ICE detained you for over a month, even though you have legal status under DACA, correct?

ROMAN: Yes, ma'am. DACA was current during that time.

JAYAPAL: So, you had current legal status, and yet you were detained as if you were a prisoner as you said.

Mr. Davydov, ICE detained you for 46 days, even though you were complying with your court proceedings and you had a pending asylum case, a case which you just won a few months ago, correct?

DAVYDOV: Yes, that is correct.

JAYAPAL: And Ms. Engochan, you came to the United States seeking asylum and ICE detained you, for six months, releasing you after you were granted asylum, correct?

ENGOCHAN: Yes, over six months.

JAYAPAL: Ms. Altman, according to the 2019 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, ICE continues to spend at an unsustainable rate. The report proposes that "in light of the committee's persistent and growing concerns about ICE's lack of fiscal discipline, whether real or manufactured and its inability to manage detention resources within the appropriations made by law without the threat of anti-deficiency. The committee strongly discourages transfer or reprogramming requests to cover ICE's excesses." In light of this report, would you recommend that ICE continue to be given appropriations that are outside the "appropriations made by law."

ALTMAN: We would certainly recommend against that and strongly recommends that DHS's transfer and reprogramming authority be restricted into the ICE enforcement and detention account. And it's warranted by a history now that we know of at least four years in a row of overspending what Congress has provided, and then taking accounts as you mentioned, money from other priorities, such as FEMA to make up for it.

JAYAPAL: Ms. Schikore, what's the cost of detention compared to the cost of alternatives to detention that could be used for people that have never been convicted much less charged with a crime?

SCHIKORE: I'm--the--the cost difference of ATD's.

JAYAPAL: Yes.

SCHIKORE: As I mentioned, ATDs cost a fraction of what detention costs. Studies put the cost between \$12 and \$50 for ATDs versus \$70-\$200 a day for detention, and as I mentioned in my testimony, you have to ask, what are you getting for this money.

JAYAPAL: And how have other countries made use of ATDs? What are some of those examples of successes? And what best practices can be applied?

SCHIKORE: The International Detention Coalition has identified over 250 examples of alternatives from 60 different countries. One that I could highlight is the Toronto Bail program in Canada. This program has been operating since 1996. It is funded by the government, and it relies on strong case management, support, information, and advice, and supervision. The case managers also identify and address issues such as substance abuse, drug addiction, mental health needs as our program does. This program only costs Canada \$10-\$12 per person compared to \$179 for detention and has maintained a retention rate in the--from 94 to 96 percent.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Ms. Schikore. My time has expired. And with that, I yield to the--I recognize the gentleman from Arizona, Mr. Biggs.

BIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. And I--I will just say that this hearing is meant to be on the expansion of ICE facility and ICE detentions. So, I want to just talk about some of these that actually happened in ICE detention. At least in one facility, they have rewarded--2779 GEDs and high school equivalency degrees, awarded 9131 vocational training certificate--certifications, and awarded 8842 substance abuse treatment program completions in the 2018 time period.

That's remarkable, by any standards. By any standards and I--but we--but we're not spending our time talking about any of this today. We--we--we've had some testimony--I want to say thank you, Mr. Homan, Sheriff Jenkins, for being here. I thought your testimony was compelling as well.

And I--and I have to say this, and I'm going to bring it up, and I'm not trying to offend. I just want--I just want to talk about facts. The DACA program is not a change of status from illegal to legal, what it is the affirmative action which provides just a temporary status to be in this country legally, so--so that--that--that works out that way. But DACA is very clear. You can't leave the United States without prior authorization.

To do so is a violation of--of DACA and--and in--in turn actually has the potential to actually change your status. And so, I'm sorry you are detained, but that is--that's the law. I mean this is a--this is a rule of law country. That's what makes America different. That's what--that's what protection preserves Americans freedom throughout the world is the rule of law in and of itself.

Mr. Davydov, I'm glad you got asylum. I'm okay with that, but you said in your testimony--your written statement "I came on a tourist visa with the intention to stay in the U.S." Guess what that is? That's a violation of the law.

And then you waited until after that visa expired and applied for asylum. Guess what that is? That's a violation of the law. That's what it says in your statement. That's what you said today.

So, even though you're granted asylum, it's unusual, I think, to--to say I can break the--the laws of this country twice, but I'm going to get--I want asylum to be here. I'm fine with you being here, but the point is, you violated the law twice. The testimony and the evidence are clear, and the data is clear.

Nine out of 10 people that are in ICE custody have some kind of criminal background. That's why they're there. ICE is out there. But they--but they are criticized.

We have people saying let's defined ICE. We have people on this panel today say, let's reduce the funding for ICE. ICE is under tremendous pressure because someone mentioned the astonishing growth in ICE detentions. Well, you know what caused that? How about 148,000 people rushing the border, in one month, in this country. One month on the southern border.

I live in a border state. I grew up in southern Arizona. I've been down to the border and I've--visited facilities a half a dozen times, I believe it is this year. I spent weeks, literally, on the border, accumulated this year. And in ICE detention facilities and other holding facilities, I know what goes on.

So, when you say go down and visit them, I'm there. I'm there. I see it. I talk to you to people almost every day whether they're ranchers or--or how about this one? How about Steve Ronnebeck's family. Explain to him Grant, killed by an illegal alien. Shot point-blank. Man was deported twice.

Mary Ann Mendoza, who lost her son Brandon, killed by an illegal alien. We don't hear about that.

How about this? We're talking about 287(g). Sheriff Jenkins, how about this? You've got Santa Clara County, California, guess what--guess we just have here? What you have is on Monday ICE captured a violent criminal and convicted rapist who was a fugitive for a year and a half. The reason he was a fugitive is because Santa Clara County refused to honor an ICE detainer in February 2018, even though he was arrested. Why was he arrested? For not registering as a sex offender. These programs put Americans in jeopardy.

Mr. Homan, is there anything you like to respond to what you've heard today?

HOMAN: Yeah, I'd like to respond to Acting Chairman Jayapal and your comment about the Trump administration moving money around for more detention beds. I'd like to remind you under the Obama administration we did that most of the years he was president. We move money around DHS, and it's called reprogramming. We did that under the Obama administration, and I didn't hate--I didn't remember any hearings on that. And I'd also like to remind you that--that under the Obama administration, I mean you're quick to point out that the cages were built under the Obama administration. I was there. Family detention, we had 100 family beds under the Obama administration. We built 3000 more. So, when there was a surge in FY '14 or FY '15 on the border, Congress was quick to give all the money we needed to build the tent facilities, the transportation contracts. We reprogrammed money for the majority of the year. He was president. That was fine. Under the Obama administration FY '12, we removed 409,000 people. Half of what was removed last year. There was no hearings on that. So, I--I--you know if this is about transparency, let's be--let's be factual about it.

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired. Since you did address some comments at me, I'll just say that I didn't like it under the Obama administration either. In fact--

HOMAN: But be honest with the American people--

JAYAPAL: Excuse me.

HOMAN: You can't point out--

(GAVEL)

-- Faults the Trump administration--

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan.

HOMAN: When it happened under the Obama administration.

(GAVEL)

That's dishonesty.

(GAVEL)

It's pathetic, and it's sad.

(APPLAUSE)

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan, I control the time, and I am the chairwoman of the committee. Thank you for respecting that. I didn't like it under the Obama administration. And I'll remind you, Mr. Homan, that you also testified before Congress in support of Obama's priorities enforcement program before the Senate Judiciary Committee on May 19, 2016, which had a very different approach. With that--

HOMAN: Well can I respond--can I respond--

JAYAPAL: With that--with that--

HOMAN: Can I respond to that?

JAYAPAL: No, you may not.

HOMAN: Of course not.

JAYAPAL: With that, I recognize the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Mr. Nadler, for five minutes.

NADLER: Thank you. I want to make a couple of comments before I start questions. We heard about the overcrowding, about the lack of adequate funding, about the overcrowding, about how many people kept in detention, and how necessary it is to protect the public safety. We heard from three witnesses here.

We heard from Ms. sav--Saavedra Roman. She was a DACA recipient. She was a threat to nobody. Her employer made a mistake and said as a flight attendant she should go to a foreign country. It wasn't her fault. And she was kept in detention for 38 days wasting money, not to mention her liberty.

We heard from Mr. Davydov that he fled for his life. Yeah, he may have come here under false pretenses. That's what asylum-seekers fleeing for their life may have to do. He was granted asylum because our courts recognized the threat to his life. And we heard how in custody, his medical needs were ignored. He got infections because his HIV medications were not provided. And there was a total lack of regard by ICE for his medical conditions.

And we heard from Ms. En--Engochan, who fled for her life from Cameroon and was granted asylum by our courts recognizing the threat to her life. She was kept in custody for six months. We also heard from Mr. Davydov that while he was in custody, he missed--that he missed an asylum hearing or--or credible fear hearing. And apparently, ICE doesn't allow people to go to their asylum--asylum or credible fear hearings. I--I'm not sure how he got asylum anyway, but that's certainly risking it.

So, I am unimpressed when I hear from--Dr.--Mr. Homan about the good--and--and we heard about the terrible conditions, the lack of heat, the lack of decent food, the terrible crowding. We've heard that before, so I'm unimpressed when I hear from Mr. Homan about how wonderful face--the facilities are.

Ms. Schikore, I want to discuss alternatives to detention. We've heard a lot from the administration about how individuals in removal proceedings do not show up their court dates when they are on an alternative to detention like ankle monitors and phone check-ins. At the same time, I have seen data that shows how compliance rates are high and even higher or 95 percent when an individual actually has an attorney. What is the likely that someone will show up to court under alternatives to detention as compared to in detention?

SCHIKORE: In our particular program, we've had full compliance, and this includes someone--

NADLER: 100 percent?

SCHIKORE: 100 percent in our program, and this includes someone who ultimately was deported who turned himself in. Across the board, the literature shows, the research shows that compliance rates are high. Community-based programs address the factors that make compliance difficult, such as substance abuse, drug addiction, mental health issues, and things like a lack of transportation, a lack of understanding of court proceedings and legalese.

In Chicago, we had an issue where there was a lack of signage in the courts, so people couldn't understand it and were not making their court dates. And then there's also the concern about wrong court dates and the use of fake court dates on placeholders when people get noticed. That is a big problem for people showing up on time. But across the board, the alternatives have very high compliance rates.

NADLER: High compliance rates. Thank you. Ms. Altman, I want to turn to you. Could you help us address some of the issues that have been mentioned related to immigrants in crime and deportations in crime rates? My understanding is that the data shows no correlation between deportations and a reduction in crime rates. Is that correct?

ALTMAN: That's correct, Congressman. And I thank you for your comments at the outset. The--the public safety arguments today attempt to paint immigrants in broad strokes. I agree that they further racist tropes. Most importantly though there are speeches, they are not based in the data. ICE's own data disproves them.

In addition to the numerous studies that you mentioned that show that there is actually no correlation between place of birth or <u>immigration</u> status and crime rates in the United States, we actually have a whole body of new evidence that just came out this summer, very robust evidence out of University of California at Davis, showing that programs

like 287(g) and secure communities that the sheriff trumpets, programs that increase deportations and detentions in community have absolutely no impact on crime rates. An impact showed a very--in fact showed a very marginal increase in crime rates.

On the other hand, we know from again, numerous studies that what these increased detentions and deportations do is to destabilize communities, to disrupt families, to take breadwinners away from their homes leading to homelessness, food insecurity, and numerous problems with outcomes of children who are being raised now without a mother or father.

NADLER: So, the propaganda about the connection between immigrants in crime is just that propaganda, in that there's no evidence or considerable evidence to the contrary, that immigrants whether legal or otherwise commit crimes at a lower rate or equal lower rate than--than people here to start with. Is that correct?

ALTMAN: That's correct. It's--it's for political purposes, sir.

NADLER: Thank you very much. I yield back.

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired. I now recognize Ms. Lesko--the gentlewoman from Arizona, Ms. Lesko, for five minutes.

LESKO: Thank you, Madame Chairman. One of the--thank you all of you for being here today. One of the witnesses, I think Melanie Schikore, I think if I said your name right, said we really need to address the root causes of migration on people coming here, and I couldn't agree more. In fact, the Republicans on this committee, including myself, I have six bills myself that are--have been sent to the Judiciary Committee that I believe will get to the root cause of the problem and help solve the border crisis. And I hope that Chairman Nadler will--will hear those bills so we can get to the root cause of the problem.

I live in Arizona. I recently toured the Eloy, Arizona Detention Facility there. I went to all different--I went inside a cell. I saw what was happening with the health--health checkups. And I also sat down and ate lunch with the date-detainees, and it was like cafeteria food, kind of like from school. And I have to tell you I didn't see all these egregious things that--that have happened. And so obviously if there are bad things happening, we need to correct them.

And so, Mr. Homan, you know the--the Inspector General has certain recommendations when there was problems in detention centers. And do you know if ICE has agreed to fix those problems? And--and what's the status of fixing those problems?

HOMAN: Yeah, when the Inspector General has a finding, ICE has a certain amount of days to correct that finding and return the report to the IG. So, yeah, there's incidences where--where there's failures, but we've got to remember you--you can point out 6-7 cases here all day. But 400,000 people come through our system a year. And I--and I--and in my testimony, even though some people may be unimpressed with it, it's factual. The highest detention standards in the industry. Highest. And--and--and-congressman--

UNKNOWN: BIGGS.

HOMAN: Yeah.

(LAUGHTER)

Made a statement earlier about you know how many people got GEDs, but no one here's talking about how many lies we saved in *immigration* detention. Many times, we're the first doctor these people see. They come to us in bad shape after making that terrible journey. We--many times we provide the first doctor they've ever seen. And our family residential centers, we provide the first vaccinations for these children.

LESKO: Well--

HOMAN: And--and as far--and as far--and as far--let me--is far as the criminals that have no impact on a criminal-removing 127,000 criminal aliens from the United States certainly has an impact on less crime in the United States. And as far as illegal aliens committing less crimes, I don't know. I know the Cato study everybody once referred to is a flawed study because it wasn't based on data. It wasn't based on state and local records. Most crimes are state and local arrests.

Here--here's a question that needs to be answered. How many crimes could have been prevented if the illegal alien wasn't here? If we had true border security, we could prevent a lot of crimes from happening. So, it ain't who commits more. It's that this series of crimes was certainly preventable if we--if we secure our border.

LESKO: Well and thank you. And so, I--you know I basically want to say I--in the facilities I've been to, they were all clean, well-maintained. I've not only gone to detention centers. I went to a facility where they house unaccompanied children. That was a very nice facility. We're doing education. And if there are problems, they need to be addressed just like in any agency. And so, my understanding is that ICE has said yes, we will follow the recommendations by the Inspector General and have worked on correcting them.

I want to applaud the men and women that work for ICE and our Customs and Border Patrol. Unfortunately, you're right. They've been villainized. Now, are there cases that may be bad? There are cases that are bad in any type of agency, and we need to address them.

But let's please not villainized our law enforcement. They are doing the best they can under a very huge crisis problem. And I agree we need to get to the root of the problem. We need to reform our *immigration* laws. Because right now they are an incentive for all these people to have to travel thousands of miles from Central America to come here and that's what we need to get at.

And I hope Mr. Chairman that you are--will hear our bills in committee. And with that, I yield back my time.

JAYAPAL: The gentlewoman yields back. The gentlewoman from California is recognized for five minutes.

LOFGREN: Thank you. I think this is a useful hearing. And it's just I've got to say that asylum is part of American *immigration* law. It's not illegal to apply for asylum. Having said that, I think, obviously, there are issues going on in Central America that have led people to flee. And it would be very useful for the United States to play the lead to try and help those countries stabilize, so the people don't have to flee for their lives.

Having said that, we have a--a lady here today who fled for her life from Cameroon. So, are--there are people who are seeking political asylum from all over the world, and our laws provide for that because we have been a beacon of hope and a sign of freedom an example for the world for many years.

Now, I want to talk to you because you live in my district, Mr. Davydov. I want to thank you for coming to tell your story. As someone who is HIV-positive, you obviously need specific medical attention and care, which you mentioned. Can you describe the medical treatment you received or didn't receive in a little more detail in--in ICE detention?

DAVYDOV: Sure. I--I received my prescribed medicine, what I received before in California after I told them I need that. But the problem is the HIV treatment is just a part of the whole thing.

LOFGREN: Just a part of it?

DAVYDOV: Yes. And since I don't know what was the cause of my infections it--either it was stress or like this--

LOFGREN: You can't be--your immune--your--your--

DAVYDOV: Yes, my immune system showed some signs of infection and this--it was a problem of secondary infections. Then they start manifesting. I cannot get a treatment or a doctor who can even tell me anything about that. And in some cases, I was waiting for many days to just be seen by doctor.

LOFGREN: You know, I just want to mention--a concern that I have. In December 2017, the Trump administration ended what had been a presumption that if you are pregnant that you would be released from custody because of the vulnerability you have as a pregnant woman. That's no longer the case. And so, we've got pregnant women in custody who are seeking asylum, and the number of miscarriages has doubled.

We've even had stillbirths in custody. And I think that I would just say that is something that ought to be revisited. Because to have miscarriages or stillbirths is just not the right thing, and this policy does promote that. And it serves no one's interests to have that occur. I would just say further I've also visited these facilities. And I know that when I visited facilities, there was a scurry around of his advanced to clean things up a little bit before you come.

I think it's telling that we've had an employee of the Department of Homeland Security in the Trump administration advising that there are serious problems in ICE custody provisions, the report in December 2017. You're right, Mr. Homan you have a chance to respond and--and to improve. But that was followed by a similarly damaging report in September 2018 from the Inspector General of the Department of Homeland Security. And again, just this June, we had what was transmitted as an emergency report from the Department of Homeland Security about conditions in confinement. We have a problem here in how individuals are being treated in these facilities. I think there is a management problem here.

I mean to think that we would have this young lady, a flight attendant, held a tremendous government expense. She doesn't oppose a threat to anybody. Or this--Mr. Davydov, who--he doesn't oppose a threat to anybody. Or the gentlelady from Cameroon, she doesn't oppose a threat to anybody.

We spent a whole lot of money keeping them in--in chains as if they were a threat. It's a waste of money. It's a waste of the taxpayer's money, in addition to being very traumatic for the individuals involved. I think we need to--to revisit how we are spending our money.

And--and we are wasting huge amounts of the taxpayer's money locking people up who pose no threat and--and really not honing in on things that are important. You know I realize there can be a circumstance when someone does need to be detained. You know we all know that that's the case, but to spend our resources for this flight attendant was really a waste of taxpayers' money. I yield back, Madam Chair.

JAYAPAL: I thank the gentlewoman for yielding. And I now recognize the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Steube, for five minutes.

STEUBE: Thank you, Madam Chair. There is a crisis at our southern border. And just for this year, I had staff pull the number just for this year, and this does not include September numbers. Just for this year, 811,000 illegal immigrants have been detained at the southern border. Now let me put that into perspective for you. That is larger than my entire congressional district. So, an--an entire congressional district of people, which I represent nine counties in the state of Florida, nine counties in the state of Florida more than that population have illegally entered our country.

In Fiscal Year 2018, 92 percent of aliens in ICE custody were either convicted criminals, had pending criminal charges, or were recent border entrants. And the site is on ICE's websites. It's the Fiscal Year 2018 report. All you have to do is go look. That's what the report states.

Yet we sit here, and we do absolutely nothing to address this crisis at our border. I see people here who all appear to have children or family members that were killed by illegal immigrants, yet we're doing absolutely nothing to address illegal immigrants that are coming into our country and killing our own very citizens.

I think Mr. Homan said it best. Our country is upside down. We have those breaking our federal laws being characterized as victims. And those who are enforcing our laws, the laws that this body created, as being demonized as villains. And thank God we have a president willing to take on this crisis. Thank God we have men and women in our ICE and our law-enforcement that are willing to stand up and swear on oath to our Constitution to server protect our nation and our country.

I don't know. My--my father is a retired sheriff. My brother is a deputy in a local sheriff's office in Manatee County, Florida. He's served for 18 years. And I have frequent conversations with them. I don't know how Americans today would be willing to serve in law enforcement.

During the time that my dad went in 40 years ago, law-enforcement officers were respected. They were revered. And that just isn't the case anymore. I don't know anybody that would be willing to serve right now, given the fact that law-enforcement officers are specifically targeted to be killed.

They're being, as Mr. Homan elucidated and talked about at our ICE detention centers, shot at. Their families are being threatened. And these are the people that simply want to serve our country, server nation, and server community in the defense of our laws, and they are being demonized. And it is a sad state of affairs in our country that we are at this place.

I want to thank Mr. Homan for his testimony today and the sheriff for coming here today. I would yield the remainder my time to Mr. Homan. You were cut off earlier, and you weren't able to respond to a question that the chairwoman gave to you. So, you have two minutes to respond to that question.

HOMAN: Like I said, I supported the--President Obama's policies. I supported many of his policies. We've got to remember I was a career law enforcement officer. My--my job was to execute a mission within the framework provided me.

So, when the White House and the Department of Homeland Security issues a priority, my job isn't to question it. My job is to execute it like I'm executing a mission on this President. And President Obama gave me a Presidential Rank Award. The highest reward available to a civilian. Because I think I did my job pretty good. I think we saved a many, many lives in ICE.

And--and a couple of things I agree with them on, the Priority Enforcement Program. It was the only game in town. We were locked out of jail. So, the Private Enforcement Program gave us access to jail, so at least we can get the felons. That was better than nothing.

And Secretary Jeh Johnson, who I respect greatly, gave me a seat at the table and we talked about all of the executive actions. He gave me a seat at the table and said here's what we're proposing. What are your thoughts on it? He gave me input.

Now I didn't win most of those arguments. If Secretary Jeh Johnson was sitting here, he'd tell you we disagreed on a lot of things. But I was grateful that the administration gave me a seat at the table. To at least--so I could hear things that were coming.

So, the Obama administration wasn't all bad. He gave us unlimited money; unlike you're fighting today on--give us the detention facilities that we need. Give us--give us--give us the policies we need. They don't even need to mention 100 beds. They give us 3000 more.

So, yeah, I got--President Obama--I agree with a lot of things he did. I didn't agree with everything. I didn't agree with most, but I agreed with a lot of what he's done. So again, but when I became in ICE director I--I came back from retirement because of this President.

President Trump had it right across the board from day one. From his speech in Arizona, he was right on the money every step of the way.

Sir, I think you for your comments because ICE agents and Border Patrol agents are national heroes. They're-they're American patriots. They leave the safety and security of their homes every day to defend this nation. So, thank you for those comments.

We've got to remember these Border Patrol agents; they take sicknesses home to their own families every day. These Border Patrol agents brought from their own children to the Family Residential Centers, so, these children had something to play with, at the border patrol stations. These are mom and dads too. They didn't hang their heart

at the--at the foot of the door when they--when they put that badge on. These are American pate--patriots that need to be honored rather than vilified by many members of Congress.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. The time of the gentleman has expired.

HOMAN: Ma'am, everybody else went over five minutes. Can I have one more minute? Just one more minute, please?

JAYAPAL: I'm sorry, Mr. Homan. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Before I go to the next witness, without objection, I'd like to make the following documents part of the record, a statement from the ACLU, a statement from Asian Americans Advancing Justice, a statement from the American *Immigration* Council, a statement from Al Otro Lado, a statement from the Center for Victims of Torture, a statement from Detention Watch Network, a statement from Friends Committee on National Legislation, a statement from the Government Accountability Project, from the Interfaith *Immigration* Coalition, from the National *Immigration* Forum, from the Southeast Asia Resource Action Center, from United We Dream, and a statement from an impacted individual of Abdulkadir Abdulahi.

And with that, I now recognize the gentlewoman from Texas, Ms. Garcia, for five minutes.

GARCIA: Thank you, Madam Chair. And--and first, I want to begin by associating with--associating myself with the opening remarks of the chairman when he pointed out that it is simply not true that immigrants commit more crimes than native-born Americans. I think the--I agree with him. The statistics show just quite the opposite.

Secondly, I want to thank the three witnesses today that are here to share their stories. I just cannot imagine being held in detention even one day, and I know that most of you have spent many, many more days. And--and I was particularly moved by the written statements of our friend from--

UNKNOWN: (OFF-MIC)

GARCIA: Madam Chair, if I can restore some of my time because--

JAYAPAL: Order. Order. I will advise the individuals in the audience to--that you are not allowed to speak, and you're not allowed to make any demonstrations. Thank you. The gentlewoman is recognized. Please restore her time

GARCIA: Thank you. I was particularly moved by the comments in the written statement by our friend from--Ms. Anga--Engacomb?

UNKNOWN: (OFF-MIC) Engochan.

GARCIA: Where she describes the detention center as a house of tears. A house of tears. Just imagine being there and really not having been convicted of any crime, so you're not a criminal. And you described in your notes what's really most troubling about some of these detention centers. That there really more incarceration centers than detention centers.

I personally have visited over 10 detention facilities and/or ORR facilities, and I've seen what is going on in some of these facilities. And I must say that I disagree with my colleague from Arizona. I don't see Cafe--school cafeteria-like food. In fact, I joked at one center and asked who's got the contract for their frozen burritos? Because it seems like that's the staple in almost every single one of them. Or the one for the ramen noodles? Because that seems to be a staple.

And for my colleagues to say that we're doing nothing. They seem to forget that just yesterday we passed a bill, out of the House, that not only is a--creates an ombudsman, but also provides for more training and some more assistance for some of the officers. And then we also passed a bill that set humanitarian standards for medical needs and--and human needs, that Dr. Reese filed of that we passed before the recess. So, we are doing

something. But the point is, it's not enough. And for me the thing that's more concerning, and I would ask the lawyers--and thank you to all the lawyers that are there to represent people who so desperately need help.

I'm more concerned with not only the--the criminalization and incarceration at these facilities but also the for-profit motive of many of the facilities that I have visited. So, I wanted to ask a question specifically about some of our vulnerable populations. And my colleague from California already asked about pregnant women. But I'm particularly concerned about the shackling of pregnant women. Does that practice still take place? Either one of the lawyers.

ALTMAN: Thank you so much for raising the question, Congressman Garcia, and for all you've done on this issue. You know we--we don't have good information in many cases and on many issues out of ICE on what's happening in detention, and so I can't answer with certainty if--if right now shackling is occurring of pregnant women. And we do know that it has been an issue that has persisted.

Generally, if I can, though. I would take the opportunity to note that that's one of many issues in which the standards that Mr. Homan is lifting up today are flagrantly violated. They also happen to be standards that are taken from correctional standards. And so, I would argue that the very use of them in this context is improper. OIG has reported on--repeatedly reported on the fact that even well-documented deficiencies of their own standards that ICE has repeatedly committed to correct, go uncorrected for years. And so certainly the shackling and mistreatment of pregnant women is--is one of many issues that fall within that category.

GARCIA: Well, I filed a bill to--to stop that. But I'm also concerned because in Homestead when I visited, with my-the invitation of my colleague from Florida who will speak after me, as soon as the child is--turn--turns 18 ICE comes to pick them up at the ORR Center, and they put him in handcuffs and shackling. Why would they do that? They have not been convicted of any crime. They are not prisoners. There's--well at that point they're 18, so I guess they are adults, but it's not a crime to be an adult. Is it?

ALTMAN: It is not a crime. And also add because we do actually have pending litigation on this issue that it's also an unlawful practice by ICE. The law requires that in the case of vulnerable 18-year-olds who are coming out of the shelter that there be consideration of placement in an alternative to detention program or continuum of care like the programs that Ms. Schikore has described.

And ICE is flagrantly ignoring this instruction. We've seen a--a--a massive increase in the detention. It is extremely disorienting for 18-year-olds who are largely seeking asylum in the United States to go from an ORR Shelter into the kind of incarceration like setting that you've heard described today.

GARCIA: Right. And is it Sickore?

SCHIKORE: Schikore.

GARCIA: Schikore, wanted to ask you. I was struck, you said that the program that you run is conservatively more cost-efficient and cost less than what it takes for us to detain someone. It--I really, really want to dig in a little bit more on that. I think I was restored with about a minute. Can I just ask to finish the question, very quickly?

JAYAPAL: Very quickly.

GARCIA: What we also have found out is that at for-profit centers it--they are getting paid a lot more per day for each person they are detaining than the nonprofit centers. Are you finding that to be true also?

SCHIKORE: Yeah, that's definitely true that the--the private centers get more higher per diem. We deal with four county jails in the Chicago area, and there per diem is somewhere between \$70 and \$90 depending on which jail.

GARCIA: Okay--

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

GARCIA: I yield back. Thank you.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. I would like to briefly address the members of the audience in the hearing room today. We welcome you. We respect your right to be here. We also ask, in turn, for your respect as we proceed with the business of the committee today. It is the intention of this committee to proceed with this hearing without disruptions. And we expect everyone to observe proper decorum.

And with that, I yield to the Ranking Member of the Committee, Mr. Buck, for five minutes.

BUCK: I thank the chairwoman. I want to clarify something. In my home county in Colorado, we have many illegal immigrants. And they are hard-working. They are nonviolent. And they are-other than being in this country illegally they are law-abiding. Mostly because they don't want to be noticed, and they don't want to be deported. And I think that is true when I talked to my colleagues around the country.

However, however, it is not racist to talk about the folks who have lost loved ones, as a result of murders. It is not racist to talk about how we could do better to increase public safety in this country. It is an absolutely necessary conversation that we need to have. A murder that is committed by an illegal immigrant or a crime that is committed by an illegal immigrant is an unnecessary crime because that illegal immigrant should not be in this country. And we have to get that straight.

It is not a matter of race. It is a matter of public safety.

I--I recognize that we have a serious problem. We have a serious problem on our border. We have a serious problem by not recognizing how we can help those in our own hemisphere build their economies, so they don't have the--the motive to come to this country illegally. We have a serious problem with sanctuary cities.

We have serious problems across the border that this body should be dealing with. But do not call others racist and impugn the integrity and the motives of others because we on this side of the aisle want to raise a very important issue because of the--the--the hardship that others are suffering in this country.

Ms. Schikore, I want to ask you a question. I was a prosecutor for 25 years at the federal level and at the state level. And we sought alternatives to detention in--as much as we could for taxpayer reasons. We're trying to save money. And what we tried to do when we advocated in court was, we tried to make sure we distinguished between those who were violent and should not be placed in community detention. And those who were nonviolent and not a flight risk and were more appropriate for community detention. Would you agree with that philosophy as it applies to those that are--that could be detained by ICE? So, asylum-seekers without any violent history, without a flight risk, those that have ties to the community, and others who are violent, would you agree that that should be taken into account when looking at a facility such as yours?

SCHIKORE: Indeed, that should be taken into account, and we do in our program. We don't take people into our program who have a violent history. The nuance that I would like to add is that what we define as criminal is problematic in this country. And we are aware, for example, of people that we were trying to get released to our program, who the only crime they had committed was returning to the U.S. a second time after experiencing additional violence in their home country after being deported. And that was the reason they couldn't be released to us.

BUCK: Sure. And--and there are other crimes that--that we might disagree about. Identity theft is a very common crime with people who are in this country illegally. It's not a violent crime, but it is something that concerns those of us in law enforcement. And we might disagree about the appropriateness. But there are certainly on the--on the edges. There are people on both sides that we would say are appropriate and--and--and not appropriate.

Sheriff Jenkins, I want to ask you the same question. I--having been in law enforcement. I--I think we would probably agree that--that to save taxpayer money--your elected official, to save taxpayer money you want to look at non-detention alternatives when appropriate?

JENKINS: Correct. Our--we--we don't really have the option under our agreement with ICE as far as our non-detention alternatives there for the other actual incarceration of the local system. But I will tell you this every

incarcerated in our jail through the 287(g) program or the housing program has been or is being--has been convicted of a crime or has a serious criminal history. So, I would argue some of the statements made here this morning about those incarcerated are not criminals or convicted criminals.

BUCK: I--I understand. And--and Mr. Homan, I went to give you some time. But I do want to ask one--one quick question. And I want to make one quick statement. I--I find it very offensive that anybody would compare any federal employee frankly to someone who--the Gestapo or running Nazi concentration camps. That is very offensive. I have 15 seconds. And I yield to you.

HOMAN: Thank you for saying that. I wish someone in Democratic leadership would say that out loud. Look, you want to know why there's 50,000 people in detention? You wondering why--we have 1 million illegal entries into the United States? You want to why we're having these issues? Because you have failed to secure the border. You have failed to work with this president to close the three loopholes we've asked for two years--

(GAVEL)

To close.

JAYAPAL: The time has expired.

HOMAN: So, if you want to know why this issue exists, you need to look in the mirror.

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired.

HOMAN: You--you have failed American people by not securing the border--

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan.

HOMAN: And not securing loopholes.

(GAVEL)

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan.

HOMAN: Look--

JAYAPAL: Please respect the chair and the authority of the chair.

HOMAN: You haven't--

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired.

HOMAN: I've asked you politely to let me go beyond my--my time.

JAYAPAL: The gentleman from--

HOMAN: And you let other people go beyond their time--

JAYAPAL: The gentleman from--

(GAVEL)

HOMAN: But not to Tom Homan.

(GAVEL)

JAYAPAL: We have--

HOMAN: He don't get to go beyond his time.

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan, we have--

HOMAN: This is a circus.

JAYAPAL: Agreement between--

HOMAN: This is a circus.

JAYAPAL: The Republicans and the Democrats with the Ranking Member. We increase the time of one witness-one member of Congress who was interrupted by a protest. That is done with the approval of the Ranking Member. Please respect the chair's authority.

HOMAN: I respect the chair's authority. But the chair--

(GAVEL)

JAYAPAL: Mr. Homan.

HOMAN: You work for me.

(GAVEL)

I'm a taxpayer.

(GAVEL)

I'm a taxpayer. You work for me.

JAYAPAL: The witness will suspend. The gentlewoman from Florida is recognized.

MUCARSEL-POWELL: Thank you, Madam Chair. We are losing our civility in this country. And I want to make something very clear to everyone. To the witnesses, thank you for coming here this morning.

We are all Americans. We must all respect the rule of law. We all work very hard to keep our country and our communities safe. But every person that is in the United States deserves due process.

And in the United States of America, we do not violate human rights. And the ICE detention system must be reformed. That is why we're having this hearing here today. There is an alarming lack of oversight in many of the ICE detention facilities.

There are many deaths that could have been avoided that have been reported. We know that 26 people have already lost their lives under ICE custody in the past 2 1/2 years, and many of these have been due to medical negligence. The DHS Inspector General has found that many of these facilities pose health risks. And there is not proper hygiene, proper oversight, overall there is a lack of accountability.

In my district in South Florida, we have an ICE detention facility, the Krome Processing Center. And my office has received multiple complaints that Krome does not off--offer adequate medical or mental health care. We've heard that immigrants are often placed in isolation, solitary confinement. A concern that the DHS Inspector General has raised at other facilities like Krome. We've heard that officers are sometimes slow to respond to concerns raised by detainees and they have fatal consequences.

We heard of a story of the death of Jose Leonardo Lemus Rajo. Jose was a severe alcoholic when he was admitted to Krome in 2016. Despite notifying the center of his condition, Jose did not receive the proper medical attention.

His condition quickly deteriorated because of his withdrawal. He experienced tremors. He was foaming at the mouth and suffered from hallucinations. He died days after he was admitted to this facility.

Doctors reviewing Jose's case indicated that his death was entirely preventable had he been received the right treatment, and said staff treated Jose with sporadic and inadequate doses of medication that ultimately caused his death. Instances like the death of Jose cannot be allowed to occur in our *immigration* system again.

We are in the United States of America. We do not violate human rights. We protect every individual's right to due process. Everyone. We must ensure that adequate oversight is conducted over ICE. And we must never forget that the safety and the well-being of all individuals in this country come first.

And I see the pictures. And I want to tell you I am very, very sorry for your loss. And criminals that commit crimes need to pay the consequences. I agree with you. We just yesterday had a hearing on banning assault weapons. And so, this doesn't justify protecting people that have taken the lives of your family members, and for that, I'm truly, truly sorry. We are talking about accountability and oversight of a federal agency that is violating human rights for people that have not committed those crimes.

So, my question is to Mr. Davydov. The office of refugee resettlement operates the Homestead detention facility in my district. And Homestead is a facility designed for children, and it houses children of all ages from 13 to 17. And when they turn 18, like my colleague mentioned, they are taken on their birthday in shackles to adult detention facilities. Can you just tell me a little bit of--about what you experienced at the Krome Detention Facility in my district?

DAVYDOV: Yes. I--I stayed in the Krome Facility. I was booked there after like 24 hours preceding procedures. I--I-I was pulled in this dormitory room with like 100 ban--bunkbeds. At--at--at the time I showed up, there is no bunkbed for me. They offered me this like I do know foldable bed. But because I was admitted in--in a medical facility after that by the time I returned they found me a place. So, it was super loud. It was very cold at night. And it-it has only like four shower nozzles that's working. The shower--so

(LAUGHTER)

basically--and it was--

MUCARSEL-POWELL: I'm sorry. My time is up. Would you say that it would be appropriate for a child to be in that facility?

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

MUCARSEL-POWELL: If you could just answer?

JAYAPAL: With that, I would like to recognize--

MUCARSEL-POWELL: Thank you.

JAYAPAL: The gentleman from Colorado.

NEGUSE: Madam Chair, I want to thank you for hosting this important hearing and also for your leadership with respect to the--the Dignity and Detention Act that you've introduced. I'm proud to be a co-sponsor of. And we appreciate your leadership, so much in this Congress on these issues for so long.

I also just--I hadn't planned on saying this, but I want to associate myself with the remarks of a distinguished gentlelady from Florida. And that is you know, I--I've served on this committee now for 10 months. I knew that Congress and we've had a number of witness panels where the majority and minority select witnesses who have much to say and--and make their case in a compelling way to know what--to the members here.

And that's certainly the case today with--with many of the folks who are assembled here. But I do think the--the-the bluster and the attacks in opening statements made by one witness in particular on other members of this body, whom are not here to defend themselves. I--I think is inappropriate. I don't think it's conducive to constructive work on these policy items.

And I respect you know my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, very much. I understand that we have different political views on these issues, but that fundamentally we all are trying to do right by our constituents. And so, I would just ask as we think about the witnesses that we call because I--you know I just for the record will submit this is an article dated July 15, 2019. "Ex-ICE Director Says That He Considered "Beating" Latino Congressman During Hearing." And this is from Newsweek. I'll submit it to the record with unanimous consent.

JAYAPAL: Without objection.

NEGUSE: I--I would just say that it--to me it is relevant that we have witnesses before us from both sides of the aisle who come to these issues in good faith to work with members of this body rather than insulting. And I'm certainly going to take that approach. I would hope the minority would do the same. I want to thank the witnesses for sharing your stories, those of you who have been detained as the son of immigrants. I want to say to you that you are just as American as anyone else here in this room. And we appreciate you speaking truth to power and sharing your stories today.

We have heard already from the number of my colleagues about the reality of the growth in <u>immigration</u> detention at an alarming rate since 2017 after two executive orders were issued by the president, which prioritized all undocumented immigrants for detention and removal. The average daily population of people ICE custody this month is over 54,000 a 54 percent increase from 2016.

Even more troubling, nearly 75 percent of these immigrants are detained by ICE in for-profit facilities. These facilities, in my view, put profits for shareholders above the safety and the care of those in detention. And the results, in many cases, have been disastrous. And I will just give you one example. In 2017, Kamyar Samimi, a legal permanent resident of the United States died while in custody of Aurora Detention Facility in Colorado. To date over 30 immigrants, including seven children have died in ICE custody under the current administration.

In my home state of Colorado, GEO Group operates the Denver Contract Detention Facility in Aurora for ICE that holds close to 1500 people. In 2018, the American *Immigration* Council and the American *Immigration*'s Lawyer Association filed a complaint about inadequate medical care in Aurora. That was followed by a supplemental complaint that was filed just a few months ago in June of this year. And most recently, the ACLU released a report, just last week outlining the horrendous conditions at the Aurora facility. I'd ask unanimous consent, Madam Chair, to enter both the American *Immigration* Council in the AS--ACLU report into the record.

JAYAPAL: Without objection.

NEGUSE: I--I will just--you know I know that my time is limited and so I want I will just say this. I do think facts matter. And there are a variety of reasons that I believe justify ending for-profit detention in United States of America. The obvious moral reasons that I think compel us as a body to take that step. But we also are not entitled to our own set of facts.

And Mr. Homan, in your written testimony, you noted in your view, that "Using outside contractors that run facilities like these or their core business function not only save millions in taxpayer funds. But it increases the quality of care for those being detained." With respect to the financial piece of this. I have here fiscal year 2018 ICE's report to the Department of Homeland Security that details the total direct costs \$149.58 for Contract Detention Facilities per bed and \$98.27 for Intergovernmental Service Agreement Facilities, IGSA facilities. I don't think there's any question that we are wasting millions of taxpayer dollars in my view, by having these for-profit facilities--

JAYAPAL: The time of the gentleman has expired.

NEGUSE: And with that, I yield back the balance of my time.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. The time of the gentleman has expired.

BIGGS: May I make a parliamentary inquiry?

JAYAPAL: State your parliamentary inquiry.

BIGGS: I--I'm not sure if it's technically parliamentary, but it's the only way I--I figure I can ask a question.

(LAUGHTER)

And you can roll me as not parliamentary if you want.

(LAUGHTER)

I--I'm requesting that we allow the minority to have a hearing on this very important topic. And--and I will follow that up with a letter making that request, but I'm--I--

JAYAPAL: We'll take that under consideration.

BRIGGS: Thank you.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. The gentleman has yielded back. I now recognize the gentlelady from Texas, Sheila Jackson Lee, five minutes.

JACKSON LEE: Let me, first of all, thank the Chairwoman, twice, and Ranking Member for this hearing. And I must thank my good friend from Colorado. Mr. Neguse, for his initial courtesies to yield to me. I had to step out of the room at the time that he would've done so. And hopefully we'll clarify the rules that when you yield to an individual you do not lose your time. I wanted to make sure I put that on record.

Let me indicate that I have the deepest amount of sympathy for anyone that loses a loved one. And having been on the Homeland Security Committee dealing with these issues for 20 years plus, I've seen a lot of tragedy, and I have recognized the pain of families, recognized the pain and the loss of officers. And also work very hard to provide the appropriate equipment necessary for our work to be done.

With that in mind, I believe that we will not succeed in this. If we talk at each other instead of to each other, this is a nation of immigrants. And a nation of laws. And all people must be protected.

The three witnesses that we heard from, I might call them by their first name. Selene and Denis and Blanche are not criminals, have no intent to kill or maim anyone. What we're speaking about today is the question of the dignity of America. The basic sense of human rights and the Bill of Rights that adhere to anyone on our soil to be treated dig--decently.

When the gentleman who said he was awarded by Mr. President Obama, and worked with our good friend Mr. Jeh Johnson, all the secretaries of homeland security, I've known--all of the leadership I've known. It makes a difference when the leadership sets the tone that these people are human beings.

So, this is not ICE because I've seen ICE and I've worked with ICE. But when you begin to get the kind of toxic leadership. No one wins, families who are trying to have justice or innocent persons who are simply have come to this nation because they cannot live anywhere else. And in this nation, we have always been a place.

The Statue of Liberty did not collapse when those towers were hit. It stood. And it still stands. I reject and resent the actions that have been dictated by the toxicity at the top that have turned decent Americans who work every day who I have worked with into the pressure.

I cannot imagine the burden to act like they are. Presiding over terrorists and ne'er-do-wells. So, let's get it straight that we're all in the same boat. We all care about the same things.

So, let me just as I quickly asked this question, might I please. Let me ask the question to you Heidi, and you see my time, on sexual abuse. We understand that the numbers between 2010 and 2017, 1224 complaints, you answered that question to Jorge. Can you answer the question about the importance of the asylum process being fair?

And the importance of <u>immigration</u> judges working--my constituent that people pleading decent human being picked up Muslim is now trying to get a stay of his removal and is being held by ICE. We're negotiating that he is where he is with the <u>immigration</u> courts. Everyone feels the pressure of toxicity so that asylum becomes useless. Would you answer that question? I want to put on the record that I'm against the MPP.

I want to put on the record as well that program that my amendment and legislation regarding Freedom of Information Act applying to all centers, profit and nonprofit. If you want to comment, I'd appreciate a comment on that. And that is before we know what we're going to do about these centers. But if the two of you would answer, I'd appreciate it.

And I would certainly--I'll have something to put in the record, in a moment. If you could quickly answer those questions, please?

ALTMAN: Thank you, Congresswoman. I'll answer quickly. You--you asked about and the prevalence of sexual abuse in <u>immigration</u> custody which is unfortunately quite prevalent and--and largely met with impunity. And I think that this goes to the overarching point that you have made, which is that there is a toxicity when asylum-seekers, as is happened frequently throughout the hearing today are referred to as criminals, when there is a dehumanization of those who are in custody that trickles down to the officers who are coming to work every day and showing up.

One--one example that I will just end with is that you--you heard reference today to the nooses hanging in the jails in Adelanto. There was a suicide just a year earlier. And we were told that the cards laughed.

JAYAPAL: The gentleman has expired.

JACKSON LEE: Can you answer the question the other gentleman that I asked about the asylum?

JAYAPAL: We--we have unfortunately been having situations where people have been going too far over. So, I need to stick to--

JACKSON LEE: No problem. No problem. Let me put into the record if I might ask unanimous consent an article by Dylan (INAUDIBLE) dated August 5. And I think the gentlelady. And I'd also join the gentlelady in asking witnesses to be here with the spirit of information and not with the spirit of being against--

JAYAPAL: Without objection.

JACKSON LEE: Because of who they are and their race. I yield back.

JAYAPAL: The gentlewoman has yielded. I now yield five minutes to--I now recognize the Ranking Member of the Full Committee, Mr. Collins, for five minutes.

COLLINS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate that. You know the interesting thing about this hearing is--is one-I guess I'm glad we're having it. It is that as I have said before, the reason that you have hearings is to lead to answers. Well, we're here again, having a hearing but no answers. We've been here for nine months with no answers.

What we have heard a lot of is how bad our agents are, how bad those in law enforcement are, how bad conditions are. We put forward a bill just a few months ago that we talked about. I mean it's oh--it--oh, it's not into law. Oh, that's right because it can't pass the Senate.

There was supposedly help conditions, but it dealt more with environmental issues and other things. And when we don't deal with the very issue at the border on why people are coming across, and how this is happening, and why this is happening, and the three areas of the things that we need to be talking about, Flores, asylum, and the Trafficking and Victims Protection Act. But we don't want to do that because that's too simple and it doesn't play politically.

I'm sorry for the DACA. We could have fixed DACA, by the way. I'm sorry that you know some people didn't know that you couldn't travel, and I--I understand that. And it may have been our bill, but there was a bill.

And we discussed a bill passed out here that many of us on the Republican side would love to have fixed, and the president would've, and we could have. The Majority Leader of this House actually got on the floor and said, last year, this could have happened.

And there was a bill that was within one or two signatures a way of being taken off the desk and voted on. And instead of taking that bill, which would have got 40 or 50 or maybe even 60 Republicans to pass this year, went to the Senate, passed, and the President signed it. So, there is no more problem here.

We chose to pass a partisan DACA bill, which can't pass. Which means that frankly it seems the majority is only interested in using DACA as a political issue and not a solution. If you don't believe it just look at results. Don't look at the rhetoric. Look at the results, not the rhetoric.

It's one thing to tell you something. It's <u>another</u> thing to promise you something. It's <u>another</u> thing to--people come here and testified to the conditions you are under, and I appreciate that in sympathy that. But don't look at the rhetoric look at the results.

I would love to see this committee actually propose results. I said this a week or so ago when we were having this again discussion <u>immigration</u> and talking about how dehumanizing it is. It's dehumanizing to continue to come here and talk about it and not put a bill before this committee.

I got you. Too dehumanizing. So, you come and be witnesses on the majority, witnesses on the minority. But it's dehumanizing to come in here, and not know that this committee could actually pass bills and chooses not to.

With that, Mr. Homan, again been one of those days you've sort of not had time. I yield to you.

HOMAN: Yeah, I appreciate it. First of all, I--I think everybody needs to be reminded entering this country illegally is a crime. There's no prerequisite. You've got to commit yet **another** crime to enforce the law.

That's a--that's a law you enacted. To--a young lady over here mentioned 26 deaths in ICE custody. Wrong. That number's nine. And one is too many.

But of the majority of those nine deaths, which is the lowest--of any federal and state facility. Most of them died within days of detention. It wasn't ICE's fault. They came into ICE's custody in bad condition.

So, and--and the year before that, majority of them are heart attacks. I don't know how--heart attacks happen across this country every day. I don't know how you prevent that. So, nine, not 26.

And then finally, I'll say this, sir. Your comment about me wanting to assault a--a--lawmaker. Let me explain myself to that. Probably not the right thing to say, but I was angry.

And let me tell you I was angry because you or no one else in this room has seen what I've seen in my 34 years. You didn't stand in back of tractor-trailers driving with 19 dead aliens that suffocated to death because the smuggler didn't care, including a five-year-old boy. What do you think his last 30 minutes were like? I was there. I seen it. I smelled it. I saw it. And I had a five-year-old boy at the time, and it changed me for the rest of my life.

I've seen people who couldn't pay their smuggling fees got stabbed in the face 22 times. 31 percent of women are being raped crossing the border. Children are dying. Cartels are getting rich.

Why am I angry? Because you haven't done anything to fix it. Nothing. We've been up here for two years trying to close the three loopholes that Collins said needs to be closed. And you haven't taken one action.

But if there's a policy or initiative that's going to cause an illegal alien to be arrested or be detained or be removed. We're going to have a hearing within days. Within days. But I'm still waiting on a hearing on sanctuary cities because these people's lives have been changed forever.

Where's the hearing on sanctuary cities? Where's the hearings--where's the hearing on the asylum abuse? Where's the hearing on--on TVPRA, so we treat children from Central America better?

That's why I'm angry, sir. I know it's not paying attention, but that's I'm angry because you have not seen what I've seen. And it affected me in my life. I spent my career trying to save lives and what I see what's going on on the southern border right now and you're ignoring it for political reasons. Why not have a hearing on that?

Why not fix the problem and close loopholes? Why not--there's no <u>downside</u> in securing our border. There's no **downside** on illegal **immigration** being decreased. There is no **downside** on less drugs coming in this country.

Opioids--ICE stops opioids. Opioids kill every man woman and child three times. There's no **downside** in taking money out of the cartel's hands. None.

COLLINS: I yield back.

JAYAPAL: Thank you. And with that, we do conclude today's hearing.

I would like to say that the truth is our country's far too reliant on the incarceration of immigrants. The beneficiaries are for-profit prison companies. But we do have sensible, humane, cost-effective solutions that would be provided under my bill, the Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act.

And I--I do think it's unfortunate I'll just say before we conclude--

COLLINS: Could the chair--will the gentlelady yield?

JAYAPAL: That I do think it's unfortunate--

COLLINS: Point of order. Is the--is the committee over or is that a time for *another* five-minute round?

JAYAPAL: No, it's not a time for **another** five-minute round.

COLLINS: Then it's time to adjourn the committee, Madam Chairman.

JAYAPAL: Grant, I just said to the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee that I was going to make one brief statement that--

COLLINS: But as the Ranking Member of the Committee, I'm calling a point of order and saying it is time to in this committee.

JAYAPAL: Okay. Well, I would like to say that I hope that witnesses called by the minority in the future respect the authority of the committee. I would once again like to--I would once again--

COLLINS: I wish the committee chair right now would recognize the fact that she's supposed to be calling this committee. There's not five minutes extra.

JAYAPAL: Thank you, Mr. Collins. I would once again like to thank the panel of witnesses and particularly those who traveled far away to be with us today. Without objection, all members will have five legislative days to submit additional written questions for the witness or additional materials for the record. Without objection, the hearing is adjourned.

## Classification

Language: ENGLISH

**Subject:** <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (90%); <u>IMMIGRATION</u> LAW (89%); POLITICAL ASYLUM (72%); CRIME, LAW ENFORCEMENT & CORRECTIONS (65%); PREGNANCY & CHILDBIRTH (50%); CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS (50%)

Person: PRAMILA JAYAPAL (92%); MARY GAY SCANLON (79%); DEBBIE LESKO (79%); ANDY BIGGS (79%); VERONICA ESCOBAR (79%); TOM MCCLINTOCK (79%); JOE NEGUSE (79%); KELLY ARMSTRONG (79%); LOU CORREA (79%); KEN BUCK (79%); ZOE LOFGREN (77%); DEBBIE MUCARSEL-POWELL (77%); ABBY FINKENAUER (73%); GREG STEUBE (72%); SUSAN COLLINS (57%); JERROLD NADLER (57%); SYLVIA GARCIA (57%); SHEILA JACKSON-LEE (57%); DOUG COLLINS (50%)

Geographic: TEXAS, USA (91%); COLORADO, USA (90%)

Load-Date: October 4, 2019

**End of Document**