Illegal immigration and silly season

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution
September 12, 2010 Sunday, Main Edition

Copyright 2010 The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

Section: METRO NEWS; Pg. 8B

Length: 814 words **Byline:** Jim Galloway

Staff

Body

A remarkable political conversation occurred down in Middle Georgia last week.

In a 30-second TV spot, U.S. Rep. Jim Marshall, D-Macon, accused Republican challenger Austin Scott of unauthorized possession of a conscience.

Scott, of course, with ambitions of going to Congress, was forced to empty his pockets and deny ever having something so wicked on his person.

You see, four years ago, as a state lawmaker from Tifton, Scott cast a vote against an effort to slap a 5 percent tax on money wired home by illegal immigrants.

Scott told his fellow House members that --- while he understood and supported a crackdown on <u>illegal</u> <u>immigration</u> --- he had "a moral problem" with ripping money from the hands of working stiffs who were simply trying to fend for their families.

Now older and wiser, a reformed Scott brushed aside the 2006 newspaper clippings that provided evidence of this unfortunate brush with decency and restraint.

His real problem with the bill, Scott told a reporter, was that it wasn't tough enough. It applied only to cash moved through Western Union, not banks or credit unions.

We are entering the final phase of the 2010 <u>silly season</u>, and <u>illegal immigration</u> is guaranteed to be a large part of it.

Republicans in Congress, including U.S. Sen. Johnny Isakson, talk of re-examining what the authors of the 14th Amendment truly meant when they said anyone born on American soil should be considered a U.S. citizen.

Democratic nominee for governor Roy Barnes has expressed his comfort with an Arizona-style law that would give law enforcement agencies in Georgia the right to demand papers from those who look like they don't belong.

Republican rival Nathan Deal is hardly likely to allow himself to be out-aliened.

No doubt all parties are sincere. <u>Illegal immigration</u> is a serious problem, with expensive and very real consequences.

But there is a difference between what is said on the stump, and what is achievable in Washington or Atlanta. Between what is promised and what is actually delivered.

Any doubters are hereby condemned to a conversation with D.A. King, citizen-lobbyist and the state Capitol's foremost proponent of tougher laws for illegal immigrants and those who employ them.

King, a 58-year-old Cobb County resident, was a primary force behind the 2006 *illegal immigration* package passed by our Republican-controlled Legislature.

Until Arizona did what it did, GOP lawmakers --- including Scott, who voted for it --- called their Georgia legislation the toughest in the land. One of its more important provisions required cities and counties to use E-Verify, a free federal government database used to spot undocumented workers.

But the legislation included no punishment for local officials who ignored the law. "I say where there is no penalty, there is no law," King said.

For the past two years, King has pushed to insert a set of toothy dentures into the legislation, stopped each time by the Georgia Municipal Authority and the Association County Commissioners of Georgia.

The two groups argue that the law is so vague and contradictory in places that no individual county or city official should be threatened with prosecution for failure to enforce it.

The experience has left King jaded and disappointed --- with Democrats, who claim to represent wage-earners, and with Georgia's ruling party.

"I'm fascinated when I see Republicans vow what they will do next year. And when in committee, when pressure is applied, their stamina seems to dissolve," King said.

Fail to put an enforcement clause into the legislation next year, King said, "and that's when the rallies will begin again, and they won't be pointed at illegal aliens. I promise."

The General Assembly will have more than King's threats to worry about in January, when GOP lawmakers will be required to fulfill their promises of an Arizona-style bill aimed at *illegal immigration*.

Here's something to listen for: When candidates raise the topic of Arizona, note whether they mention the financial cost. If they don't, or say that money is no object, there's a good chance you're being had.

For instance, authorizing and encouraging a city police officer to arrest a suspected illegal immigrant means that particular municipality would be responsible for the costs of lodging him in the local county hoosegow.

"Cities have to pay county jails \$45 per day [per person] for food and lodging. And it could be four or five years before the federal government picks him up," said Amy Henderson of the Georgia Municipal Association.

If all that sounds like no big deal, remember that most cities in Georgia are small and --- right now --- extremely poor. Counties aren't much better off. Douglas County just cut loose an aging drug trafficker --- and illegal immigrant --- because it couldn't handle his health care bill.

Cities and counties will want to know who pays for the promises of November. So should you.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspapers

Subject: GOVERNORS (89%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (89%); IMMIGRATION (89%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (89%); US STATE GOVERNMENT (89%); US CONGRESS (88%); LOBBYING (75%); POLITICAL PARTIES (75%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (74%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (71%); POLITICAL CANDIDATES (70%); WRITERS (69%); CREDIT UNIONS (66%)

Company: WESTERN UNION CO (55%)

Ticker: WU (NYSE) (55%)

Industry: WRITERS (69%); BANKING & FINANCE (68%); FRIENDLY & PROVIDENT SOCIETIES (66%); CREDIT UNIONS (66%)

Person: AUSTIN SCOTT (79%); JIM MARSHALL (54%); JOHNNY ISAKSON (54%); NATHAN DEAL (54%)

Geographic: ATLANTA, GA, USA (77%); MACON, GA, USA (77%); GEORGIA, USA (92%); UNITED STATES (93%)

Load-Date: September 13, 2010

End of Document