IMMIGRATION FIGHT ISN'T OVER

San Jose Mercury News (California)

May 26, 2006 Friday

Copyright 2006 San Jose Mercury News All Rights Reserved

The Mercury News

Found on BayArea . com

Section: A; Pg. 1

Length: 956 words

Byline: Dave Montgomery, Knight Ridder

Body

Congress' struggle to overhaul U.S. <u>immigration</u> law heads into House-Senate negotiations that could last all summer. They will test President Bush's ability to forge a compromise on an emotional issue in an election year.

As expected, the Senate voted 62-36 on Thursday to pass landmark legislation that would put nearly two-thirds of the nation's illegal immigrants on track to eventual U.S. citizenship and create a guest-worker program to give U.S. employers a steady supply of low-skilled foreign labor.

The Senate bill embraces the basic concepts of Bush's call for comprehensive <u>immigration</u> reform and also includes toughened enforcement provisions, increased penalties on employers who hire illegal workers and a combination of fences, technology and increased personnel to help plug the porous border.

But the volatile debate <u>over immigration</u> next moves to a House-Senate negotiating committee, where lawmakers will be hard-pressed to find middle ground between the Senate bill and a House measure that focuses more on expanded enforcement.

Opponents called the bill fundamentally flawed and predicted it will be completely rewritten by the conference committee, which next month will begin trying to craft a compromise version acceptable to both chambers. The House in December passed a bill that dealt only with border and workplace enforcement. It would make illegal presence in the country a felony.

Backers of the bipartisan Senate plan are calling on Bush to use the full force of the White House to forge a compromise, but acknowledge that the president is weakened by declining polls and his growing lame-duck status.

"After tonight, whether this bipartisan balance still survives or not really rests in the hands of the man at the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue," said Sen. Charles Schumer, D-N.Y.

House Republican leaders denounce the Senate's legalization provisions as amnesty and remain adamantly opposed to the Senate bill.

"The nation needs legislation that will secure our borders and provide meaningful <u>immigration</u> reform -- this bill completely misses that mark," said Sen. Jon Kyl, R-Ariz., who opposed it.

Underscoring the gap between opposite sides of the issue, several California activists said Thursday that it might not be a bad thing if the House and Senate failed to reach a compromise this year.

IMMIGRATION FIGHT ISN'T OVER

While the House bill sparked outrage in immigrant communities, because of its enforcement focus, some immigrant-rights advocates said they think the Senate version also is severely flawed.

Among other things, they said the Senate bill's three-tier approach to legalization will exclude millions of immigrants who have been in the United States for less than five years.

"That is not going to work. It's going to divide families and it's going to be very bureaucratic," said Larisa Casillas, policy director for SIREN, the Services, Immigrant Rights and Education Network in San Jose.

Activists also criticized provisions that would penalize immigrants who used false Social Security numbers or other identification -- which is extremely common among those who came here to work, said Arnoldo Garcia of the National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights in Oakland.

"It wouldn't be, necessarily, a bad outcome if the whole thing collapsed," Garcia said, referring to the prospect of a House-Senate compromise.

Some activists on the other side agreed, although for different reasons.

"The Senate bill is really bad, and they might be better off to just try next year," said Fremont tech worker Charles Birkman, who has organized recent rallies calling for a crackdown on illegal <u>immigration</u>. He supports the House bill but said he fears any effort to weaken it by adding provisions for illegal immigrants to gain legal status.

But San Jose business owner Roberta Allen, who also has organized rallies against illegal *immigration*, warned that many people will not be satisfied if Congress doesn't act.

"There's going to be a lot of people coming up for re-election," said Allen, "and I think there's going to be a real house-cleaning."

The Senate measure is hailed as the most sweeping *immigration* bill in two decades. The bill -- co-sponsored by Sens. Mel Martinez, R-Fla., and Chuck Hagel, R-Neb. -- emerged from weeks of rancorous deliberations that paralleled massive demonstrations by immigrants and their supporters across the country.

As many as 12 million illegal immigrants, more than half from Mexico, have entered the United States. Their presence has provoked an angry response from many U.S. citizens.

Perhaps the Senate bill's most controversial provision is a three-tier approach to illegal immigrants. The nearly 7 million who have been in the United States five years or longer would be allowed to stay. They could become permanent legal residents in six years and U.S. citizens five years later by paying \$3,250 in fees, learning English, paying back taxes and passing background checks.

Illegal immigrants who have lived in the United States two to five years -- estimated at nearly 3 million people -- would be eligible for ``deferred mandatory departure," allowing them to stay and work for three more years.

They would be required to leave after three years, but could return as participants in the guest-worker program. A so-called ``touch-back" provision would enable them to satisfy the requirement by briefly exiting the country and returning through a U.S. port of entry. They also would be eligible to apply for ``green cards" to become permanent legal residents.

The more than 1.5 million immigrants who have been here less than two years would be required to return to their native countries.

Mercury News Staff Writer Brandon Bailey and the Washington Post contributed to this report.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

IMMIGRATION FIGHT ISN'T OVER

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: <u>IMMIGRATION</u> (93%); LEGISLATION (91%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (90%); FOREIGN LABOR (90%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (89%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (89%); BORDER CONTROL (89%); PUBLIC POLICY (79%); CAMPAIGNS & ELECTIONS (79%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL BORDERS (78%); VOTERS & VOTING (78%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (78%); RECRUITMENT & HIRING (70%); FELONIES (50%)

Person: CHARLES SCHUMER (59%); JON KYL (59%); GEORGE W BUSH (58%)

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: May 26, 2006

End of Document