CONFUSED BY LAW, NURSING HOMES BAR LEGAL IMMIGRANTS

The New York Times

April 20, 1997, Sunday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 1997 The New York Times Company

Distribution: Metropolitan Desk

Section: Section 1; ; Section 1; Page 1; Column 6; Metropolitan Desk ; Column 6;

Length: 1693 words

Byline: By RACHEL L. SWARNS

By RACHEL L. SWARNS

Body

As the health <u>care</u> industry braces for Federal cuts that will leave thousands of <u>immigrants</u> without Medicaid this fall, nursing homes have begun to mistakenly <u>deny</u> admission to some elderly and sickly <u>legal immigrants</u> who will not lose their health coverage.

Bewildered by the new Federal welfare <u>law</u> and fearful that <u>immigrants</u> will default on their bills, some health <u>care</u> centers in New York and around the country are now requiring prospective patients to produce citizenship papers instead of residency papers upon admission, hospital and nursing home administrators say.

And while New York State health officials acknowledge that a small group of <u>immigrants</u> will lose Medicaid as Federal restrictions go into effect later this year, they warn that the new practice unfairly <u>denies</u> <u>care</u> to the vast majority who will keep that coverage.

But as health <u>care</u> administrators peer into the wrinkled faces of their elderly applicants and struggle to interpret the <u>law</u>, some have found it easier to refuse all <u>legal immigrants</u> -- like those with green cards but not citizenship -- than to figure out who will keep and who will lose benefits.

"It's heartbreaking, but we're all too terrified to admit anybody who is not a citizen," said Sheryl Geminder, the director of admissions at the Sephardic Home for the Aged in Brooklyn, which now rejects all *legal immigrants* who need long-term *care*. "A green card was the ticket in six months ago, but now our attorneys are warning us not to take any chances."

The <u>confusion</u> is the unintended consequence of the changes in the Federal welfare <u>laws</u>, which allow states to continue Medicaid, which covers nursing home costs, to some <u>legal immigrants</u> while <u>denying</u> coverage to others.

New York, along with at least 35 other states, plans to continue benefits to poor <u>legal immigrants</u> who entered the country before last Aug. 22, when President Clinton signed the welfare bill. But those who have arrived since then will generally find themselves ineligible for Medicaid coverage for five years.

No one knows how many eligible <u>immigrants</u> have been turned away from <u>care</u> centers and retirement homes, but health *care* officials in New York said that dozens had been rejected in the last month.

And administrators at public hospitals in Miami and Los Angeles, who are also reporting their first cases, fear the problem will balloon if the *law* is not clarified, stranding *immigrants* in hospital beds needed by acute-*care* patients.

CONFUSED BY LAW, NURSING HOMES BAR LEGAL IMMIGRANTS

Already, <u>legal immigrants</u> too sickly to bathe and too senile to recognize their children are beginning to languish in hospitals. And families who can no longer <u>care</u> for ailing relatives now find themselves overwhelmed with few options.

"If this continues, what will we do with these people?" asked Carol Burger, an administrator at Elmhurst Hospital Center in Queens as she searched for a place for an 83-year-old <u>legal immigrant</u> from Romania, one of about 20 patients rejected by nursing homes for lack of citizenship. "Where are they going to go?"

Representative E. Clay Shaw Jr., a Republican of Florida and the chief sponsor of the new welfare <u>law</u>, called the situation "worrisome" and said he had never intended to <u>deny care</u> to eligible <u>immigrants</u>.

By <u>law</u>, nursing homes may refuse patients who cannot pay their bills. But Mr. Shaw said he doubted that elderly <u>care</u> centers that receive Federal funds, in the form of Medicaid payments, had the right to turn away <u>legal</u> <u>immigrants</u> who were eligible for *care*. "There's no question that it's discrimination," he said.

Mr. Shaw said that <u>care</u> centers needed better guidance from state and Federal health officials and that his Congressional committee would provide it if others did not. "I can understand their <u>confusion</u>," he said of the nursing homes. "But obviously, some elderly people have fallen through the cracks."

Paralyzed by a stroke that left empty spaces in her memory, Raisa Kinker, a 74-year-old <u>legal immigrant</u> from Ukraine, spent one month at Huntington Hospital on Long Island, rejected by one nursing home after another, until a Brooklyn rehabilitation center took her in.

Withered by the stomach cancer that has left him marooned at Elmhurst Hospital Center for two months, Luis Bejarano, 74 and a *legal immigrant* from Colombia, has been told not to even hope for a nursing home bed, although he, too, will keep his Medicaid coverage.

And more than 30 <u>legal immigrants</u> from China, many of them too crippled to walk or brush their thinning hair, recently found themselves stranded with families who could not <u>care</u> for them when a Staten Island retirement home rejected their pleas for placement this month.

"These families come all the way from Chinatown and beg us to take their elderly relatives, and I've got to look in their eyes and tell them no," said Cindy Miner, the case manager at the Staten Island home, the Anna Erika Home for Adults and Assisted Living Programs, which caters to elderly Asian patients.

"We've taken these people into our country, and now when they need help, we have to turn them away," she said. "It's a horrible feeling. We'd love to take everyone, but it's just too much of a risk."

The <u>confusion</u> <u>over</u> eligibility stems, in part, from the Federal Government's distinction between "qualified" <u>immigrants</u>, who will keep benefits, and "nonqualified" <u>immigrants</u>, who will lose them.

In New York State, virtually all <u>legal immigrants</u>, those who arrived before Aug. 22, are considered qualified. Under Federal rules, those noncitizens who will keep Medicaid include <u>legal</u> permanent residents, refugees and seekers of asylum. Even the estimated 87,000 <u>legal immigrants</u> expected to lose Supplemental Security Income benefits -- the Federal cash payments accepted by retirement homes -- will receive state funds to cover their stay, state health officials say.

The S.S.I. recipients' Medicaid status will be re-evaluated, but state officials say the coverage will continue unless the recipients are no longer poor or disabled.

Although the State Legislature has not yet voted these provisions into <u>law</u>, politicians in both parties say Republican Gov. George E. Pataki and Democratic lawmakers are in agreement on the issue.

"They should not be turning away this group on the basis that they will be losing Medicaid eligibility, because that will not happen," said Frances Tarlton, a spokeswoman for the State Department of Health.

CONFUSED BY LAW, NURSING HOMES BAR LEGAL IMMIGRANTS

But a group of about 16,000 *immigrants*, considered "present under color of *law*," who have been granted temporary residency and receive Government services, are expected to lose both Medicaid insurance and cash benefits beginning in August.

And <u>legal immigrants</u> who arrived on or after Aug. 22 of last year -- a group that will increase <u>over</u> time -- will be ineligible for Medicaid.

State officials said they had tried to make the distinctions clear. But health <u>care</u> administrators for the elderly are still frantically seeking guidance, calling local politicians, thumbing through trade newsletters and tapping into Government sites on the World Wide Web.

"I'm getting calls from nursing homes and they're saying, 'I have a <u>legal immigrant</u> here. What do I do?' " said Scott Sandford, director of regulatory affairs for the New York State Health Facilities Association, a trade group that represents 290 nursing homes.

"We have been telling our members, 'You have to be really careful about someone who is not a citizen,' " Mr. Sandford said. "We assume that Governor Pataki's proposal is going to pass, but we can guarantee nothing. It's a real risk."

The perceived risk varies from institution to institution. The Cabrini Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation, a 240-bed complex in Manhattan still accepts *legal immigrants*. Menorah Home and Hospital for the Aged and Infirm, a 253-bed center in Brooklyn, on the other hand, has turned several away.

"Some homes are being extra careful," said James E. Piazzola, the director of social work at the Los Angeles County-University of Southern California Medical Center, which saw its first <u>legal immigrants</u> rejected from nursing homes six weeks ago. "Rumors are flying everywhere."

Plans to ease the new welfare <u>law</u>'s impact have been bandied about for weeks. President Clinton wants to restore most benefits to elderly <u>immigrants</u>. Republicans in Congress want to give some states money to help them manage the transition. And Mayor Rudolph W. Giuliani of New York City has filed suit to keep the Federal cuts from going into effect.

But while the proposals fly, hospital administrators say some <u>legal immigrants</u> are already suffering. And they fear that the situation will only get worse as the summer deadline for cuts in benefits approaches.

"As we get closer to August, more and more of the facilities are going to refuse them," said Jill Lenney, the administrator of social work at Jackson Memorial Hospital in Miami. "They're going to be occupying acute-<u>care</u> beds, and patients who need those beds will be spending more time in the emergency room."

Without clear guidance, nursing homes and retirement homes currently refusing <u>legal immigrants</u> have no reason to change their new policies, advocates for nursing home patients say.

"There are obviously people who need <u>care</u>, who are not going to be able to get it," said Cynthia Rudder, the director of the Nursing Home Community Coalition of New York State, which advocates on behalf of nursing home residents. "They're in limbo until the state makes some determination."

In a tiny apartment in Brooklyn, a 75-year-old <u>legal immigrant</u> from Ukraine lives in that limbo. Rejected from the Sephardic Home for lack of citizenship, Villy Vaysman lies in bed, unable to move, his body mostly deadened by Parkinson's disease.

He is too heavy for his 76-year-old wife, Irina, to carry to the bathtub. So every morning, she washes him bit by bit, rolling him from one side to another, praying all the while that some nursing home will take him in.

"I don't have the strength to take <u>care</u> of a paralyzed man," she said as she wept last week. "I don't want to think that they won't take him. I don't know what we'll do."

Graphic

Photo: Raisa Kinker, 74, who was paralyzed by a stroke, was visited by her granddaughter Renata during a month's stay at Huntington Hospital. (Vic DeLucia/The New York Times) (pg. 38)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Subject: CITIZENSHIP (90%); IMMIGRATION \underline{LAW} (90%); MEDICAID (90%); PUBLIC HEALTH & WELFARE \underline{LAW} (89%); CHILDREN (78%); FAMILY (78%); HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (78%); ACUTE \underline{CARE} (78%); LAWYERS (74%); PUBLIC HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (56%)

Company: MEDICAID (93%)

Organization: MEDICAID (93%); MEDICAID (93%)

Industry: NURSING HOMES (90%); LONG TERM HEALTH <u>CARE</u> (90%); NURSING & RESIDENTIAL <u>CARE</u> FACILITIES (90%); MEDICAID (90%); HEALTH <u>CARE</u> (90%); HOSPITALS (89%); HEALTH INSURANCE (78%); HEALTH DEPARTMENTS (78%); LAWYERS (74%); DENIAL OF INSURANCE COVERAGE (73%)

Geographic: NEW YORK, NY, USA (90%); NEW YORK, USA (92%)

Load-Date: April 20, 1997

End of Document