Review finding few immigrant deportation cases to set aside

Contra Costa Times (California)

June 10, 2012 Sunday

Copyright 2012 Contra Costa Newspapers All Rights Reserved

Section: BREAKING; My Town; Alameda; News; National; World; Local

Length: 834 words

Byline: By Matt O'Brien Contra Costa Times

Body

One year after the Obama administration said it would stop <u>deporting</u> some <u>immigrants</u> who are not safety threats, it has dismissed only a small fraction of the <u>deportation</u> <u>cases</u> <u>reviewed</u> under the new policy, disappointing advocates who were hoping for dramatic change.

So far, the administration has <u>reviewed</u> more than 232,000 pending <u>deportation</u> <u>cases</u> since it began using new guidelines granting more discretion in pursuing immigration violators. Less than 2 percent have been closed or dismissed.

The portion of those who receive reprieves is likely to be 9 percent once the <u>reviews</u> are complete, the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement said in a news release last week.

"It's not something that happens overnight," ICE spokeswoman Gillian Christensen said.

Some <u>immigrant</u> advocacy groups predict the number of <u>cases</u> closed will not exceed 4 percent, not much higher than the number immigration judges typically close each year.

The <u>review</u> accelerated June 4 in the Bay Area when San Francisco's busy immigration court partially closed for a two-week scouring of <u>cases</u>. The goal is to weed out the least important of more than 18,000 pending <u>deportations</u> from across Northern California.

Ulises Toledo is among those hoping to be deemed a low priority.

"I'm excited," Toledo said. "Hopefully I'm one of those they give a reprieve."

The <u>deportation</u> net swept up the 21-year-old Chabot College student in 2010 after police stopped him for driving without a front license plate.

'Caught up'

<u>Immigrant</u> advocates hoped when the <u>reviews</u> were announced a year ago that many more illegal <u>immigrants</u> such as Toledo would be helped.

"Be it 1 percent or 10 percent of <u>cases</u> closed, the results are still tremendously disappointing," said Gabriela Villareal of the Oakland-based California <u>Immigrant</u> Policy Center. "We still see hundreds of thousands of people who are part of our communities caught up in the dragnet."

Republicans and other immigration control proponents believe the opposite: Too many illegal *immigrants* are getting a pass, they say.

Having a <u>case</u> <u>set aside</u> is not a path to citizenship. Administratively closing low-priority <u>cases</u> puts illegal <u>immigrants</u> in legal limbo -- they can stay here but not get green cards.

Closing low-priority <u>cases</u> helps immigration agents more quickly remove the greatest threats to public safety, Christensen said.

The new approach, she said, is "dramatically changing the composition of the immigration courts and helping to prevent future backlogs."

Most of the people getting reprieves are longtime U.S. residents with family and community ties and clean records, or students brought to the country when they were young. Toledo, a San Leandro High School graduate, came to the U.S. when he was 8 and is related to several U.S. citizens, from his grandfather on down. He believes he fits the bill.

ICE has been seeking to <u>deport</u> him to Mexico since Hayward police pulled him and his girlfriend over one afternoon in 2010 near the college campus.

He was behind bars for several days and then taken to an Alameda County jail, which handed him over to ICE. Such pickups have become more common since 2010, when all Bay Area counties joined the federal Secure Communities database, which reports every arrest to immigration agents.

Record *deportations*

The dragnet contributed to a record 396,906 <u>deportations</u> last year. Until recently, ICE agents and attorneys rarely made distinctions among <u>deportation</u> candidates.

With the focus on discretion, ICE has closed or dismissed 4,363 *cases*, just less than 2 percent of the total *reviewed* as of May 29.

Almost as many -- 3,998 people -- declined offers of relief, which can mean they are seeking to win their immigration <u>case</u> with its chance at a green card and citizenship. The alternative is the limbo of a <u>case</u> that always can be reopened.

More than 12,000 people offered a dismissed or closed <u>case</u> either are undergoing a background check or haven't decided whether to accept. That group brings the total percentage offered a reprieve to 9 percent, according to the new statistics. <u>Deportation</u> relief

Here are the main categories of the 4,363 illegal <u>immigrant</u> <u>cases</u> in the U.S. dismissed or closed in the year-old federal "prosecutorial discretion" program.

3,302: Individuals with a long-term presence in the United States, have an immediate relative who is a U.S. citizen and have compelling ties and contributions to the United States.

303: Children who have been here for more than five years and are either in school or have completed high school.

290: Individuals who came to the United States when they were younger than 16, have been in the United States for more than five years, have completed high school and are in or have completed higher education here.

173: Immigrants deemed very low enforcement priority.

Refused to accept dismissal

3,998: Individuals who have declined offers of prosecutorial discretion, often because they believe they have a better chance at legal residency.

Source: U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Graphic

Ulises Toledo Perez, a 21-year-old Chabot College student, shows where he was pulled over outside the Chabot College campus in Hayward, Calif., and placed into <u>deportation</u> proceedings in 2010 for driving without a front license plate on Friday, June 8, 2012. As San Francisco's immigration court begins its second week of weeding out low-priority <u>deportation cases</u>, Perez, who moved to California from Mexico City with his parents when he was 8 years old, is one of thousands hoping to be deemed a low priority. (Laura A. Oda/Staff)

Ulises Toledo Perez, a 21-year-old Chabot College student, shows where he was pulled over outside the Chabot College campus in Hayward, Calif. and placed into <u>deportation</u> proceedings in 2010 for driving without a front license plate on Friday, June 8, 2012. As San Francisco's immigration court begins its second week of weeding out low-priority <u>deportation cases</u>, Perez, who moved to California from Mexico City with his parents when he was 8 years old, is one of thousands hoping to be deemed a low priority.(Laura A. Oda/Staff)

Ulises Toledo Perez, a 21-year-old Chabot College student, shows where he was pulled over outside the Chabot College campus in Hayward, Calif. and placed into <u>deportation</u> proceedings in 2010 for driving without a front license plate on Friday, June 8, 2012. As San Francisco's immigration court begins its second week of weeding out low-priority <u>deportation cases</u>, Perez, who moved to California from Mexico City with his parents when he was 8 years old, is one of thousands hoping to be deemed a low priority.(Laura A. Oda/Staff)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (95%); <u>DEPORTATION</u> (93%); ILLEGAL <u>IMMIGRANTS</u> (89%); IMMIGRATION LAW (89%); PASSPORTS & VISAS (78%); CITIZENSHIP (78%); CITIZENSHIP LAW (78%); PUBLIC POLICY (73%); JUDGES (72%); HIGH SCHOOLS (64%); SECONDARY SCHOOLS (50%)

Organization: US IMMIGRATION & CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT (57%)

Industry: HIGH SCHOOLS (64%); SECONDARY SCHOOLS (50%)

Person: BARACK OBAMA (78%)

Geographic: SAN FRANCISCO, CA, USA (79%); SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA, CA, USA (76%); OAKLAND, CA, USA (74%); CALLEORNIA, USA (72%); LINITED STATES (72%).

USA (71%); CALIFORNIA, USA (92%); UNITED STATES (93%)

Load-Date: June 12, 2012