Border toll worth a try

USA TODAY

August 10, 1993, Tuesday, FINAL EDITION

Copyright 1993 Gannett Company, Inc. **Section:** NEWS; Pg. 8A; Debate

Length: 288 words

Body

Why not charge a \$ 1 <u>toll</u> at all U.S. <u>border</u> crossings? Because, say critics, it would be hard to collect, turn <u>border</u> agents into moneychangers, punish honest travelers and create air-polluting traffic jams.

The mayor of El Paso, Texas, even told a congressional subcommittee the fee would encourage illegal immigration, ignite an economic decline and force Mexico to devalue the peso.

Goodness! Moreover: Preposterous.

This fee isn't some wild imposition. Rather, it's a sensible response to urgent budgetary needs.

Sen. Dianne Feinstein of California, the idea's current champion, estimates it would generate \$ 400 million a year, to be given to various immigration services. That's \$ 40 million more than the entire 1993 **Border** Patrol budget.

Feinstein's idea isn't a new one. Sen. Alan Simpson of Wyoming made a similar proposal 11 years ago.

The idea has plenty of precedent. Travelers arriving by air or sea already pay a \$ 5 Immigration and Naturalization Service charge and a \$ 5 Customs charge. And three years ago, Congress ordered the INS to experiment with a fee-collection project at **border** crossings. Since then, a pilot program on the northern **border** in Washington state has been a considerable success.

The fee is imperfect, of course. But if it works a hardship on commuters, offer a discounted pass. If it deters the poor, allow waivers.

As to the Texas mayor's larger fears of economic decline, pollution and illegal entry: Forget them. The economic forces at work on the <u>border</u> and driving immigration are greater than this fee; so are the <u>border</u>'s environmental problems.

A transborder toll won't solve all our immigration problems, but neither is it a Pandora's tax. Give it a shot.

Notes

THE EDITORIAL PAGE; Today's debate is on ENTERING THE USA and whether a <u>border</u>-crossing fee should be charged; OUR VIEW; A small **border**-crossing **toll** makes perfect sense, dire predictions notwithstanding.

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Border toll worth a try

Subject: <u>BORDER</u> CONTROL (99%); TERRITORIAL & NATIONAL <u>BORDERS</u> (93%); IMMIGRATION (91%); ECONOMIC DECLINE (89%); AIR POLLUTION (89%); POLLUTION & ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (89%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (78%); LAW ENFORCEMENT (78%); CITIZENSHIP (77%); COMMUTING (77%); MIGRATION ISSUES (76%); ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES (72%); DEVALUATION (71%)

Company: US CUSTOMS & BORDER PROTECTION (83%); US CUSTOMS & BORDER PROTECTION (83%)

Organization: US CUSTOMS & **BORDER** PROTECTION (83%); US CUSTOMS & **BORDER** PROTECTION (83%)

Industry: BUDGETS (90%); DEVALUATION (71%)

Person: DIANNE FEINSTEIN (73%)

Geographic: EL PASO, TX, USA (78%); TEXAS, USA (92%); WASHINGTON, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%); MEXICO (79%); NORTH AMERICA (79%)

End of Document