The New York Times

February 12, 2008 Tuesday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2008 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 0; National Desk; Pg. 13

Length: 1381 words

Byline: By RANDAL C. ARCHIBOLD

Dateline: PHOENIX

Body

The <u>signs</u> of <u>flight</u> among Latino <u>immigrants</u> here are multiple: Families moving out of apartment complexes, schools reporting enrollment drops, business owners complaining about fewer clients.

While it is too early to know for certain, a consensus is developing among economists, business people and immigration groups that the weakening economy coupled with recent curbs on illegal immigration are steering Hispanic *immigrants* out of the state.

The <u>Arizona</u> economy, heavily dependent on growth and a Latino work force, has been slowing for months. Meanwhile, the state has enacted one of the country's toughest laws to punish employers who hire illegal <u>immigrants</u>, and the county sheriff here in Phoenix has been enforcing federal immigration laws by rounding up people living here illegally.

"It is very difficult to separate the economic reality in <u>Arizona</u> from the effects of the laws because the economy is tanking and construction is drying up," said Frank Pierson, lead organizer of the <u>Arizona</u> Interfaith Network, which advocates for <u>immigrants</u>' rights and other causes. But the combination of factors creates " a disincentive to stay in the state."

State Representative Russell K. Pearce, a Republican from Mesa and leading advocate of the crackdown on illegal immigration, takes reports of unauthorized workers leaving as a <u>sign</u> of success. An estimated one in 10 workers in <u>Arizona</u> are Hispanic <u>immigrants</u>, both legal and illegal, twice the national average.

"The desired effect was, we don't have the red carpet out for illegal aliens," Mr. Pearce said, adding that while "most of these are good people" they are a "tremendous burden" on public services.

On Monday, state lawmakers, concerned about shortages of workers and the failed revamping of immigration law in Congress, which was pushed by Senator John McCain of *Arizona*, pledged action.

Bills were announced that would create a state-run temporary worker program, though it would need Congressional authorization. And last week Gov. Janet Napolitano, a Democrat, offered to help the United States Labor Department rewrite regulations designed to streamline visas for agricultural workers, who growers say are increasingly hard to find.

While data for the last month or so are not available, there were already <u>signs</u> of migration out of <u>Arizona</u> at the end of last year. In the fourth quarter of 2007 the apartment-vacancy rate in metropolitan Phoenix rose to 11.2 percent from 9 percent in the same quarter of 2006, with much higher rates of 15 percent or more in heavily Latino neighborhoods.

"You have many people moving out, but they are not all illegal," said Terry Feinberg, president of the <u>Arizona</u> Multihousing Alliance, a trade group for the apartment and rental housing industry. "A lot of people moving are citizens, or legal, but because someone in their family or social network is not, and they are having a hard time keeping or finding a job, they all move."

Elizabeth Leon, a legal <u>immigrant</u> and day care worker, said the families of two of her charges abruptly left, forcing the state to take custody of the children. Ms. Leon's brother, a construction worker who is not authorized to be in the country, plans to leave, unable to find steady work; families at the neighborhood school have pulled children out, Ms. Leon said, fearful of sheriff's deputies.

"It is like a panic here," she said. "This is all having an effect on the community, mostly emotional."

Juan Jose Araujo, 44, is here legally. His wife, however, is not and is pressing for the family to return to Mexico because of the difficulty in finding a job and what the family considers a growing anti-*immigrant* climate.

Although prosecutors in the state do not plan to begin enforcing the sanctions against employers until next month, several employers have reportedly already dismissed workers whose legal authorization to work could not be proved, as required by the law.

"We don't have family or anything in Mexico," said Mr. Araujo, who has lived in the United States for 24 years. "I wouldn't have anywhere to go there, but we have to consider it."

Property managers report that families have uprooted overnight, with little or no notice. Carlos Flores Vizcarra, the Mexican consul general in Phoenix, said while he could not tie the phenomenon to a single factor, the consulate had experienced an "unusual" five-fold increase in parents applying for Mexican birth certificates for their children and other documents that often are a prelude to moving.

Several school districts in heavily Latino areas have reported sudden drops in enrollment. Official explanations are elusive because school officials have not been able to interview families about why they left, but, anecdotally, people point to the sour economy and the immigration crackdown among other factors.

The Cartwright Elementary School District in west Phoenix, for instance, reported a loss of 525 students this school year (dropping the enrollment to 19,845), while in previous years enrollment had grown or remained stable among its 23 schools. Meri Simmons, a spokeswoman for the district, said word of mouth suggested that the economy and sanctions on employers played a role.

"We know we have a lot of empty houses," Ms. Simmons said.

Jobs in the construction industry, a major employer of <u>immigrants</u>, are growing scarce, declining 8.6 percent in December compared with the previous year.

Juan Leon, a construction subcontractor and the husband of Elizabeth Leon, the day care worker, said illegal *immigrants* had made it harder for legal residents like him to find work. Companies that employ them can bid much lower on projects than he can because they pay workers much less, Mr. Leon said.

"I hate to <u>see</u> families torn apart," he said of the current <u>flight</u>, "but there is no money to be made sometimes because some contractors who employ illegal workers can do the job dirt cheap."

Dawn McLaren, an economist at <u>Arizona</u> State University in Tempe who studies the state's economic and migration trends, said it was likely that lack of work is forcing people to move, probably to nearby states. But Ms. McLaren also theorized that the slowing economy had caused a reduction in the flow of new <u>immigrants</u> over the border.

Analyzing data back to the early 1990s, she said, a drop in Border Patrol arrests -- they have been steadily declining the last couple of years -- typically preceded an economic downturn or slowing.

"It's a highly networked community," she said of border crossers. "It costs a lot to get here, and they generally have a job lined up here. People say, 'We need people on the crew.' And they tell friends and relatives to come over."

A persistent decline in the <u>immigrant</u> population could damage the overall <u>Arizona</u> economy, Ms. McLaren said. A study by the Pew Hispanic Center released in January said illegal workers made up close to 11 percent of the state's work force of 2.9 million people in 2006, double the national estimate.

"What it looks like now is that a little bump in the economic road, especially with the sanctions law, is looking like it might last a year or more," she said.

Even as the economy slows and people leave, the matter of the state's sanctions on employers is not settled.

The legal fight over the law, which a federal judge upheld Thursday, is headed for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The law punishes employers who knowingly hire illegal *immigrants* by suspending their business license for 10 days on the first offense and revokingit for a second infraction.

Opponents call it an unconstitutional intrusion by the state on federal immigration authority but the federal judge, Neil V. Wake, disagreed.

At the same time, signatures are being gathered for two ballot initiatives, one that would toughen the law and another meant to soften it. If both end up on the November ballot, the one with the most votes would prevail.

Ms. McLaren, the economist, said that in the end history showed it was difficult to stop illegal immigration so long as jobs paid better in the United States than at home. An economic rebound would probably draw people back here, no matter the laws.

"They will find a way to adjust," she said.

http://www.nytimes.com

Graphic

PHOTO: Juan Jose Araujo is in the United States legally and has been here for 24 years, but he is considering a return to Mexico. (PHOTOGRAPH BY JEFF TOPPING FOR THE NEW YORK TIMES)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: IMMIGRATION (93%); ILLEGAL <u>IMMIGRANTS</u> (90%); HISPANIC AMERICANS (90%); IMMIGRATION LAW (90%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (89%); FAMILY (89%); US STATE GOVERNMENT (89%); EMPLOYMENT VISAS (78%); RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VACANCY RATES (78%); SOCIAL NETWORKING (78%); LABOR FORCE (77%); US REPUBLICAN PARTY (76%); LABOR SHORTAGES (76%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (76%); ECONOMICS (72%); LABOR DEPARTMENTS (71%); TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT (71%); FARM LABOR (64%); US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2008 (62%); RELIGION (53%)

Industry: MULTIFAMILY REAL ESTATE (89%); RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY (89%); REAL ESTATE (89%); RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTY (78%); FARMERS & RANCHERS (78%); RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VACANCY RATES (78%); FARM LABOR (64%); AGRICULTURE (60%)

Person: JANET NAPOLITANO (79%); JOHN MCCAIN (56%)

Geographic: PHOENIX, AZ, USA (92%); ARIZONA, USA (96%); UNITED STATES (79%)

Load-Date: February 13, 2008

End of Document