# The Worker Next Door

#### The New York Times

June 3, 2006 Saturday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2006 The New York Times Company

Section: Section A; Column 1; Editorial Desk; Pg. 13

Length: 890 words

Byline: By Barry R. Chiswick

Barry R. Chiswick is head of the economics department at the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Dateline: Chicago

# **Body**

IT is often said that the American economy needs low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u> to do the jobs that American <u>workers</u> will not do. These foreign <u>workers</u> might be new immigrants, illegal aliens or, in the current debate, temporary or guest <u>workers</u>. But if low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u> were not here, would lettuce not be picked, groceries not bagged, hotel sheets not changed, and lawns not mowed? Would restaurants use disposable plates and utensils?

On the face of it, this assertion seems implausible. Immigrants and low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u> in general are highly concentrated in a few states. The "big six" are California, Florida, Illinois, New Jersey, New York and Texas. Even within those states, immigrants and low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u> are concentrated in a few metropolitan areas - while there are many in New York City and Chicago, relatively few are in upstate New York or downstate Illinois.

Yet even in areas with few immigrants, grass is cut, groceries are bagged and hotel sheets are changed. Indeed, a large majority of low-skilled <u>workers</u> are native to the United States. A look at the 2000 census is instructive: among males age 25 to 64 years employed that year, of those with less than a high school diploma, 64 percent were born in the United States and 36 percent were foreign born.

Other Americans nominally graduated from high school but did not learn a trade or acquire the literacy, numeracy or decision-making skills needed for higher earnings. Still others suffer from a physical or emotional ailment that limits their labor productivity. And some low-skilled jobs are performed by high school or college students, housewives or the retired who wish to work part time. Put simply, there are no low-skilled jobs that American workers would not and do not do.

Over the past two decades the number of low-skilled <u>workers</u> in the United States has increased because of immigration, both legal and illegal. This increase in low-skilled <u>workers</u> has contributed to the stagnation of wages for all such <u>workers</u>. The proposed "earned legalization" (amnesty) and guest <u>worker</u> programs would allow still more low-skilled *workers* into the country, further lowering their collective wages.

True, the prices of the goods and services that these new immigrants produce are reduced for the rich and poor alike. But the net effect of this dynamic is a decline in the purchasing power of low-skilled families and a rise in the purchasing power of high-income families -- a significant factor behind the increase in income inequality that has been of considerable public concern over the past two decades.

In short, the continued increase in the flow of unskilled <u>workers</u> into the United States is the economic and moral equivalent of a regressive tax.

#### The Worker Next Door

If the number of low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u> were to fall, wages would increase. Low-skilled American <u>workers</u> and their families would benefit, and society as a whole would gain from a reduction in income inequality.

Employers facing higher labor costs for low-skilled <u>workers</u> would raise their prices, and to some extent they would change the way they operate their businesses. A farmer who grows winter iceberg lettuce in Yuma County, Ariz., was asked on the ABC program "Nightline" in April what he would do if it were more difficult to find the low-skilled hand harvesters who work on his farm, many of whom are undocumented <u>workers</u>. He replied that he would mechanize the harvest. Such technology exists, but it is not used because of the abundance of low-wage laborers. In their absence, mechanical harvesters -- and the higher skilled (and higher wage) <u>workers</u> to operate them -- would replace low-skilled, low-wage <u>workers</u>.

But, you might ask, who would mow the lawns in suburbia? The higher wages would attract more lower-skilled American <u>workers</u> (including teenagers) to these jobs. Facing higher costs, some homeowners would switch to grass species that grow more slowly, to alternative ground cover or to flagstones. Others would simply mow every other week, or every 10 days, instead of weekly. And some would combine one or more of these strategies to offset rising labor costs.

Few of us change our sheets and towels at home every day. Hotels and motels could reduce the frequency of changing sheets and towels from every day to, say, every third day for continuing guests, perhaps offering a price discount to guests who accept this arrangement.

Less frequent lawn mowing and washing of hotel sheets and towels would reduce air, noise and water pollution in the bargain.

With the higher cost of low-skilled labor, we would import more of some goods, in particular table-quality fruits and vegetables for home consumption (as distinct from industrial use) and lower-priced off-the-rack clothing. But it makes no sense to import people to produce goods in the United States for which we lack a comparative advantage -- that is, goods that other countries can produce more efficiently.

The point is that with a decline in low-skilled foreign <u>workers</u>, life would go on. The genius of the American people is their ingenuity, and the genius of the American economy is its flexibility. And throughout our nation's history, this flexibility, the finding of alternative ways of doing things, has been a prime engine of economic growth and change.

http://www.nytimes.com

### Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Document-Type: Op-Ed

Publication-Type: Newspaper

**Subject:** FOREIGN LABOR (93%); IMMIGRATION (91%); WAGES & SALARIES (89%); HIGH SCHOOLS (89%); INCOME DISTRIBUTION (78%); FAMILY (78%); UPPER INCOME PERSONS (78%); LABOR SECTOR PERFORMANCE (78%); ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS (77%); PRODUCTIVITY (73%); PRICES (73%); EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION GRADUATION (70%); CERTIFICATES, DEGREES & DIPLOMAS (70%); LITERACY & ILLITERACY (69%); CITIES (68%); CENSUS (66%); STUDENTS & STUDENT LIFE (65%); WEALTHY PEOPLE (63%); TAXES & TAXATION (50%); Labor; United States Economy; Foreign Labor; Immigration and Refugees; Wages and Salaries; Labor

### The Worker Next Door

Industry: HIGH SCHOOLS (89%); HOTELS & MOTELS (77%); RESTAURANTS (71%)

Person: Barry R (Prof) Chiswick

**Geographic:** CHICAGO, IL, USA (79%); NEW YORK, NY, USA (79%); NEW YORK, USA (93%); ILLINOIS, USA (92%); TEXAS, USA (79%); NEW JERSEY, USA (79%); CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (94%)

Load-Date: June 3, 2006

**End of Document**