Victorious Democrats Vow Cooperative Approach on Taxes and Economy

The New York Times

November 9, 2006 Thursday, Late Edition - Final

Copyright 2006 The New York Times Company

Section: Section C; Column 2; Business/Financial Desk; Pg. 1; Talking About Common Ground

Length: 2036 words

Byline: By STEPHEN LABATON and STEVEN R. WEISMAN; Edmund L. Andrews contributed reporting from

Washington and David Leonhardt, Eduardo Porter and Alex Berenson contributed from New York.

Dateline: WASHINGTON, Nov. 8

Body

Maybe Washington can get something done after all.

<u>Democrats</u> may have won big on Tuesday, but the lawmakers who will soon oversee <u>tax</u>, trade and <u>economic</u> issues on Capitol Hill are trying not to sound confrontational -- or even to crow.

Instead they are pledging to seek common ground with the White House and Congressional Republicans, arguing -- admittedly in the face of skepticism -- that divided government in Washington does not have to be a recipe for fights or gridlock.

On Wednesday, as the **Democrats** woke up to a huge victory at the polls, they were starting to talk about some issues on trade, **taxes** and regulations on which they and their longtime adversaries might even agree.

Among these were what Republicans and <u>Democrats</u> alike said were a mutual interest in keeping <u>taxes</u> from rising on middle-class families, <u>tax</u> relief in energy and education and providing regulatory relief for small business.

Many analysts said that the possibility of such agreement was not out of the question. Both parties, they said, were aware of voter disgust with partisan confrontations.

In recent months, business interests, anticipating solid Democratic gains, have also been pouring millions of dollars into Democratic campaigns with the hope and expectation that the new Congressional leadership would support some of their agenda.

Still, many lawmakers, lobbyists and Congressional aides said that the emerging divide between the White House and Congress would make it hard to achieve agreements on certain issues like trade and health care.

The top <u>Democrats</u> in the House and Senate <u>tax</u>-writing committees said they would not try to repeal the <u>tax</u> cuts enacted in 2001. They also called on President Bush to show what they said would be similar good faith and not resubmit his proposals for Social Security personal savings accounts.

On the issues of greatest importance to businesses -- <u>taxes</u>, trade, immigration and health care -- lawmakers expect there will be battles not only between Republicans and **Democrats** but within the parties as well.

With the loss of several moderate Republicans in the election, the party is expected to have an even more conservative cast in the House and Senate, even though some Republicans want the party to be more centrist.

At the same time, a quarter of the House <u>Democrats</u> in the next Congress are from districts that voted for Mr. Bush just two years ago, including more than half of the incoming class. These members could end up in disputes with many of the new committee heads from the party's liberal wing as well as Representative Nancy Pelosi of California, who is expected to become the House speaker next year.

<u>Democrats vowed</u> to try to work with Republicans, in part by limiting their ambitions.

Representative Charles B. Rangel of New York, the probable next chairman of the <u>tax</u>-writing Ways and Means Committee, said shortly before the election that he hoped to forge an agreement with the Republicans in limiting the growing reach of the alternative minimum <u>tax</u>, which has started to hit many middle-class Americans who claim deductions for state **taxes** and other expenses.

Mr. Rangel said he would also seek to avoid a confrontation that would pit Republicans who want to extend <u>tax</u> breaks on large estates and investment income beyond their scheduled expiration in 2010 against <u>Democrats</u> who want to rescind those measures ahead of time.

"Why should we be talking now about 2010?" Mr. Rangel said. "I'm 76 years old, and I don't buy green bananas."

Representative Barney Frank of Massachusetts, who could soon become the head of the Financial Services Committee, said he and other <u>Democrats</u> who have been advising Ms. Pelosi are planning to propose a "grand bargain" with business interests.

If business groups support the <u>**Democrats**</u>' efforts to increase the minimum wage, extend student loans and expand affordable housing programs, Mr. Frank said, then the <u>**Democrats**</u> would support efforts to reduce trade barriers and burdensome regulation.

"We are liberal internationalists," Mr. Frank said. "Businesses know they have an interest in working with us."

Representative John D. Dingell, who seems likely to once again head the Energy and Commerce Committee, said he intended to focus on an energy bill aimed at making America less dependent on foreign oil. And he plans to hold hearings on what he considers unfair trade practices that hurt American industries and workers.

Mr. Dingell, who began serving in the House in 1955 and is the chamber's senior member, said he intended to work with Republicans in shaping legislation because "it's best to legislate from the middle."

But he also said that "it won't be the easiest task because the far right has controlled the House and I'm not sure how we can emancipate the Republican Party and work with them."

One big winner from the election is organized labor, which has been increasingly marginalized in Washington in recent years and now has some important allies in leadership positions.

Following is a closer look at the election's impact on major areas of interest to business and investors:

Taxes

The two Democratic lawmakers likely to lead the <u>tax</u>-writing committees in Congress prefer to work with Republicans and the Bush administration on limited <u>tax</u> revisions agreeable to both sides. But some of their priorities could lead to fights, they acknowledge.

"We have to build up a sense of trust between Republicans and <u>Democrats</u>," Mr. Rangel said. "After all, we only have two years as <u>Democrats</u> to prove that the people made the right choice. On any of the big <u>tax</u> items, it's politically dangerous if it's not done in a bipartisan way."

Both Mr. Rangel and Senator Max Baucus of Montana, who would become chairman of the Senate Finance Committee if <u>Democrats</u> take control of that body, said <u>Democrats</u> would be making a mistake to pursue rescinding the income <u>tax</u> cuts enacted by Congress in 2001 and expiring in 2010.

Mr. Rangel said that his goal for a retreat among <u>Democrats</u> and Republicans would be to have them meet away from the Capitol and hear from experts, including perhaps Treasury Secretary Henry M. Paulson Jr. and the former Treasury Secretary Robert E. Rubin.

Mr. Rubin, however, is known as a "deficit hawk" and has advocated an <u>approach</u> to cutting the federal deficit that would include both spending cuts and <u>tax</u> increases, at least for the wealthy.

Mr. Rangel and Mr. Baucus said that one target by <u>Democrats</u> would be to revise what is known as the alternative minimum <u>tax</u>, which imposes <u>taxes</u> on taxpayers that take large deductions and reduce their <u>tax</u> rate below a certain ceiling.

But reducing that <u>tax</u> could involve a loss of hundreds of millions of dollars in revenue and <u>Democrats</u> are loath to propose alternative ways of raising the money.

Trade

<u>Democrats</u> and Republicans agree that the new Congress is likely to be less hospitable to trade deals negotiated by the Bush administration, since any such deals are likely to involve cuts in tariffs and subsidies that could cost manufacturing jobs.

The main issue would be a possible global trade agreement negotiated by the World Trade Organization. Major business organizations support such a deal but farm groups are ready to oppose anything that does not require tariff and subsidy reductions by America's trading partners.

Any deal would have to be approved by next June 30, when President Bush's legal authority to get a single up-ordown vote on any such deal expires. Some experts hope to negotiate a deal by February or March and then get a Congressional vote, but the skeptics outnumber the optimists.

"If the administration comes through with a trade deal in March, it will be impossible to do it by July 1," said Senator Charles E. Grassley of Iowa, a Republican who has been, and still could be, chairman of the Senate Finance Committee. "After it expires, it's not going to be renewed until we get a new president."

Trade officials said that discussions have begun on reviving the global trade talks, but there is no evidence that Europeans and other countries like India, Indonesia and Brazil are willing to cut their farm tariffs dramatically. In turn, there is no sign that the Bush administration would cut American farm subsidies below \$22 billion.

Governance

A small but growing movement has begun pushing to reduce the cost of the landmark business law, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, that was adopted in 2002 in response to a raft of corporate scandals.

The law's most ardent supporter, Senator Paul Sarbanes of Maryland, is retiring from the Senate this year, and other senior <u>Democrats</u> on the Senate Banking Committee, including Senator Charles E. Schumer of New York, have recently suggested that it should be re-examined to reduce what they see as some of its most burdensome requirements.

Mr. Frank said he also intended to once again sponsor legislation that would give shareholders the right to vote on the pay packages of senior executives. That measure has been opposed by administration officials and Republican lawmakers.

Energy

Victorious Democrats Vow Cooperative Approach on Taxes and Economy

A Democratic House is likely to stall two major Republican efforts sought by oil companies: opening the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil and gas drilling and lifting a 20-year moratorium on drilling in new areas along the Outer Continental Shelf.

Industry groups are hoping they may be able to win support for a more limited Senate bill opening up some coastal areas to drilling during a lame-duck session of Congress with Republicans still in control.

<u>Democrats</u> are all but certain to attack many of the <u>tax</u> breaks and other incentives for oil and gas producers.

The bigger question is what Democratic leaders will try to accomplish. They will almost certainly push for more government support of alternative fuels, hybrid cars and new infrastructure -- from pipelines to pumps -- to distribute new fuels.

Pharmaceuticals

Even as the market advanced yesterday, drug stocks fell sharply in a sign of Wall Street concern that <u>Democrats</u> would try to change the Medicare drug program to give the government the right to negotiate directly with pharmaceutical companies over drug prices.

Companies may also face closer federal scrutiny of their marketing practices, including so-called off-label promotion of drugs for conditions for which they have not been approved.

Drug makers have enjoyed a relatively close relationship with Republican leaders in the House, said Catherine Bennett, a former Pfizer vice president who is now a partner at the Venable law firm in Washington.

"Off-label marketing of drugs, patent litigation settlements, and drug safety will all be on the table, something that pharma companies have not had to worry about under a Republican-controlled House," she said.

Immigration

Washington may sink into partisan fighting in the next two years. But there is one piece of proposed legislation that will have a better chance of becoming law after Republicans surrender control of the House.

"The chances of comprehensive immigration reform being enacted in the next Congress go up significantly," said Frank Sharry, executive director of the National Immigration Forum, a pro-immigration lobby group in Washington. "It might be one of the few issues in the next Congress that could see the light of day on a bipartisan basis."

Despite support from Mr. Bush, Republican resistance in the House managed to block a broad immigration reform package passed by the Senate in the summer. The bill included tougher border security provisions, a new immigrant guest-worker program and a path for millions of illegal immigrants to attain legal status.

Instead, the House passed a bill to build a 700-mile fence along the Mexican border, a proposal that passed the Senate in September and was signed into law last month by Mr. Bush. The resistance by a hard-core group of Republicans opposed to easing restrictions to immigration spread as opposition to illegal immigration became a rallying force in Republican campaigns throughout the summer.

But the anti-immigrant fervor did not seem to work on Tuesday. Many Republicans who campaigned on a hard anti-immigrant platform lost.

Graphic

Photos: In line to be new committee chairmen are Barney Frank, left, Financial Services

John D. Dingell, center, Energy and Commerce

and Charles B. Rangel, Ways and Means. (Photos by From left, Neal Hamberg/Bloomberg News

Yuri Gripas/Associated Press

Stephan Savoia/Associated Press)(pg. C1)Drawings (pg. C14)

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: US REPUBLICAN PARTY (90%); POLITICAL PARTIES (90%); US CONGRESS (90%); US DEMOCRATIC PARTY (90%); TAXES & TAXATION (90%); TAX RELIEF (89%); VOTERS & VOTING (89%); LEGISLATIVE BODIES (89%); TAX LAW (89%); AGREEMENTS (89%); LOBBYING (78%); SOCIAL SECURITY (78%); CAMPAIGNS & ELECTIONS (78%); LIBERALISM (78%); US FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (77%); CONSERVATISM (76%); FAMILY (72%); MIDDLE INCOME PERSONS (68%); SMALL BUSINESS (68%); IMMIGRATION (50%)

Organization: House of Representatives (US); Senate (US)

Industry: PERSONAL FINANCE (68%)

Person: CHARLES B RANGEL (58%); NANCY PELOSI (56%); GEORGE W BUSH (56%); Stephen Labaton;

Steven R Weisman; George W (Pres) Bush

Geographic: CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); UNITED STATES (92%)

Load-Date: November 9, 2006

End of Document