

# Homework 3: Solvers

Christopher Klammer

cklammer@andrew.cmu.edu

March 31, 2023

## 1 Linear SLAM

### 1.1 Measurement Function

#### 1.1.1 Odometry Measurement

The odometry measurement should just be the vector from the pose at time  $t$  to the pose at time  $t + 1$

$$h_o(\mathbf{r}^t, \mathbf{r}^{t+1}) = [r_x^{t+1} - r_x^t \quad r_y^{t+1} - r_y^t]^T$$

$$H_o = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_x^{t+1} - r_x^t}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial r_x^{t+1} - r_x^t}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial r_x^{t+1} - r_x^t}{\partial r_x^{t+1}} & \frac{\partial r_x^{t+1} - r_x^t}{\partial r_y^{t+1}} \\ \frac{\partial r_y^{t+1} - r_y^t}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial r_y^{t+1} - r_y^t}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial r_y^{t+1} - r_y^t}{\partial r_x^{t+1}} & \frac{\partial r_y^{t+1} - r_y^t}{\partial r_y^{t+1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_o = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 1.1.2 Landmark Measurement

This will be extremely similar, just find the vector from the robot's position to the landmark

$$h_l(\mathbf{r}^t, \mathbf{l}^k) = [l_x^k - r_x^t \quad l_y^k - r_y^t]^T$$

$$H_l = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial l_x^k - r_x^t}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial l_x^k - r_x^t}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial l_x^k - r_x^t}{\partial l_x^k} & \frac{\partial l_x^k - r_x^t}{\partial l_y^k} \\ \frac{\partial l_y^k - r_y^t}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial l_y^k - r_y^t}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial l_y^k - r_y^t}{\partial l_x^k} & \frac{\partial l_y^k - r_y^t}{\partial l_y^k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_l = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 1.2 Solvers and Sparsity

### 1.2.1 2D Linear Data

Timing:

PInv	LU	LU COLAMD	QR	QR COLAMD
4.900 sec	0.073 sec	0.186 sec	1.074 sec	1.113 sec




Figure 1: Trajectory for PInv Solver




Figure 2: Trajectory for LU Solver




Figure 3: Sparsity for LU Solver




Figure 4: Trajectory for LU COLAMD Solver




Figure 5: Sparsity for LU COLAMD Solver




Figure 6: Trajectory for QR Solver




Figure 7: Sparsity for QR Solver




Figure 8: Trajectory for QR COLMAD Solver




Figure 9: Sparsity for QR COLMAD Solver

### 1.2.2 2D Linear Discussion

First off, we see here that the psuedo-inverse is the slowest, the LU solver is the fastest, and the QR solver is in the middle. We also see that the COLAMD methods do not cause any speedup.

In the case of a linear trajectory, we do not have any redundant observations. Each landmark that we see is relatively new and, since the trajectory is fairly linear, we see that our factorized matrices are sparse and close to diagonal. Since this is the case, we do not see performance increase by using the COLAMD ordering. In my opinion, it seems that no further sparsification can be gained with COLAMD so the reordering acts as an overhead making the performance worse.




Figure 10: Trajectory for PInv Solver

### 1.2.3 2D Linear Loop Data

Timing:

PInv	LU	LU COLAMD	QR	QR COLAMD
0.451 sec	0.056 sec	0.009 sec	0.616 sec	0.063 sec






Figure 11: Trajectory for LU Solver




Figure 12: Sparsity for LU Solver




Figure 13: Trajectory for LU COLAMD Solver




Figure 14: Sparsity for LU COLAMD Solver




Figure 15: Trajectory for QR Solver




Figure 16: Sparsity for QR Solver




Figure 17: Trajectory for QR COLMAD Solver




Figure 18: Sparsity for QR COLMAD Solver

### 1.2.4 2D Linear Loop Discussion

In general, we see the same relative ordering in that the psuedo-inverse is the slowest, the LU solver is the quickest, and the QR solver is in the middle. However, in this case, we see that COLAMD offers massive speedups.

In this case, we appear to move in a loop where we visit the same landmarks multiple times and we also see similar odometry measurements as well because we are moving in the same loop. This creates a much more dense matrix, especially compared to the linear case.

Here, we see large speedups in performance when using the COLAMD ordering which helps sparsify the matrix by removing more redudant measurements. For example, seeing the same landmark from the same pose is probably not useful, we can essentially remove or generally combine these redundant rows in order to create a more sparse representation.

## 2 Non-Linear SLAM

### 2.1 Measurement Function

#### 2.1.1 Landmark Measurement

Notation:

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= l_x^k - r_x^t \\ dy &= l_y^k - r_y^t \end{aligned}$$

Calculation:

$$H_l = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l_x^k} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l_y^k} \\ \frac{\partial d}{\partial r_x^t} & \frac{\partial d}{\partial r_y^t} & \frac{\partial d}{\partial l_x^k} & \frac{\partial d}{\partial l_y^k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r_x^t} = \frac{dx^2}{dx^2 + dy^2} * \frac{dy}{dx^2} = \frac{dy}{dx^2 + dy^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r_y^t} = \frac{dx^2}{dx^2 + dy^2} * -\frac{dx}{dx^2} = -\frac{dx}{dx^2 + dy^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l_x^k} = \frac{dx^2}{dx^2 + dy^2} * \frac{-dy}{dx^2} = -\frac{dy}{dx^2 + dy^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l_y^k} = \frac{dx^2}{dx^2 + dy^2} * \frac{dx}{dx^2} = \frac{dx}{dx^2 + dy^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial r_x^t} = \frac{1}{2} * (dx^2 + dy^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} * -2 * dx = \frac{-dx}{(dx^2 + dy^2)^{1/2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial r_y^t} = \frac{1}{2} * (dx^2 + dy^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} * -2 * dy = \frac{-dy}{(dx^2 + dy^2)^{1/2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial l_x^k} = \frac{1}{2} * (dx^2 + dy^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} * 2 * dx = \frac{dx}{(dx^2 + dy^2)^{1/2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial l_y^k} = \frac{1}{2} * (dx^2 + dy^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} * 2dy = \frac{dy}{(dx^2 + dy^2)^{1/2}}$$

$$H_l = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dy}{dx^2+dy^2} & \frac{-dx}{dx^2+dy^2} & \frac{-dy}{dx^2+dy^2} & \frac{dx}{dx^2+dy^2} \\ \frac{-dx}{(dx^2+dy^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{-dy}{(dx^2+dy^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{dx}{(dx^2+dy^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{dy}{(dx^2+dy^2)^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix}$$