

IAM COMPACT Study 7

Dietary shift to lower animal protein consumption

September 12, 2023



- 1 Motivation and the Model
- 2 System-Wide Effects
- 3 Future Work and Discussion

Motivation and the Model

Literature has analyzed how a transition to healthy diets can benefit health, biodiversity, land use, and climate (Lancet-EAT)

But...

- ★ it is unclear how this transition will occur
- ★ the system-wide effects that could derive from this transition

We'll study the **FVV** diet, ie., a more sustainable diet where the animal protein is reduced, either becoming **F**lexitarian, **V**egetarian, or **V**egan.

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ SDG 2: Alimentation
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ **SDG 2: Alimentation**
 - ▷ **Macronutrients consumption**
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ SDG 2: Alimentation
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ Premature deaths due to AP
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ SDG 2: Alimentation
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ Water consumption (total)
 - ▷ Water consumption by crop and livestock
 - ▷ Irrigated and Rainfed water demand
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ SDG 2: Alimentation
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ GHG emissions
 - ▷ CH₄ agricultural emissions
 - ▷ N₂O agricultural emissions
 - ▷ LUC CO₂ emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use

1. Create a model to deal with the uncertainty of the scenario projections
2. Study the following effects:
 - ▷ SDG 2: Alimentation
 - ▷ SDG 3: Health
 - ▷ SDG 6: Water management
 - ▷ SDG 13: Emissions
 - ▷ SDG 15: Land use
 - ▷ Area of forest, pasture, cropland, and other land
 - ▷ Re-forestation
 - ▷ Cropland management (area and fertilizer demand)
 - ▷ Crop loss due to AP
 - ▷ Carbon stock

Assumptions

1. Each person decides to become FVV independently but is influenced by 3 factors:
 - ▷ Social pressure weight
 - ▷ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100
 - ▷ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift
2. Once a person decides to follow the FVV diet, will stick to this decision for the rest of the century

Assumptions

1. Each person decides to become FVV independently but is influenced by 3 factors:
 - ▷ Social pressure weight (Ex. 20)
 - ▷ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100
 - ▷ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift
2. Once a person decides to follow the FVV diet, will stick to this decision for the rest of the century


Assumptions

1. Each person decides to become FVV independently but is influenced by 3 factors:
 - ▷ Social pressure weight (Ex. 20)
 - ▷ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100 (Ex. 70%)
 - ▷ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift
2. Once a person decides to follow the FVV diet, will stick to this decision for the rest of the century

Assumptions

1. Each person decides to become FVV independently but is influenced by 3 factors:
 - ▷ Social pressure weight (Ex. 20)
 - ▷ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100 (Ex. 70%)
 - ▷ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift (Ex. 2050)
2. Once a person decides to follow the FVV diet, will stick to this decision for the rest of the century


Binomial distribution with probability p



Where the probability p is influenced by

- ★ Social pressure weight
- ★ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100
- ★ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift

Binomial distribution with probability p




Where the probability p is influenced by


- ★ Social pressure weight
- ★ Percentage of the population following the FVV diet by 2100
- ★ Peak year when the majority of the population will shift


→ Exogenous


Each factor value is randomly chosen from a Normal Distribution $N(\mu, \sigma)$




Each factor value is randomly chosen from a Normal Distribution $N(\mu, \sigma)$












Cumulative percentage of flexitarians (free scales)




Sensitivity scenario — all_equal_2040_60-80 — all_equal_2080_60-80




System-Wide Effects



Annual World cropland abs difference (beh – ref)



Re-forestation (abs difference) in 2030




Annual water consumption abs difference in 2030




Annual Water flows difference (billion m³)




-20 -15 -10 -5 0



Water consumption by crop and livestock





Annual World IRR and RFD abs difference (beh.change – ref)



Scenario — Behavior change.RFD — Reference.RFD — Behavior change.IRR — Refere


Abs GHG avoided emissions in 2030







Scenario — Behavior change — Reference


Annual World N₂O emissions






Annual World N₂O emissions


Annual avoided deaths in 2030



Avoided relative yield losses in 2030



Percentual regional difference of
different system-wide impacts




Future Work and Discussion

- ★ Does it make sense nutritionally speaking the FVV diet? (Reducing animal protein and increasing nuts and legumes)
- ★ Does it make sense the cropland area dynamic?


- ★ Study nutritional values and other system-wide impacts.
- ★ Consider additional regional sensitivity and study the derived system-wide effects.
- ★ Consider different households.
- ★ Do a similar study for trade (with VWT) and transport. Maybe simplified?


Extra slides


Per diff regional GHG emissions in 2030




Abs GHG avoided emissions in 2030







Annual avoided deaths in 2030



Annual avoided deaths in 2030

