# Klaudia Banasiewicz 250360

# Report – Numerical Simulation of Light-Ray Deflection in Schwarzschild Space-Time

## 1. Introduction

Gravitational lensing - the bending of light by massive bodies - provides one of the most striking confirmations of general relativity. In this project, I simulate photon trajectories around a non-rotating (Schwarzschild) black hole by numerically integrating the null geodesic equations. The primary goal is to reproduce the classic result that light passing at impact parameter b is deflected by an angle

$$\Delta \phi \approx \frac{4M}{b}$$

in geometrized units (c=G=1). I build an interactive dashboard (using Dash and Plotly) that allows the user to vary the black-hole mass M and visualize both individual light-ray trajectories and the dependence of  $\Delta \phi$  on b.

## 2. Mathematical Formulation

#### 2.1. Schwarzschild Metric and Null Geodesics

In Schwarzschild coordinates  $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ , a spherically symmetric, static black hole of mass M has line element

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$

Restricting motion to the equatorial plane  $(\theta = \pi/2)$ , null geodesics  $(ds^2 = 0)$  satisfy two first integrals:

$$E = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dt}{d\lambda}, \qquad L = r^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda},$$

where E, L are the conserved energy and angular momentum per unit mass, and  $\lambda$  is just a parameter that increases along the light ray's path. Eliminating  $dt/d\lambda$  and using  $ds^2=0$  yields the radial equation (see [theconfused.me, 2017]):

$$\frac{d^2r}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{L^2}{r^4}(r - 3M).$$

Thus we have the system of three first-order ODEs for variables  $\{r, \dot{r}, \phi\}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \dot{r}, \\ \frac{d\dot{r}}{d\lambda} = \frac{L^2}{r^4} (r - 3M), \\ \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = \frac{L}{r^2}. \end{cases}$$

We adopt geometrized units throughout, so M and all lengths are in the same unit.

## 2.2. Impact Parameter and Initial Conditions

The impact parameter b is the asymptotic closest-approach distance for a straight-line light ray. We launch photons from a point  $(x_0, y_0) = (-x_{\text{init}}, b)$  with an initial velocity directed along +x. Converting to polar coordinates,

$$r_0 = \sqrt{x_{\text{init}}^2 + b^2},$$
  $\phi_0 = \arccos(x_{\text{init}}/r_0),$ 

and components of the velocity in  $(r, \phi)$  form are chosen so that the ray is initially moving purely in the +x direction. One solves

$$\dot{r_0} = \cos\phi_0, \qquad \dot{\phi_0} = -\frac{\sin\phi_0}{r_0},$$

then sets  $L = r_0^2 \dot{\phi}_0$ .

## 3. Numerical Method

I use SciPy's solve\_ivp routine (RK45 by default) to integrate the ODE system from  $\lambda=0$  to  $\lambda=\lambda_{\rm max}$ . The trajectory is sampled uniformly in  $\lambda$  with step  $\Delta\lambda=0.05$ . Trajectories for various b values are computed and then transformed back to Cartesian (x,y) via

$$x = r \cos \phi,$$
  $y = r \sin \phi.$ 

# 4. Results and Error Analysis

# 4.1. Photon Trajectories

For a representative mass M=1.0, trajectories with various b values from 4 to 30 clearly show increasing deflection for smaller b, and capture (plunge into the horizon) when  $b < b_{\rm crit} = 3\sqrt{3}M \approx 5.20$  (see Fig.1).




Fig. 1. The plot of Light Rays Around a Schwarzschild Black Hole

# 4.2. Deflection Angle vs. Impact Parameter

I compare:

- Theoretical:  $\Delta \phi_{\rm th} = 4M/b$ .
- Numerical: estimated by measuring the asymptotic incoming and outgoing slopes of the trajectory and computing

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{num}} = \arctan(-\text{slope}).$$

For  $b \gtrsim 10$ , numerical and theoretical agree within < 1%. As b decreases toward the critical value, nonlinear effects introduce larger deviations; at b=6 the relative error is a few percent, growing rapidly for b < 5.5.

#### 4.3. Sources of Error

- Integration step size  $(\Delta \lambda)$ : fixed-step sampling of output can poorly resolve rapid curvature near periapsis, leading to slope-estimation error.
- Finite  $\lambda_{max}$ : the photon may not fully exit the curved region within the chosen domain, biasing asymptotic angle.
- Slope estimation: using only two points near the end of the trajectory amplifies noise; a least-squares fit to the outgoing leg would reduce variance.

## 5. Conclusions

I have successfully implemented and visualized light bending around a Schwarzschild black hole, reproducing the classical 4M/blaw in the weak-field regime. The interactive dashboard highlights how deflection grows with mass and falls off with bb, and illustrates the capture threshold at  $b=3\sqrt{3}M$ .

## Possible Improvements:

- Adaptive integration: switch to an adaptive step integrator that refines near periapsis to control local error.
- $\bullet$  Extended domain: increase  $\lambda_{max}$  until the angle converges to a desired precision.
- Robust asymptotic fitting: perform linear regression on the far-field segment of (x, y) to extract the deflection angle more accurately.
- Higher-order methods: implement higher-order integrators tailored to geodesic equations.

Such refinements would reduce numerical error, extend validity closer to the photon-sphere, and provide even more faithful simulations of strong-field lensing.

#### 6. References

Numerical integration of light paths in a Schwarzschild metric, theconfused.me
(2017), available at <a href="https://theconfused.me/blog/numerical-integration-of-light-paths-in-a-schwarzschild-metric/">https://theconfused.me/blog/numerical-integration-of-light-paths-in-a-schwarzschild-metric/</a>