

## **Criterion E - Evaluation**

### **Evaluation of the Product**

*The product works as intended, checking all of the client's boxes, albeit in a basic manner. While the client only specifically asked for the success criteria shown in Criterion A, in a further interview (Appendix; Final Client Interview) it was shown that she would have liked something more advanced, as if their first interviews in Criterion A were merely requirements for what was necessary, and not meant to be a final list of wants. To summarize, the program works great for the required purpose, but could have been more advanced. However, the client still expressed great contentment with the product (Appendix; Final Client Interview).*

### **Recommendations for Future Improvement**

*To elaborate on making a more advanced version of the program, the client suggested some ideas for a future version (Appendix; Final Client Interview). One of those recommendations was to add a GUI, which would have made the program easier to understand for novice computer users. While interacting with the program in a console is pretty simple, it is still less difficult for the user to press a button to choose their next action, instead of the user having to type what they intend to do. Another suggestion for improvement was implementing a system for certain accounts to have access to certain assignments, while others would be hidden. This functionality would be controlled in the administrator control panel. The final major suggestion that the client referenced was the ability to have teacher accounts for other professors other than herself, that could create and manipulate the assignments, but not edit the lists of usernames and passwords.*