Determine whether the following subsets are subspaces:

## Part a

$$S_1 := \{(x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = \sqrt{123}x_2\}$$

**Answer:** This is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since it is a straight line that passes through the origin.

Proof. Let (a,b) and (c,d) be two elements of  $S_1$ . We want to show that  $(a,b)+(c,d) \in S_1$  and  $n(a,b) \in S_1 \forall n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using the definition of  $S_1$ , we have that  $a = \sqrt{123}b$  and  $c = \sqrt{123}d$ . Adding elements (a,b) and (c,d), we have that (a,b)+(c,d)=(a+c,b+d) We can then substitute in the values of a and c to get  $(a+c,b+d)=(\sqrt{123}b+\sqrt{123}d,b+d)$  This can then be factored to  $(\sqrt{123}(b+d),b+d)$  Since this satisfies the definition of  $S_1$ , we have that  $(a,b)+(c,d)\in S_1$ . To show that  $n(a,b)\in S_1\forall n\in\mathbb{R}$ , we can use the definition of  $S_1$  again. The element (a,b) can be written as  $(\sqrt{123}b,b)$ . Multiplying this by n gives us  $(n\sqrt{123}b,nb)$ . Since this satisfies the definition of  $S_1$ , we have that  $n(a,b)\in S_1\forall n\in\mathbb{R}$ .

## Part b

$$S_2 := \{(x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 x_2 = 1\}$$

**Answer:** This is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since it does not satisfy the addition property.

Proof. Let (a,b) and (c,d) be two elements of  $S_2$ . Seeking a contradiction, lets assume that  $(a,b)+(c,d)\in S_2$ . Since we can write (a,b)+(c,d) as (a+c,b+d), our assumption would imply that (a+c)(b+d)=1. Expanding this, we get ab+ad+bc+cd=1. It is given that ab=1 and cd=1, so we can substitute these in to get 1+ad+bc+1=1. This can be simplified to ad+bc=-1. Since a can be rewritten as  $\frac{1}{b}$  and c can be rewritten as  $\frac{1}{d}$ , we can substitute these in to get  $\frac{d}{b}+\frac{b}{d}=-1$ . Multiplying the entire equation by bd gives us  $d^2+b^2=-bd$ . Since  $d^2$  and  $b^2$  must be positive, this equation cannot be true, and thus we have reached a contradiction. Therefore,  $(a,b)+(c,d)\notin S_2$ , so  $S_2$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## Part c

 $S_3 := \{ \text{the set of singular } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrices} \}$ 

**Answer:** This is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  since it does not satisfy the addition property.

Counterexample: Let matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  where A, B  $\in S_3$ .  $A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is not a singular matrix.

## Part d

Let A be a fixed (but arbitrary)  $2 \times 2$  matrix.  $S_4 := \{B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : BA = 0\}$ 

**Answer:** This is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ .

Proof. Let J and K be two arbitrary elements in  $S_4$ . We want to show that J,  $K \in S_4 \to J + K \in S_4$  and  $aJ \in S_4 \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ . In order for J + K to be in  $S_4$ , we must have that (J + K)A = 0. We can rewrite this as JA + KA = 0. Since J and K are in  $S_4$ , we know that JA = 0 and KA = 0. Substituting these in, we get 0 + 0 = 0, which is true. Therefore,  $J + K \in S_4$ . Additionally, in order for aJ to be in  $S_4$ , we must show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}, J \in S_4 \to aJ \in S_4$ . To do this, we must verify the validity of (aJ)A = 0. Since scalar multiplication is commutative, we can rewrite this as a(JA) = 0. Since J is in  $S_4$ , we know that JA = 0. Substituting this in, we get a(0) = 0, which is true. Therefore,  $aJ \in S_4$ , so  $S_4$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ .

## Part e

 $S_5 := \{ \text{the set of all polynomials of degree 2 or 4} \}$ 

**Answer:** This is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_n$ .

Counterexample:

Let  $p(x), q(x) \in S_5$ . Suppose that  $p(x) = x^2$  and  $q(x) = -x^2$ . Then p(x) + q(x) = 0, which is not a polynomial of degree 2 or 4.

## Part f

 $S_6 := \{ \text{the set of upper triangular } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrices} \}$ **Answer:** This is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ .

*Proof.* Let A and B be two arbitrary elements in  $S_6$ . To prove that  $S_6$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ , we must show that A,  $B \in S_6 \to A + B \in S_6$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}, nA \in S_6$ . Given that A and B are in  $S_6$ , we know that A and B are upper triangular matrices. Writing these out, we have:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ 0 & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since matrix addition is element-wise, we can write A + B as:

$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} + b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear in this form that A + B is an upper triangular matrix, so  $A + B \in S_6$ .

To show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}, nA \in S_6$ , we must show that nA is an upper triangular matrix. We know that nA is an upper triangular matrix if nA is of the form:

$$nA = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since scalar multiplication is element-wise, we can write nA as:

$$nA = \begin{bmatrix} na_{11} & na_{12} \\ 0 & na_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

In this form, it is clear that nA is an upper triangular matrix. Therefore,  $nA \in S_6$ , so  $S_6$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ .

## Part g

 $S_7 := \{ p \in \mathbb{P}_4 : p(0) = 0 \}$ 

**Answer:** This is a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_4$ .

*Proof.* Let f(x) and g(x) be two arbitrary elements in  $S_7$ . To prove that  $S_7$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_4$ , we must show that f(x),  $g(x) \in S_7 \to f(x) + g(x) \in S_7$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}, nf(x) \in S_7$ . Given that f(x) and g(x) are in  $S_7$ , we know that f(0) = 0 and g(0) = 0, which is by definition of  $S_7$ . This means that f(x) and g(x) are of the form:

$$f(x) = a_1 x^4 + a_2 x^3 + a_3 x^2 + a_4 x + 0$$
  
$$g(x) = b_1 x^4 + b_2 x^3 + b_3 x^2 + b_4 x + 0$$

This means we can write f(x) + g(x) as:

$$f(x) + g(x) = (a_1 + b_1)x^4 + (a_2 + b_2)x^3 + (a_3 + b_3)x^2 + (a_4 + b_4)x + 0$$

In this form it is clear that f(x) + g(x) satisfies the condition that p(0) = 0, so  $f(x) + g(x) \in S_7$ .

To show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}, nf(x) \in S_7$ , we can follow a similar process. We know that nf(x) is of the form:

$$nf(x) = na_1x^4 + na_2x^3 + na_3x^2 + na_4x + 0$$

Regardless of the value of n, nf(x) will always satisfy the condition that p(0) = 0, so  $nf(x) \in S_7$ . Therefore,  $S_7$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_4$ .

Find the null space of the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Matrix A:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + \frac{1}{6}x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 - \frac{1}{3}x_3 = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = -\frac{1}{6}x_3 \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{3}x_3 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{6}x_3 \\ \frac{1}{3}x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$N(A) = \begin{cases} a \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

#### Matrix B:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim -x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 \\ x_2 = x_2 \\ x_3 = x_3 \\ x_4 = x_4 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$N(B) = \begin{cases} a \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} = \operatorname{span} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$

## Matrix C:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -12 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -24 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 + 4x_3 = 0 \\ -24x_3 = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = -3x_3 \\ x_2 = -4x_3 \\ x_3 = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3x_3 \\ -4x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$N(C) = \begin{cases} a \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Show that the following matrices form a spanning set for  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ . Also, show that these matrices are linearly independent.

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Proposition:** The matricies  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$  form a spanning set for  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ 

*Proof.* Suppose we have some arbitrary A in  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ . To show that the matricies  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$  form a spanning set for  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ , we must show that A can be written as a linear combination of  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$ . We can write A as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Which means we need to find scalars  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , and  $x_4$  such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From here we can see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $x_1 = a$ ,  $x_2 = b$ ,  $x_3 = c$ , and  $x_4 = d$ . Since we can write A as a linear combination of  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$ , we have proven that the matricies  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$  form a spanning set for  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ .

**Proposition:** The matricies  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* A set of vectors is linearly independent if and only if the only solution to  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n = 0$  is  $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ . This means we have:

$$c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_4 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From this we have the following system:

$$\begin{cases} c_1 \times 1 = 0 \\ c_2 \times 1 = 0 \\ c_3 \times 1 = 0 \\ c_4 \times 1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

From this it is clear that the only solution to the system is  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$ . This is exactly the definition of linear independence, so we have proven that the matricies  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $A_{22}$  are linearly independent.

Let  $x_1, x_2,$  and  $x_3$  be linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$y_1 = x_1 + x_2$$
,  $y_2 = x_2 + x_3$ ,  $y_3 = x_3 + x_1$ .

Decide if  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , and  $y_3$  are linearly independent or not. **Answer:** The vectors  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , and  $y_3$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* A set of vectors is linearly independent if and only if the only solution to  $c_1v_1+c_2v_2+\cdots+c_nv_n=0$  is  $c_1=c_2=\cdots=c_n=0$ .