CSCE 222 Discrete Structures for Computing – Fall 2023 Hyunyoung Lee

Problem Set 5

Due dates: Electronic submission of yourLastName-yourFirstName-hw5.tex and yourLastName-yourFirstName-hw5.pdf files of this homework is due on Monday, 10/23/2023 before 11:59 p.m. on https://canvas.tamu.edu. You will see two separate links to turn in the .tex file and the .pdf file separately. Please do not archive or compress the files. If any of the two files are missing, you will receive zero points for this homework.

Name: Kevin Lei UIN: 432009232

Resources. (All people, books, articles, web pages, etc. that have been consulted when producing your answers to this homework)

On my honor, as an Aggie, I have neither given nor received any unauthorized aid on any portion of the academic work included in this assignment. Furthermore, I have disclosed all resources (people, books, web sites, etc.) that have been used to answer this homework.

Electronic signature: Kevin Lei

□ Did you type in your name and UIN?

Total 100 + 10 (bonus) points.

The intended formatting is that this first page is a cover page and each problem solved on a new page. You only need to fill in your solution between the \begin{solution} and \end{solution} environment. Please do not change this overall formatting.

Checklist:

□ Did you disclose all resources that you have used?
 (This includes all people, books, websites, etc. that you have consulted)
 □ Did you sign that you followed the Aggie Honor Code?
 □ Did you solve all problems?
 □ Did you submit both the .tex and .pdf files of your homework to each correct link on Canvas?

Problem 1. (10 points) Section 11.1, Exercise 11.3

Solution. To determine who is correct in this situation, we can use the definition of asymptotic equality. We have that $f(n) = n^2 + 2n$ and $g(n) = n^2$. Taking the limit of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$ as n approaches infinity, we have:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2 + 2n}{n^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+2}{n}$$

Using L'Hopital's rule, we have:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+2}{n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{1}=1$$

This means that by definition, $f(n) \sim g(n)$, so Ernie is correct. Bert says that f and g cannot be asymptotically equal since $f(n)-g(n) \geq 2n$ for all $n \geq 1$. However, this is the wrong way to look at asymptotic equality. Asymptotic equality is supposed to describe the behavior of functions for large inputs. Bert is focusing on the absolute difference between the functions f and g. However, asymptotic equality deals with the relative error (f(n)-g(n))/g(n). In the case of $f(n)=n^2+2n$ and $g(n)=n^2$, the relative error does vanish for large inputs, since it is this limit: $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)-g(n)}{g(n)}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2n}{n^2}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2}{n}=0$.

Problem 2. (20 points) Section 11.3, Exercise 11.14. [Requirement: Study the definition of \approx involving the inequalities carefully and use the definition to answer the questions.]

Solution. Given that $f: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$ and $g: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$, the functions f and g have the same order of growth (represented by $f \approx g$) if and only if there exist positive real constants c and C and a positive integer n_0 such that

$$c|g(n)| \le |f(n)| \le C|g(n)|$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. To show that this is an equivalence relation, we need to show the following:

(i) $f \approx f$

Here we need to find positive real constants c and C and a positive integer n_0 such that $c|f(n)| \le |f(n)| \le C|f(n)|$ for all $n \ge n_0$. The obvious choice is c = C = 1 and $n_0 = 1$, so the relation is reflexive.

(ii) $f \approx g$ if and only if $g \approx f$.

Suppose that $f \approx g$. This implies that there exist positive real constants c and C and a positive integer n_0 such that $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Splitting up this inequality, we have $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)|$ and $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$. Dividing by c and C respectively, we have $|g(n)| \leq \frac{1}{c}|f(n)|$ and $\frac{1}{C}|f(n)| \leq |g(n)|$, which still holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Using this, we can write the definition of $g \approx f$ as an inequality:

$$\frac{1}{C}|f(n)| \le |g(n)| \le \frac{1}{c}|f(n)|$$

Since this holds for all $n \ge n_0$, we have that $g \times f$, and $f \times g \to g \times f$. The same logic can be applied to show that given $g \times f$, $f \times g$ is true. Therefore, the relation is symmetric.

(iii) $f \approx g$ and $g \approx h$ implies $f \approx h$.

Given that $f \approx g$ and $g \approx h$, we know that there exist positive real constants c_1 , C_1 , c_2 , and C_2 and positive integers n_1 and n_2 such that $c_1|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq C_1|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_1$ and $c_2|h(n)| \leq |g(n)| \leq C_2|h(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_2$. Using this information, we want to show that there exist positive real constants c and c and a positive integer c0 such that $c|h(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq C|h(n)|$ for all c2 and c3. From the definitions, we have that c3 and putting the two together, we have c4 and putting the second inequality by c4 and putting the two together, we have c4 and c5 and c6 because the inequality c6 and c7 and putting the two together, we have c8 and c9 and c

Therefore, \approx is an equivalence relation.

Problem 3. (15 points) Prove that $3n^2 + 41 \in O(n^3)$ by giving a direct proof based on the definition of big-O involving the inequalities and absolute values, as given in the lecture notes Section 11.4.

To do so, first write out what $3n^2 + 41 \in O(n^3)$ means according to the definition. Then, you need to find a positive real constant C and a positive integer n_0 that satisfy the definition.

Solution. For functions $f: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$ and $g: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$, we say that g is an asymptotic upper bound for f, written as $f \in O(g)$, if and only if there exists a positive real constant C and a positive integer n_0 such that

$$|f(n)| \le C|g(n)|$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. Using the definition of big-O, we have that $3n^2 + 41 \in O(n^3)$ if and only if there exist a positive real constant C and and a positive integer n_0 such that $|3n^2 + 41| \le C|n^3|$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Since both sides of the inequality are positive for all $n \ge 1$, we can remove the absolute value signs, and we have $3n^2 + 41 \le Cn^3$. This can be rewritten as $C \ge \frac{3n^2 + 41}{n^3}$. For n = 1, we have $C \ge \frac{3+41}{1} = 44$. For larger values of n, the fraction $\frac{3n^2 + 41}{n^3}$ approaches zero, so we can choose any value of C greater than 44. This means we can choose C = 44 and $n_0 = 1$ to satisfy the definition of big-O. Therefore, we have directly proven that $3n^2 + 41 \in O(n^3)$.

Problem 4. (15 points) Prove that $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + 5 \in \Omega(n)$ by giving a direct proof based on the definition of big- Ω involving the inequalities and absolute values, as given in the lecture notes Section 11.5.

To do so, first write out what $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + 5 \in \Omega(n)$ means according to the definition. Then, you need to find a positive real constant c and a positive integer n_0 that satisfy the definition.

Solution. For functions $f: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$ and $g: \mathbf{N}_1 \to \mathbf{R}$, we say that g is an asymptotic lower bound for f, written as $f \in \Omega(g)$, if and only if there exists a positive real constant c and a positive integer n_0 such that

$$c|g(n)| \le |f(n)|$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. To prove that $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + 5 \in \Omega(n)$, we need to find a positive real constant c and a positive integer n_0 such that $c|n| \leq |\frac{1}{2}n^2 + 5|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Since both functions have a domain of \mathbf{N}_1 , we can remove the absolute value signs, and we have $cn \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + 5$. Now we can rewrite the inequality as $c \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{5}{n}$. Starting with n=1, we have $c \leq \frac{1}{2} + 5 = \frac{11}{2}$. As n approaches infinity, the fraction $\frac{5}{n}$ approaches zero, so we can choose any value of c less than or equal to $\frac{11}{2}$. This means we can choose $c = \frac{11}{2}$ and c = 1 to satisfy the definition of big-c. Therefore, we have directly proven that $c \leq n$.

Problem 5. (10+10=20 points) Read Section 11.6 carefully before attempting this problem.

Analyze the running time of the following algorithm using a step count analysis as shown in the Horner scheme (Example 11.40).

```
// search a key in an array a[1..n] of length n
search(a, n, key)
                          cost
                                 times
  for k in (1..n) do
                                 [ n ]
                           c1
    if a[k]=key then
                           c2
                                 [ n ]
       return k
                           сЗ
                                 [1]
  endfor
                           c4
                                 [ n ]
 return false
                           с5
                                 [1]
```

- (a) Fill in the []s in the above code each with a number or an expression involving n that expresses the step count for the line of code.
- (b) Determine the worst-case complexity of this algorithm and give it in the Θ notation. Show your work and explain using the definition of Θ involving the inequalities.

Solution. (For part (b)) The time compexity of this algorithm is given by $T(n) = c_1 n + c_2 n + c_3 + c_4 n + c_5$. Since the highest order term in this equation is n, we can say that $T(n) \in \Theta(n)$. According to the definition of Θ , this means that there exist positive real constants c and C and a positive integer n_0 such that $cn \leq T(n) \leq Cn$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let's say that $n_0 = 1$. Starting with the lower bound, we have $cn \leq c_1 n + c_2 n + c_3 + c_4 n + c_5$. Since n only gets larger, that means c can be any vaue less than or equal to $c_1 + c_2 + c_4$. For the upper bound, we have $c_1 n + c_2 n + c_3 + c_4 n + c_5 \leq Cn$. Following the same logic, we can choose any value for C as long as it is greater than or equal to $c_1 + c_2 + c_4$. Since there exits positive real constants c and c and a positive integer c0 such that $cn \leq T(n) \leq Cn$ for all c2, we have shown that c3, we have shown that c4, where c4 is c5.

Problem 6. (15+15=30 points) Read Section 11.6 carefully before attempting this problem. Analyze the running time of the following algorithm using a step count analysis as shown in the Horner scheme (Example 11.40).

```
// determine the number of digits of an integer n
binary_digits(n)
                             cost
                                   times
  int cnt = 1
                              c1
                                   [1]
  while (n > 1) do
                                   [ log(n) ]
                              c2
    cnt = cnt + 1
                                   [ log(n) ]
                              сЗ
                              c4
    n = floor(n/2.0)
                                   [ log(n) ]
  endwhile
                              c5
                                   [ log(n) ]
 return cnt
                              с6
                                   [1]
```

- (a) Fill in the []s in the above code each with a number or an expression involving n that expresses the step count for the line of code.
- (b) Determine the worst-case complexity of this algorithm as a function of n and give it in the Θ notation. Show your work and explain using the definition of Θ involving the inequalities.