

Project of Algorithms on Node Labeling

Kevin Lei

August 2, 2024

1 Introduction

In this project we discuss the “Node Labeling Problem”, in which we attempt to label the nodes of a graph with unique labels from a set of labels. We have the following definitions:

- Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph.
- Let $d(u, v)$ be the distance between nodes u and v .
- For all nodes $v \in V$, let $N(v, h) \subseteq V$ be the set of nodes that are at most h hops away from v .
- Let $K = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ be the set of k integers, where $k \leq |V|$.
- For all $v \in V$, let $c(v) \in K$ be the label of node v , where different nodes may have the same label.
- Let $C(v, h)$ be the set of labels of nodes in $N(v, h)$.
- A labeling of the nodes is *valid* if every label in K is used at least once.
- Let $r(v)$ be the smallest integer such that the node v has all the labels in K in $N(v, r(v))$.
- Let $m(v)$ be the smallest integer such that the node v has at least k nodes in $N(v, m(v))$.

Formally, our relevant sets and values can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N(v, h) &\triangleq \{u \in V \mid d(u, v) \leq h\} \\ C(v, h) &\triangleq \{c(u) \mid u \in N(v, h)\} \\ r(v) &\triangleq \min\{h \mid |C(v, h)| = k\} \\ m(v) &\triangleq \min\{h \mid |N(v, h)| \geq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in general, we have $|C(v, h)| \leq |N(v, h)|$, since the labels of nodes in $N(v, h)$ are not necessarily distinct, and $r(v) \geq m(v)$, since there must be at least one node per label but not necessarily one label per node.

The Node-Labeling Decision Problem is defined as follows:

Given:

- An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$
- A set of $k \leq |V|$ labels $K = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$
- A nonnegative integer R ,

does there exist a labeling $c(v)$ for all $v \in V$ such that $|C(v, R)| = k$ for all $v \in V$?

Now consider this as an optimization problem. The Node-Labeling Optimization Problem is defined as follows:

Given:

- An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$
- A set of $k \leq |V|$ labels $K = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$,

find a valid labeling for all the nodes such that $\max_{v \in V} \frac{r(v)}{m(v)}$ is minimized.

In the case of the optimization problem, if an algorithm that solves it has $\max_{v \in V} \frac{r(v)}{m(v)} \leq \rho$ for all possible instances, then we say that the algorithm has a *proximity ratio* of ρ , and the algorithm is a ρ -proximity algorithm.

First, we will prove that the Node-Labeling Decision Problem is NP-Complete. Then, we will present a polynomial-time algorithm for the Node-Labeling Optimization Problem where the graph is a tree, analyze the proximity ratio of the algorithm, and finally analyze the runtime complexity of the algorithm.

2 NP-Completeness Proof

Theorem 1. *The Node-Labeling Decision Problem is NP-Complete.*

Proof. A problem is NP-Complete if it is in NP and every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time. We will show the former by presenting a polynomial time algorithm to verify a solution to the Node-Labeling Decision Problem, and the latter by reducing k-coloring to the Node-Labeling Decision Problem.

First, consider the following algorithm to verify a solution to the Node-Labeling Decision Problem:

Algorithm 1: Verify a Solution to the Node-Labeling Decision Problem

Input: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, a set of $k \leq |V|$ labels $K = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, a nonnegative integer R , and a labeling $c : V \rightarrow K$

Output: True if the labeling is valid and $|C(v, R)| = k$ for all $v \in V$, False otherwise

```

for  $v \in V$  do
     $l = \emptyset$ 
    if  $\neg \text{BFS}(v, 0, l)$  then
        return False
    end
end
return True

```

Algorithm 2: BFS

Input: A node v , current depth d , set of labels seen l

Output: True if all k labels are seen within depth R , False otherwise

```

if  $d > R$  then
    return False
end
 $l = l \cup \{c(v)\}$  if  $|l| = k$  then
    return True
end
for each neighbor  $u$  of  $v$  do
    if  $\text{BFS}(u, d+1, l)$  then
        return True
    end
end
return False

```

This algorithm works by performing a breadth-first search from each node v in the graph, and checking if all k labels are seen within depth R . If a depth of R is reached without seeing all k labels, the algorithm returns False. Otherwise, the algorithm returns True. The algorithm runs in $O(|V| \cdot (|V| + |E|))$ time, which is polynomial in the size of the input. Thus, the Node-Labeling Decision Problem is in NP.

Now we perform a reduction from the 3-coloring problem to the Node-Labeling Decision Problem. Let $G' = (V', E')$ be an instance of the 3-coloring problem. We can construct an instance of the node labeling problem ($G = (V, E), K, R$) as follows:

- $V = V'$
- $E = E'$
- $K = \{0, 1, 2\}$

- $R = 2$

This transformation can be done in polynomial time, since we only copy the graph and set K and R to constant values. We claim that G' is 3-colorable if and only if G has a valid labeling such that $|C(v, R)| = 3$ for all $v \in V$.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that G' is 3-colorable. Then there exists some valid 3-coloring $c' : V' \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$ of G' . Let $c : V \rightarrow K$ be the labeling of G such that $c(v) = c'(v)$ for all $v \in V'$. Since c' is a valid 3-coloring, it uses all the colors, so c will also be a valid labeling of G . Then, for all $v \in V$, $N(v, 2)$ must contain at least 3 nodes, so in order for the labeling to be valid, at least 3 distinct labels must be used. Thus, $|C(v, 2)| = 3$ for all $v \in V$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that there exists a valid labeling $c : V \rightarrow K$ of G such that $|C(v, 2)| = 3$ for all $v \in V$. We can use the same coloring c' of G' such that $c'(v) = c(v)$ for all $v \in V'$. For all edges $(u, v) \in E'$, we have that $u \in N(v, 2)$ and $v \in N(u, 2)$. Since $|C(u, 2)| = 3$ and $|C(v, 2)| = 3$, we have that $c'(u) \neq c'(v)$, or else one of them would only see 2 distinct labels in 2 hops. Thus, c' is a valid 3-coloring of G' .

Now we have shown that the Node-Labeling Decision Problem is in NP and that the 3-coloring problem can be reduced to it in polynomial time. Therefore, the Node-Labeling Decision Problem is also NP-hard, and thus NP-Complete. \square

3 Approximation Algorithm

Here we discuss an algorithm to solve the Node-Labeling *Optimization* Problem when the input graph is a tree.

4 Pseudocode

Algorithm 3:

Input:

Output:

5 Proximity Ratio Analysis

6 Runtime Complexity Analysis