Peer Review

In this exercise of open peer review, please write down your comments of the *reports rather than of your own team* in the following format. Be considerate and careful with a precise description, avoiding offensive language.

Deadline is *11:59pm Oct. 22, 2021*. Submit all your reviews in a single zip file using **canvas**. Rebuttal is open afterwards.

- Summary of the report.

- Describe the strengths of the report.

- Describe the weaknesses of the report.

- Evaluation on quality of writing (1-5): Is the report clearly written? Is there a good use of examples and figures? Is it well organized? Are there problems with style and grammar? Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Please make suggestions to improve the clarity of the paper, and provide details of typos.

- Evaluation on presentation (1-5): Is the presentation clear and well organized? Are the language flow fluent and persuasive? Are the slides clear and well elaborated? Please make suggestions to improve the presentation.

- Evaluation on creativity (1-5): Does the work propose any genuinely new ideas? Is this a work that you are eager to read and cite? Does it contain some state-of-the-art results? As a reviewer you should try to assess whether the ideas are truly new and creative. Novel combinations, adaptations or extensions of existing ideas are also valuable.

- Confidence on your assessment (1-3) (3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be wrong)