

# MATH3424 Regression Analysis

## Assignment 2

1. Using the following summary statistics:

$$\begin{aligned}n &= 20, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i1} &= 58, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i2} &= 87, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} y_i &= 219, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i1}^2 &= 194, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i1}x_{i2} &= 265, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i2}^2 &= 1003, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i1}y_i &= 696, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_{i2}y_i &= 1559, & \sum_{i=1}^{20} y_i^2 &= 3091, \\ S_{x_1x_1} &= 25.8000, & S_{x_1x_2} &= 12.7000, & S_{x_2x_2} &= 624.5500, & S_{x_1y} &= 60.9000, \\ S_{x_2y} &= 606.3500, & S_{yy} &= 692.9500.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 25.8000 & 12.7000 \\ 12.7000 & 624.5500 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0391516 & -0.000796133 \\ -0.000796133 & 0.00161734 \end{pmatrix},$$

to fit the following model

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_{i1} + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_{i2} + \mathbf{e}_i \quad , \quad \mathbf{e}_i \sim_{iid} N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2)$$

Assume that  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  is unknown.

- Re-write the model to a centered model. Find the least squares estimates of the unknown parameters  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . Then, write down the fitted line.
- Find the unbiased estimate of the unknown parameter  $\sigma^2$ . No need to show that it is unbiased.
- Construct an ANOVA table and then test  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  at significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Write down your conclusion clearly.
- Test the null hypothesis that  $H_0: \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$  against the alternative hypothesis that  $H_1: \beta_1 - \beta_2 \neq 0$  at the significant level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Construct the test statistic using
  - $t$ -test. Write down the test statistic, the critical value and your conclusion clearly.
  - $F$  test in terms of “Increase in Regression Sum of Squares”. Write down the test statistic, the critical value and your conclusion clearly.
  - $F$  test for testing  $H_0: \mathcal{C}\beta = \mathbf{d}$ . Write down the test statistic, the critical value and your conclusion clearly.

2. Ten men were studied during a maximal exercise treadmill test. The dependent and independent variables are:  $y = \text{VO}_{2\max}$ ,  $x_1 = \text{weight}$ ,  $x_2 = \text{HR}_{\max}$ ,  $x_3 = \text{SV}_{\max}$ . The table of parameter estimates, standard error and covariance matrix is given below:

Variable	$\hat{\beta}_i$	St. Error	Covariance Matrix			
			Intercept	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$
Intercept	-1.4545	22.2144	493.4780	-2.1663	-1.5222	-0.4450
$x_1$	-0.6985	0.1281	-2.1663	0.01641	0.004525	0.0001291
$x_2$	0.2895	0.07810	-1.5222	0.004525	0.006099	0.0008443
$x_3$	0.4481	0.05110	-0.4450	0.0001291	0.0008443	0.002611

- (a) Find the  $t$ -value for testing the statistical significance of  $\beta_3 = 0$ . Do we reject  $\beta_3 = 0$  at the 5% significance level?
- (b) Construct a 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ .
- (c) Test whether the ratio of the regression coefficient of  $x_2$  to that of  $x_3$  is equal to 0.5 at the 5% significance level. Write down your test statistic, critical value and your conclusions clearly.
- (d) Fill in the missing values in the analysis of variance table below. Is the regression significant at the 5% significance level?

Source	Sum of Squares	D.F.	Mean Squares	F value
Regression				
Residual	55.9687			—
Total	1305.0760		—	—

3. Consider the following model (Model A)

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta(x_i - \bar{x}) + \gamma(z_i - \bar{z}) + e_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where now  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are unknown scalar parameters, where  $e_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma^2$  known, and where

$$\bar{x} = n^{-1} \sum x_i, \quad \bar{z} = n^{-1} \sum z_i$$

(a) Find  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $var(\hat{\beta})$ .

(b) Let  $\tilde{\beta}$  be the least squares estimate of  $\beta$  under the model (Model B)

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta(x_i - \bar{x}) + e_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Find  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $var(\tilde{\beta})$ , and show that  $Var(\tilde{\beta}) \leq Var(\hat{\beta})$ . When does this equality hold?

4. Consider the studentized residuals

$$\frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{s \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}} \quad \text{where } s = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2 / (n-2)}, \quad \hat{e}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$

The denominator is found by merely constructing the variance of  $y_i - \hat{y}_i$ , namely

$$Var(y_i - \hat{y}_i) = \sigma^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right]$$

and then standardizing  $y_i - \hat{y}_i$ .

(a) Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Var(y_i - \hat{y}_i)}{\sigma^2} = n - 2$$

(b) Under the conditions that the  $e_i$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , does the studentized residual have a  $t$ -distribution with  $n - 2$  degrees of freedom? If not, why not?

5. (Bonus) Suppose that one assumes the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + e_i \quad e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

but in fact  $\beta_2 = 0$  and thus the above model is an overfitted model. Prove that the residual mean squares for the overfitted model is still an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$  when  $\beta_2 = 0$ .

Hint: Use the fact that:

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a  $n$  random vector and let  $E(\mathcal{Y}) = \mu$ ,  $Cov(\mathcal{Y}) = \Sigma$ . Then  $E[\mathcal{Y}^T A \mathcal{Y}] = \text{trace}(A \Sigma) + \mu^T A \mu$