

17 Nov

Hypothesis testing.

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\leadsto H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$\text{e.g. } p=4, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$$

$$\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$$

① Likelihood - ratio test

$$= -2 \log \left\{ \frac{\sup_{\beta \in H_0} L(\hat{\beta})}{\sup_{\beta \in H} L(\hat{\beta})} \right\}$$

$$= -2 \log \left\{ \frac{L(\hat{\beta}_2)}{L(\hat{\beta})} \right\}$$

$$= -2 \log \left\{ \frac{L(\hat{\beta}_2)/L(\hat{\beta})}{L(\hat{\beta})/L(\hat{\beta})} \right\}$$

grouped data

$$p_i = \frac{r_i}{n_i}$$

$$= -2 \log \left\{ L(\hat{\beta}_2)/L(\hat{\beta}) \right\} - (-2 \log \left\{ L(\hat{\beta})/L(\hat{\beta}) \right\})$$

$$\lambda(\beta_1 | \beta_2) = \lambda(\beta_2) - \lambda(\beta)$$

more indep. variables

\Rightarrow smaller deviance

$$\leadsto \chi^2_r$$

of free para. - # of free para
under H under H_0

$$\text{e.g. } \beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow r = 5 - 3 = 2$$

linear regression

$$\log \text{ likelihood} = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

$$\log - \text{likelihood} = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

linear regression $\mu_i = \tilde{x}_i^T \beta$

$$\log L(\hat{\beta}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \tilde{x}_i^T \hat{\beta})^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

log-likelihood for the saturated model

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mu}_i} \log L(\hat{\mu}_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_i = y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \log - \text{likelihood} = \log L(\hat{\mu}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Deviance} = -2 \log \left\{ \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta)}{\substack{\sup \\ \theta \in \Theta} L(\theta)}} \right\}$$

↑ saturated model

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \tilde{x}_i^T \hat{\beta})^2 \right]$$

Res S.S.

⑥ Score test

$$\underset{1 \times p'}{\tilde{U}}^T(\beta_0) \underset{\substack{\nearrow \text{Fisher Information matrix} \\ \uparrow \text{1st derivative of log-likelihood}}}{\tilde{I}}^{-1}(\beta_0) \underset{p \times 1}{U}(\beta_0)$$

⑦ Wald test

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, C^{jj})$$

$$\tilde{C} = \tilde{I}$$

$$C^{jj} = \text{the element in } \tilde{C}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sqrt{C^{jj}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sqrt{C^{jj}}} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2_{(1)}$$

Section 4 of Chapter 1

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \tilde{C}^{-1}) \quad \hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, (X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2)$$

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_0: \tilde{C} \beta = \underline{d}$$

$$H_0: \underline{L} \beta = \underline{d}$$

$$F = \frac{(\underline{L} \hat{\beta} - \underline{d})^T (\underline{L} \tilde{C} (X^T X)^{-1} \tilde{C}^T)^{-1} (\underline{L} \hat{\beta} - \underline{d})}{r \hat{\sigma}^2}$$

$$\text{e.g. } H_0: \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \\ \beta_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\text{test stat.} = (\underline{L} \hat{\beta} - \underline{d})^T (\underline{L} \tilde{C}^{-1} \underline{L}^T)^{-1} (\underline{L} \hat{\beta} - \underline{d})$$

$$\xrightarrow{D} \chi^2_r$$

$$r = \text{Rank of } \underline{L} \quad \text{e.g. } r = 2$$

Example 1

for one unit increase in logload

(e) $H_0: \text{odds ratio}_A = 1$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: \exp(\beta_1) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Wald test} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\sqrt{c''}} = \frac{1.14 - 0}{\sqrt{0.007968}} =$$

L

(f) $H_0: \text{Prob | logload} = 4 = \text{0.6}$

$$H_0: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0 = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot 4)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot 4)} = 0.6$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot 4 = \log\left(\frac{0.6}{1-0.6}\right) = 0.4054$$

$$= 0.4054$$

$$\text{rank of } L = 1$$

$$\text{Wald test} = \left(\frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \cdot 4) - (0.4054)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0 + 4 \hat{\beta}_1)}} \right)^2 =$$

↑

$$V_{\hat{\beta}_0}(\hat{\beta}_0) + 16 V_{\hat{\beta}_1}(\hat{\beta}_1) + 8 \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$$

$$= 0.002848$$

$$\text{Critical value} = \chi^2_{\alpha, 1}$$

Example 2 – two independent variables

Deviance

Deviance and Pearson Goodness-of-Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Value	DF	Value/DF	Pr > ChiSq
Deviance	29.7723	35	0.8506	0.7184
Pearson	39.0106	35	1.1146	0.2942

Parameter estimates with confidence interval

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-9.5293	3.2331	8.6873	0.0032
volume	1	3.8820	1.4286	7.3844	0.0066
rate	1	2.6490	0.9142	8.3966	0.0038

Covariance matrix

Estimated Covariance Matrix			
Parameter	Intercept	volume	rate
Intercept	10.45283	-4.32469	-2.729
volume	-4.32469	2.040791	0.99885
rate	-2.729	0.99885	0.835746

$$\log_e\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{1-\hat{p}}\right) = -9.5293 + 3.882 * \text{volume} + 2.649 * \text{rate}$$

- Write down the fitted line.
- Find the 95% confidence interval of unknown parameters.
- Estimate the odds ratio for one unit increase in volume with its 95% confidence interval.
- Estimate the odds ratio for one unit increase in rate with its 95% confidence interval.
- Estimate the odds ratio for one unit increase in volume & one unit increase in rate with its 95% confidence interval.
- Estimate the probability of success when volume=1 & rate=1 with its 95% confidence interval.

$$(b) \begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_0 &\pm 1.96 * \sqrt{10.45283} = (-15.866, -3.1925) \\ \hat{\beta}_1 &\pm 1.96 * \sqrt{2.040791} = (1.0821, 6.6819) \\ \hat{\beta}_2 &\pm 1.96 * \sqrt{0.835746} = (0.8573, 4.4408) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c) \text{ odds ratio} &= \frac{\frac{P}{1-P} | \text{when volume} = a+1}{\frac{P}{1-P} | \text{when volume} = a} \\
 &= \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * (a+1) + \beta_2 \text{ rate})}{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * a + \beta_2 \text{ rate})} \\
 &= \exp(\beta_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{\text{odds ratio}} = \exp(\hat{\beta}_1) = \exp(3.8820) = \boxed{48.522} > 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 95\% \text{ C.I. of } \beta_1 &= (\exp(1.0821), \exp(6.6819)) \\
 &= (2.951, 797.865)
 \end{aligned}$$

~~If~~ If volume increases, does prob. increase?

odds ratio of Case A vs case B > 1
 \Rightarrow odds of case A $>$ odds of case B
 \Rightarrow prob at case A $>$ prob at case B

d) odds ratio for one unit increase in rate

$$= \frac{\frac{P}{1-P} | \text{rate} = a+1}{\frac{P}{1-P} | \text{rate} = a}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{volume} + \beta_2 (a+1))}{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{volume} + \beta_2 (a))}$$

$$= \exp(\beta_2)$$

$$\widehat{\text{odds ratio}} = \exp(\hat{\beta}_2) = \exp(2.649) = \boxed{14.14} > 1$$

$$95\% \text{ C.I. of } \exp(\beta_2) = (\exp(\underline{0.8573}), \exp(\underline{4.4408}))$$

95% C.I. of ~~β_2~~ β_2

$$= (2.357, 84.844)$$

Which one is more important factor to affect the Prob. ? ⑥

odds ratio of ~~volume~~ = 48.522

odds ratio of rate = 14.14 ✓

	est	s.e.	Wald chi-square	p-value
volume	3.8820	1.4286	$(3.882/1.4286)^2 = 7.3844$	0.0066
rate	2.6490	0.9142	$(2.649/0.9142)^2 = 8.3966$	0.0038

] < 0.05

↓ both are important factors to affect the prob.

(e) Odds ratio for one unit ~~increase~~ increase in volume & rate

$$\text{Odds ratio} = \frac{\frac{P}{1-P} \mid \text{volume} = a+1, \text{rate} = b+1}{\frac{P}{1-P} \mid \text{volume} = a, \text{rate} = b}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1(a+1) + \beta_2(b+1))}{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1(a) + \beta_2(b))}$$

$$= \exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$$

$$= \exp(\beta_1) \times \exp(\beta_2)$$

odds ratio for one unit increase in rate

odds rate for one unit increase in volume

$$\text{odds ratio} = \exp(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2) = 686.1$$

$$95\% \text{ C.I. for } \exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2) = (\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2) \pm 1.96 \text{ s.e. of } (\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)$$

$$= (\exp(2.2039), \exp(0.8582))$$

$$= \exp(2.040791) \pm 2.040791 \times \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)}$$

0.835746
0.99885 (7)

(f) Prob at volume = 1 & rate = 1

$$= \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)}$$

$$\hat{\text{Estimate}} = \frac{\exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)}{1 + \exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)} = 0.0476$$

$$95\% \text{ C.I. of } \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)}$$

$$= (w_e, w_u)$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)$$

$$= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_2) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$$

$-4.32469 \quad -2.729 \quad 0.99885$

$$= 1.2197$$

95% C.I. of Prob at volume = 1 & rate = 1

$$= \left(\frac{\exp(w_e)}{1 + \exp(w_e)}, \frac{\exp(w_u)}{1 + \exp(w_u)} \right)$$

$$H_0: L\beta = d$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = -0.4054$$

$$\text{rank} = 1$$

$$H_0 = \text{Prob} = 0.4$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0 = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)} = 0.4$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: (\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2) = \ln\left(\frac{0.4}{1-0.4}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \approx -0.4054$$

$$\text{test stat.} = \left(\frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2) - \ln\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2)}} \right)^2 =$$

$$\text{Critical value} = \chi^2_{\alpha, r} \quad r = 1$$

Example in case-control study (grouped data)

Data

Obs	exposure	r	n
1	0	2	8
2	1	11	15

categorical variable with two levels
0 & 1
reference level.

```
/* exposure 1='High Cholesterol Diet'
           0='Low Cholesterol Diet';
response 1='Yes'
         0='No' */
```

$$H_0: P_i = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i)}$$

Deviance

why?

Deviance and Pearson Goodness-of-Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Value	DF	Value/DF	Pr > ChiSq
Deviance	0.0000	0	.	.
Pearson	0.0000	0	.	.

(H) → P_0 & P_1 # of unknown parameters

(H) → β_0, β_1 # of unknown parameters = 2

$$d.f. = S - (p+1) = 0$$

Parameter estimates by logistic regression

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-1.0986	0.8165	1.8104	0.1785
exposure 1	1	2.1102	1.0038	4.4195	0.0355

2 1 (exposure)

two levels of exposures

Covariance matrix

Estimated Covariance Matrix		
Parameter	Intercept	exposure1
Intercept	0.666666	-0.66667
exposure1	-0.66667	1.007576

Can be obtained from the relationship of (P_0, P_1) & (β_0, β_1)

P_0, P_1 ← prob when exposure = 1
↑
prob when exposure = 0
 β_0, β_1 in logistic regression model