

Math 243

Fall 99/2000

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \quad \theta > 0 \quad (U[0, \theta])$$

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + \theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}$$

Method of moments:

$$\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\therefore \tilde{\theta} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 2\bar{X}$$

Maximum likelihood:

$$L(\theta) = f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0, \theta]}(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0, y_n]}(y_1) I_{[y_1, \theta]}(y_n) \quad \text{where } y_i \text{ is the } i\text{-th order statistic.}$$

Observe that  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is a decreasing function on  $\theta$  when  $\theta > 0$ .  
But  $\theta$  could not be smaller than  $y_n$ .

Thus, the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  is  $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ .

$$P(Y_n \leq y) = P(X_1 \leq y, X_2 \leq y, \dots, X_n \leq y) \quad 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq y)$$

$$= \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^n$$

$$\therefore f_{Y_n}(y) = \frac{n y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$$

$$E(\tilde{\theta}) = E(2\bar{X}) = 2E(\bar{X}) = 2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \theta \quad (\tilde{\theta} \text{ is unbiased for } \theta)$$

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = E(Y_n) = \int_0^\theta y f_{Y_n}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^\theta y \frac{n y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \frac{y^{n+1}}{\theta^n} \Big|_0^\theta = \frac{n\theta}{n+1} \quad (\hat{\theta} \text{ is not unbiased for } \theta)$$

$$\text{M.S.E. of } \tilde{\theta} = E(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)^2 = \text{Var}(\tilde{\theta})$$

$$= \text{Var}(2\bar{X}) = 4 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{4}{n} \text{Var}(X) = \frac{4}{n} \frac{(\theta - 0)^2}{12} = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$$

$$\text{M.S.E. of } \hat{\theta} = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = E(Y_n - \theta)^2 = \int_0^\theta (y - \theta)^2 f_{Y_n}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^\theta (y^2 - 2\theta y + \theta^2) \frac{n y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right) \frac{y^{n+2}}{\theta^n} \Big|_0^\theta - \left(\frac{2n}{n+1}\right) \frac{y^{n+1}}{\theta^n} \Big|_0^\theta + \frac{y^n}{\theta^{n+1}} \Big|_0^\theta$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right) \theta^2 - \left(\frac{2n}{n+1}\right) \theta^2 + \theta^2 = (n+2)^{-1}(n+1)^{-1} [n^2 + n - 2n^2 - 4n + n^2 + 3n + 2] \theta^2$$

$$= 2(n+2)^{-1}(n+1)^{-1} \theta^2 < \frac{\theta^2}{3n} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

1. (cont.)

Therefore, if it is preferred to have an unbiased estimator,  $\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{X}$  should be chosen.

However, if it is preferred to have an estimator having smaller mean square error,  $\hat{\theta} = Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  should be chosen.

2.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample of size  $n \geq 2$  from a distribution with p.d.f.  $f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$ ,  $0 < x < \infty$ , zero elsewhere, and  $\theta > 0$ .

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$$

$$= \exp\{\log \theta - \theta x\}$$

$$= \exp\{a(\theta) + b(x) + c(\theta)d(x)\}$$

where  $a(\theta) = \log \theta$ ,  $b(x) = 0$ ,  $c(\theta) = -\theta$ ,  $d(x) = x$ ,

$$A = (0, \infty) \text{ and } D = \mathbb{R}^+$$

$\therefore f(x; \theta)$  is a p.d.f. which belongs to exponential family.

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n d(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$m_X(t) = E(e^{tx}) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - t}\right) \quad (\text{moment generating function of } X)$$

$$\text{Let } Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$m_Y(t) = E(e^{tY}) = E(e^{t \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(e^{tX_i})$$

$$= \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - t}\right)^n \text{ which is the moment generating function of } \text{Gamma}(n, \theta).$$

$$E(t(Y)) = \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty t(y) \frac{y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y}}{\theta^n \Gamma(n)} dy = \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty t(y) \frac{y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y}}{\theta^{(n-1)} \Gamma(n)} dy = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty t(y) y \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{y^{(n-1)-1} e^{-\theta y}}{\theta^{-(n-1)} \Gamma(n-1)} dy = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow t(y) y \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow t(y) = \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} y^{-1}$$

$$\therefore t(Y) = \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} Y^{-1}$$

$$\therefore \text{the best estimator for } \theta \text{ is } \left(\frac{n-1}{n-2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^{-1}$$

3.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variable with distribution  $B(1, p)$ .

$$f(x; p) = p^x (1-p)^{1-x}, \quad x = 0, 1.$$

$$(a) \quad L(p) = f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}; p) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\log L(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log p + (n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \log(1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(p)}{\partial p} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) - (n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \left( \frac{1}{1-p} \right)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log L(p)}{\partial p} \right|_{p=\hat{p}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X}$$

$\therefore$  the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta = (1-p)^2$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = (1 - \hat{p})^2 = (1 - \bar{X})^2$$

$$(b) \quad \hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_1 + X_2 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Bin}(2, p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(\hat{\theta}) &= 1 \cdot P(X_1 + X_2 = 0) + 0 \cdot P(X_1 + X_2 \neq 0) \\ &= \binom{2}{0} p^0 (1-p)^2 = (1-p)^2 = \theta \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \hat{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (c) \quad f(x; p) &= p^x (1-p)^{1-x} \\ &= \exp \{ x \log p + (1-x) \log(1-p) \} \\ &= \exp \{ \log(1-p) + x \log \left( \frac{p}{1-p} \right) \} \\ &= \exp \{ a(p) + b(x) + c(p) d(x) \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a(p) = \log(1-p)$ ,  $b(x) = 0$ ,  $c(p) = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$ ,  $d(x) = x$

$$A = (0, 1), \quad D = \{0, 1\}$$

$\therefore f(x; p)$  is a p.d.f. which belongs to exponential family.

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n d(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  a complete and sufficient statistic for  $p$ .

Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Note that  $S \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ .

$$E(\hat{\theta} | S=s) = 1 \cdot P(X_1 + X_2 = 0 | S=s) + 0 \cdot P(X_1 + X_2 \neq 0 | S=s)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 + X_2 = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 + X_2 = 0, \sum_{i=3}^n X_i = s)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 + X_2 = 0) P(\sum_{i=3}^n X_i = s)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 + X_2 = 0) P(\sum_{i=3}^n X_i = s)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)}$$

3 (c) (cont.)

Again,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Bin}(n-2, p)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(\hat{\theta} | S=s) &= \frac{\binom{2}{0} p (1-p)^2 \binom{n-2}{s} p^s (1-p)^{n-2-s}}{\binom{n}{s} p^s (1-p)^{n-s}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(n-2)!}{s!(n-2-s)!}}{\frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!}} = \frac{(n-s)(n-s-1)}{n(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  the best estimator of  $\theta = (1-p)^2$  is  $\frac{1}{n(n-1)}(n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)(n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - 1)$ .

4.  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables each with the Poisson distribution of parameter  $\theta$ .  $f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}$

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \theta = 1 \\ H_1: \theta = 1.21 \end{cases}$$

By Neyman-Pearson theorem, the most powerful test is to reject  $H_0$  when

$$\frac{f_X(x; \theta = 1)}{f_X(x; \theta = 1.21)} \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta = 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta = 1.21)} \leq k \Leftrightarrow \frac{(1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-1}}{(1.21)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-1.21}} \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1.21)^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{0.21} \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \log(1.21) (0.21) \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq K$$

$\therefore C_1 = \{x: \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq K\}$  is the critical region of the most powerful test of  $H_0: \theta = 1$  against  $H_1: \theta = 1.21$ .

Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poi}(n\theta)$ .

$$\alpha \geq P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq K | \theta = 1)$$

$$\geq P(Y \geq K) \quad \text{where } Y \sim \text{Poi}(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow K = f(n; \alpha) \quad \text{where } f(n; \alpha) \text{ is the smallest integer which satisfies } P(Y \geq f(n; \alpha)) \leq \alpha.$$

$\therefore$  the best size  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0: \theta = 1$  against  $H_1: \theta = 1.21$  is to reject  $H_0$  when  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq f(n; \alpha)$ .

By Central Limit theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(n\theta, n\theta)$ .

Now,  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\beta \leq 0.1$ .

$$\begin{cases} P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq K | \theta = 1) = 0.05 \\ P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i < K | \theta = 1.21) \leq 0.1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} P(Z \geq \frac{K - n - 0.5}{\sqrt{n}}) = 0.05 \\ P(Z < \frac{K - 1.21n - 0.5}{\sqrt{1.21n}}) \leq 0.1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{K - n - 0.5}{\sqrt{n}} = 1.645 \\ \frac{K - 1.21n - 0.5}{\sqrt{1.21n}} \leq -1.28 \end{cases}$$

4. (cont.)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} K - n - 0.5 = 1.645\sqrt{n} \Rightarrow K = n + 1.645\sqrt{n} + 0.5 & - (1) \\ K - 1.21n - 0.5 \leq -1.28(1.1\sqrt{n}) & - (2) \end{cases}$$

sub (1) into (2),

$$n + 1.645\sqrt{n} + 0.5 - 1.21n - 0.5 \leq -1.608\sqrt{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0.21n \geq 3.053\sqrt{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} \geq \frac{3.053}{0.21}$$

$$\Rightarrow n \geq 211.4$$

$\therefore$  the smallest value of  $n$  required to make  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\beta \leq 0.1$  is 212.

5. The data  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  has been observed and it is known that  $x_i$  is a sample from a Poisson distribution with an unknown mean  $\lambda_i$ .

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n \\ H_1: \lambda_i \text{'s are not all equal} \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta_0 = \{(\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$$

$$\Theta = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^+ = \mathbb{R}^{+n}$$

$$f_{x_i}(x_i; \lambda_i) = \frac{\lambda_i^{x_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{x_i!}$$

$$L(\underline{\lambda}) = f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{x_i}(x_i; \lambda_i) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i^{x_i} e^{-\lambda_i})}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

the likelihood ratio:

$$\lambda(\underline{x}) = \frac{\sup\{L(\underline{\lambda}) : \underline{\lambda} \in \Theta_0\}}{\sup\{L(\underline{\lambda}) : \underline{\lambda} \in \Theta\}}$$

Numerator:  $\underline{\lambda} \in \Theta_0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = \lambda$ ,

$$L(\underline{\lambda}) = (\lambda)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda} / \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

$$\log L(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda - n\lambda - \log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda} - n$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log L(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=\hat{\lambda}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

$$\therefore \sup\{L(\underline{\lambda}) : \underline{\lambda} \in \Theta_0\} = (\bar{x})^{n\bar{x}} e^{-n\bar{x}} / \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

Denominator:  $\underline{\lambda} \in \Theta$

$$\log l(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

$$\frac{\partial \log l(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{x_i}{\lambda_i} - 1 \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log l(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_i} \right|_{\lambda_i=\hat{\lambda}_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} - 1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{x_n}{\hat{\lambda}_n} - 1 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\lambda}_1 = x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\lambda}_n = x_n \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \sup\{L(\underline{\lambda}) : \underline{\lambda} \in \Theta\} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{x_i} e^{-x_i} / \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$$

$$\therefore \lambda(\underline{x}) = \frac{(\bar{x})^{n\bar{x}} e^{-n\bar{x}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{x_i} e^{-x_i}} = \frac{(\bar{x})^{n\bar{x}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{x_i}}$$

For large  $n$ ,  $-2 \log \lambda(\underline{x}) \approx \chi_r^2$

where  $r = \text{no. of free parameters in } \Theta - \text{no. of free parameters in } \Theta_0 = n-1$ .



5. (cont.)

$\therefore$  the approximate large sample likelihood ratio test is to reject  $H_0$  when  $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{X}) \geq \chi^2_{n-1}(\alpha)$

$$\text{i.e. } -2(n\bar{x} \log \bar{x} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i) \geq \chi^2_{n-1}(\alpha)$$

For data  $(3, 4, 1, 6, 5)$ ,  $n=5$ ,

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{5}(3+4+1+6+5) = \frac{19}{5} = 3.8.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2(5(3.8) \log(3.8) - 3 \log 3 - 4 \log 4 - (1) \log(1) - 6 \log 6 - 5 \log 5) \\ & = 4.55 < 9.488 = \chi^2_{5-1}(0.05) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore H_0$  is not rejected at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

2.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample of size  $n$  from exponential ( $\theta$ ).  
 $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  is another random sample of size  $n$  from exponential ( $\mu$ ).

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, \quad x > 0$$

$$f(y|\mu) = \mu e^{-\mu y}, \quad y > 0$$

$$(a) \begin{cases} H_0: \theta = \mu \\ H_1: \theta \neq \mu \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta_0 = \{(\theta, \mu) : \theta = \mu, \theta > 0, \mu > 0\}$$

$$\Theta = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ = \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$L(\theta, \mu) = f_{\underline{x}, \underline{y}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}; \theta, \mu) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; \mu) \right]$$

$$= \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \mu^n e^{-\mu \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

the likelihood ratio:

$$\lambda(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \frac{\sup\{L(\theta, \mu) : (\theta, \mu) \in \Theta_0\}}{\sup\{L(\theta, \mu) : (\theta, \mu) \in \Theta\}}$$

Numerator:  $(\theta, \mu) \in \Theta_0$ ,  $\theta = \mu = \lambda$  where  $\lambda > 0$

$$L(\theta, \mu) = L(\lambda, \lambda) \\ = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} = \lambda^{2n} e^{-\lambda (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)}$$

$$\log L(\lambda, \lambda) = 2n \log \lambda - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\lambda, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2n}{\lambda} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log L(\lambda, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda = \hat{\lambda}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = (2n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1}$$

$$\therefore \sup\{L(\theta, \mu) : (\theta, \mu) \in \Theta_0\}$$

$$= \left[ (2n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \right]^{2n} \exp \left\{ - (2n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \right\}$$

$$= \left[ (2n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \right]^{2n} \exp \{-2n\}$$

Denominator:  $(\theta, \mu) \in \Theta$

$$\log L(\theta, \mu) = n \log \theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n \log \mu - \mu \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mu)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mu)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{n}{\mu} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

6(a) (cont.)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mu)}{\partial \theta} \big|_{(\theta, \mu) = (\hat{\theta}, \hat{\mu})} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mu)}{\partial \mu} \big|_{(\theta, \mu) = (\hat{\theta}, \hat{\mu})} = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \\ \frac{n}{\hat{\mu}} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\theta} = n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \\ \hat{\mu} = n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \therefore \sup \{ L(\theta, \mu) : (\theta, \mu) \in \Theta \} \\ &= \left[ n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \right]^n \exp \left\{ -n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \right\} \left[ n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \right]^n \exp \left\{ -n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \right\} \\ &= n^{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-n} \exp \{ -2n \} \\ &\therefore \lambda(x, y) = \frac{[(2n) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-1}]^{2n} \exp \{ -2n \}}{n^{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-n} \exp \{ -2n \}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^n}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{2n}} \end{aligned}$$

in the critical region of the likelihood ratio test is:

$$C_1 = \left\{ (x, y) : \frac{2^{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^n}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{2n}} \leq k \right\}$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{2^{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^n}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{2n}} \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right)^n \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right)^n \leq k'$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right) \leq k''$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T \leq K_1 \text{ or } T \geq K_2$$

$$\text{where } T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

in the critical region in part (a) could be expressed as

$$C_2 = \{ (x, y) : t \leq K_1 \text{ or } t \geq K_2 \}$$

(c) When  $H_0$  is true, then  $\theta = \mu = \lambda$  where  $\lambda > 0$ .

Thus,  $2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \chi^2(2n)$  since

$$m_{2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(s) = E(e^{(s)2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(e^{(2\lambda s)X_i}) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 2\lambda s} \right)^n = (1 - 2s)^{-n} = (1 - 2s)^{-\frac{2n}{2}}$$

which is the moment generating function of  $\chi^2(2n)$

Similarly,  $2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \chi^2(2n)$

$$\therefore \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \frac{2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i / 2\lambda}{2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i / 2\lambda} \sim F(2n, 2n)$$

6(c) (cont.)

in  $T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}$  have a distribution

same as  $(1+F)^{-1}$  under  $H_0$  where  $F \sim F(n, m)$ .