Math 243 Mid-term Exam.	00 00
1 /0	98 - 9 9
1. (a) Same as Exercise 1: Q.q	
(b) Same as Exercise 1: 0.222	=
2 X, X, One 3 d oxt - tool	1 (2)
2. X_1, \dots, X_n are iid exponential $f_{X_i}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-\frac{x_i}{\lambda}}$, $0 \le x_i$	(U)
(a) Let $Y = \min\{X_1,, X_n\}$	
In order to find the dust"	of Y wo of 11 for 1 p/Y
and then fry).	of Y, we should find P(Y=y)
$P(Y \leq y) = P(\min\{X_1,, X_n\} \leq x_n$	1)
(difficult to finish in that d	irection since we have many cases
for min {X1,, Xn} ≤ y!)	the state of the many cases
Thus, we consider P(Y≤y)	=1-P(Y>y)
= 1- P(mix	n{X1,, Xn} >y)
$= (- P(X_i))$	4. X. 24 X. 24)
= 1- II P(X	i >y)
$P(X_{1}>y) = \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} dx_{1} = -e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} dx_{2} = -e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} = 1-e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{1}} = 1-e^{-$	$y = e^{-\eta \lambda}$
a P(1 = y) = 1- 11 e = 1-	e-7
~ Tr(y) = = = 7 (1 = y) = 2 e x 8	which is the p.d.f. of exponentialling
$i = E(nY) = \lambda$	t _a
	+ 1 2
in NY is an unbiased estimated in Since It is the mean of X:	alor for L.
for λ is $X = \pm \hat{\Sigma} X$:	, we guess an unbiased estimator
Let us check X is unbiased of	for 2 or not
$E(X) = E(\frac{1}{2}X_i) = \frac{1}{2}E(X_i) = \frac{1}{2}(x_i)$	(A) = A
ix is an unbiased estimato	
Var (X) = Var (n = X:) = n = Var (X=)
= == == ===============================	
Now, we want to find the	e C-R lower bound for
estimating 2.	J

2. (b) (cont.)

$$\log f_{X}(x; \lambda) = \log \hat{\chi} e^{\frac{2x}{\lambda}} = -\log \lambda - \frac{x}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{2x}{2x} \log f_{X}(x; \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{2x}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{2x}{2x} \log f_{X}(x; \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2x}{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2x}{\lambda} E(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2x}{\lambda} E(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2x}{\lambda}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ the } C - R \text{ lower bound for } \lambda \text{ is }$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ is the minimum variance estimator.}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ is more efficient than n Y and we consider }$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ is a better estimator.}$$
Note: We may also finish it by comparing the Var($\overline{\chi}$) and Varlay

3. The random variable Y₁,..., Y_n satisfy

$$Y_{1} = \int_{\overline{\chi}} x + \xi_{1} = 1, 2, ..., n$$
where $x_{1}, ..., x_{n}$ are fixed constants, and
$$\xi_{1}, ..., \xi_{n} \text{ are ind } N(0, 0^{*}), \quad 0^{2} \text{ unknown.}$$
(a)
$$\xi_{1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(x_{1} - \beta x)} = \frac{1}{11} \int_{\xi_{1}} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} (y_{1} - \beta x_{1})^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d^{2} d$$

3 (a) "Alternative" Y:= Bx: + &: , = i=1, ..., n Observe that Y:~ N(Bx:, 02) and Yis are independent. in the likelihood function is L=f_y(y,..., yn)=i=if_y(y₁; β, σ²) = 17 (pt o) exp{-202(yz- Bx=)2} I same likelihood function as before Now, $E(\beta) = E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}\right)$ $=\frac{1}{\hat{\Sigma}}\sum_{\chi_{i}^{+}}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\Sigma}_{i}}\chi_{i}Y_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\hat{\Sigma}_{i}}\sum_{\chi_{i}^{+}}\frac{1}{\hat{\Sigma}_{i}}\chi_{i}\mathbb{E}(Y_{i})$ Now, $E(Y_{\overline{i}}) = E(\beta x_{\overline{i}} + \varepsilon_{\overline{i}}) = \beta x_{\overline{i}} + o = \beta x_{\overline{i}}$ $= \frac{1}{2\chi_{i}^{2}} \frac{1}{2\chi_{i}^{2}} \chi_{i}(\beta\chi_{i}) = \frac{\beta_{i}^{2}\chi_{i}^{2}}{2\chi_{i}^{2}} = \beta$ $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (\beta x_i + \xi_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (\beta x_i + \xi_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \xi_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \xi_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i!}$ = B + = x = x = x = 2. Since & id N(0,02), then = x: x: 2: ~ N(0, = xio2) $\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \left(\frac{1}{2\chi^2}\right)^2 \frac{\pi}{2\chi^2} \chi^2 \sigma^2\right) \sim N\left(\beta, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\chi^2}\right)$ (c) $E\left(\frac{\Sigma Y_{:}}{\Sigma x_{:}}\right) = \frac{1}{\hat{\Sigma} x_{:}} \hat{\Sigma} E(Y_{:})$ = 1 \$\frac{1}{2}\beta_{\tau}\beta_{\tau}\beta_{\tau}\by part (a)) $= \beta$ $\frac{\Sigma Y_{:}}{\Sigma x_{:}} \quad \tilde{z}S \quad \text{an unbiased estimator for } \beta.$ (d) $Var(\frac{\Sigma Y_{:}}{\Sigma x_{:}}) = (\frac{1}{\Sigma} \chi_{:})^{2} \tilde{\Sigma}_{:} Var(Y_{:})$ $Var(Y_{i}) = Var(\beta x_{i} + \epsilon_{i}) = Var(\epsilon_{i}) = \sigma^{2}$ $\therefore Var(\frac{\overline{z}Y_{i}}{\overline{z}x_{i}}) = (\frac{1}{\overline{z}}x_{i})^{2} n\sigma^{2} = \frac{n\sigma^{2}}{(\overline{z}x_{i})^{2}}$ $\therefore Var(\beta) = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\overline{z}} \quad (\text{by part (b) }, \text{ dist}^{n} \text{ of } \beta)$ $\leq \frac{n\sigma}{(\frac{\pi}{2}\chi_{\epsilon})^2}$ (since $\frac{\pi}{2}(\chi_{\epsilon}-\chi)^2>0 \Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}\chi_{\epsilon}^2-n\chi^2>0 \Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}\chi_{\epsilon}^2>\frac{(\frac{\pi}{2}\chi_{\epsilon}^2)}{n}$)