

## MATH2033 Mathematical Analysis

### Suggestion Solution of Problem Set 1

#### Problem 1

Write down the negation of the following statements:

- (a)  $x$  is divisible by 3 or 4.
- (b) If  $x$  and  $y$  are positive, then  $x + y > 0$ .
- (c) There exists a differentiable function  $f(x)$  such that  $\frac{df}{dx} + 2x = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (d) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $K$  such that  $|x_n - L| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq K$   
(\*In this problem,  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$  denotes a sequence of real number)

😊 Solution

- (a) The negation is “ $x$  is not divisible by 3 and  $x$  is not divisible by 4” ( $\sim(A \text{ or } B) = (\sim A) \text{ and } (\sim B)$ ).
- (b) The negation is “ $x$  and  $y$  are positive and  $x + y \leq 0$ ” (since  $\sim(p \Rightarrow q) = (p \text{ and } \sim q)$ ).
- (c) The negation is “For any differentiable function  $f(x)$ ,  $\frac{df}{dx} + 2x \neq 0$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .”  
(since  $\sim(\exists x, P(x)) = (\forall x, \sim P(x))$  and  $\sim(\forall x, Q(x)) = (\exists x, \sim Q(x))$ )
- (d) The negation is “There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any positive integer  $K$ , there exists  $n \geq K$  such that  $|x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon$ .”

#### Problem 2

- (a) We let  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of real numbers defined by  $x_1 = 2$  and  $x_{n+1} = 2x_n + 1$ . Is it true that  $x_n$  is prime number for all positive integers  $n$ . Explain your answer. (😊 Hint: Calculate  $x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6$ )
- (b) We let  $n$  be a positive integer.
  - (i) If  $n^2$  is multiple of 4, is it true that  $n$  is multiple of 4? Explain your answer.
  - (ii) If  $n^2$  is multiple of 3, is it true that  $n$  is multiple of 3? Explain your answer.
- (c) We let  $f(x)$  be a function. Prove or disprove the following statement  
“If  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $f'(0) = 0$ .”

😊 Solution

- (a) By taking  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  in the recursive formula, we get
$$x_2 = 2(2) + 1 = 5, \quad x_3 = 2(5) + 1 = 11, \quad x_4 = 2(11) + 1 = 23,$$
$$x_5 = 2(23) + 1 = 47, \quad x_6 = 2(47) + 1 = 95.$$
Since  $x_6 = 95 = 19 \times 5$  is not prime number, so the statement is false (since its negation “ $x_n$  is not prime for some positive integer  $n$ ” is true).
- (b) (i) The statement is false. To see this, we take  $n = 6$ . We observe that  $n^2 = 36$  is multiple of 4 but  $n = 6$  is *not* multiple of 4 (i.e. the negation  $p$  and  $\sim q$  is true.)  
(ii) The statement is true. One can prove this using proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $n$  is not multiple of 3, then either  $n = 3p + 1$  or  $n = 3q + 2$  for some integers  $p$  and  $q$ .

- If  $n = 3p + 1$ , then  $n^2 = (3p + 1)^2 = 9p^2 + 6p + 1$  which is not multiple of 3.
- If  $n = 3q + 2$ , then  $n^2 = (3q + 2)^2 = 9q^2 + 12q + 4$  which is not multiple of 3.

Both cases imply that  $n^2$  is not multiple of 3 and there is contradiction. So the negation cannot be true and the statement holds.

(c) The statement is false. To see this, we take  $f(x) = x$ . One can show that  $f(0) = 0$  but  $f'(0) = 1$ .

So the negation ( $p$  and  $\sim q$ ) is true.

### Problem 3

We let  $f(x)$  be a function.

Determine if each of the following statements is correct or not.

(a) Suppose that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in (1,4)$  (i.e.  $1 < x < 4$ ), then  $f(2)f(3) > 0$ .

(b) Suppose that  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in (1,4)$ , then  $f(2)f(3) > 0$ .

☺ Solution

(a) Since  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in (1,4)$ , we have  $f(2) > 0$  and  $f(3) > 0$ , thus  $f(2)f(3) > 0$ .

(b) The statement may not be true. To see this, we take  $f(x) = x^2 - 4$ . We observe

- $f(3) = 5 > 0$ , so  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in (1,4)$
- But  $f(2)f(3) = 0$ .

*Remark: Note that  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in (1,4)$  does not guarantee the sign of  $f(2)$  and  $f(3)$ , thus  $f(2)f(3) > 0$  may not hold.*

### Problem 4

Prove that  $\sqrt[3]{3}$  is an irrational number.

☺ Solution

We will prove the claim using proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $\sqrt[3]{3}$  is a rational number. We write  $\sqrt[3]{3} = \frac{m}{n}$  where  $m, n$  are some integers.

Here, we assume that  $\frac{m}{n}$  is already in simplest form in the sense that  $m, n$  are relatively prime. Next, we note that

$$\sqrt[3]{3} = \frac{m}{n} \Rightarrow 3 = \frac{m^3}{n^3} \Rightarrow m^3 = 3n^3.$$

This implies that  $m^3$  is multiple of 3.

Next, we argue that  $m$  is also multiple of 3. If  $m$  is not multiple of 3, then either  $m = 3k + 1$  or  $m = 3k + 2$  for some integer  $k$ .

- If  $m = 3k + 1$ , we have  $m^3 = (3k + 1)^3 = 27k^3 + 27k^2 + 9k + 1$  which is not multiple of 3

- If  $m = 3k + 2$ , we have  $m^3 = (3k + 2)^3 = 27k^3 + 54k^2 + 36k + 8$  which is not multiple of 3.

So  $m^3$  is not multiple of 3 in both cases and there is contradiction. So  $m$  must be multiple of 3. We write  $m = 3p$  for some integer  $p$ . Then we have

$$(3p)^3 = 3n^3 \Rightarrow n^3 = 9p^3.$$

Then  $n^3$  is multiple of 3 and hence  $n$  is also multiple of 3.

Then  $m, n$  will have a common factor 3 and are no longer relatively prime. So there is a contradiction and the result follows.

### Problem 5

Prove that there does *not* exist integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $21a + 30b = 1$ .

😊 Solution

Suppose that there exists integers  $a, b$  such that  $21a + 30b = 1$ . Since L.H.S. is a multiple of 3 and R.H.S. is not a multiple of 3, so the equality does not hold and there is a contradiction. The negation cannot be true and the result follows.

### Problem 6

We let  $a$  and  $b$  be two real numbers. Prove that if  $a, b > 0$ , then  $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{2}{b} \neq \frac{4}{a+b}$ .

😊 Solution

We shall prove this statement using proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{2}{b} = \frac{4}{a+b}$  and  $a, b > 0$ , one can deduce that

$$\frac{2}{a} + \frac{2}{b} = \frac{4}{a+b} \Rightarrow \frac{2(a+b)}{ab} = \frac{4}{a+b} \Rightarrow 2(a+b)^2 = 4ab \Rightarrow \underbrace{2a^2 + 2b^2}_{>0 \text{ as } a>0, b>0} = 0.$$

The equality does not hold and there is contradiction. So the negation cannot be true and the result follows.

### Problem 7

We let  $x$  be a **non-zero** rational number and  $y$  be an irrational number, show that  $x + y$  and  $xy$  are both irrational.

😊 Solution

We first argue that  $x + y$  is irrational using proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $x + y$  is rational, then  $x + y = \frac{m}{n}$  for some integer  $m$  and positive integer  $n$ .

Since  $x$  is also rational, we have  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  for some integer  $p$  and positive integer  $q$ .

Then we have

$$y = (x + y) - x = \frac{m}{n} - \frac{p}{q} = \frac{mq - np}{nq},$$

which is also rational. It leads to contradiction. So  $x + y$  must be irrational.

Next, we argue that  $xy$  is also irrational.

Suppose that  $xy$  is rational, we write  $xy = \frac{m'}{n'}$  for some integer  $m'$  and positive integer  $n'$ . Since  $x \neq 0$ , we have

$$y = \frac{xy}{x} = \frac{m'q}{n'p}$$

which is a rational number. It leads to contradiction. So  $xy$  must be irrational.

*Remark: The condition  $x \neq 0$  is required in order that  $xy$  is irrational since  $xy = 0$  will be rational number if  $x = 0$ .*

### Problem 8 (Harder)

We let  $x, y, z$  be three positive integers satisfying  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ . Show that if  $x$  and  $y$  are relatively prime (i.e. H.C.F. of  $x$  and  $y$  is 1), then one of them is odd and another one is even.

☺ Solution

We shall prove this by proof by contradiction. Suppose that  $x, y$  are either both odd or both even. Since  $x, y$  are relatively prime, it must be that both  $x, y$  are odd (otherwise the H.C.F. of  $x$  and  $y$  is at least 2).

We write  $x = 2p + 1$  and  $y = 2q + 1$ , where  $p, q$  are some integers. Then one can deduce from the given equation that

$$(2p + 1)^2 + (2q + 1)^2 = z^2 \Rightarrow \underbrace{4p^2 + 4p + 4q^2 + 4q + 2}_{\text{even}} = z^2.$$

So  $z^2$  is even number and it implies that  $z$  is even number.

By writing  $z = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ , we deduce that

$$4p^2 + 4p + 4q^2 + 4q + 2 = (2k)^2 = \underbrace{2p^2 + 2p + 2q^2 + 2q + 1}_{\text{odd}} = \underbrace{2k^2}_{\text{even}}$$

So the equality does not hold and there is a contradiction.

Thus the negation cannot be true and the result follows.

### Problem 9

We let  $f(x)$  be a function satisfying  $f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y)$  for all real numbers  $a, b, x, y$ . Show that  $f(z_1) = 0$  and  $f(z_2) = 0$  if and only if  $f(z_1 + z_2) = 0$  and  $f(z_1 - z_2) = 0$ .

☺ Solution

( $\Rightarrow$  part)

If  $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = 0$ , then it follows from the given property that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1 + z_2) &= \underbrace{f(z_1)}_{=0} + \underbrace{f(z_2)}_{=0} = 0, \\ f(z_1 - z_2) &= f(z_1 + (-1)z_2) = \underbrace{f(z_1)}_{=0} - \underbrace{f(z_2)}_{=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$  part)

Since  $f(z_1 + z_2) = 0$  and  $f(z_1 - z_2) = 0$ , we have

$$\underbrace{f(z_1) + f(z_2)}_{f(z_1+z_2)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}_{f(z_1-z_2)} = 0$$

By solving these two equations, we get

$$2f(z_1) = 0 \Rightarrow f(z_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z_2) = 0.$$

### Problem 10

Prove that a positive integer  $n$  is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of digits of  $n$  is divisible by 9.

(☺ Hint: We write  $n = d_r d_{r-1} \dots d_1 d_0$  in decimal representation, where each  $d_i$  represents a digit of  $n$ . Then  $n$  can be expressed as

$$n = d_r \times 10^r + d_{r-1} \times 10^{r-1} + \dots + d_1 \times 10 + d_0.)$$

☺ Solution

According to the hint, one can express the positive integer  $n$  as

$$n = d_r \times 10^r + d_{r-1} \times 10^{r-1} + \dots + d_1 \times 10 + d_0.$$

For any positive integer  $m$ , one can see that  $10^m - 1 = \underbrace{99 \dots 9}_{m \text{ "9"s}}$  is divisible by 9. Thus, one

can express  $n$  as

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \underbrace{d_r \times (10^r - 1) + \dots + d_1 \times (10 - 1)}_{\text{multiple of 9}} + d_r + d_{r-1} + \dots + d_2 + d_1 + d_0 \\ &= 9k + d_r + d_{r-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0 \dots (*) \end{aligned}$$

( $\Rightarrow$  part)

If  $n$  is divisible by 9, then

$$n - 9k = d_r + d_{r-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0$$

is also divisible by 9.

( $\Leftarrow$  part)

If the sum of digit  $d_r + d_{r-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0$  is divisible by 9, then it follows from the equation (\*) that

$$n = 9k + \underbrace{d_r + d_{r-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0}_{=9m \text{ for some integer } m}$$

is also divisible by 9.