

# MATH2033 Mathematical Analysis (2021 Spring)

## Suggested Solution of Assignment 5

### Problem 1

- (a) Using the definition of integrability or integral criterion, prove that  $f(x) = |x - 1|$  is integrable on  $[0, 2]$ .
- (b) Using the definition of integrability or integral criterion, prove that the function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

is not integrable on  $[0, 1]$ .

☺Solution of (a)

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider the partition

$$\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}\} = \left\{0, \frac{2}{2n}, \frac{4}{2n}, \frac{6}{2n}, \dots, 2\right\}, \quad \text{where } x_k = \frac{2k}{2n} = \frac{k}{n}.$$

Next, we compute the upper sum and lower sum of this function:

- Note that  $|x - 1| = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \\ 1 - x & \text{if } x < 1 \end{cases}$  so that  $|x - 1|$  is decreasing over  $[0, 1]$  and is increasing over  $[1, 2]$ .
- It follows that for any subinterval  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ , we have

$$M_k = \sup_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_{k-1}) = 1 - x_{k-1} = \frac{n - k + 1}{n} & \text{if } k \leq n \\ f(x_k) = x_k - 1 = \frac{k - n}{n} & \text{if } k \geq n + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$m_k = \inf_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_k) = 1 - x_k = \frac{n - k}{n} & \text{if } k \leq n \\ f(x_{k-1}) = x_{k-1} - 1 = \frac{k - 1 - n}{n} & \text{if } k \geq n + 1 \end{cases}$$

Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathcal{P}, f) - L(\mathcal{P}, f) &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (M_k - m_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{\left( \frac{n - k + 1}{n} - \frac{n - k}{n} \right)}_{=\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \underbrace{\left( \frac{k - n}{n} - \frac{k - 1 - n}{n} \right)}_{=\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} (n) + \frac{1}{n^2} (n) = \frac{2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $n$  such that  $n > \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \Leftrightarrow \frac{2}{n} < \varepsilon$  (guaranteed by Archimedean property), we deduce that

$$U(\mathcal{P}, f) - L(\mathcal{P}, f) < \varepsilon.$$

So  $f$  is integrable by integral criterion.

☺Solution of (b)

We let  $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  (where  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_n = 1$ ) be a partition of  $[0, 1]$ .

For every subinterval  $I_k = [x_{k-1}, x_k] \subseteq [0, 1]$ , we note that

- $f(x) \leq x \leq x_k$  for all  $x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  and  $x_k$  is upper bound of  $\{f(x) | x \in I_k\}$ .  
For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we deduce from density of rational number that there exists a rational number  $q$  such that  $x_k - \varepsilon < q < x_k$  which  $f(q) = q > x_k - \varepsilon$ .  
Then it follows from supremum property that  $M_k = \sup\{f(x) | x \in I_k\} = x_k$
- $f(x) \geq -x \geq -x_k$  for all  $x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  and  $-x_k$  is lower bound of  $\{f(x) | x \in I_k\}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we deduce from density of irrational number that there exists a irrational number  $q$  such that  $x_k - \varepsilon < r < x_k$  which  $f(r) = -r < -x_k + \varepsilon$ . Then it follows from infimum property that  $m_k = \inf\{f(x) | x \in I_k\} = -x_k$

Thus, it follows from the upper sum and lower sum are given by

$$U(\mathcal{P}, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k(x_k - x_{k-1}).$$

$$L(\mathcal{P}, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}).$$

Using the fact that  $2b(b-a) = b^2 - a^2 + (b-a)^2$ , we deduce that

$$U(\mathcal{P}, f) - L(\mathcal{P}, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2x_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k^2 - x_{k-1}^2)}_{=x_n^2 - x_0^2 = b^2 - a^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{(x_k - x_{k-1})^2}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\geq b^2 - a^2 > 0.$$

Then it follows from the *negation* of integral criterion (with  $\varepsilon = b^2 - a^2$ ) that the function is not integrable.

(\*Note: The negation of integral criterion states that  $f$  is not integrable on  $[a, b]$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any partition  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $U(\mathcal{P}, f) - L(\mathcal{P}, f) \geq \varepsilon$ .)

## Problem 2

We let  $f, g, h$  be three bounded functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(x) \leq g(x) \leq h(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Suppose that  $f, h$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b f(x)dx = \int_a^b h(x)dx$ .

(a) Show that  $g$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

(b) Show that  $\int_a^b g(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx$ .

☺Solution

(a) We note the following facts:

- For any functions  $f_1, f_2$  which  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$ , we have  
 $U(\mathcal{P}, f_1) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, f_2)$  and  $L(\mathcal{P}, f_1) \leq L(\mathcal{P}, f_2)$ .
- Since  $f, h$  are integrable over  $[a, b]$ , it follows that for any partition  $\mathcal{P}$ ,

$$L(\mathcal{P}, f) \leq \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq U(\mathcal{P}, f) \text{ and}$$

$$L(P, h) \leq \int_a^b h(x) dx \leq U(P, h)$$

- For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists partitions  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that

$$U(\mathcal{P}_1, f) - L(\mathcal{P}_1, f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \int_a^b f(x) dx - L(\mathcal{P}_1, f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$U(\mathcal{P}_2, h) - L(\mathcal{P}_2, h) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow U(\mathcal{P}_2, h) - \int_a^b h(x) dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By taking the partition  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$  (which is the refinement of both  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathcal{P}, g) - L(\mathcal{P}, g) &\leq U(\mathcal{P}_2, g) - L(\mathcal{P}_1, g) \leq U(\mathcal{P}_2, h) - L(\mathcal{P}_1, f) \\ &< \left( \int_a^b h(x) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) - \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \stackrel{\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b h(x) dx}{=} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So  $g(x)$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$  by integral criterion.

**(b)** Recall that for any partition  $\mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b g(x) dx &\leq U(\mathcal{P}, g) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, h) \dots \dots (*) \\ \int_a^b g(x) dx &\geq L(\mathcal{P}, g) \geq L(\mathcal{P}, f) \dots \dots (**) \end{aligned}$$

By taking infimum on the inequality (\*), we have

$$\int_a^b g(x) dx \leq \inf_{\mathcal{P}} U(\mathcal{P}, h) = \overline{\int_a^b h(x) dx} = \int_a^b h(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

By taking supremum on the inequality (\*\*), we have

$$\int_a^b g(x) dx \geq \sup_{\mathcal{P}} L(\mathcal{P}, f) = \underline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Then it follows from sandwich theorem that  $\int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ .

### Problem 3

**(a)** We let  $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two bounded Riemann integrable function on  $[a, b]$ , show that the function  $h(x) = \min(f(x), g(x))$  is also Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

**(b)** We let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function on  $[a, b]$ .

- Suppose that  $f^2$  is Riemann integrable, is it true that  $f$  is Riemann integrable? Explain your answer.
- Suppose that  $f^3$  is Riemann integrable, is it true that  $f$  is Riemann integrable? Explain your answer.

(\*Note: If your answer is yes, please give a proof. If your answer is no, please provide a counter-example.)

☺Solution

**(a)** Note that

$$\min(f(x), g(x)) = \frac{f(x) + g(x)}{2} - \frac{|f(x) - g(x)|}{2}.$$

Since  $f, g$  are integrable, it follows that

- $\frac{f+g}{2}$  and  $f - g$  are integrable.
- Since  $|x|$  is continuous over  $\mathbb{R}$ , so  $|f(x) - g(x)|$  is also integrable.

Hence, we conclude that  $\min(f(x), g(x)) = \frac{f(x)+g(x)}{2} - \frac{|f(x)-g(x)|}{2}$  is also integrable.

**(b) (i)** No. We consider a function  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We let  $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$ . For any subinterval  $I_k = [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ , we deduce from density of rational number and density of irrational number that there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$x_{k-1} < q < x_k \text{ and } x_{k-1} < r < x_k.$$

Since  $f(q) = 1$  and  $f(r) = -1$ , we have

$$M_k = \sup_{x \in I_k} f(x) = 1 \text{ and } m_k = \inf_{x \in I_k} f(x) = -1.$$

Then the upper sum and lower sum are given by

$$U(\mathcal{P}, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{M_k}_{=1} (x_k - x_{k-1}) = x_n - x_0 = b - a$$

$$L(\mathcal{P}, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{m_k}_{=-1} (x_k - x_{k-1}) = -(x_n - x_0) = -(b - a).$$

So we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &= \inf_{\mathcal{P}} U(\mathcal{P}, f) = b - a \text{ and} \\ \int_a^b f(x) dx &= \sup_{\mathcal{P}} L(\mathcal{P}, f) = -(b - a) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\overline{\int_a^b f(x) dx} \neq \underline{\int_a^b f(x) dx}$ , so  $f(x)$  is not integrable.

However, we see that

$$f^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ (-1)^2 = 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases} = 1.$$

So  $f^2$  is constant function and therefore integrable.

**(ii)** Since  $f$  is bounded, we have  $m \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Note that the function  $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$  is continuous over  $[m, M]$  and  $f^3$  is integrable, it follows that

$$f(x) = \sqrt[3]{f^3(x)} = g(f^3(x))$$

is integrable.