

Tutorial 3

1. Let A be the collection of functions from the set $\{0,1\}$ to \mathbb{N} . all positive integers

That is,

$$A = \{f \mid f: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Show that A is countable.

Proof:

Firstly, we note that every function $f: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is determined by only two values $f(0)$ and $f(1)$.

And two functions f, g in A equals to each other if and only if

$$(f(0), f(1)) = (g(0), g(1)).$$

That is, $f = g \iff (f(0), f(1)) = (g(0), g(1)).$ (1.1).

Thus we can construct a map φ from A to $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
written as

$$\varphi(f) = (f(0), f(1)).$$

by (1.1) we know that φ is an injection.

Then by $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable and the injection theorem, we know that A must be a countable set. $\#$.

2. Find the sup and inf of the set

$$S := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Solution:

① Supremum:

Observing that $\frac{1}{n}$ is decreasing as n increases:

$$1 > \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3} > \dots > \frac{1}{n} > \dots$$

Thus we have 1 is an upper bound of S .

On the other hand, by $1 \in S$, for any upper M of S , we have $M \geq 1$, that leads to 1 is the smallest upper bound of S , thus is the supremum of S .

② Infimum:

Observing that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\frac{1}{n} > 0$, thus 0 is a lower bound of S .

So by definition, we have $\inf S \geq 0$. Now we show that

$\inf S$ cannot be larger than 0:

Otherwise suppose in contradiction that $\inf S > 0$.

Then $\frac{1}{\inf S} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{\inf S} > 0$. By Archimedean's principle we have then $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > \frac{1}{\inf S}$, thus

$$\inf S > \frac{1}{n_0}. \quad (2.1)$$

Noticing that $\frac{1}{n_0} \in S$, so (2.1) contradicts to $\inf S$ is a lower bound of S .

Thus $\inf S$ must equal to 0. \nexists .

3. Let S be a bounded set and $S_0 \in S$ be a subset of S , check the following statements:

① both $\sup S_0$ and $\inf S_0$ exists and $\inf S_0 \geq \inf S$, $\sup S_0 \leq \sup S$.

② Suppose S_0 is a proper subset of S (i.e. $S_0 \subset S$, $S_0 \neq \emptyset$), is it always true that $\inf S_0 > \inf S$ and $\sup S_0 < \sup S$?

Proof:

① To show $\sup S_0$ and $\inf S_0$ exists, we need only show that S_0 is upper bounded and lower bounded. In fact, by S is bounded, $\sup S$ and $\inf S$ exists, and

$$\forall x \in S, \quad x \leq \sup S. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\forall x \in S, \quad x \geq \inf S. \quad (3.2).$$

By (3.1), (3.2), we directly have

$$\forall x \in S_0, \quad x \leq \sup S \quad (3.1')$$

$$\forall x \in S_0, \quad x \geq \inf S \quad (3.2')$$

(3.1') and (3.2') implies that $\begin{cases} \sup S \text{ is a upper bound of } S_0 \\ \inf S \text{ is a lower bound of } S_0. \end{cases}$

thus $\sup S_0, \inf S_0$ exists and

$$\begin{cases} \sup S_0 \leq \sup S \\ \inf S_0 \geq \inf S. \end{cases} \quad \nabla.$$

② Exercise: Firstly consider the case that

S is a finite set, in which case we have

$\sup S_0 = \sup S$ and $\inf S_0 = \inf S$? (1 min).

(2.2) For general S , we also don't have $\sup S > \sup S_0$ in general.

Counter example:

$$S = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad S_0 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{1\}$$

$$S_1 = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \geq 5, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{1\}.$$

Both S_0, S_1 are proper subsets of S , and we have

$$\inf S_0 = \inf S_1 = \inf S.$$

$$\sup S_0 = \sup S_1 = \sup S. \quad \#.$$

Remark (A condition on $\sup S_0 < \sup S$):

Check that if $\sup S \notin S$ (or $\inf S \notin S$), and

$S_0 \subset S$ is a finite subset of S , then we must have

$$\sup S_0 < \sup S \quad (\text{or} \quad \inf S_0 > \inf S).$$

Proof: For finite S_0 , we have $\sup S_0 = \max \{s : s \in S_0\}$, so $\sup S_0 \in S_0 \in S$. On the other hand, $\sup S \notin S$, thus $\sup S_0 \neq \sup S$.

By $\sup S_0 \in S$, we know it must be $\sup S_0 < \sup S$. $\#$.

4. Find the sup and inf of following sets,

(a) $D = \{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$

(b) $E = \{ a+b : a \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}, b \in (1,2) \cap \mathbb{Q} \}.$

We will use this result (see the end of lec 6 note) :

if A and B are bounded, then $A+B$ defined by

$$A+B = \{ a+b : a \in A, b \in B \} \text{ is also bounded.}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\inf(A+B) = \inf A + \inf B \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sup(A+B) = \sup A + \sup B. \quad (4.2)$$

Solution of (a):

By prob 2, we know $S = \{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is bounded and $\inf S = 0, \sup S = 1.$

So if we set $A=S, B=-S$ in the above result, then

$$D = A+B.$$

And by the dual property we have

$$\sup B = \sup(-S) = -\inf S = 0.$$

$$\inf B = \inf(-S) = -\sup S = -1.$$

thus $\sup D = \sup (A+B) = \sup A + \sup B = 1.$

$\inf D = \inf (A+B) = \inf A + \inf B = -1. \quad \#.$

lb):

To use the above theorem, we set

$$A = [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q},$$

$$B = (1,2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

then we would compute $\sup A, \sup B$ and $\inf A, \inf B$

using the following lemma:

Lemma: If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a dense set, i.e. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a < b$, $\exists s \in S$ such that $a < s < b$, then for any interval $E = (u, v) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\sup(S \cap E) = \sup E. \quad (4.3)$$

$$\inf(S \cap E) = \inf E. \quad (4.4)$$

If the lemma holds, we have by

① \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . (Lec7 note p10)

② $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . (Lec7 note p11)

then $\sup A = \sup [0,1) = 1, \quad \inf A = \inf [0,1) = 0.$

$\sup B = \sup (1,2) = 2, \quad \inf B = \inf (1,2) = 1.$

thus $\sup(A+B) = 1+2=3.$

$\inf(A+B) = 0+1=1. \quad \#.$

So we need only prove the above lemma:

Proof of (4.3):

For $\bar{E} = [u, v]$, we know that $\sup \bar{E} = v$,
and $\sup(\bar{E} \cap S) \leq v$.

suppose in contradiction that $\sup(\bar{E} \cap S) < v$.

then we set $w := \frac{1}{2}(\sup(\bar{E} \cap S) + v)$, we have

$\sup(\bar{E} \cap S) < w < v$. This w is an upper bound of $\bar{E} \cap S$.

On the other hand, by S is dense, exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$w < z < v.$$

Thus ① $z > \sup(\bar{E} \cap S)$.

② $z \in S$

③ $z \in \bar{E}$

that leads to a contradiction, so we must have

$$\sup(\bar{E} \cap S) = \sup \bar{E}.$$

The proof of \inf case is similar. $\#.$

Remark on the lemma:

The lemma doesn't hold for general subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
consider the case that

$$\bar{E} = \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]), S = \mathbb{Q} \text{ then}$$

$$\sup \bar{E} = 1, \inf \bar{E} = 0, \text{ but } \bar{E} \cap S = \emptyset.$$

5. Using the mathematical induction to show that
the claim $P(n)$:

$$\forall x \geq -1, (1+x)^n \geq 1+n x.$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: To prove $P(n)$ by induction, we need following steps:

Step 1: Prove that $P(1)$ is true.

When $n=1$, we have $P(1)$ turns to
" $\forall x \geq -1, 1+x \geq 1+x$." that is obviously true.

Step 2: Prove that if $P(n_0)$ is true for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

then $P(n_0+1)$ is also true.

if $P(n_0)$ is true, we have

$$\forall x \geq -1, (1+x)^{n_0} \geq 1+n_0x$$

thus $\forall x \geq -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^{n_0+1} &= (1+x)(1+x)^{n_0} \\ &\geq (1+x)(1+n_0x) \\ &\quad (\text{by } P(n_0) \text{ is true}) \\ &= 1+n_0x+x+n_0x^2 \\ &\geq 1+(n_0+1)x. \quad \underbrace{x^2}_{\geq 0}\end{aligned}$$

that is, $P(n_0+1)$ is true. \square .