

MATH2033 Mathematical Analysis (2021 Spring)

Suggested Solution of Problem Set 4

Problem 1

Find the supremum and infimum, if exists as a number, for the following sets

(a) $A = \{e^{-x} | x \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}\}.$

(b) $B = \left\{\cos \frac{1}{n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ (☺ Hint: The function $\cos x$ is decreasing over $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$)

(c) $C = \left\{1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$

☺ Solution

(a) Note that for any $x \in (0,1)$, we have

$$e^{-1} \leq e^{-x} \leq e^{-0} = 1$$

So 1 and e^{-1} are upper bound and lower bound of the set $A = \{e^{-x} | x \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$ respectively.

- We argue that $\sup A = 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by density of rational number, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$0 < x < \underbrace{\min(1, -\ln(1 - \varepsilon))}_{\in (0,1)} < -\ln(1 - \varepsilon).$$

So $x \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. It follows that

$$e^{-x} > e^{-(-\ln(1-\varepsilon))} = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $1 - \varepsilon$ is not the upper bound of A so that $\sup A = 1$.

- Next, we argue that $\inf A = e^{-1}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by density of rational number, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$x > \underbrace{\max(0, -\ln(e^{-1} + \varepsilon))}_{\in (0,1)} > -\ln(e^{-1} + \varepsilon).$$

So $x \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. It follows that

$$e^{-x} > e^{-(-\ln(e^{-1}+\varepsilon))} = e^{-1} + \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $e^{-1} + \varepsilon$ is not the lower bound of A so that $\inf A = e^{-1}$.

(b) Since $0 < \frac{1}{n} \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\cos x$ is strictly decreasing over $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, it follows that

$$\cos 1 \leq \cos \frac{1}{n} < \cos 0 = 1$$

So 1 and $\cos 1$ are upper bound and lower bound of the set $B = \left\{\cos \frac{1}{n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ respectively.

- We argue that $\sup B = 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from Archimedean property that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K > \frac{1}{\cos^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)} \Rightarrow \cos^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon) > \frac{1}{K} \Rightarrow \cos \frac{1}{K} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

So $1 - \varepsilon$ is not the upper bound of the set B and $\sup B = 1$.

- We argue that $\inf B = \cos 1$. Since $\cos 1 \in B$, so we can deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\cos 1 < \cos 1 + \varepsilon$. It reveals that $\cos 1 + \varepsilon$ is not the lower bound of B and hence $\inf B = \cos 1$.

(c) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we note that

$$1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

So we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} = \underbrace{1 - \frac{1}{2}}_{\text{when } n=2} \leq 1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \leq \underbrace{1 + \frac{1}{1}}_{\text{when } n=1} = 2.$$

So 2 and $\frac{1}{2}$ are upper bound and lower bound of the set C .

- Since $2 = 1 + \frac{1}{1} \in C$, it follows that $2 - \varepsilon < 2$ and $2 - \varepsilon$ is not upper bound of the set C . So we conclude that $\sup C = 2$.
- Since $\frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \in C$, it follows that $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ is not lower bound of the set C . So we conclude that $\inf C = \frac{1}{2}$.

Problem 2

Find the supremum and infimum, if exists as a number, for the following sets

(a) (A bit harder) $D = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

(b) (A bit harder) $E = \{a + b \mid a \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}, b \in (1,2) \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$.

😊 Solution

(a) Recall that $0 < \frac{1}{n} \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$-1 = 0 - 1 < \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < 1 - 0 = 1.$$

So 1 and -1 are upper bound and lower bound of the set D respectively.

- We argue that $\sup D = 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from Archimedean property that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{K} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{K}}_{\in D} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

So $1 - \varepsilon$ is not the upper bound of the set D and $\sup D = 1$.

- We argue that $\inf D = -1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from Archimedean property that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{K} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{1}{K} - \frac{1}{1}}_{\in D} < -1 + \varepsilon.$$

So $-1 + \varepsilon$ is not the lower bound of the set D and $\inf D = -1$.

(b) Since $0 < a < 1$ and $1 < b < 2$, it follows that

$$1 = 0 + 1 < a + b < 1 + 2 = 3.$$

So 3 and 1 are upper bound and lower bound of the set E respectively.

- We argue that $\sup E = 3$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from density of rational number and density of irrational number that there exists $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < p \leq 1$$

$$2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \max\left(1, 2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < q \leq 2$$

It follows that

$$\underbrace{p + q}_E > \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \left(2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = 3 - \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $3 - \varepsilon$ is not upper bound of E . So $\sup E = 3$.

- We argue that $\inf E = 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from density of rational number and density of irrational number that there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$0 < r < \min\left(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$1 < s < \min\left(2, 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

It follows that

$$\underbrace{r + s}_E > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = 1 + \varepsilon.$$

So $1 + \varepsilon$ is not the lower bound of the set E and $\inf E = 1$.

Problem 3

We let S be a bounded subset in \mathbb{R} and let $S_0 \subseteq S$ be a subset of S_0

- Show that the supremum and infimum of S_0 exist and satisfy $\inf S_0 \geq \inf S$ and $\sup S_0 \leq \sup S$.
- Suppose that $S_0 \subset S$ (i.e. S_0 is proper subset of S), is it always true that $\inf S_0 > \inf S$ and $\sup S_0 < \sup S$? Explain your answer.

😊 Solution

(This is one of the problems of Assignment 2. The solution will be posted after the due date of Assignment 2)

Problem 4

Prove the following statements using Archimedean property.

- We let $I_n = \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x > 0$, prove that $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.
- We let $J_n = \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n = \emptyset$.
- We let $K_n = [n, \infty)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$

😊 Solution

- By Archimedean property, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K > \frac{1}{x} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{K} < x.$$

It follows that $x \notin \left[0, \frac{1}{K}\right] = I_K$. So $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$.

(b) For any $x \leq 0$, we have $x \notin J_1 = (0,1)$ and hence $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$.

For any $x > 0$, it follows from Archimedean property that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < x \Rightarrow x \notin J_n \Rightarrow x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$.

(c) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (by Archimedean property) such that

$$n > x \Rightarrow x \notin [n, \infty) = K_n \Rightarrow x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n.$$

So we conclude that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \phi$.

Problem 5

We let X be a non-empty set. We let $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two functions which the ranges $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are both bounded.

(a) Show that $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} \leq \sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) | x \in X\}$. Provide an example which the strict inequality holds.

(b) Show that $\inf\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} \geq \inf\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) | x \in X\}$. Provide an example which the strict inequality holds.

😊 Solution

Since $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are bounded, so the supremum and infimum of $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ exist due to the completeness axiom.

We let $M_f = \sup\{f(x) | x \in X\}$, $M_g = \sup\{g(x) | x \in X\}$, $m_f = \inf\{f(x) | x \in X\}$ and $m_g = \inf\{g(x) | x \in X\}$.

(a) For any $x \in X$, we have

$$f(x) + g(x) \leq \sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) | x \in X\} = M_f + M_g.$$

So $M_f + M_g$ is the upper bound of the set $\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\}$.

Since the supremum represents the least upper bound of the set, thus

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} \leq M_f + M_g.$$

To see whether the strictly inequality can hold, we take $X = \mathbb{R}$ and define $f(x) =$

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}. \text{ One can show that}$$

$$\bullet \quad f(x) + g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + 0 = 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 + 1 = 1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} = 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ so that}$$

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} = 1.$$

$$\bullet \quad \sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} = \sup\{g(x) | x \in X\} = 1$$

This implies that

$$\underbrace{\sup\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\}}_{=1} < \underbrace{\sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) | x \in X\}}_{=1+1=2}.$$

(b) For any $x \in X$, we have

$$f(x) + g(x) \geq \inf\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) | x \in X\} = m_f + m_g.$$

So $m_f + m_g$ is the lower bound of the set $\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\}$.

Since the infimum represents the greatest lower bound of the set, thus

$$\inf\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} \geq m_f + m_g.$$

To see whether the strictly inequality can hold, we consider the same example adopted in (a). One can show that

- $\inf\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\} = 1.$
- $\inf\{f(x) | x \in X\} = \inf\{g(x) | x \in X\} = 0$

This implies that

$$\underbrace{\inf\{f(x) + g(x) | x \in X\}}_{=1} > \underbrace{\inf\{f(x) | x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) | x \in X\}}_{=0+0=0}$$

Problem 6

We let X and Y be two non-empty sets. We let $h: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which $h(X \times Y)$ is bounded (*Note: Here, $h = h(x, y)$ is a function of two variables where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.)

We define two functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$f(x) = \sup\{h(x, y) | y \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X\}.$$

(a) Suppose that $h(x, y) = 2x + y$ and $X = Y = [0, 1]$, compute $f(x)$ and $g(y)$.

(b) (Independent of (a)) Prove that

$$\sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\} = \sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} = \sup\{g(y) | y \in Y\}.$$

(*Note: The above equation is known as *principle of the iterated supremum*. The principle suggests that the supremum of a function $h(x, y)$ can be found through the following two steps procedure:

- For each $x \in X$, we first find the supremum ("maximum") of $h(x, y)$ over all possible of y and call this maximum be $f(x)$.
- Given $f(x)$ obtained, we find the final supremum by finding the supremum of $f(x)$ over all possible values of X .

☺Solution

(a) $f(x) = \sup\{h(x, y) | y \in Y\} = \sup\{2x + y | y \in [0, 1]\} = 2x + 1.$

$g(y) = \sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X\} = \sup\{2x + y | x \in [0, 1]\} = y + 2.$

(b) We first argue that $\sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} = \sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}.$

- For any $x \in X$, we have

$$f(x) = \sup\{h(x, y) | y \in Y\} \leq \underbrace{\sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}}_{\text{denoted by } M}$$

So $\sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}$ is upper bound of $\{f(x) | x \in X\}.$

- Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $M - \varepsilon$ is not upper bound of $\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}$, there exists $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that

$$h(x, y) > M - \varepsilon.$$

For this x , we deduce that

$$f(x) = \sup\{h(x, y) | y \in Y\} \geq h(x, y) > M - \varepsilon.$$

It shows that $M - \varepsilon$ is also not upper bound for $\{f(x) | x \in X\}.$

Therefore, we conclude that $\sup\{f(x) | x \in X\} = \sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}.$

Using similar method, we deduce that

$$\sup\{g(y) | y \in Y\} = \sup\{h(x, y) | x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Problem 7 (A bit harder)

We consider the nested interval theorem (see Theorem 6 of Lecture Note 4) as follows:

Nested Interval Theorem

We let $\{I_n = [a_n, b_n] | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a set of closed intervals such that $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = [a, b]$, where $a = \sup\{a_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $b = \inf\{b_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Suppose that $\inf\{b_n - a_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0$, prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ contains a single element.

(😊 Hint: It suffices to argue that $a = b$. This can be done by first showing $0 \leq b - a < \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and conclude that $a = b$ using infinitesimal property.)

😊 Solution

For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

Note that $\inf\{b_n - a_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b_K - a_K < \varepsilon.$$

As $[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \subseteq I_K$, it follows that

$$0 \leq b - a = (b_K - a_K) < \varepsilon.$$

Since the above inequality is true for all $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from infinitesimal property that

$$0 \leq b - a \leq 0 \Rightarrow b - a = 0 \Rightarrow a = b.$$

So $[a, b] = \{a\}$ becomes a single element set.

Problem 8

(a) Using mathematical induction, prove that

$$\cos \theta + \cos 2\theta + \dots + \cos n\theta = \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin \frac{n\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

for all positive integer n . Here, $\theta \neq k\pi$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) We let a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots be a sequence of real numbers defined by

$$a_0 = \sqrt{2}, \quad a_n = \sqrt{2 + a_{n-1}} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using mathematical induction, prove that

$$a_n = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2^{n+2}}$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(c) We let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using mathematical induction, prove that

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} 2^n & 3(2^n - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for any positive integer n .

😊 Solution

(a) For $n = 1$, we have $L.H.S. = \cos \theta$ and

$$R.H.S. = \frac{\cos \theta \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} = \cos \theta = L.H.S.$$

The statement is true for $n = 1$.

Assume that the statement is true for $n = k$, then for $n = k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta + \cdots + \cos k\theta + \cos(k+1)\theta \\
&= \frac{\cos\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin \frac{k\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} + \cos(k+1)\theta = \frac{\cos\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\theta\right) \sin \frac{k\theta}{2} + \cos(k+1)\theta \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \frac{2k+1}{2}\theta - \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \frac{2k+3}{2}\theta - \sin \frac{2k+1}{2}\theta\right)}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\sin \frac{2k+3}{2}\theta - \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{2 \cos \frac{2k+4}{2}\theta \sin \frac{2k+2}{2}\theta}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{\cos(k+2)\theta \sin(k+1)\theta}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

So the statement is true for $n = k + 1$. It follows from mathematical induction that the statement is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) For $n = 0$, a direct calculation shows that

$$a_0 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2^2} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \sqrt{2}.$$

The statement is true for $n = 0$.

Suppose that the statement is also true for $n = k$ (where $k \geq 0$), then for $n = k + 1$,

$$a_{k+1} = \sqrt{2 + a_k} = \sqrt{2 + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2^{k+2}}} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sqrt{2 + 2 \left(2 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2^{k+3}} - 1 \right)} = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2^{k+3}}.$$

(*Note: The equality follows from the compound angle formula. That is,

$$\cos(A + B) = \cos A \cos B - \sin A \sin B)$$

So the statement is true for $n = k + 1$.

It follows from mathematical induction that the statement is true for non-negative integer n .

(c) For $n = 1$, a direct calculation shows that

$$A^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2^1 & 3(2^1 - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The statement is true for $n = 1$.

Suppose that the statement is also true for $n = k$ (where $k \in \mathbb{N}$), then for $n = k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{k+1} &= A^k(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^k & 3(2^k - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{k+1} & 3(2^k) + 3(2^k - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2^{k+1} & 3(2^{k+1} - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the statement is true for $n = k + 1$.

It follows from mathematical induction that the statement is true for non-negative integer n .

Problem 9

Using mathematical induction, prove that

(a) $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$ for any positive integer n , where $x \geq -1$ is real number.

(b) $1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} > 2(\sqrt{n+1} - 1)$ for all positive integer n .

😊 Solution

(a) For $n = 1$, we can verify that

$$L.H.S. = (1 + x)^1 = 1 + (1)x = R.H.S.$$

So the inequality is valid for $n = 1$.

Assume that $(1 + x)^k \geq 1 + kx$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for $n = k + 1$,

$$(1 + x)^{k+1} = (1 + x)^k(1 + x) \stackrel{\text{As } x \geq -1}{\geq} (1 + kx)(1 + x) = 1 + (k + 1)x + kx^2 \geq 1 + (k + 1)x.$$

So the inequality is also valid for $n = k + 1$.

By mathematical induction, we conclude that $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(b) For $n = 1$, we can verify that

$$L.H.S. = 1 > 0.828 \approx 2(\sqrt{2} - 1) = R.H.S.$$

So the inequality is valid for $n = 1$.

Assume that $1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} > 2(\sqrt{k+1} - 1)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for $n = k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} &> 2(\sqrt{k+1} - 1) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} = \frac{2k+3}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2}}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 >^{(*)} 2\frac{\sqrt{(k+2)(k+1)}}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 = 2\sqrt{k+2} - 2 \end{aligned}$$

(*Note: One can show that

$$\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 - (k+2)(k+1) = \left(k^2 + 3k + \frac{9}{4}\right) - (k^2 + 3k + 2) = \frac{1}{4} > 0.$$

Hence, $\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 > (k+2)(k+1)$.)

So the inequality is also valid for $n = k + 1$.

By mathematical induction, we conclude that $1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} >$

$2(\sqrt{n+1} - 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 10

We let $P(n)$ be a statement which depends on the positive integer n . The second principle of mathematical induction states that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integer n if all of the following conditions hold:

- $P(1)$ and $P(2)$ are true
- If $P(k)$ and $P(k + 1)$ are true for some integer k , then $P(k + 2)$ is also true.

(a) Prove the principle using well-ordering principle.

(b) Using the second principle of mathematical induction, prove the following statement:

We let a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots be a sequence of real numbers defined by

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 7, \quad a_{n+2} - 4a_{n+1} + 3a_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then $a_n = 3^n - 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

😊 Solution

(a) Suppose that $P(n)$ is false for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $P(1)$ and $P(2)$ are known to true, so $n \geq 3$.

Next, we consider the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | P(n) \text{ is false}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. By well-ordering property, there exists a minimal element $n_0 \in A$ in A , where $n_0 \geq 3$.

By the definition of the set A and n_0 , we have $P(n_0 - 1)$ and $P(n_0 - 2)$ are true.

This implies from second condition (with $k = n_0 - 2$) that $P(n_0)$ is true and this leads to contradiction.

So the proof is completed.

(b) By direct calculation, we get

$$a_1 = 3^1 - 2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = 3^2 - 2 = 7.$$

The statement is true for both $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.

Assume that the statement is true for $n = k$ and $n = k + 1$, then for $n = k + 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+2} &= 4a_{k+1} - 3a_k = 4(3^{k+1} - 2) - 3(3^k - 2) = 12(3^k) - 8 - 3(3^k) + 6 \\ &= 9(3^k) - 2 = 3^{k+2} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

So the statement is also true for $n = k + 2$.

It follows from mathematical induction that the statement is true for all positive integer n .

Remark of Problem 10

The classical mathematical induction (first principle) does not work in this problem. It is because the second statement only assumes that the statement is true for $n = k$ which is not sufficient in this problem (because the argument requires the assumption that the statements $P(k)$ and $P(k + 1)$ are both true).