1 Review

- A function has a **local maximum** (respectively, **local minimum**) at \mathbf{x}_0 if there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for any \mathbf{x} satisfying $\|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}_0\| < \delta$, then $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq f(\mathbf{x})$ (respectively, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$).
- A function has a **absolute maximum** (respectively, **absolute minimum**) at \mathbf{x}_0 if for any \mathbf{x} in the domain D, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq f(\mathbf{x})$ (respectively, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$).
- "f has local maximum/minimum at $\mathbf{x}_0 \Longrightarrow f_x(\mathbf{x}_0) = f_y(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ ". Notice that the converse is in general **NOT** true. i.e. $f_x(\mathbf{x}_0) = f_y(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \not\Longrightarrow f$ has local maximum/minimum at \mathbf{x}_0 in general.
- The **Hessian matrix** of a function f is defined as

$$H(f)(\mathbf{x}_0) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

For function two variables, if (1) $f_x(a,b) = f_y(a,b) = 0$ and in addition (2) the second derivatives are continuous the Hessian matrix enable us to have the **second derivative** test for two variables functions. We have the following cases splitting:

- (a) In case det H(f)(a,b) > 0 and $f_{xx}(a,b) > 0$, then f(a,b) is local minimum.
- (b) In case det H(f)(a,b) > 0 and $f_{xx}(a,b) < 0$, then f(a,b) is local maximum.
- (c) In case $\det H(f)(a,b) < 0$ then f(a,b) is neither local maximum nor local minimum, but a saddle point (think of a Pringles potato chip cut).
- (d) In case $\det H(f)(a,b) = 0$, the second derivative test is **inconclusive**.
- The absolute extrema of a function over a given domain is either the point of *local* extrema or on the boundary.
- Motivation for Lagrange multiplier: You have a function $f(\mathbf{x})$. What will be the extrema of $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to the constraint $g(\mathbf{x}) = k$?
- The Lagrange multiplier λ_i 's for a function f with respect to the constraint $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = k_i$ are the constant in which $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}_0)$ for some \mathbf{x}_0 in the domain of f.
- The **method of Lagrange multiplier** in extrema evaluation is given as follows:
 - 1. Find all values of \mathbf{x} and λ_i such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = k_i$.
 - 2. Evaluate f all the \mathbf{x} 's obtained above. The largest and smallest give the extrema.

2 Problems

- 1. True or False
 - (a) If f(x,y) has two local maxima, then it must have a local minimum.
 - (b) If f(2,1) is a critical point and $f_{xx}(2,1)f_{yy}(2,1) < [f_{xy}(2,1)]^2$, then f has a saddle point at (2,1).
 - (c) If f has a local minimum at (a, b) and differentiable at (a, b), then $\nabla f(a, b) = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2. Find the absolute maximum and minimum for $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + x^2y + 4$ over the $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$.

3. Find three positive numbers whose sum is 100 and whose product is a maximum.

4. Find an equation of the plane that passes through the point (1, 2, 3) and cuts off the smallest volume in the first octant.

5. Find the local maximum and minimum values and saddle points of the function $f(x,y) = x^2 - xy + y^2 + 9x - 6y + 10$.

6. Use Lagrange multipliers to find the maximum and minimum values of the function $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ subject to the constraint xy = 1.

7. Use Lagrange multipliers to prove that the rectangle with maximum area that has a given perimeter p is a square.

- 8. (a) Find the maximum value of $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdots x_n}$ with the constraints $x_1, \cdots, x_n > 0$ and $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = \text{constant}$.
 - (b) Prove that if $x_1, \dots, x_n > 0$, then

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdots x_n} \le \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}.$$

Deduce under what circumstances will give rise to equality.

- 9. (a) Maximize $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ subject to the constraint $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = 1$.
 - (b) Put $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\|a\|}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\|b\|}$. Prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|$$
.