Review 1

- A function has a **local maximum** (respectively, **local minimum**) at \mathbf{x}_0 if there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for any \mathbf{x} satisfying $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\| < \delta$, then $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq f(\mathbf{x})$ (respectively, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$.
- A function has a absolute maximum (respectively, absolute minimum) at \mathbf{x}_0 if for any x in the domain D, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq f(\mathbf{x})$ (respectively, $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$).
- "f has local maximum/minimum at $\mathbf{x}_0 \Longrightarrow f_x(\mathbf{x}_0) = f_y(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ ". Notice that the converse is in general **NOT** true. i.e. $f_x(\mathbf{x}_0) = f_y(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \not\Longrightarrow f$ has local maximum/minimum at \mathbf{x}_0 in general.
- ullet The **Hessian matrix** of a function f is defined as

$$H(f)(\mathbf{x}_0) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

or a function f is defined as $H(f)(\mathbf{x}_0) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$

For function two variables, if (1) $f_x(a,b) = f_y(a,b) = 0$ and in addition (2) the second derivatives are continuous the Hessian matrix enable us to have the **second derivative** test for two variables functions. We have the following cases splitting:

- (a) In case det H(f)(a,b) > 0 and $f_{xx}(a,b) > 0$, then f(a,b) is local minimum.
- (b) In case det H(f)(a,b) > 0 and $f_{xx}(a,b) < 0$, then f(a,b) is local maximum.
- (c) In case det H(f)(a,b) < 0 then f(a,b) is neither local maximum nor local minimum, but a saddle point (think of a Pringles potato chip cut).
- (d) In case det H(f)(a,b) = 0, the second derivative test is **inconclusive**.
- The absolute extrema of a function over a given domain is either the point of local extrema or on the boundary.
- Motivation for Lagrange multiplier: You have a function $f(\mathbf{x})$. What will be the extrema of $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to the constraint $g(\mathbf{x}) = k$?
- The Lagrange multiplier λ_i 's for a function f with respect to the constraint $g_i(\mathbf{x}) =$ k_i are the constant in which $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}_0)$ for some \mathbf{x}_0 in the domain of f.
- The **method of Lagrange multiplier** in extrema evaluation is given as follows:
 - 1. Find all values of \mathbf{x} and λ_i such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = k_i$.
 - 2. Evaluate f all the \mathbf{x} 's obtained above. The largest and smallest give the extrema-

Proof Lagrange:

if given goz)=c

=> rewrite goz)=c as F(t)

 $\Rightarrow f(\vec{r}(t))$ $\Rightarrow f(\vec{r}(t)) = \nabla f \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$

可可了了工产法

=> 7 f 11 7 g

=> If = > 7g.

2 Problems

- 1. True or False
 - (a) If f(x,y) has two local maxima, then it must have a local minimum.

False·可以中間係 Saddle.

(b) If f(2,1) is a critical point and $f_{xx}(2,1)f_{yy}(2,1) < [f_{xy}(2,1)]^2$, then f has a saddle point at (2,1).

True.

(c) If f has a local minimum at (a, b) and differentiable at (a, b), then $\nabla f(a, b) = \mathbf{0}$.

True

2. Find the absolute maximum and minimum for $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + x^2y + 4$ over the $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$.

3. Find three positive numbers whose sum is 100 and whose product is a maximum.

4. Find an equation of the plane that passes through the point (1, 2, 3) and cuts off the smallest volume in the first octant.

5. Find the local maximum and minimum values and saddle points of the function $f(x,y) = x^2 - xy + y^2 + 9x - 6y + 10$.

2. Find the absolute maximum and minimum for $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + x^2y + 4$ over the $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$.

Step 1: Wolc critical print.

out of [-1,1] x [-1,1].

=> not to consider.

Step 1.2.
$$f_{xx} = 2 + 2y$$
.
 $f_{xy} = 2x$ $D = \begin{bmatrix} 2+y \\ 2x \end{bmatrix}$
 $f_{yy} = 2$.

 $\mathcal{V}(v_1v) = 4 \qquad \mathcal{V}(v_1v) = 0 , f_{xx}(v_1v_2) = 0 , f_{xx}(v_1v_2) = 0$

f(1,1) = 7, absolute max.

Made with Goodnotes

6. Use Lagrange multipliers to find the maximum and minimum values of the function $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ subject to the constraint xy = 1.

7. Use Lagrange multipliers to prove that the rectangle with maximum area that has a given perimeter p is a square.

- 8. (a) Find the maximum value of $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdots x_n}$ with the constraints $x_1, \cdots, x_n > 0$ and $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = \text{constant}$.
 - (b) Prove that if $x_1, \dots, x_n > 0$, then

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdots x_n} \le \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}.$$

Deduce under what circumstances will give rise to equality.

- 9. (a) Maximize $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ subject to the constraint $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = 1$.
 - (b) Put $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\|a\|}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\|b\|}$. Prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|$$
.

6. Use Lagrange multipliers to find the maximum and minimum values of the function $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ subject to the constraint xy = 1.

$$\nabla f = \langle 2x, 2y \rangle$$

$$\nabla g = \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$\int \nabla f = x \nabla g$$

$$\langle xy = 1$$

what happen of y=-xAns $xy: x(-x) = x^2 \le 0$ Ontradict to g(x,y)=1.

. (±1,±1) is the extremal part of f.

F) $f(\pm 1, \pm 1) = 2$. Consider = 2, then $f(2, \frac{1}{2}) = 4 + \frac{1}{4} > 2$ Subject to = 2. Subject to = 2.