



Arizona League of Conservation  Voters

Arizona Legislative

# SCORE CARD 2007

48th State Legislature

---

[www.azlcv.org](http://www.azlcv.org)

*Arizona Conservation Voter*

Volume 16, Number 3 Summer 2007

# From the director



Dear Conservation Voter,

Arizona's lengthy 2007 Legislative session adjourned late in the evening of 20 June 2007 with a great sigh of relief for all those involved. Overall the session's results will have a positive affect on Arizona's environment and quality of life for its residents.

Some significant air quality legislation was passed, as well as a measure that established some protections for the San Pedro, Arizona's last free-flowing desert river. Additionally a number of clean energy bills saw passage, and steps were taken to protect the health of our first responders who may be exposed to hazardous substances in the line of duty.

This session we saw last year's election efforts pay off. You may recall that 41 out of 49 League-endorsed candidates won their races, and a number of them replaced previous seatholders with poor environmental records. The 2006 elections forced the balance of power at the Capitol to shift closer to the middle, marking a big difference from last year when conservation values were under constant threat. Here at the League we are especially proud that six freshman Representatives were among the 18 AZLCV-endorsed legislators who achieved perfect scores this session.

Even though the 2007 Legislative Session was relatively successful, we must remember that we still have lawmakers who do not recognize the threats of human-induced climate change, poor or nonexistent land planning, threats to air and water quality, and energy shortages. Nor do they understand how these factors affect our health, our environment, and the sustainability of our way of life. It is crucial that we demand accountability from our elected officials and the most effective way to do this is at the ballot box.

The Arizona League of Conservation Voters will continue to demand accountability at the legislature. But we must all commit to following through with our votes in 2008 and beyond.

Thank you for your support and please feel free to pass the 2007 score card to your friends and relatives.

Sincerely,

Thom Hulén  
Executive Director

## Arizona League of Conservation Voters

### Staff

Thom Hulén  
*Executive Director*  
Kate Whalen  
*Government Relations  
Liaison*  
Steve Arnquist  
*Membership Director*  
Scorecard design by  
Julie St. John

### Board of Directors

Anne Graham Bergin  
*President, Tucson*  
Jessica Catlin  
*Secretary, Phoenix*  
Deborah Tuck  
*Treasurer, Flagstaff*  
Ken Clark, *Phoenix*  
Brian Davidson, *Phoenix*  
Roselyn O'Connell, *Scottsdale*  
William Roe, *Tucson*  
Patricia Turpin, *Phoenix*  
Roberta Voss, *Phoenix*

### Advisory Council

Betsy Bolding, *Tucson*  
Carolyn Brickey, *Tucson*  
George Clark, *Phoenix*  
Jill Faber, *Paradise Valley*  
LaVelle McCoy, *Flagstaff*  
Luther Propst, *Tucson*  
Priscilla Robinson, *Tucson*  
Elisabeth Ruffner, *Prescott*  
Jim Walsh, *Phoenix*  
Lyn Wilson, *Tucson*  
Tom Woods, *Phoenix*

The *Arizona Conservation Voter*, the newsletter of the Arizona League of Conservation Voters, is published three times annually: January, April and September. The League also publishes an annual *Scorecard* following the legislative session. Contents are copyrighted, but articles may be reprinted provided credit is given to authors and the League. Annual membership for the League begins at \$35. All members receive the above publications.

**info@azlcv.org**  
**www.azlcv.org**

*in Tempe:*

**2510 S. Rural Road #105, 85282 602.277.3326**

*in Tucson:*

**738 N. Fifth Ave., Suite 202, 85705 520.622.2819**

COVER **Pima Canyon saguaros** courtesy Julie St. John

# Snapshot of the Scores

## Honor Roll



### House of Representatives

Ed Ableser (D-17) 100%  
David Bradley (D-28) 100%  
Chad Campbell (D-14) 100%  
Olivia Cajero Bedford (D-27) 100%  
Steve Farley (D-28) 100%  
Steve Gallardo (D-13) 100%  
Martha Garcia (D-13) 100%  
Ann Kirkpatrick (D-2) 100%  
Phil Lopes (D-27) 100%  
Linda Lopez (D-29) 100%  
Robert Meza (D-14) 100%  
Lena Saradnik (D-26) 100%  
David Schapira (D-17) 100%  
Kyrsten Sinema (D-15) 100%  
Jackie Thrasher (D-10) 100%  
Albert Tom (D-2) 100%  
Theresa Ulmer (D-24) 100%

### Senate

Paula Aboud (D-28) 100%

## Bottom Scores



### House of Representatives

Andy Biggs (R-22) 23%  
Eddie Farnsworth (R-22) 25%  
Trish Groe (R-3) 19%  
Russell Pearce (R-18) 26%

### Senate

Ron Gould (R-3) 18%  
Karen Johnson (R-18) 29%

### Lowest Scoring District: LD 22

Represented by Senator Thayer  
Verschoor, Representative Andy  
Biggs, and Representative Eddie  
Farnsworth

## Other High Scores



### House Democrats

Cloves Campbell, Jr. (D-16) 96%  
Mark Anthony DeSimone (D-11) 97%  
David Lujan (D-15) 91%  
Tom Prezelski (D-29) 94%  
Pete Rios (D-23) 97%

### House Republicans

Jennifer Burns (R-25) 71%  
Pete Hershberger (R-26) 90%  
Lucy Mason (R-1) 70%  
Nancy McLain (R-3) 71%  
Andrew Tobin (R-1) 89%

### Senate Democrats

Meg Burton Cahill (D-17) 97%  
Jorge Luis Garcia (D-27) 97%  
Leah Landrum Taylor (D-16) 99%  
Debbie McCune Davis (D-14) 97%  
Rebecca Rios (D-23) 97%  
Victor Soltero (D-29) 97%

### Senate Republicans

Carolyn Allen (R-8) 91%  
Barbara Leff (R-11) 73%  
Tom O'Halleran (R-1) 99%  
Jay Tibshraeny (R-21) 84%

### Top Scoring District: LD 28 – 100%

Represented by Senator Paula Aboud,  
Representative David Bradley, and  
Representative Steve Farley

## Averages:

### House Average Score: 74%

Average Score (Democrats): 96%

Average Score (Republicans): 56%

### Senate Average Score: 71%

Average Score (Democrats): 90%

Average Score (Republicans): 57%

# Actions used for scoring

## Guidelines for Scoring

Each legislator's score is based on his or her total pro-conservation votes over the course of the session. Measures are weighted according to their importance, and no credit is given for missing votes. However, members are not penalized for excused absences (as recorded on the official attendance sheet for each chamber on the day of the vote). In all cases, the scores are based on the final vote each measure receives in either chamber.

The League gives "extra credit" to legislators who sponsor positive legislation (prime-prime sponsors only). For each good measure introduced, the legislator receives an extra point added to his or her final score. For measures that actually become law, 2 points are added. On the other hand, those who sponsor anti-conservation or anti-public participation legislation have one point deducted from their final score for each measure introduced and 2 points deducted if the measure becomes law. Final scores are capped at 100%.

### SB 1112 toxic fire response

(Landrum Taylor, Miranda, Rios et al) establishes the Arizona Chemical Fire Response Coordination Task Force, which will be composed of the fire chiefs from each state municipality with a population of 75,000 or more people, and will ensure that hazardous materials training standards are met for emergency first responders who may be exposed to hazardous substances in the line of duty. It will also require the Department of Health Services to coordinate activities surrounding toxic fires and the Department of Environmental Quality to provide needed technical assistance. Senator Leah Landrum Taylor has been working on this legislation for six years, and we are pleased that the Legislature has finally let it through.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed the House  
Third Read:

April 17, 2007

Passed the Senate  
Final Read:

April 26, 2007

Signed by the  
Governor:

May 1, 2007

### SB 1119 water; overlapping service area providers

(Gray C, Aguirre, Harper et al) would hinder cities' water conservation efforts by prohibiting water providers (mainly municipalities) from limiting a landowner's right to use water supplied by an irrigation district. This would compromise the ability of a city to impose water conservation requirements or any other requirements that could limit water use (including implementation of a drought plan). SB 1119 was introduced to address a dispute between the Town of Gilbert and the Roosevelt Water Conservation District; however, it would have had serious consequences for water conservation efforts across the state. Representative Ed Ableser voted "Yes" on this measure only for purposes of reconsideration, so his action is scored as a "No" and he receives credit. Fortunately the Governor saw fit to veto this bad measure, noting that it would have hampered communities' ability to plan for future growth.

**A "NO" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 4**

Passed Senate Third Read:  
March 8, 2007

Passed House Third Read:  
April 23, 2007

Vetoed by Governor:  
May 1, 2007

# Actions used for scoring *continued*

## **SB 1188** clean elections; publicly funded elections

(Burns, Aguirre, Allen, et al) would change the title of the Clean Elections act to “publicly funded elections.” Supporters of the Clean Elections system oppose such a change because we believe it is an attempt to confuse the voters at the polls in the event that a repeal reaches the ballot. This bill would have needed a 3/4 majority vote to succeed because of the Voter Protection Act passed by voters in 1998.

**A “NO” vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 3**

Failed Senate

Third Read:

February 21, 2007

## **SB 1198** county powers; open fires

(O’Halloran, Brown, Mason et al) allows a county board of supervisors to prohibit open fires and campfires on lands in unincorporated areas of the county that are private property islands within the boundaries of the National Forests, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands, or state lands if the National Forest, BLM or State Land Department has implemented a prohibition.

**A “YES” vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed Senate Third Read:

February 21, 2007

Passed House Third Read:

April 9, 2007

Signed by Governor:

April 16, 2007

## **SB 1254** NOW: real property; signage, solar devices

(Leff) states that no association (HOA) can prohibit the installation or use of a solar energy device, but allows an association to adopt reasonable rules regarding the placement of solar energy devices.

**A “YES” vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 2**

Passed Senate Final Read:

May 24, 2007

Passed House Final Read:

June 18, 2007

Signed by Governor:

June 19, 2007

## **SB 1311** channelization districts; formation

(Flake) would impede local control by removing the requirement that allows a county to form a channelization district that includes a city or town only if that city or town has also approved it. This bill failed final read in the Senate on 4/23 but Senator John Huppenthal moved for reconsideration. The bill failed for a second time the following day.

**A “NO” vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 3**

Passed House Third Read:

April 9, 2007

Failed Senate Final Read:

April 24, 2007

# Actions used for scoring *continued*

## **SB 1435 state lands; mineral lease**

(Flake) would remove competitive bidding on mineral leases. The bill's provisions could not only preclude conservation interests from applying for leases that are set to expire, but also shut out other commercial entities who might be better stewards of the land. The measure would not allow the free market to regulate bidding. The Supreme Court has said that in order to uphold its responsibility to the trust beneficiaries, the State Land Department must consider competing applications. Providing for automatic renewal of mineral leases removes competitive bidding and is likely in conflict with the decision in *Forest Guardians*. Passing such a measure could open the state up to a host of new litigation.

**A "NO" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 4**

Failed Senate

Third Read:

March 8, 2007

## **SB 1552 air quality program**

(Allen, Huppenthal) was introduced because the Valley last year failed to meet a deadline for reduction of particulate pollution, and as a result the federal government ordered the region to reduce the particulates by 5 percent a year until federal clean-air standards are met. If the region fails to come up with a plan to do so, federal highway money could be withheld, which has even conservation foes concerned. The Legislature responded with this measure, which includes the following:

- ☞ Establishment of a new Area C for western Pinal County and requires Cleaner Burning Gasoline during the winter months in this Area. The bill's primary sponsor, Senator Caroline Allen, worked to make this requirement year-round; unfortunately Representatives Ray Barnes and Tom Boone blocked that amendment in conference committee.
- ☞ Requirements for development of off-highway vehicle (OHV) ordinances and limitations on OHV use.
- ☞ Requirements that operators of leaf-blowers use only "vacuum mode" on High Pollution Advisory days and prohibits blowing debris into roadways.
- ☞ Restrictions on parking on unpaved lots.
- ☞ Requirements for paving unpaved shoulders and alleys
- ☞ Requirements for Dust Control Training and Coordinators.

According to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, the measure should eventually remove about 10,425 tons of particulate pollution each year from the Valley's air, getting us about 80% of the way toward meeting the EPA requirements. We hope that actions taken by cities and towns will make up the difference. While this measure is a very good start, it still does not solve the problem of air pollution in the valley, and we will continue to work for more action to be taken.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 5**

Passed Senate

Final Read:

June 19, 2007

Passed House

Final Read:

June 20, 2007

Signed by Governor:

July 2, 2007

## **SB 1638 well impacts: groundwater contamination**

(Arzberger, Garcia, Hale, et al) was a Senate version of HB 2484 (see page 7).

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed Senate Third Read:

March 5, 2007

Stalled in House:

*HB 2484 progressed instead.*

# Actions used for scoring *continued*

## **HB 2338 initiative and referendum; disclosure**

(Adams: Anderson, Crandall) requires, among other things, that each petition page of a ballot initiative list expenditures made up to the week prior to that page being circulated for signatures. This sets up a double standard, as Legislative referrals are not required to include the expenses incurred by organizations who lobbied for the referral and candidates are not required to include their major funders on their own petitions. The measure also requires signature gatherers to be employees rather than independent contractors, which is a nearly impossible requirement for grassroots initiative efforts.

**A "NO" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 3**

Passed House

Third Read:

March 15, 2007

Failed Senate

Judiciary Committee:

March 26, 2007

## **HB 2300 NOW: water district, Upper San Pedro**

(Burns J) authorizes the formation of an Upper San Pedro Water District, Board of Directors and sales tax authority on the sale of water in the District. The district and any tax would have to be approved by the voters in the affected communities. This measure will set a precedent for the protection of the river's base flows, which sustain the riparian vegetation and keep the river flowing during the driest month of the year, and will require that water adequacy measures for development include protection of those flows. It will also recognize the connection between groundwater and surface water, which current law ignores.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 5**

Passed Senate Third Read:

June 11, 2007

Passed House Final Read:

June 18, 2007

Signed by Governor:

June 20, 2007

## **HB 2484 NOW: contamination; well impacts**

(Mason: Adams, Bradley, et al) prohibits the drilling of a well that is likely to cause contaminated groundwater to move from a polluted site to another well. The migration of contaminant plumes as a result of a lack of well regulations in rural Arizona has been a problem in a number of communities such as Payson. This measure will help to address these concerns.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed Senate Third Read:

April 19, 2007

Passed House Final Read:

May 8, 2007

Signed by Governor:

May 14, 2007

## **HB 2491 solar energy tax credit; application**

(Mason, Boone: Anderson, et al) is a technical correction bill to clarify the commercial solar energy tax credit program established last year, allowing the to be claimed by a third party to support installation of more solar on state buildings and schools.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed House Third Read:

March 15, 2007

Passed Senate Third Read:

April 26, 2007

Signed by Governor

May 4, 2007

# Actions used for scoring *continued*

## **HB 2496 NOW: schools; energy and water efficiency**

(Mason, Burns J, Aboud, et al) increases energy efficiency and reduces utility (energy and water) costs in schools by creating a utility savings contracting mechanism to enable energy and water saving projects. It allows schools to use the cost savings in Maintenance and Operations portion of their budgets to pay for capital investments in energy or water saving measures. This provides an opportunity for schools to invest in cost-effective measures that save money, energy, and water over time. It also allows them to retain a portion of the cost savings as a further incentive for being more efficient.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 3**

Passed House

Third Read:

March 15, 2007

Passed Senate

Third Read:

April 25, 2007

Signed by Governor:

May 1, 2007

## **HB 2593 homeowners associations; solar panels**

(Cambell CH, Gallardo, Kirkpatrick et al) was a House bill to prohibit HOAs from banning solar panels. The language was later amended onto SB 1254.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 2**

Passed House Third Read:

March 19, 2007

Stalled in Senate:

*Provisions amended onto SB 1254*

## **HB 2638 local energy plans**

(Cajero Bedford, Bradley, Mason et al) adds an energy element to cities' general plans and counties' comprehensive plans to encourage and reward efficient use of energy and assess policies and practices that provide for greater use of renewable energy sources. The Growing Smarter Oversight Council, initiated under Governor Hull, recommended this change in its 2006 report. Before passage the measure was amended to include only counties with a population greater than 125,000 persons and cities with a population greater than 50,000 persons.

**A "YES" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 3**

Passed Senate Third Read:

May 21, 2007

Passed House Final Read:

May 24, 2007

Signed by Governor:

May 30, 2007

## **HB 2692 water supply development revolving fund**

(Mason, Ableser, Saradnik, et al) is a well-intentioned measure that establishes a water development revolving fund for the purpose of providing financial assistance to water providers for water supply development. However, the measure does not provide any protections for stream or river flows and as a result it could be used by Prescott or Prescott Valley to pipe from the Big Chino and dry up the upper portion of the Verde River. Representative Ed Ableser tried to amend the bill to provide these necessary protections but the amendment failed. AZLCV opposed the measure for its possible unintended consequences.

**A "NO" vote is a green vote.**

**Weight: 1**

Passed Senate Third Read:

May 14, 2007

Passed House Final Read:

May 22, 2007

Signed by Governor:

May 24, 2007



# Profiles: *Conservation Heroes*

**Senator Carolyn Allen** (R-8) made a huge comeback from last year, gaining 43 points to score an admirable 91% this session. More importantly, Senator Allen led the way in the effort to address the Valley's dismal air pollution problems. Shortly after introducing SB 1552 in January, Senator Allen watched as it was effectively gutted of all its meaningful provisions in the Senate Natural Resources and Rural Affairs Committee. Refusing to accept the new, weaker version of the measure, the Scottsdale Republican instead convened a series of stakeholder meetings that went on for several months, eventually restoring a number of truly meaningful requirements and gaining the support needed to pass through both chambers. The time and effort Senator Allen contributed to this important effort is worthy of recognition and appreciation.

**Representative Steve Farley** (D-28) made a splash as a freshman legislator, introducing a number of measures to promote renewable energy, air quality and public transit. One of the most important of these measures was the Energy Independence Act, which would impose a half-cent tax per kilowatt hour of electricity from non-renewable sources for residential customers (an average increase of less than \$1 per month) and 7/10-cent for commercial and industrial customers (an average increase of less than \$10 per month). That money would go into one fund for residential, one for commercial, and one for industrial users, and would then be rebated as cash directly to those customers to pay for 50% of the cost of solar thermal or solar voltaic installations, up to \$3,000 per home, \$15,000 per business, or \$20,000 per industrial building. This measure, unfortunately, was held by the House Water and Agriculture Committee because some members were wary of violating a "no new taxes" pledge made earlier. However, Rep. Farley has made it clear that he will continue to push this legislation, along with other bills that would allow impact fees to be used for public transit and require stage II vapor recovery nozzles on gas stations in Pima County to reduce air pollution.

**Representative Lucy Mason** (R-1), a reliable advocate for clean and renewable energy, this year became Chair of the newly-created House Committee on Water and Agriculture. As a result of her leadership, a number of bills that likely would not have been given a chance to appear on other committee agendas were allowed hearings. Rep. Mason also signed on as a prime sponsor of the Energy Independence Act (see above) and successfully shepherded a number of her own measures into law, including a solar tax credit clarification, a school energy and water efficiency measure, and a measure that will help to address groundwater contamination.

## **The Freshmen**

Last year's elections ushered 17 new faces into the Arizona Legislature—16 into the House alone! The freshman class finished its first session with an average score of 82%- significantly above either the full House average of 74% or the Senate average of 71%. Six new lawmakers in particular stood out, achieving perfect scores of 100% each- Representatives Steve Farley (see above), **Chad Campbell** (D-14), **Lena Saradnik** (D-26), **David Schapira** (D-17), **Jackie Thrasher** (D-10), and **Theresa Ulmer** (D-24). In addition to their high scores, each of these legislators was a reliable advocate for Arizona's land, air, water and quality of life both on the Floor and behind the scenes. Rep. Campbell in particular made a difference with his effort to stop HOA's from prohibiting solar panel installation- an effort that was successful when Campbell got his language amended onto a bill sponsored by Senator Barbara Leff, SB 1254. Each of these members made a great first impression and we look forward to working with them again next year. Their performance proves that elections really do make a difference!

## **Statesman Recognition**

This year's "Statesman" is **Senate President Tim Bee** (R-30) in recognition of the example he set for both parties with his leadership this session. At the very beginning of his Presidency he set the tone by firing some longtime high-level staffers who had, over the years, gathered more power than many of the elected officials we choose to represent us. He provided a refreshing change from the behavior we saw last year from former President Ken Bennett, who refused to allow then-Game and Fish Commission nominee Jennifer Martin an up-or-down confirmation vote. With Senator Bee at the helm, Martin's nomination was heard and approved without unnecessary drama.

President Bee's biggest achievement this year was his decision to include Democrats in the budget negotiation process from the beginning. The resulting product was a budget that had the support of Senate Democrats, Senate Republicans, House Democrats, and the Governor's office. This consensus budget stayed largely intact during the negotiations with House Republicans that followed, and Bee earned praise for his willingness to work across the aisle to craft something that nearly everyone could be happy with. His close working relationship with Minority Leader (and fellow Southern Arizonan) Marsha Arzberger (D-25) set a strong example for the rest of the Senate.

Senator Bee still has a ways to go when it comes to voting on conservation issues, though a 26% improvement from last year is a good start. But he has earned recognition as this year's "Statesman," and we look forward to his continued leadership next year.

# Senate voting tally & Committee Scores

The AZLCV scores are based on floor votes, and not votes taken in committee. However, it is important that we understand the makeup of the committees to which a majority of bills dealing with our natural environment are sent for consideration. Here are snapshots of three Senate committees that are especially important to conservation.

## Senate Natural Resources & Rural Affairs

Member	Party	District	Score
Jake Flake (chair)	R	5	59%
Amanda Aguirre	D	24	70%
Marsha Arzberger	D	25	76%
Robert Blendu	R	12	58%
Robert Cannell	D	24	56%
Chuck Gray	R	19	29%
Karen Johnson	R	18	29%
Leah Landrum Taylor	D	16	99%

**Committee Average Score: 64.4%**

## Senate Transportation

Member	Party	District	Score
Ron Gould (chair)	R	3	18%
Robert Blendu	R	12	58%
Pamela Gorman	R	6	30%
Rebecca Rios	D	23	97%
Victor Soltero	D	29	97%

**Committee Average Score: 60%**

## Senate Government

Member	Party	District	Score
Jack Harper (chair)	R	4	48%
Robert Blendu	R	12	58%
Meg Burton Cahill	D	17	97%
Jake Flake	R	5	59%
Jorge Luis Garcia	D	27	97%
Linda Gray	R	10	56%
Charlene Pesquiera	D	26	87%

**Committee Average Score: 71.7%**

## Scorecard Key

- ✓ = Pro-environment vote
- ✗ = Anti-environment vote
- = Not voting (counts against score)
- EA = Excused absence (does not count against score)

## Scorecard Bills

### Weights

SENATORS	FINAL SCORE
<b>Paula Aboud</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Amanda Aguirre</b>	<b>70%</b>
<b>Carolyn Allen</b>	<b>91%</b>
<b>Marsha Arzberger</b>	<b>76%</b>
<b>Tim Bee</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>Robert Blendu</b>	<b>58%</b>
<b>Robert Burns</b>	<b>47%</b>
<b>Meg Burton Cahill</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Ken Chevront</b>	<b>86%</b>
<b>Jake Flake</b>	<b>59%</b>
<b>Jorge Luis Garcia</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Pamela Gorman</b>	<b>30%</b>
<b>Ron Gould</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>Chuck Gray</b>	<b>60%</b>
<b>Linda Gray</b>	<b>56%</b>
<b>Albert Hale</b>	<b>*</b>
<b>Jack Harper</b>	<b>48%</b>
<b>John Huppenthal</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>Karen Johnson</b>	<b>29%</b>
<b>Leah Landrum Taylor</b>	<b>99%</b>
<b>Barbara Leff</b>	<b>73%</b>
<b>Debbie McCune Davis</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Richard Miranda</b>	<b>81%</b>
<b>Tom O'Halleran</b>	<b>99%</b>
<b>Charlene Pesquiera</b>	<b>87%</b>
<b>Rebecca Rios</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Victor Soltero</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Jay Tibshraeny</b>	<b>84%</b>
<b>Thayer Verschoor</b>	<b>37%</b>
<b>Jim Waring</b>	<b>61%</b>

SB 1112	SB 1119	SB 1188	SB 1198	SB 1254	SB 1311	SB 1435	SB 1552	SB 1638	HB 2300	HB 2484	HB 2491	HB 2496	HB 2638	HB 2692
Toxic fire response	Water conservation	Clean elections	Open fires	HOA solar regulations	Channelization districts	State land mineral leases	Air quality program	Groundwater contamination	Upper San Pedro	Groundwater contamination	Solar tax credit	Energy efficient schools	Local energy plans	Water supply fund
1	4	3	1	2	3	4	5	1	5	1	1	3	3	1
Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA
✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	EA	X
✓	EA	X	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	EA
✓	X	✓	✓	EA	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	EA	X	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
EA	X	X	✓	EA	X	✓	X	✓	X	EA	EA	✓	X	✓
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓
X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	X	✓	EA	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X
–	–	✓	✓	EA	✓	–	–	✓	EA	EA	–	–	EA	X
X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	EA	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
EA	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	✓	EA	EA	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	X	✓	EA	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓
✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X

**Senate  
Average**

71%

**Senate  
D  
Average**

90%

**Senate  
R  
Average**

57%

\* Due to an extended illness, Senator Hale missed most of the votes we scored this session and will not be given a grade.

# House voting tally & Committee Scores

The AZLCV scores are based on floor votes, and not votes taken in committee. However, it is important that we understand the makeup of the committees to which a majority of bills dealing with our natural environment are sent for consideration. Here are snapshots of two of five House committees that are especially important to conservation.

## House Environment

Member	Party	District	Score
Ray Barnes (chair)	R	7	57%
Ed Ableser	D	17	100%
Mark Anderson	R	18	51%
Sam Crump	R	6	57%
Martha Garcia	D	13	100%
Barbara McGuire	D	24	80%
Michele Reagan	R	8	63%
Bob Robson	R	20	57%
Andrew Tobin	R	1	89%
Theresa Ulmer	D	24	100%

**Committee Average Score: 75.4%**

## House Natural Resources & Public Safety

Member	Party	District	Score
Jerry Weiers (chair)	R	12	49%
Ray Barnes	R	7	57%
Andy Biggs	R	22	23%
Judy Burges	R	4	51%
John Kavanagh	R	8	51%
Barbara McGuire	D	24	80%
John Nelson	R	12	54%
Lynne Pancrazi	D	24	84%
Kyrsten Sinema	D	15	100%
Theresa Ulmer	D	24	100%

**Committee Average Score: 64.9%**

*continued  
next page*

## Scorecard Key

- ✓ = Pro-environment vote
- ✗ = Anti-environment vote
- = Not voting (counts against score)
- EA = Excused absence  
(does not count against score)

## Scorecard Bills

### Weights

HOUSE FINAL SCORE

<b>Ed Ableser</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Kirk Adams</b>	<b>53%</b>
<b>Manuel Alvarez</b>	<b>77%</b>
<b>Mark Anderson</b>	<b>51%</b>
<b>Ray Barnes</b>	<b>57%</b>
<b>Nancy K. Barto</b>	<b>66%</b>
<b>Andy Biggs</b>	<b>23%</b>
<b>Tom Boone</b>	<b>69%</b>
<b>David Bradley</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Jack Brown</b>	<b>77%</b>
<b>Judy Burges</b>	<b>51%</b>
<b>Jennifer Burns</b>	<b>71%</b>
<b>Olivia Cajero Bedford</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Chad Campbell</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Cloves Campbell, Jr.</b>	<b>96%</b>
<b>Doug Clark</b>	<b>46%</b>
<b>Rich Crandall</b>	<b>51%</b>
<b>Sam Crump</b>	<b>57%</b>
<b>Mark Anthony DeSimone</b>	<b>97%</b>
<b>Adam Driggs</b>	<b>66%</b>
<b>Steve Farley</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Eddie Farnsworth</b>	<b>25%</b>
<b>Steve Gallardo</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Martha Garcia</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Trish Groe</b>	<b>19%</b>
<b>Pete Hershberger</b>	<b>90%</b>
<b>John Kavanagh</b>	<b>51%</b>
<b>Ann Kirkpatrick</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Bill Konopnicki</b>	<b>66%</b>
<b>Phil Lopes</b>	<b>100%</b>

<div> <div>SB 1112 Toxic fire response</div> <div>SB 1119 Water conservation</div> <div>SB 1198 Open fires</div> <div>SB 1254 HOA solar regulations</div> <div>SB 1311 Channelization districts</div> <div>SB 1552 Air quality program</div> <div>HB 2300 Upper San Pedro</div> <div>HB 2338 Initiative and reference</div> <div>HB 2484 Groundwater contamination</div> <div>HC 2491 Solar tax credit</div> <div>HB 2593 Energy efficient schools</div> <div>HB 2638 HOA solar regulations</div> <div>HB 2692 Local energy plans</div> <div>HB 2692 Water supply fund</div> </div>													
1	4	1	2	3	5	5	3	1	1	3	2	3	1
Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	X	✓	X	–	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
–	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	EA	✓	EA	EA	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
✓	X	X	✓	X	–	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
✓	✓	EA	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	EA	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓
EA	EA	EA	✓	EA	X	X	X	–	✓	X	✓	–	X
✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	✓
✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

# House voting tally & Committee Scores *continued*

## House Water & Agriculture

Member	Party	District	Score
Lucy Mason (chair)	R	1	70%
Manuel Alvarez	D	25	77%
Jack Brown	D	5	77%
Jennifer Burns	R	25	71%
Doug Clark	R	6	46%
Trish Groe	R	3	19%
John Kavanagh	R	8	51%
Lynne Pancrazi	D	24	84%
Bob Stump	R	9	54%
Albert Tom	D	2	100%

**Committee Average Score: 64.9%**

## House Government

Member	Party	District	Score
Kirk Adams (chair)	R	19	53%
Ray Barnes	R	7	57%
Rich Crandall	R	19	51%
Mark Anthony DeSimone	D	11	97%
Steve Farley	D	28	100%
Martha Garcia	D	13	100%
Warde Nichols	R	21	40%
Lena Saradnik	D	26	100%
James Weiers	R	10	66%
Tom Boone	R	4	69%

**Committee Average Score: 73.3%**

## House Transportation

Member	Party	District	Score
Andy Biggs (chair)	R	22	23%
Ed Ableser	D	17	100%
Sam Crump	R	6	57%
Steve Farley	D	28	100%
Marian McClure	R	30	63%
Nancy McLain	R	3	71%
John Nelson	R	12	54%
Tom Prezelski	D	29	94%
Jackie Thrasher	D	10	100%
Jerry Weiers	R	12	49%

**Committee Average Score: 71.1%**

## Scorecard Key

- ✓ = Pro-environment vote
- ✗ = Anti-environment vote
- = Not voting (counts against score)
- EA = Excused absence (does not count against score)

## Scorecard Bills

### Weights

HOUSE FINAL SCORE

**Linda Lopez 100%**

**David Lujan 91%**

**Lucy Mason 70%**

**Marian McClure 63%**

**John McComish 57%**

**Barbara McGuire 80%**

**Nancy McLain 71%**

**Robert Meza 100%**

**Ben Miranda 86%**

**Rick Murphy 46%**

**John Nelson 54%**

**Warde Nichols 40%**

**Lynne Pancrazi 84%**

**Jonathan Paton 69%**

**Russell Pearce 26%**

**Tom Prezelski 94%**

**Michele Reagan 63%**

**Pete Rios 97%**

**Bob Robson 57%**

**Lena Saradnik 100%**

**David Schapira 100%**

**Kyrsten Sinema 100%**

**Bob Stump 54%**

**Jackie Thrasher 100%**

**Andrew Tobin 89%**

**Albert Tom 100%**

**Theresa Ulmer 100%**

**James Weiers 66%**

**Jerry Weiers 49%**

**Steven Yarbrough 46%**

SB 1112 Toxic fire response		SB 1119 Water conservation		SB 1198 Open fires		SB 1254 HOA solar regulations		SB 1311 Channelization districts		SB 1552 Air quality program		HB 2300 Upper San Pedro		HB 2338 Initiative and reference		HB 2484 Groundwater contamination		HB 2593 Energy efficient schools		HB 2638 HOA solar regulations		HB 2692 Local energy plans		HB 2692 Water supply fund	
1 Y	4 N	1 Y	2 Y	3 N	5 Y	5 Y	3 N	1 Y	1 Y	3 Y	2 Y	3 Y	1 N												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X												
X	✓	✓	✓	X	–	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X												
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X												
✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	X	EA	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	✓												
✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓												
X	✓	✓	✓	✓	–	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓												
✓	✓	EA	✓	EA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	EA												
✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X												
✓	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X												

House  
Average  
74%

House  
D  
Average  
96%

House  
R  
Average  
56%

**House  
Average**  
74%

**House  
D  
Average**  
96%

**House  
R  
Average**  
56%

# 48<sup>th</sup> Legislature Members & Scores

## 2007

### District 1 86%

Sen. Tom O'Halleran, R (Sedona) 99%  
Rep. Lucy Mason, R (Prescott) 70%  
Rep. Andrew Tobin R (Paulden) 89%

### District 2 100%

Sen. Albert Hale, D (Window Rock) n/a  
Rep. Ann Kirkpatrick, D (Flagstaff) 100%  
Rep. Albert Tom, D (Chambers) 100%

### District 3 36%

Sen. Ron Gould, R (Lake Havasu City) 18%  
Rep. Trish Groe, R (Lake Havasu City) 19%  
Rep. Nancy McLain, R (Bullhead City) 71%

### District 4 56%

Sen. Jack Harper, R (Surprise) 48%  
Rep. Tom Boone, R (Peoria) 69%  
Rep. Judy Burges, R (Skull Valley) 51%

### District 5 67%

Sen. Jake Flake, R (Snowflake) 59%  
Rep. Jack Brown, D (St. Johns) 77%  
Rep. Bill Konopnicki, R (Safford) 66%

### District 6 44%

Sen. Pamela Gorman, R (Anthem) 30%  
Rep. Doug Clark, R (Anthem) 46%  
Rep. Sam Crump, R (Anthem) 57%

### District 7 61%

Sen. Jim Waring, R (Phoenix) 61%  
Rep. Ray Barnes, R (Phoenix) 57%  
Rep. Nancy K. Barto, R (Phoenix) 66%

### District 8 68%

Sen. Carolyn Allen, R (Scottsdale) 91%  
Rep. Michele Reagan, R (Scottsdale) 63%  
Rep. John Kavanagh, R (Fountain Hills) 51%

### District 9 49%

Sen. Robert Burns, R (Peoria) 47%  
Rep. Rick Murphy, R (Glendale) 46%  
Rep. Bob Stump, R (Peoria) 54%

### District 10 74%

Sen. Linda Gray, R (Glendale) 56%  
Rep. Jackie Thrasher, D (Glendale) 100%  
Rep. James Weiers, R (Phoenix) 66%

### District 11 79%

Sen. Barbara Leff, R (Paradise Valley) 73%  
Rep. Mark Anthony DeSimone, D (Phoenix) 97%  
Rep. Adam Driggs, R (Phoenix) 66%

### District 12 54%

Sen. Robert Blendu, R (Litchfield Park) 58%  
Rep. John Nelson, R (Glendale) 54%  
Rep. Jerry Weiers, R (Glendale) 49%

### District 13 94%

Sen. Richard Miranda, D (Tolleson) 81%  
Rep. Steve Gallardo, D (Phoenix) 100%  
Rep. Martha Garcia, D (Phoenix) 100%

### District 14 99%

Sen. Debbie McCune-Davis, D (Phoenix) 97%  
Rep. Chad Campbell, D (Phoenix) 100%  
Rep. Robert Meza, D (Phoenix) 100%

### District 15 92%

Sen. Ken Chevront, D (Phoenix) 86%  
Rep. David Lujan, D (Phoenix) 91%  
Rep. Kyrsten Sinema, D (Phoenix) 100%

### District 16 94%

Sen. Leah Landrum Taylor, D (Phoenix) 99%  
Rep. Cloves Campbell, Jr., D (Phoenix) 96%  
Rep. Ben Miranda, D (Phoenix) 86%

### District 17 99%

Sen. Meg Burton Cahill, D (Tempe) 97%  
Rep. Ed Ableser, D (Tempe) 100%  
Rep. David Schapira, D (Tempe) 100%

### District 18 35%

Sen. Karen Johnson, R (Mesa) 29%  
Rep. Mark Anderson, R (Mesa) 51%  
Rep. Russell Pearce, R (Mesa) 26%

### District 19 55%

Sen. Chuck Gray, R (Mesa) 60%  
Rep. Kirk Adams, R (Mesa) 53%  
Rep. Rich Crandall, R (Mesa) 51%

### District 20 58%

Sen. John Huppenthal, R (Chandler) 61%  
Rep. John McComish, R (Phoenix) 57%  
Rep. Robert Robson, R (Chandler) 57%

### District 21 57%

Sen. Jay Tibshraeny, R (Chandler) 84%  
Rep. Warde Nichols, R (Chandler) 40%  
Rep. Steve Yarbrough, R (Chandler) 46%

### District 22 28%

Sen. Thayer Verschoor, R (Gilbert) 37%  
Rep. Andy Biggs, R (Gilbert) 23%  
Rep. Eddie Farnsworth, R (Gilbert) 25%

### District 23 91%

Sen. Rebecca Rios, D (Apache Junction) 97%  
Rep. Barbara McGuire, D (Kearny) 80%  
Rep. Pete Rios, D (Hayden) 97%

### District 24 85%

Sen. Amanda Aguirre, D (Yuma) 70%  
Rep. Lynne Pancrazi, D (Yuma) 84%  
Rep. Theresa Ulmer, D (Yuma) 100%

### District 25 75%

Sen. Marsha Arzberger, D (Willcox) 76%  
Rep. Manuel Alvarez, D (Elfrida) 77%  
Rep. Jennifer Burns, R (Tucson) 71%

### District 26 92%

Sen. Charlene Pesquiera, D (Oro Valley) 87%  
Rep. Pete Hershberger, R (Tucson) 90%  
Rep. Lena Saradnik, D (Tucson) 100%

### District 27 99%

Sen. Jorge Luis Garcia, D (Tucson) 97%  
Rep. Olivia Cajero Bedford, D (Tucson) 100%  
Rep. Phil Lopes, D (Tucson) 100%

### District 28 100%

Sen. Paula Aboud, D (Tucson) 100%  
Rep. David Bradley, D (Tucson) 100%  
Rep. Steve Farley, D (Tucson) 100%

### District 29 97%

Sen. Victor Soltero, D (South Tucson) 97%  
Rep. Linda Lopez, D (Tucson) 100%  
Rep. Tom Prezelski, D (Tucson) 94%

### District 30 64%

Sen. Tim Bee, R (Tucson) 61%  
Rep. Marian McClure, R (Tucson) 63%  
Rep. Jonathan Paton, R (Tucson) 69%

**Arizona League of Conservation Voters**

**2510 S. Rural Road #105  
Tempe, AZ 85282**

*Return Service Requested*

NONPROFIT  
ORGANIZATION  
U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
PHOENIX, AZ  
PERMIT NO. 533