Peer Assessment Form (Milestone 3)

Use this in all Team Presentations

Please fill this form before leaving the classroom to evaluate all other teams/team members, except your team (One table per each team)

Your name: Kevin Martin

* For each selection, you selection will be 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 is the lowest and 5 is the highest.
* For each selection you need to write at least one statement to justify your selection

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Robert Amstadt and Michael Duke |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Not sure who did which part, but assuming it was equal good effort |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Achieved goals with good accuracy |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Lots of good analysis, good models, and digging into them. Also interesting comparisons to other Kaggle kernels |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Aaron Meche |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Good visuals, good use of other studies/related information. Very interesting |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Achieved his goals, good accuracy. Also good progress from what I remembered of Milestone 2 |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Thorough, multiple target categories was unique too |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Paul Scott |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 4 | Thorough, but a little slow/focusing on previous work |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Interesting project, and it looks lots of scenarious were run and tested, so results should be solid |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 4 | Project was detailed and well thought out |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Erik Jones |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Well researched and understood, relevant details presented |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Great job on goals, I like that they were updated to reflect what was found in the data |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Thorough, interesting findings |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Vadiwoo Karuppiah |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Well delivered, good visuals |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Good analysis of models, the takeaways were interesting |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Appears to have achieved stated goals, models performed well |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Damano Budram |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 4 | Good work, little redundant from Milestone 2 |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Found best features, achieved goals |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 4 | Perhaps a little light on methods tried, but results were still good |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Daniel Kalish |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Good visuals, supported thesis |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Achieved stated goals, good work |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Fixed the strainge R-score/accuracy issues, good feature selection analysis |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Kyle Peppe |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Really good overview, and interesting topic/data set. Text articles seem more difficult to work with |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Believe he completed his goals, even though his conclusion said he could have done more. I think he covered a difficult topic well |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 4 | Definitely knows the material, and a lot to work with. Good effort |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Henry Daise |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Was a good presentation, well said and clear. Even if results weren’t optimal, it was easy to follow |
| Project overall progress | 4 | Looks complete to me! Feature analysis made sense, accuracy was fine. Says he couldn’t find best features, but I think he still did good analysis. Overall a solid project |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Really good effort, too bad about the frustration because it sounds like understood the goals and material really well. Also good work on the ensemble part especially |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Nikita Albert |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Excellent graphs and subject matter knowledge on what appears to be advanced/unique data |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Achieved research goals, very well researched |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Great work, really like the more novel COVID data and approach. Very detailed analysis |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Mark Schunemann |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 5 | Good approaches, good summaries |
| Project overall progress | 4 | Excellent understanding of data and some of the approaches. Would have liked a little more industry knowledge |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Excellent understanding of topic and goals |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria/Name | Value  (1-5) | Enter Peer Student name In first row, justifications in other rows:  Mike Shehadeh |
| Quality of today’s presentation | 4 | Good understanding of problem, well documented results |
| Project overall progress | 5 | Stateful vs. stateless is very interesting, I think it’s great he did that for all his comparisons |
| Intuition/  Contribution/  Effort | 5 | Appears to have completed and accomplished his goals, well done. Also challening problem |