Milestone 1 Progress Evaluation

Project Title: Improved Visualization for Formal Languages

Group Members: Chris Pinto-Font (cpintofont2021@my.fit.edu), Vincent Borrelli (vborrelli2022@my.fit.edu), Andrew Bastien (abastien2021@my.fit.edu), Keegan McNear (kmcnear2022@my.fit.edu)

Faculty Advisor: Dr. Luginbuhl (dluginbuhl@fit.edu)

Client: Dr. Luginbuhl

Milestone #1 Progress:

| Task | Completion % | Chris | Vincent | Andrew | Keegan | To do |
|--|--------------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---|
| Compare and select Technical Tools | 90% | 15% | 15% | 35% | 35% | Team is willing to add additional libraries and logic tools upon them making themselves necessary further into development via things like animations and complex logic |
| "hello world" demos | 100% | 20% | 20% | 30% | 30% | None |
| Resolve Technical Challenges | 40% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | Learning and refining the animation process for our app is an ongoing endeavor |
| Compare and select Collaboration Tools | 100% | 25% | 25% | 25% | 25% | None |

| Requirement Document | 100% | 15% | 55% | 15% | 15% | None |
|----------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|
| Design Document | 100% | 10% | 25% | 35% | 30% | None |
| Test Plan | 80% | 10% | 50% | 10% | 10% | Will likely expand scope of testing following more concrete feature additions though current testing list is reasonably comprehensive for current imagined project scope. |

Milestone #1 Discussion (Task Details):

- Compare and select Technical Tools
 - We looked into several tools and languages to see which would serve us best in terms of both internal logic and user interactive experience. This process was a teamwide effort though Keegan and Andrew were the deciding minds in the selection of which tools would best serve the project, thus we reached consensus and utilized python primarily for its ease of use, extensive libraries, and team familiarity.
- "hello world" demos for technical tools.
 - We developed a simplistic "hello world" styled demo to showcase the graphical output and interactivity of python. This was based on the previous work of group members in previous classes which were focused on python outputs. The group was able to build and test a python program which outputs a graphical popup and even had a usable "button" feature to test user input via the mouse.
- Resolve Technical Challenges
 - The team collectively researched DFA logic implementation into similar programs such as JFLAP, as well as creation of GUI and animation features via python modules. The process is ongoing as the depths of complex implementation will likely produce further complications and necessary additional research. Such planned features as the teaching mode and automatic reduction of created DFAs will require complex internal work and further exploration of the selected animation modules.
- Compare and select Collaboration Tools

- The group early on devised the collaborative environment for the project via selecting Discord for correspondence, a group google drive folder for documents, and a github repository for code submissions. Keegan lead the creation of the github repository as well as our public facing website.
- Requirement Document, Design Document, Testing document

Milestone #1 Discussion (Team Contribution)

- Chris: Chris contributed to technical tool research and selection process through independent research into tools and languages which would best fit our needs. He as well took charge in advisor correspondence and meetings via regular interaction via email. He also assisted in the GUI mockup process. He also helped with the bug testing process for the "hello world" demo.
- Vincent: Vincent contributed to technical tool research and selection process through independent research into tools and languages which would best fit our needs. He too made the primary contributions to the testing document, requirements document, and even the progress evaluation document. He assisted in the creation of GUI planning and mock ups via previous foreknowledge of DFA structures. He also helped with the bug testing process for the "hello world" demo.
- Andrew: Andrew suggested starting tools/libraries (Python, PyQT, optionally Cython, etc), drafting some data model and execution data model logic in the design document. He led early efforts for the "hello world" testing programs based upon tool selections and planned out and modeled early coding efforts via pseudocode.
- **Keegan:** Keegan began the decomposition of JFLAP and the analysis of its classes as well as conversion of JFLAP objects and classes to python. He also set up the Github and the initial collaboration for the milestone documents, and contributed to the documents, including diagrams and charts. He too was the lead force between setting up the website and formatting it properly.

Plan for Milestone #2:

| Task Chris Vincent Andrew Keegan |
|----------------------------------|
|----------------------------------|

| Have running stable version of the computer application | Bug Fixing/Advisor Role | Bug Fixing/Advisor Role | Co-Lead coder and development head | Co-Lead coder and development head |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Have a working basic version of the the DFA graphing process | Bug Fixing/Advisor Role for DFA foreknowledge | Bug Fixing/Advisor Role for DFA foreknowledge | Co-Lead coder and development head | Co-Lead coder and development head |
| Implement a comprehensive onboard "read me" file for current application features | Bug Testing/Co-Writ er | Bug Testing/Lead writer | Code Side implementation | Code Side implementation |
| Implement internal logic to check DFA completeness and string validity | Algorithm Planning and DFA knowledge advisor/bug tester | Algorithm Planning and DFA knowledge advisor/bug tester | Co-Lead code side implementor | Co-Lead code side implementor |

Milestone #2 Plan Discussion (Task Details):

• Have running stable version of the computer application

C

• Have a working basic version of the the DFA graphing process

0

• Implement a comprehensive onboard "read me" file for current application features

• Implement internal logic to check DFA completeness and string validity.

0

Date(s) of Meeting/Client Feedback:

August 27:

- Focus is on DFAs
- User should be able to create a DFA intuitively (we'd need to nail down what that meant)
- The system should be able to check for completeness (e.g., are there transitions missing?) or correctness (is it truly a DFA)
- Maybe the system should be able to complete given an incomplete DFA (e.g., fill in missing transitions but how?)
- Eventually it would be nice to format/prettify the DFA graphs (align nodes, improve readability)
- Be able to designate initial and final states and maybe even designate a state as a dead state and let the system do its magic
- Multiple symbols on a single transition between arcs in a way that is natural and readable
- Animate processing of strings on a DFA step-by-step, play, pause, etc.
- Minimization
- The vision is, given a set of strings that are accepted and not accepted, to let the system create a minimal DFA (in terms of states) that accepts/rejects as specified

September 10:

- Involve in the program is lambda transitions.
- The key things needed is when given strings it would create the DFA, when given strings it would have to determine whether it accepts or rejects and being able to create these states whenever

| 1 | Data(c) | of Mo | oting/A | Advisor | Foodbe | olz: |
|---|---------|--------|----------------|---------|--------|-------|
| ı | DALECSI | oi vie | enno/ <i>e</i> | Mavisar | reean | 1('K' |

- *Same as above*

| Faculty Advisor Signature: | Date: September | 29th, | 2025 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|
| • | - • | | |