Senior Design Test Plan

Improved Visualization for Formal Languages

Group Members:

Chris Pinto-Font (cpintofont2021@my.fit.edu)

Vincent Borrelli (vborrelli2022@my.fit.edu)

Andrew Bastien (abastien2021@my.fit.edu)

Keegan McNear (kmcnear2022@my.fit.edu)

Faculty Advisor:

Dr. Luginbuhl (dluginbuhl@fit.edu)

Client:

Dr. Luginbuhl

Florida Institute of Technology

September 4, 2025

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Purpose
 - 1.2 Scope
 - 1.3 References
- 2. Test Items
- 3. Features to be Tested
- 4. Features Not to be Tested
- 5. Test Approach
- 6. Test Cases
 - 6.1 Functional Requirements
 - 6.2 Performance Requirements
 - 6.3 Non-Functional Requirements
- 7. Pass/Fail Criteria
- 8. Test Deliverables
- 9. Testing Schedule
- 10. Risks and Contingencies

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This Test Plan describes the strategy and test cases for verifying the **Improved Visualization for Formal Languages** application. The goal is to validate that the system reliably enables users to create, animate, minimize, learn about, and interact with DFAs as specified in the Requirements Document.

1.2 Scope

Testing will encompass the functional, performance, and non-functional requirements of the application. Focus areas include DFA creation, animation, minimization, multi-symbol transitions, and overall usability. The scope of our tests will as well

1.3 References

- IEEE Std 829-1998, Software Test Documentation
- Improved Visualization for Formal Languages Requirements Document (v1.0)

2. Test Items

- DFA visualization desktop application
- Core modules for DFA creation, animation, and minimization
- GUI components and built-in documentation

3. Features to be Tested

- DFA creation and editing ([FR-1])
- String execution/animation ([FR-2])
- State designation ([FR-3])
- DFA minimization and completion ([FR-4], [FR-5])
- Multi-symbol transitions ([FR-6])
- In-program documentation ([FR-7])
- Performance under large DFA load ([PR-1], [PR-2])
- Security, reliability, and usability ([NFR-1]-[NFR-6])

4. Features Not to be Tested

- Online collaboration/sharing features (not in current release)
- Integration with external DFA libraries

5. Test Approach

A mix of black-box testing, usability testing, and stress testing will be employed. Test data will include both normal inputs (valid DFA definitions, strings) and unusual inputs (invalid transitions, incomplete DFAs) to uncover edge cases.

6. Test Cases

6.1 Functional Requirements

• [FR-1] Test Case – DFA Creation

Input: User creates a DFA graphically in the editor

Method: Black-box testing of GUI drawing and backend storage

Usual Output: States and transitions appear correctly and can be saved

Unusual Output: Invalid state names trigger error messages

• [FR-2] Test Case – DFA Execution Animation

Input: Enter string to run on a DFA

Method: Observe animation step-by-step

Usual Output: Animation highlights current state and transitions correctly

Unusual Output: If string invalid, system stops and shows error

• [FR-3] Test Case – State Designation

Input: Mark states as initial/final/dead

Method: GUI toggle test

Usual Output: States visually update (icons/labels)

Unusual Output: Conflicting designations prevented by warning

• [FR-4] Test Case – DFA Minimization

Input: Provide DFA with redundant states

Method: Run minimization algorithm and compare to expected minimal DFA

Usual Output: Output DFA has fewer states and is equivalent Unusual Output: Algorithm logs error if input not a valid DFA

• [FR-5] Test Case – DFA Completion

Input: Provide incomplete DFA

Method: Run "complete DFA" function

Usual Output: Missing transitions auto-generated or user prompted Unusual Output: If completion impossible, clear warning displayed

[FR-6] Test Case – Multi-Symbol Transitions

Input: Add multiple symbols to one transition

Method: GUI input test

Usual Output: Transition label shows all symbols clearly

Unusual Output: Overflow or unreadable text triggers formatting adjustment

• [FR-7] Test Case – In-Program Documentation

Input: Click help icon
Method: Usability check

Usual Output: Documentation opens in-app without external files

6.2 Performance Requirements

• [PR-1] Test Case - Large DFA Load

Input: Load DFA with 100+ states *Method:* Time loading and rendering

Usual Output: Diagram appears in ≤3 seconds

Unusual Output: If slower, system displays "loading" indicator

• [PR-2] Test Case - Animation Speed

Input: Run DFA execution with adjustable speed

Method: Observe FPS and smoothness

Usual Output: Animation runs smoothly at 30 FPS or more *Unusual Output:* If lag detected, logs performance metrics

6.3 Non-Functional Requirements

• [NFR-1] Test Case – Reliability (Autosave)

Input: Edit DFA then force-close app

Method: Reopen app and check recovery

Usual Output: Last state auto-saved within 5 minutes

Unusual Output: If lost, error reported in logs

• [NFR-5] Test Case – Usability for New Users

Input: First-time user creates DFA without tutorial

Method: Observe task completion time

Usual Output: User completes simple DFA within 5 minutes *Unusual Output:* If >5 minutes, usability redesign required

7. Pass/Fail Criteria

- Pass: Test case meets requirement thresholds (time, correctness, usability)
- Fail: System does not meet requirements, crashes, or misbehaves with invalid input

8. Test Deliverables

- Test Plan
- Test Case Specifications
- Test Execution Report
- Bug Reports
- Final Test Summary

9. Testing Schedule

Each feature will follow: Initial Test \rightarrow Fix bugs \rightarrow Retest \rightarrow Client review \rightarrow Incorporate feedback.

10. Risks and Contingencies

- Risk: Performance issues with very large DFAs
 Mitigation: Optimize drawing algorithms, consider Cython/C++ offloading
- **Risk:** Complexity of implementing animations *Mitigation:* Build prototype animations early; use existing GUI libraries