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**General Feedback**

**Content**

* Great repo organization! Love the inputs and output folder and script breakdowns
* Excellent scripts, very well written with extensive comments and custom functions
* Excellent document organization! All figures and tables look great and are well-labeled and titled.

**Subject Knowledge**

* Great background, maybe provide some metrics for how well the algos in Ahamad et al’s analysis did?
* Great detail on training, tuning, and testing. Very comprehensive set of models considered. Love the use of a weighted Youden’s index with some nice justification provided in the paper.
* Nice summary stats table and discussion of the relationships in the table
* Great use of variable importance, really like the use of mean and SD for this measure across the folds.
* Very detailed results section, outstanding!
* Great discussion section, including appropriate mention of limitations and utility of the work/future work
* Might want to mention the SEs from your CV are not quite accurate and so the intervals in the figures for the various error/accuracy measures should be interpreted with caution (as the folds are not independent)