## **Medical Policy**



Nonprofit corporations and independent licensees of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Joint Medical Policies are a source for BCBSM and BCN medical policy information only. These documents are not to be used to determine benefits or reimbursement. Please reference the appropriate certificate or contract for benefit information. This policy may be updated and is therefore subject to change.

\*Current Policy Effective Date: 5/1/17 (See policy history boxes for previous effective dates)

## **Title: Continuous Passive Motion Machine (CPM)**

## **Description/Background**

Continuous passive motion (CPM) devices are utilized to keep a joint in motion without patient assistance. CPM is being evaluated for treatment and postsurgical rehabilitation of the upper and lower limb joints and for a variety of musculoskeletal conditions.

Physical therapy of joints following surgery focuses both on passive motion to restore mobility and active exercises to restore strength. While passive motion can be administered by a therapist, CPM devices have also been used. Continuous passive motion is thought to improve recovery by stimulating the healing of articular tissues and circulation of synovial fluid; reducing local edema; and preventing adhesions, joint stiffness or contractures, or cartilage degeneration. CPM has been most thoroughly investigated in the knee, particularly after total knee arthroplasty or ligamentous or cartilage repair, but its acceptance in the knee joint has created interest in extrapolating this experience to other weight-bearing joints (i.e., hip, ankle, metatarsals) and non-weight-bearing joints (i.e., shoulder, elbow, metacarpals and interphalangeal joints). Use of CPM in stroke and burn patients is also being explored.

The device moves the joint (e.g., flexion/extension), without patient assistance, continuously for extended periods, i.e., up to 24 hours/day. An electrical power unit is used to set the variable range of motion (ROM) and speed. The initial settings for ROM are based on a patient's level of comfort and other factors that are assessed intraoperatively. The ROM is increased by three to five degrees per day, as tolerated. The speed and ROM can be varied, depending on joint stability. The use of the devices may be initiated in the immediate postoperative period and then continued at home for a variable period of time.

## **Regulatory Status**

CPM devices are considered class I devices by FDA and are exempt from 510(k) requirements. This classification does not require submission of clinical data regarding efficacy but only notification of the FDA before marketing. FDA product code: BXB.

## **Medical Policy Statement**

The safety and effectiveness of the continuous passive motion machine have been established. It may be considered a useful therapeutic option when indicated.

**Inclusionary and Exclusionary Guidelines** (Clinically based guidelines that may support individual consideration and pre-authorization decisions)

#### Inclusions:

The continuous passive motion (CPM) device when used as an adjunct to conventional physical therapy is an established therapy in the early postoperative phase of rehabilitation (must meet one):

- For patients following knee injury or surgery (e.g., total knee arthroplasty, ACL repair, etc.)
- For use during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following intra-articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee (e.g., microfracture, osteochondral grafting, autologous chondrocyte implantation, treatment of osteochondritis dissecans, repair of tibial plateau fractures).
- For patients who have sustained an injury to, or have undergone surgery of the articular tissues of the shoulder.

#### **Exclusions:**

Use of the CPM machine for other joints or joint conditions, including the hip, ankles, toes, fingers, etc.

**CPT/HCPCS Level II Codes** (Note: The inclusion of a code in this list is not a guarantee of coverage. Please refer to the medical policy statement to determine the status of a given procedure.)

## **Established codes:**

E0935 E0936

## Other codes (investigational, not medically necessary, etc.):

N/A

Note: Code(s) E0935 and E0936 may not be covered by all contracts or certificates.

Please consult customer or provider inquiry resources at BCBSM or BCN to verify coverage.

#### **Rationale**

The original medical policy was based on a 1997 TEC Assessment that concluded that continuous passive motion (CPM) met the TEC criteria as an adjunct to physical therapy in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. Early studies of CPM machines focused on their use in the hospital setting, in which frequently the impact on length of stay was considered a

key clinical outcome, and the TEC Assessment did not specifically examine the place of service of CPM or the length of time that the CPM machines were used. For example, a critical study identified in the TEC Assessment was a randomized study by McInnes et al. that examined the use of CPM initiated in the immediate postoperative period and continued throughout the 7-day hospital stay.<sup>2</sup> At 6 weeks postoperatively, the most salient difference in the two groups was an increased incidence of arthrofibrosis requiring manipulation in the non-CPM group.

## Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA)

## Early Postoperative In-Hospital Setting

Efficacy in the early postoperative period has been cited to support the continued use of these devices in the home setting following early discharge. CPM after TKA was the subject of a 2003 Cochrane review. This review reported that CPM combined with physical therapy was found to statistically significantly increase active knee flexion and decrease length of stay. However, the analysis suggests that the benefits of CPM in a hospital setting may be small and only short term. 4 This Cochrane review was updated in 2010 and 2014. 5, 6 The updated review included 24 randomized trials with 1445 participants and examined short-term (<6 weeks), medium-term (6 weeks-6 months), and long-term (>6 months) effects of CPM. Most of the included studies examined short-term effects. CPM was applied for 1.5 to 24 hours a day, over 1 to 17 days. The review found that there was moderate-quality evidence that CPM increases passive and active knee flexion range of motion (ROM; mean difference, 2°), but the effects were too small to be clinically worthwhile. Low-quality evidence indicated that CPM does not have clinically important short-term effects on pain (-0.4 points on a 10-point scale), and moderate-quality evidence indicated that CPM does not have clinically important mediumterm effects on function or quality of life. Very low-quality evidence indicated that CPM may reduce the need for manipulation under anesthesia (25 fewer manipulations per 1000; risk ratio [RR], 0.3), and low-quality evidence suggested that CPM reduced the risk of adverse events (13 fewer adverse events per 1000, RR=0.9). The review concluded that CPM does not have clinically important effects on active knee flexion ROM, pain, function, or quality of life to justify its routine use. It may reduce the risk of manipulation under anesthesia and risk of adverse events, although the quality of evidence supporting these findings was very low and low, respectively. A 2014 Cochrane review that included 11 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) found no evidence that CPM reduced venous thromboembolism after TKA.<sup>7</sup>

Yashar et al reported on a trial that randomly assigned 178 patients undergoing TKA to CPM immediately in the postoperative period or to CPM 1 day after surgery. A small but statistically significant improvement in flexion was found at the time of discharge in those started on early CPM, but this difference did not persist at 4 weeks. MacDonald et al reported on a randomized trial focusing on immediate postoperative versus no postoperative CPM in a group of patients undergoing TKA. Patients received a maximum of 24 hours with CPM. There were no differences in the treatment groups regarding ROM, length of stay, or analgesic requirements. In the trial reported by Pope and colleagues, 53 patients were randomly assigned either to 2 different schedules of CPM versus no CPM. The use of CPM was not associated with improved long-term function or range of motion (ROM). Kumar et al randomly assigned 73 patients who had undergone TKA to receive either CPM in the immediate postoperative period versus protocol of early passive flexion referred to as the "drop and dangle" technique. Patients assigned to the drop and dangle technique were discharged

from the hospital earlier and also had a statistically better extension range at 6 months compared to the CPM group.

Other randomized controlled trials (RCTs) find that 2 to 4 hours of daily CPM in the hospital after total knee replacement does not improve postoperative outcomes at discharge or follow-up. For example, Bruun-Olsen et al randomly assigned 67 patients undergoing TKA to receive active physiotherapy exercises with or without CPM to assess whether there was short-term benefit on pain or function. In both groups, exercises were performed daily for 30 minutes, starting 1 day after surgery until discharge at 1 week. For the experimental group, CPM was provided for 4 hours on the day of surgery, followed by 6 hours daily in addition to therapist-guided exercises. Blinded assessment at 1 week and 3 months after surgery showed similar results for pain and function in the 2 groups; at 1 week, both groups had visual analog scale (VAS) pain ratings of 40 and flexion scores that were within 2 degrees of each other. Functional testing at 3 months showed no benefit of adjunctive CPM. The lack of improvement with CPM in recent studies may be due to the current practice of permitting patients to mobilize or commence flexion immediately following surgery. A 2014 study of 150 patients undergoing TKA found no benefit of CPM when used over a 2-day postoperative hospital stay.

## **Inpatient Rehabilitation Hospital Setting**

In a 2014 randomized trial by Herbold et al, 141 TKA patients were assigned to either 3 hours of CPM daily or to 2 hours total CPM during their inpatient rehabilitation stay. 16 After an average length of stay of 8 days for both groups, there were no significant differences between the CPM and no CPM groups for active ROM, Timed Up and Go test, knee girth, Functional Independence Measure scores, ambulation device at discharge, or on the self-reported Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC). A retrospective comparative study by the same group evaluated use of CPM in 61 matched pairs of patients admitted to a rehabilitation hospital. 17 Outcomes following use of CPM were compared with those from a cohort of 61 inpatients who also had poor initial ROM, defined as less than 75° of active knee flexion at the time of admission, and matched for postoperative day at admission, age, length of stay, and Health Insurance Prospective Payment System (HIPPS) code. Use of CPM (2 hours/day) was determined primarily by the referring physician and was used in 29% of the pool of 633 patients who had poor initial ROM. The average length of stay was 7.85 days. There were no significant differences in outcomes at discharge, including knee flexion or extension, discharge to the community, need for home care services, need for an assistive device, or functional scores on the HIPPS.

Chen et al randomly assigned 51 patients in an inpatient rehabilitation service who had undergone TKA to receive conventional active physical therapy or physical therapy plus CPM. Referral to the rehabilitation center was made 5 to 6 days after surgery, and most had received CPM as part of the initial hospitalization. Knee flexion was the principal outcome. No significant difference was noted in range of passive motion between the 2 groups, as measured on admission, on the third and seventh days, and at the time of discharge (8 days after admission). Thus, the use of CPM in the rehabilitation hospital offered no added benefit.

#### **Home Setting**

A study by Worland et al was the only identified controlled study that compared the use of CPM and active physical therapy in the home setting. In this study, 80 patients undergoing TKA were randomly assigned to receive, at discharge, home CPM (3 hours/day for 10 days)

versus active physical therapy, as offered by professional physical therapists. Most studies have examined CPM as an adjunct to active physical therapy; therefore, this study is unique in that CPM is proposed as an alternative. At 2 weeks, knee flexion was similar in the 2 groups, but a flexion contracture was noted in 1 patient in the CPM-only group. At 6 months, no differences were found in knee scores or knee flexion.

In another study, 60 patients with limited flexion range of motion (< 80 degrees) at the time of hospital discharge were assigned to standard physical therapy alone or in combination with CPM in the home (4 hours per day) until assessment on postoperative day 17. Blinded assessment showed a trend for an increase in range of motion for the CPM group (e.g., 89 degrees versus 84 degrees, respectively, p=0.07), with no differences in function between the groups as measured by the Knee Society Score (function subscore 43 versus 40, respectively) or the WOMAC difficulty score (49 versus 45, respectively). No differences were observed between groups in range of motion or function at the 6-week or 3-month assessment. In addition, no differences were observed for the secondary outcome measures (perceived effect, medication use, satisfaction with treatment, adherence) at either of the assessment times.

## **Section Summary: Total Knee Arthroplasty**

Numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been performed comparing CPM as an adjunct to physiotherapy for patients undergoing TKA. Early trials generally used CPM in the inpatient setting and are less relevant to today's practice patterns of short hospital stays followed by outpatient rehabilitation. Some of these trials report an improvement in range of motion for patients receiving CPM, but these improvements are short term, of small magnitude, and of uncertain clinical significance. Those RCTs that specifically evaluated CPM in the home setting did not show improved outcomes with CPM. No RCTs were identified that evaluated CPM in patients with low mobility or inability to comply with PT.

## Intra-Articular Cartilage Repair of the Knee

Although no RCTs were identified that compared health outcomes with or without the use of CPM, CPM is routinely used as a part of the rehabilitation protocol for as long as 6 weeks when weight bearing is restricted following autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI).<sup>21-23</sup> Basic research is cited that supports greater healing of articular cartilage of full-thickness defects that penetrate the subchondral bone than either immobilization or intermittent mobilization.<sup>24, 25</sup>

In 2010, Fazalare et al published a systematic review of CPM following knee cartilage defect surgery. The review found use of CPM following ACI, microfracture and osteochondral autografts and allografts in numerous studies in the previous 5 years. Four level III (cohort) studies with 262 patients were identified that specifically compared CPM to no CPM; no randomized trials were identified. Procedures in these 4 studies included microfracture, periosteal transplant of the patella, and high tibial osteotomy with either diagnostic arthroscopy or abrasion arthroplasty. CPM regimens ranged from six days to eight weeks. Heterogeneity in the studies and outdated surgical techniques limit conclusions from these trials. Karnes et al conducted a 2013 review of CPM protocols following ACI, microfracture, marrow stimulation, mosaicplasty osteochondral autograft or osteochondral allograft. This review cites several basic science studies that appear to support CPM. The authors identified two clinical studies, both of which were nonrandomized comparative studies. In one study, there were no differences between groups in clinical or functional outcomes at an average follow-up of 4.2

years. In the other study, the CPM group had greater improvement in grading of the cartilage lesion.

## Other Musculoskeletal Conditions Requiring Physical Therapy

#### **Intra-Articular Knee Fractures**

Hill et al randomized 40 patients with intra-articular fractures of either the proximal part of the tibia or the distal end of the femur to standardized PT with or without the use of CPM for 48 hours postoperatively. At the 48-hour assessment, the CPM group had significantly greater knee flexion (43° difference, p<0.005). However, 6 of 20 patients were unable to tolerate CPM, and there was no benefit to adding 48 hours of CPM when assessed at any of the follow-up visits (2, 6, 12, 24 weeks).

## **Anterior Cruciate Ligament Repair**

The literature search did not identify any additional randomized controlled trials of CPM in the home setting after repair of the anterior cruciate ligament. Therefore, the studies of CPM after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) repair in the immediate postoperative period may possibly be relevant to the home setting for patients who are discharged with an abbreviated hospital stay. The 1997 TEC Assessment concluded that CPM in the immediate postoperative period as an adjunct to conventional physical therapy offered no demonstrable advantage over conventional physical therapy alone. In a 2008 systematic review of ACL reconstruction rehabilitation, Wright et al. discussed six randomized trials on CPM that had been published before 1996. No randomized-controlled studies published after the 1997 TEC Assessment were identified .<sup>29</sup> The review found no substantial advantage for CPM use and concluded that CPM for ACL rehabilitation could not be justified. Wright et al also noted that most current ACL rehabilitation protocols institute early motion within the first postoperative week.

#### **Rotator Cuff**

Passive shoulder motion has been studied after shoulder surgery, particularly after repair of the rotator cuff. Du Plessis et al published a systematic review of CPM following rotator cuff repair in 2011, with a literature search performed in 2009.<sup>30</sup> Three RCTs with a total of 113 patients were included in the review. A meta-analysis could not be conducted due to heterogeneity in populations studied, outcome measurements and tools, interventions and comparisons. Two of the RCTs included in this review were the studies by Lastayo et al. and Raab et al. discussed next.<sup>31, 32</sup> The third study included in the systematic review was a German language report that found a significant reduction of 12 days in the time to reach 90 degree abduction compared to a physiotherapy control group, with no significant difference in pain between the 2 groups.

The 2 RCTs included in the systematic review were small. Lastayo et al reported the results of a trial that randomly assigned 31 patients undergoing rotator cuff repair to 1 of 2 types of postoperative management: a 4-week home program of CPM (average of 3 hours per day) or manual passive elevation and rotation exercises. No significant difference in outcomes was observed between the 2 approaches. Raab et al conducted a trial that randomly assigned 26 patients to undergo postoperative physical therapy alone or CPM in addition to physical therapy. Patients were evaluated with pre- and 3-month postoperative shoulder scores that incorporated pain, function, muscle strength, and ROM. A significant improvement was found in the subscore of ROM, although there was no significant improvement in overall shoulder

score in the CPM group compared to the control group. Both of these RCTs were likely underpowered to show differences on important clinical outcomes.

In 2010, Garofalo reported a randomized study on the effects of CPM after rotator cuff repair .<sup>33</sup> All of the 100 patients underwent passive self-assisted range of motion exercise, with additional use of CPM in roughly half of the patients for 2 hours per day (4 sessions of 30 minutes each) over 4 weeks. The physical therapist-supervised exercises included pendulum movements and progressive passive abduction, forward flexions and external rotation. Otherwise, the shoulder was immobilized in a sling brace for 4 weeks after surgery. From the 5<sup>th</sup> to the 28<sup>th</sup> week, all patients underwent the same physical therapy protocol. Range of motion (ROM) and VAS for pain were measured at 2, 5, 6 and 12 months by an independent examiner. In the CPM group, VAS was slightly better at 2.5-month follow-up (7.5 versus 9.1), but not at the 6-month (0.5 versus 0.6) or 12-month (0.2 versus 0.2) evaluation. Use of pain medication was not examined. Range of motion was significantly better in the group of patients who used CPM at 2.5-month follow-up (e.g., forward flexion of 133.0 versus 120.7) and 6 months (158.1 versus 151.7), but not at 12 months (165.2 versus 158.0 – all respectively).

## **Section Summary: Rotator Cuff**

Three small RCTs of CPM following rotator cuff surgery have been identified in the English-language literature. Two of these trials report short-term improvements in ROM for patients undergoing CPM, and 1 reports a short-term reduction in pain. None reported long-term improvements or benefits in functional status.

## Hip

One pilot study looked at the use of CPM of the hip in patients with osteoarthritis in the absence of surgical intervention .<sup>34</sup> This uncontrolled study examined the use of CPM for one to seven hours daily for a 12-week trial. While improvements were noted in the patient's assessment of pain, a controlled trial is needed to validate this treatment effect, particularly in comparison to a program of regular walking.

## Adhesive Capsulitis of the Shoulder

Dundar et al. compared CPM with physiotherapy in a randomized trial of 57 patients with adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder).<sup>35</sup> CPM or physical therapy was provided for 1 hour per day (5 days a week) for 4 weeks. Pain and function were similar in the 2 groups at baseline, with visual analog scale (VAS) scores for pain ranging from 5.44 (at rest) to 6.34 (with movement). Assessments at baseline, 4 and 12 weeks showed improvements in pain and function in both groups. CPM resulted in better pain reduction than physiotherapy (at rest, 47% versus 25%; with movement, 35% versus 21%; and at night, 36% versus 19%, all respectively). There were no differences between groups in range of motion or functional ability. This study provides modest support for the inclusion of CPM in a PT program for this patient population.

#### Stroke

CPM is also being studied as a means to aid recovery of motor skills following stroke. One study randomly assigned 35 patients to daily sessions of CPM (25 minutes) or daily group therapy sessions consisting of self-range motion for post-stroke rehabilitation.<sup>36</sup> All patients also received standard post-stroke therapy for 3.5 hours per day. Following 20 days of therapy, there was a trend for greater shoulder joint stability in the passive motion group (n=17,

p less than 0.06) compared with the control group (n=15). No statistically significant differences were found for measures of motor impairment. This study is limited by the small sample size and the short follow-up period.

#### **Elbow**

Postoperative management of open elbow contracture release with CPM was assessed in a matched cohort study by Lindenhovius et al.<sup>37</sup> Sixteen patients who had used CPM after open contracture release and 16 patients who had not used CPM after surgery were matched for age, gender, diagnosis, range of motion and radiographic appearance. Chart review was utilized when possible; patients who had insufficient follow-up in the medical record were invited back for follow-up and radiograph. Twenty-three patients (72%) were evaluated by an investigator who was not involved in their care. Improvements in range of motion were not different between the two groups for either early (four to10 months) or final (10–56 months) evaluations.

#### Hand

The 1997 TEC Assessment reviewed a multicenter study of CPM in patients who had undergone flexor tendon repair. The TEC Assessment concluded that data were inadequate to permit scientific conclusions regarding these applications. Ring et al examined the role of CPM in 15 hands (60 joints) undergoing silicone interposition arthroplasty of the metacarpophalangeal joint secondary to rheumatoid arthritis. Patients were randomly assigned to receive a 6-week protocol CPM plus the standard dynamic splint protocol versus the dynamic splint protocol alone. The authors did not identify any clear advantages of adding CPM to the standard protocol. A retrospective chart review compared 15 patients who had received CPM after tenolysis with 21 who did not. The patients who received CPM improved total active motion 40 degrees (from 137° to 177°), while patients who did not receive CPM improved motion 32 degrees (from 152° to 184°). This was not significantly different. Although the CPM users had more therapy visits, it was not known why some patients had been prescribed CPM and others had not. Interpretation of this uncontrolled study is limited.

#### Foot

One study compared passive motion versus immobilization following surgical treatment of idiopathic clubfoot in 38 infants (50 feet). The infants were randomly assigned to CPM (4 hours each day) or casting during days 10 to 42 following surgery. Blinded analysis showed improvements in the Dimeglio clubfoot score (9.7 to 3.1) that were significantly greater than in the control group (10.3 to 4.2) through 12 months (97% follow-up). Between 12 and 18 months, this trend reversed, and by 48 months after surgery, there was no significant difference between the two groups. Compliance with this treatment may be low. 41

#### Back

A randomized trial evaluated a specific CPM device for treatment of chronic low back pain in 36 patients. Although patients treated with the device appeared to have improved outcomes on a numeric rating scale of back pain compared to waiting-list controls, the study has significant methodologic problems. Patients who received other treatments were excluded, a large number of subjects dropped out, and control patients did not receive any conservative management.

## **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

A search of ClinicalTrials.gov did not identify any ongoing or unpublished trials that would likely influence this review.

## **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have total knee arthroplasty (TKA) who receive continuous passive motion (CPM) in the home, the evidence includes randomized clinical trials (RCTs), case series, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Early trials generally used CPM in the inpatient setting and are less relevant to today's practice patterns of short hospital stays followed by outpatient rehabilitation. Current postoperative rehabilitation protocols differ considerably from when the largest body of evidence was collected, making it difficult to apply the available evidence to the present situation. For use of CPM after TKA, recent studies have suggested that institutional and home use of CPM has no benefit compared to standard PT. There were no studies evaluating CPM in patients who cannot perform standard PT. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have intra-articular cartilage repair of the knee who receive CPM in the home, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies, case series, and studies with nonclinical outcomes (e.g., histology). Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Systematic reviews of CPM for this indication cite studies reporting better histologic outcomes in patients following CPM. A few studies have reported clinical outcomes, but inadequacies of these studies do not permit conclusions of efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have other musculoskeletal conditions requiring PT who receive CPM in the home, the evidence includes RCTs for some conditions and only case series for others. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Three small RCTs of CPM after rotator cuff surgery showed some evidence that CPM after rotator cuff repair of the shoulder improves short-term pain and range of motion; however, the studies were not of high quality, and the small differences in outcomes may not be clinically important. Two of these trials reported short-term improvements in range of motion for patients undergoing CPM, and 1 reported a short-term reduction in pain. None reported long-term improvements, and there are no reported benefits in functional status. Therefore, the clinical significance of the short-term improvements reported is uncertain. In addition, there is uncertainty about the optimal PT regimen following shoulder surgery such that the optimal comparison for CPM is unclear. For other conditions, RCTs do not exist; case series did not show efficacy of CPM or had important methodologic flaws. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## Clinical Input Received through Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

#### **2016 Input**

In response to requests for input on the use of CPM following knee intra-articular repair procedures, input was received from 2 physician specialty societies and 1 academic medical center while this policy was under review in 2016. Input agreed that CPM is considered medically necessary as an adjunct to PT during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following intra-articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee. One reviewer referred to the

2015 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgery guidelines on the surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee, which concluded that there was strong evidence that CPM after knee arthroplasty does not improve outcomes.

#### 2010

In response to requests, Blue Cross Blue Shield Association received input from two physician specialty societies and five academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2010. Overall, clinical input supported the use of CPM under conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises following a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or TKA revision, or during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following intra-articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee. Support was limited for use of CPM in joints other than the knee, or in situations/conditions other than those described in this policy.

#### 2008

In response to requests, Blue Cross Blue Shield Association received input from one physician specialty society and two academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2008. The three reviewers interpreted the existing literature as providing support for the use of CPM for the knee for at least seven days postoperatively, whether in the hospital or home, and suggested that longer use of CPM would be warranted for special conditions.

#### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

## **American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons**

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) published evidence-based guidelines on the surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee in 2015. <sup>43</sup> AAOS identified 2 high-quality studies and 5 moderate-quality studies that evaluated the use of CPM. In 1 high-quality study, CPM was used for about 2 weeks after discharge. AAOS concluded that, "the combined results provide strong evidence that the surgical outcomes for those who used continuous passive motion are not better than for those who did not use continuous passive motion."

### French Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Society

Clinical practice guidelines from the French Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Society conclude that evidence is not sufficient to recommend substituting CPM for other rehabilitation techniques aimed at early mobilization after TKA.<sup>44</sup> The evidence review found no positive effect of CPM over intermittent early mobilization, at short- or long-term follow-up.

## **U.S. Preventative Services Task Force Recommendations**

The use of continuous passive motion devices is not a preventive service.

# Government Regulations National/Local:

Medicare National Coverage Determinations-Durable Medical Equipment Reference List (280.1) Manual 100-3, Effective on or after 5/5/2005:

"Continuous passive motion devices are covered for patients who have received a total knee replacement. To qualify for coverage, use of the device must commence within 2 days following surgery. In addition, coverage is limited to that portion of the 3 week period following surgery during which the device is used in the patient's home. No payment can be made for

the device when the device is not used in the patient's home or once the 21 day period has elapsed. Since it is possible for a patient to receive CPM services in their home on the date that they are discharged from the hospital, this date counts as the first day of the three week limited coverage period."<sup>45</sup>

## Michigan Department of Health and Human Services:

According to the MDHHS Medical Supplier/DME/Prosthetics and Orthotics Database, procedure codes E0935 and E0936 require prior authorization. If approved, the rental is available for up to 21 days.

(The above Medicare information is current as of the review date for this policy. However, the coverage issues and policies maintained by the Centers for Medicare & Medicare Services [CMS, formerly HCFA] are updated and/or revised periodically. Therefore, the most current CMS information may not be contained in this document. For the most current information, the reader should contact an official Medicare source.)

#### **Related Policies**

N/A

#### **References**

- 1. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association, Continuous passive motion as an adjunct to physical therapy for joint rehabilitation. Technology Evaluation Center (TEC) Assessment Program, Volume 12, Tab 20, 1997.
- 2. McInnes, J., et al., A controlled evaluation of continuous passive motion in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. JAMA. Volume 268, Number 11, 1992, pp. 1423-1428.
- 3. Milne, S., et al., Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Number 2, 2003, (2):CD004260.
- 4. Brosseau, L., et al. Efficacy of continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty: a metaanalysis. J Rheumatol. Volume 31, Number 11, 2004, pp. 2251-2264.
- 5. Harvey, L. A., et al. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis (Review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev, Number 2, 2010: CD004260.
- 6. Harvey, LA, et al. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 2:CD004260.
- 7. He ML, Xiao ZM, Lei M, et al. Continuous passive motion for preventing venous thromboembolism after total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014; 7:CD008207. PMID 25069620
- 8. Yashar, A. A., et al. Continuous passive motion with accelerated flexion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Volume 345, 1997, pp. 38-43.
- 9. MacDonald, S. J., et al. Prospective randomized clinical trial of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Volume 380, 2000; (380), pp. 30-35.
- 10. Pope, R. O., et al. Continuous passive motion after primary total knee arthroplasty. Does it offer any benefits? J Bone Joint Surg Br, Volume 79, Number 6, 1997, pp. 914-917.
- 11. Kumar, P. J., et al. Rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of 2 rehabilitation techniques. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Volume 331, 1996, pp. 93-101.
- 12. Bruun-Olsen, V., et al. Continuous passive motion as an adjunct to active exercises in early rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil, Volume 31, Number 4, 2009, pp. 277-283.

- 13. Denis, M., et al. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion and conventional physical therapy after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. Phys Ther. Volume 86, Number 2, 2006, pp. 174-185.
- 14. Leach, W., et al. Continuous passive motion following total knee replacement: a prospective randomized trial with follow-up to 1 year. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc," Volume 14, Number 10, 2006, pp. 922-026.
- 15. Boese, C.K., et al. The efficacy of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of three protocols. J Arthroplasty 2014; 29(6):1158-62.
- 16. Herbold, J.A., et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of the Effectiveness of Continuous Passive Motion After Total Knee Replacement. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014.
- 17. Herbold, J.A., et al. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion in an inpatient rehabilitation hospital after total knee replacement: a matched cohort study. PM R 2012; 4(10):719-25.
- 18. Chen, B. et al. Continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2000; 79(5):421-6.
- 19. Worland, R. L., et al. Home continuous passive motion machine versus professional physical therapy following total knee replacement. J Arthroplasty, Volume 13, Number 7, 1998, pp. 784-787.
- 20. Lenssen, T. A., et al. Effectiveness of prolonged use of continuous passive motion (CPM), as an adjunct to physiotherapy, after total knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskelet Disord, Volume 9, Number 60, 2008, pp. 1-11.
- 21. Browne, J. E., et al. Clinical outcome of autologous chondrocyte implantation at 5 years in US subjects. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Volume 436, 2005, pp. 237-245.
- 22. Farr, J., Autologous chondrocyte implantation improves patellofemoral cartilage treatment outcomes. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Volume 463, 2007, pp. 187-94.
- 23. Rosenberger, R. E., et al. Repair of large chondral defects of the knee with autologous chondrocyte implantation in patients 45 years or older. Am J Sports Med, Volume 36, Number 12, 2008, pp. 2336-2344.
- 24. Nugent-Derfus, G. E., et al. Continuous passive motion applied to whole joints stimulates chondrocyte biosynthesis of PRG4. Osteoarthritis Cartilage, Volume 15, Number 5, 2007, pp. 566-754.
- 25. Salter, R. B. The biologic concept of continuous passive motion of synovial joints. The first 18 years of basic research and its clinical application. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Volume 242, 1989, pp. 12-25.
- 26. Fazalare JA, Griesser MJ, Siston RA et al. The use of continuous passive motion following knee cartilage defect surgery: a systematic review. Orthopedics 2010; 33(12):878.
- 27. Howard JS, Mattacola CG, Romine SE, et al. Continuous passive motion, early eight bearing, and active motion following knee articular cartilage repair: evidence for clinical practice. Cartilage. 2010;1(4):276-286
- 28. Hill AD, Palmer MJ, Tanner SL, et al. Use of continuous passive motion in the postoperative treatment of intra-articular knee fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014;96(14):e118. PMID25031380
- 29. Wright RW, Preston E, Fleming BC, et al. A systematic review of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation: part I: continuous passive motion, early weight bearing, postoperative bracing, and home-based rehabilitation. J Knee Surg. Jul 2008;21(3):217-224. PMID 18686484
- 30. Du Plessis M, Eksteen E, Jenneker A et al. The effectiveness of continuous passive motion on range of motion, pain and muscle strength following rotator cuff repair: a systematic review. Clin Rehabil 2011; 25(4):291-302.

- 31.Lastayo, P. C., et al. Continuous passive motion after repair of the rotator cuff. A prospective outcome study. J Bone Joint Surg Am, Volume 80, Number 7, 1998, pp. 1002-1011.
- 32. Raab, M. G., et al. Early results of continuous passive motion after rotator cuff repair: a prospective, randomized, blinded, controlled study. Am J Orthop, Volume 25, Number 3, 1996, pp. 214-220.
- 33. Garofalo R, Conti M, Notarnicola A et al. Effects of one-month continuous passive motion after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: results at 1-year follow-up of a prospective randomized study. Musculoskelet Surg 2010; 94 Suppl 1:S79-83.
- 34. Simkin PA, de Lateur BJ, Alquist AD, et al. Continuous passive motion for osteoarthritis of the hip: a pilot study. J Rheumatology. 1999;26(9):1987-1991.
- 35. Dundar, U., et al. Continuous passive motion provides good pain control in patients with adhesive capsulitis. Int J Rehabil Res, Volume 32, Number 3, 2009, pp. 193-198.
- 36. Lynch, D., et al. Continuous passive motion improves shoulder joint integrity following stroke. Clin Rehabil, Volume 19, Number 6, 2005, pp. 594-599.
- 37. Lindenhovius, A. L., et al. Open elbow contracture release: postoperative management with and without continuous passive motion. J Hand Surg Am, Volume 34, Number 5, 2009, pp. 858-865.
- 38. Ring, D., et al. Continuous passive motion following metacarpophalangeal joint arthroplasty. J Hand Surg Am, Volume 23, Number 3, 1998, pp. 505-511.
- 39. Schwartz, D. A. and Chafetz, R. Continuous passive motion after tenolysis in hand therapy patients: a retrospective study. J Hand Ther, Volume 21, Number 3, 2008, pp. 261-266.
- 40. Zeifang, F., et al. Continuous passive motion versus immobilization in a cast after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, blinded, randomized, clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Br, Volume 87, Number 12, 2005, pp. 1663-1665.
- 41. Kasten, P., et al. Compliance with continuous passive movement is low after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, double-blinded clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Br, Volume 89, Number 3, 2007, pp. 375-377.
- 42. Gavish L, Barzilay Y, Koren C, et al. Novel continuous passive motion device for self-treatment of chronic lower back pain: a randomised controlled study. Physiotherapy. Mar 2015;101(1):75-81. PMID 25280603
- 43. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee. Evidence-based clinical practice guideline. 2015; http://www.aaos.org/uploadedFiles/PreProduction/Quality/Guidelines\_and\_Reviews/guidelines/SMOAK%20CPG 4.22.2016.pdf. Accessed
- 44. Postel JM, Thoumie P, Missaoui B et al. Continuous passive motion compared with intermittent mobilization after total knee arthroplasty. Elaboration of French clinical practice guidelines. Ann Readapt Med Phys 2007; 50(4):244-57.
- 45. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). NCD for Durable Medical Equipment Reference List (280.1). 5/5/2005. Available online at: <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/downloads/clm104c20.pdf">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/downloads/clm104c20.pdf</a>. Accessed December 2016.

The articles reviewed in this research include those obtained in an Internet based literature search for relevant medical references through December 2016, the date the research was completed.

## Joint BCBSM/BCN Medical Policy History

Policy Effective Date	BCBSM Signature Date	BCN Signature Date	Comments
7/10/02	7/10/02	7/10/02	Joint policy established
9/10/03	9/10/03	10/14/03	Routine maintenance
2/28/05	2/28/05	3/11/05	Routine maintenance
11/1/07	8/21/07	10/22/07	Code update
11/1/08	8/19/08	10/28/08	Routine maintenance, medical policy statement verbiage changed
5/1/10	2/16/10	2/16/10	Routine maintenance
11/1/11	8/16/11	8/16/11	Routine maintenance. Added additional inclusionary guideline for CPM devices: "during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following intra-articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee (e.g., microfracture, osteochondral grafting, autologous chondrocyte implantation, treatment of osteochondritis dissecans, repair of tibial plateau fractures)."
9/1/13	6/18/13	6/26/13	Routine maintenance. Rationale updated, references added.
5/1/15	2/17/15	2/27/15	Routine maintenance; updated references and rationale. No change in policy status.
5/1/16	2/16/16	2/16/16	Routine maintenance
5/1/17	2/21/17	2/21/17	Routine policy maintenance, updated rationale and reference section (#42 & 43). No change in policy status.

Next Review Date: 1<sup>st</sup> Qtr, 2018

# BLUE CARE NETWORK BENEFIT COVERAGE POLICY: CONTINUOUS PASSIVE MOTION MACHINE (CPM)

## I. Coverage Determination:

Commercial HMO (includes Self-Funded groups unless otherwise specified)	Covered, criteria applies
BCNA (Medicare Advantage)	Covered, Medicare criteria applies. BCN Advantage follows Original-Medicare coverage regulations for durable medical equipment. Therefore, Medicare covers CPM only following total knee replacement surgery.
BCN65 (Medicare Complementary)	Coinsurance covered if primary Medicare covers the service.

#### **II. Administrative Guidelines:**

- The member's contract must be active at the time the service is rendered.
- Coverage is based on each member's certificate and is not guaranteed. Please
  consult the individual member's certificate for details. Additional information regarding
  coverage or benefits may also be obtained through customer or provider inquiry
  services at BCN.
- The service must be authorized by the member's PCP except for Self-Referral Option (SRO) members seeking Tier 2 coverage.
- Services must be performed by a BCN-contracted provider, if available, except for Self-Referral Option (SRO) members seeking Tier 2 coverage.
- Payment is based on BCN payment rules, individual certificate and certificate riders.
- Appropriate copayments will apply. Refer to certificate and applicable riders for detailed information.
- CPT HCPCS codes are used for descriptive purposes only and are not a guarantee of coverage.
- Duplicate (back-up) equipment is not a covered benefit.