

# Event-based Household Energy Consumption Disaggregation of Individual Appliances

Michael Shell

School of Electrical and

Computer Engineering

Georgia Institute of Technology

Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0250

Email: <http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html>

Homer Simpson

Twentieth Century Fox

Springfield, USA

Email: [homer@thesimpsons.com](mailto:homer@thesimpsons.com) San Francisco, California 96678-2391

James Kirk

and Montgomery Scott

Starfleet Academy

Telephone: (800) 555-1212

Fax: (888) 555-1212

**Abstract**—Even with advances in smart grid technology and a growing demand for cost-effective energy consumption, detailed information about energy usage is often not available for residential electricity consumers. One reason is that energy usage is typically monitored at single point by the utility, only providing information on the aggregate power consumption. In this paper, we attempt to disaggregate the energy usage data into specific appliances from single-point sensing measurements. Our method involves extracting events from the time-series data to obtain relevant features. We focus on two feature sets: low frequency and high frequency. For each set, we create an event detection algorithm for feature extraction. We present the results of our approach on a publicly available dataset.

## I. INTRODUCTION-ML

In this section, we provide the motivation and a brief description of the project. A short discussion of related work in this area, including the methodology of previous competition winners is also provided. This is followed by an overview of our approach, description of the raw data, and evaluation method.

This project follows the Belkin Energy Disaggregation Competition on kaggle.com [1]. The goal is to disaggregate household energy consumption into individual appliances. The dataset used for this project is publicly available on the competition website and contains voltage and current harmonics data for four households. The dataset also contains high frequency noise (EMI) data for each household.

Although the presence or absence of such EMI signatures and changes in voltage or current can indicate when a particular appliance is in use, classification can become challenging if the number of appliances in the home is large. Additionally, the signature of some appliances may drift or vary over time due to operating conditions and the mode in which they are used. Predictive modeling is then required to make an inference about the appliance class given a particular signature. The challenge is to accurately classify end-uses of energy at a fine-grained, appliance level. One application of this project is to continuously monitor real-time power consumption, broken down by electrical appliance. Consumers can then view their energy usage and cost at a detailed enough level to determine cost-effective energy saving changes to

usage patterns. Based on the description above, our problem statement can be summarized as follows:

Using the harmonic and EMI measurements as features, the objective of this project is to build a multi-class classification model to determine which appliances are active at any given time (i.e, classify the active appliances based on harmonic and EMI signatures).

### A. approach/background

Our method focuses on real-time processing.

Show visualizations -training data -figures show differences domain/power domain - explain reason for looking in difference domain - test data-multiple devices, and steady state, no changes -goal is to detect events on/off -for example, constant power loads, show no change, eg. light

-explain methodology - voltage/current harmonics to P/Q to differences to distances -and why we use this approach - include block diagram -goal is to automate, this task can be done manually, but too tedious -compare to previous and to data mining methods, ours is more interpretable

## II. TRAINING - FEATURE WINDOW EXTRACTION

This section concentrates on extracting the feature windows in low frequency data. In particular,

the real and the reactive power differences at the fundamental frequency (50 or 60 Hz) are used to characterize the on/off signatures of a given appliance. This stage are separated into two; building a event detector that will be used to

### A. Event Detection Using Power Consumption Difference

The purpose of applying a event detector is to estimate the exact on/off time-index of the appliances. The event on/off times are provided in the training set. However, the provided labels are not exact ven though the tagging info of the appliances are available for the training set, they do not represent the exact on and off time of the appliances. These switching indices are then used to extract the signature windows in the power difference domain.

1) Training Data: the tagging info of the appliances is provided. However, they do not represent the exact on




Fig. 1. Simple Distribution Feeder.

and off time of the appliances. In addition, there are multiple mislabels such as the on-label and the off-label indicating the same time index. The event detector should be programmed separately to account for these offsets and mislabels.

- 2) Test Data: the tagging info is not provided. The event detector should be able to capture when an appliance is switched on and off.

First a event detector is used in the real power domain to estimate the exact switching time of the event. By in the training data. This is to account for the mismatches of the provided tagging info and the exact switching index.

A typical rectangular load shape of is shown in Figure ?? . Many appliances turned out to have rectangular load shape and these appliances can be separated using real and reactive power consumption features. Figure ?? shows feature space of appliances that have rectangular load shape. The feature vector of each class are clustered together, implying that the real and reactive power features are sufficient for classifying most of the appliances.

This section concentrates on extracting the feature windows in low frequency data. In particular the real and the reactive power differences are used to extract these windows. First, a separate event detector is used to correct the event detector is used in the Training Data to correct the mismatches

The procedures for calculating the real power and the reactive power include event detection, data smoothing, and power calculation.

## B. Load Types

-constant power - static - resistive (lights, heaters, kettle) - P domain and rectangular mainly - resistive + reactive (rotating like fans) - Q is a feature to help classify -dynamic - cyclical (washer dryer) - require rules, ie. landmark detection

## C. Window making

-because the loads are as described above, we are taking this approach, using different windows (different lengths) for different loads to detect ON/OFF events - these are the most effective approach vs. continuous approach (ours is event based)

-devices have unique features during on off mainly  
-outliers - problems with training data, mention issues w/ kaggle ground truth

1) Subsubsection Heading Here: Subsubsection text here.

## III. CROSS-VALIDATION-ML

Discuss cross validation here. this is the whole procedure for generating thresholds.

need figures as examples of P/Q domain, diff domain, distance domain, window shape (big subplot 3x3?)

## IV. TEST DATA

- rules for on/off pairing

## V. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here. All insight gained from competition. Too many appliances, noisy test data, what is the benefit of identifying small appliances?, noise necessitates clear features (large distance). also high frequency domain for hard to identify appliances. you can get 20th w/ just P/Q alone.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

## REFERENCES

- [1] <http://www.kaggle.com/c/belkin-energy-disaggregation-competition>.