

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated:
https://kmonahan01.github.io/islt_7360/final_project/pilotsite/monahan_pilot.html
2. Author of site evaluated: ____Kaitie Monahan_____
3. Evaluator's first name:_____Kassadee_____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
Windows, intel Core i5, Acer Aspire
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
Mozilla Firefox
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
Institutional

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Section links/ table of contents is very helpful! I don't know what is going on some of your pages but I might suggest a "how to get involved" tab (or something along those lines). Also, a FAQ page might be helpful as well.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Maybe a little too many variations of yellow, but the site is very clean and not cluttered, which is much appreciated.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u> All of the elements/ graphics worked excellent for me!	1	2	3	4	5
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. <u>Please explain your feedback:</u>	1	2	3	4	5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

I especially love this category because It allows for quick access to all important information, there's nothing worse than having to hit the back button 50 bajillion times to get where I need to be. Great job here!

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

I am not exactly sure what type of reflection is needed here. It serves a purpose for an intended audience, but I wasn't forced to "reflect/ think" much because all of my questions (as someone who wouldn't know anything about HOBY) would be answered by the information provided.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					