# **Cheat Sheet: Advanced Retrievers for RAG**



**Estimated Reading Time: 15 minutes** 

# **Core Retrieval Concepts**

### What are Advanced Retrievers?

Advanced retrievers go beyond simple vector similarity search to provide more nuanced, context-aware information retrieval through:

- · Semantic Understanding: Using embeddings for meaning and context
- Keyword Matching: Precise term-based search for exact specifications
- Hierarchical Context: Maintaining relationships between information levels
- Multi-Query Processing: Generating and combining results from multiple query variations
- Fusion Techniques: Intelligently combining results from different retrieval methods

### **Maximum Marginal Relevance (MMR)**

Purpose: Balance relevance and diversity of retrieved results

Method: Selects documents that are highly relevant to the query AND minimally similar to previously selected documents

Benefit: Avoids redundancy and ensures comprehensive coverage of different query aspects

## LlamaIndex Retrievers

# Core Index Types in LlamaIndex

#### VectorStoreIndex

- Function: Stores vector embeddings for each document chunk
- · Best suited for: Semantic retrieval based on meaning
- Usage: Commonly used in LLM pipelines and RAG applications

#### **DocumentSummaryIndex**

- Function: Generates and stores summaries of documents at indexing time
- Process: Uses summaries to find and retrieve relevant documents
- Best for: Large documents whose meanings would be lost by chunking; large documents that cannot fit in LLM or embedding model context windows
- Key Points: Returns original documents, not their summaries; uses summaries instead of text chunks to enable retrieval based on the semantic meaning of the
  entire text

## KeywordTableIndex

- Function: Extracts keywords from documents and maps to content chunks
- · Best for: Exact keyword matching for rule-based or hybrid search scenarios
- Use Case: Applications requiring precise term matching

# LlamaIndex Retriever Types

## 1. Vector Index Retriever

Most common retriever - uses vector embeddings to find semantically related content

- Process: Embeds query, compares with document embeddings using cosine similarity
- Ideal for: General-purpose search, RAG pipelines where semantic understanding is crucial
- Limitation: May miss exact keyword matches when specific terms are crucial

## 2. BM25 Retriever

Advanced keyword-based retrieval that improves on TF-IDF

### TF-IDF Foundation:

- Term Frequency (TF): How often a word appears in a document
- Inverse Document Frequency (IDF): How rare a word is across all documents
- TF-IDF Score: TF × IDF

## **BM25 Improvements:**

- Term Frequency Saturation: Reduces impact of repeated terms using saturation function
- Document Length Normalization: Adjusts for document length, preventing long document bias
- Tunable Parameters: k1≈1.2 (saturation control), b≈0.75 (length normalization)

Best for: Technical documentation, legal documents, exact terminology requirements

### 3. Document Summary Index Retrievers

#### Two Variants

- 1. DocumentSummaryIndexLLMRetriever: Uses LLM to analyze query against summaries (intelligent but expensive)
- 2. DocumentSummaryIndexEmbeddingRetriever: Uses semantic similarity between query and summary embeddings (faster, cost-effective)

Process: Two-stage approach using summaries to filter documents, then returns full document content

#### 4. Auto Merging Retriever

Purpose: Preserves context in long documents using hierarchical structure

- Uses hierarchical chunking (parent and child nodes)
- If enough child nodes from same parent are retrieved, returns parent node instead
- Dual Storage: Child chunks for precise matching, parent chunks for context

Best for: Long documents, legal papers, technical specifications needing context preservation

#### 5. Recursive Retriever

Purpose: Follows relationships between nodes using references

Capability: Can follow references from one node to another (citations, metadata links)

Types: Supports chunk references and metadata references

Best for: Academic papers with citations, interconnected knowledge bases

#### 6. Query Fusion Retriever

Purpose: Combines results from different retrievers and optionally generates multiple query variations

#### **Core Capabilities:**

- Multiple retriever support (combines vector-based and keyword-based methods)
- · Query variation generation using LLM
- · Sophisticated fusion strategies to improve recall

#### Three Fusion Modes:

#### Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF)

- Most robust fusion method combines ranked lists using reciprocal of ranks
- Formula: RRF\_score(d) =  $\Sigma$  (1 / (rank\_i(d) + k)) where  $k\approx60$
- Best for: Default choice for most fusion scenarios, production systems

## Relative Score Fusion

- Preserves score magnitudes while normalizing across query variations
- Formula: normalized\_score = original\_score / max\_score
- Best for: When embedding model confidence scores are meaningful

## Distribution-Based Score Fusion

- Most sophisticated uses statistical properties of score distributions
- Methods: Z-score normalization, percentile ranking
- Best for: Complex queries with varying score distributions

# LangChain Retrievers

## LangChain Retriever Interface

Definition: "An interface that returns documents based on an unstructured query"

- More general than a vector store
- · Accepts string query as input, returns list of documents as output
- Doesn't necessarily store documents purpose is to retrieve them

## LangChain Retriever Types

### 1. Vector Store-Backed Retriever

Foundation retriever - lightweight wrapper around vector store class Search Types:

- Simple Similarity Search: Returns documents ranked by similarity (default 4 results)
- MMR Search: Balances relevance and diversity to avoid redundancy
- Similarity Score Threshold: Returns only documents above specified threshold

### 2. Multi-Query Retriever

Problem Addressed: "Distance-based vector database retrieval may vary with subtle changes in query wording"

### **Solution Process**:

1. Uses LLM to generate multiple queries from different perspectives

- 2. For each query, retrieves set of relevant documents
- 3. Takes unique union of results for larger set of potentially relevant documents

Benefit: "By generating multiple perspectives on the same question, the MultiQueryRetriever can potentially overcome some limitations of distance-based retrieval"

### 3. Self-Querying Retriever

Core Capability: "Has the ability to query itself"

Process: Converts natural language query into structured query with two components:

- 1. String to look up semantically
- 2. Metadata filter to accompany it

Requirements: Documents must have rich, structured metadata with field descriptions

Best for: Applications combining semantic search with attribute filtering

### **Example Queries:**

- "I want to watch a movie rated higher than 8.5" (filter only)
- "Has Greta Gerwig directed any movies about women" (query + filter)

#### 4. Parent Document Retriever

Problem Solved: "Conflicting desires" when splitting documents:

- Small documents for accurate embeddings
- · Large documents for context retention

Solution: "Strikes that balance by splitting and storing small chunks of data"

#### Process:

- 1. During retrieval, first fetches small chunks
- 2. Looks up parent IDs for those chunks
- 3. Returns larger documents containing the small chunks

#### Architecture:

- Two splitters: Parent (large chunks for retrieval) and child (small chunks for embeddings)
- Dual storage: Vector store for embeddings, document store for parent documents

### **Decision Framework**

Need	LlamaIndex Choice	LangChain Choice
Exact keyword matching	BM25 Retriever	Vector Store-Backed + custom keyword logic
Multi-query with fusion	Query Fusion Retriever (RRF/Relative/Distribution)	Multi-Query Retriever (union approach)
Citation following	Recursive Retriever	Not directly supported
Hierarchical context	Auto Merging Retriever	Parent Document Retriever
Simple semantic search	Vector Index Retriever	Vector Store-Backed Retriever

# Author(s)

Wojciech "Victor" Fulmyk

