

FastQC - Comprehensive Reference Guide

Overview

FastQC is a quality control tool for high-throughput sequencing data. It provides a modular set of analyses to identify potential problems with raw sequence data before downstream analysis.

Applicable to: RNA-seq, DNA-seq (WGS/WES), ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq, BS-seq, and any Illumina/other NGS data

Website: <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>

What FastQC Analyzes

FastQC provides quality metrics across multiple modules:

1. **Basic Statistics** - File info, total sequences, read length
 2. **Per-base sequence quality** - Phred quality scores at each position
 3. **Per-tile sequence quality** - Quality variation across flowcell tiles
 4. **Per-sequence quality scores** - Distribution of average read quality
 5. **Per-base sequence content** - Nucleotide composition at each position
 6. **Per-sequence GC content** - GC% distribution
 7. **Per-base N content** - Proportion of uncalled bases
 8. **Sequence length distribution** - Read length uniformity
 9. **Sequence duplication levels** - Library complexity
 10. **Overrepresented sequences** - Highly abundant sequences
 11. **Adapter content** - Adapter contamination levels
 12. **K-mer content** - Overrepresented k-mers (optional)
-

Understanding FastQC Status

Each module receives a status flag:

- **PASS (Green):** Normal, meets expected criteria
- **WARN (Yellow):** Slightly unusual, may be acceptable depending on experiment
- **FAIL (Red):** Unusual, likely indicates a problem

Critical: WARN/FAIL does not always mean bad data! Context matters based on:

- Experiment type (RNA-seq vs WGS vs ChIP-seq)
- Library prep method (random priming vs PCR-free)
- Biological expectations (highly expressed genes, repetitive regions)

Module-by-Module Guide

1. Basic Statistics

What it shows:

- Filename
- File type (FASTQ format detected)
- Encoding (e.g., Sanger/Illumina 1.9)
- Total sequences
- Sequences flagged as poor quality
- Sequence length
- %GC

No pass/fail - informational only

What to check:

- Total sequences matches expected depth
 - Encoding is correct (should be Sanger/Illumina 1.9 for modern data)
 - GC% is in expected range for organism
-

2. Per-Base Sequence Quality

What it shows: Box-and-whisker plot of Phred quality scores at each base position

Phred Score Interpretation:

Q40: 99.99% accuracy (1 in 10,000 error)

Q30: 99.9% accuracy (1 in 1,000 error)

Q20: 99% accuracy (1 in 100 error)

Q10: 90% accuracy (1 in 10 error)

FastQC thresholds:

- **PASS:** Median quality ≥ 28 across all positions
- **WARN:** Median quality <28 for any position
- **FAIL:** Lower quartile <10 or median <20 for any position

Expected patterns:

Illumina sequencing:

- High quality (Q35-40) at read start
- Gradual decline toward 3' end (normal)
- Quality drop is expected and acceptable if median stays >28

What's concerning:

- Low quality ($<Q20$) in first 10-20 bases \rightarrow Sequencing run issue
- Sudden quality drops (not gradual) \rightarrow Specific cycle failure
- Very low quality throughout \rightarrow Failed sequencing run

Action:

- Trim bases where median quality <20
 - If quality poor throughout, consider re-sequencing
-

3. Per-Tile Sequence Quality

What it shows: Heatmap of quality deviation across flowcell tiles

Only available for: Illumina data with tile information in read headers

Interpretation:

- Blue = Better quality than average
- Red = Worse quality than average
- Uniform color = Good (even quality across flowcell)
- Patches of red = Specific tiles have problems

Causes of tile-specific issues:

- Bubbles in flowcell
- Dust particles
- Flowcell surface defects
- Imaging problems

Action:

- Minor variations normal (ignore)
 - Large red patches \rightarrow May need to filter reads from bad tiles
 - Severe \rightarrow Contact sequencing facility
-

4. Per-Sequence Quality Scores

What it shows: Distribution of average quality per read

Expected pattern:

- Single peak at high quality (Q35-40)
- Most reads should have average quality >28

Concerning patterns:

- Peak at low quality ($<Q20$) \rightarrow Many poor reads
- Broad distribution \rightarrow Mixed quality population
- Bimodal distribution \rightarrow Two quality populations (investigate)

Action:

- If peak <Q20: Filter low-quality reads or re-sequence
 - If broad distribution: Check if specific tile/lane issue
-

5. Per-Base Sequence Content

What it shows: %A, %T, %G, %C at each base position

Theory (random DNA):

- A%, T%, G%, C% should each be ~25%
- A% should equal T% (complementary)
- G% should equal C% (complementary)
- Should be stable across read length

Experiment-specific expectations:

Experiment Type	Expected Pattern	Why
RNA-seq (random hexamer)	Bias in first 10-15bp	Hexamer priming bias - NORMAL
RNA-seq (poly-A)	Some 3' A-bias	Poly-A tail fragments - NORMAL
WGS (random frag)	Flat across read	Truly random
WGS (PCR-free)	Flat across read	No bias
WGS (Nextera)	Bias in first 5-10bp	Transposase bias - NORMAL
ChIP-seq	May have bias	Protein binding preference - can be NORMAL
ATAC-seq	First 10bp bias	Tn5 transposase bias - NORMAL
Amplicon-seq	FAIL	PCR primers - EXPECTED
BS-seq	Different pattern	C→T conversion - EXPECTED

Action:

- RNA-seq: Ignore FAIL in first 10-15bp
 - WGS: Should PASS; if not, investigate contamination
 - Know your experiment: Some bias is expected!
-

6. Per-Sequence GC Content

What it shows: Distribution of GC% across all reads vs. theoretical normal

Species-specific GC content:

Organism	Expected GC%
Human	40-42%
Mouse	42%

Organism	Expected GC%
Drosophila	42%
C. elegans	35%
Zebrafish	36%
E. coli	50%
Yeast	38%
Arabidopsis	36%

Expected pattern:

- Single peak near species-specific GC%
- Approximately normal distribution

Concerning patterns:

Sharp peak(s) within main distribution:

- Adapter dimers
- Overrepresented sequences
- rRNA contamination (RNA-seq)

Broad or bimodal distribution:

- Contamination with different organism
- Mixed sample
- DNA and RNA mix

Shifted peak (far from expected):

- Wrong organism
- Heavy contamination
- Very biased library

Experiment-specific expectations:

Experiment Type	Expected
WGS	Single peak at genome GC%
WES	May be shifted (exons have different GC than genome average)
RNA-seq	May be shifted (transcriptome GC - genome GC)
ChIP-seq	May be shifted if protein binds GC-rich/poor regions
ATAC-seq	Usually matches genome, open chromatin not GC-biased

Action:

- Know expected GC for your organism
- Sharp peaks → Check overrepresented sequences
- Broad distribution → Check for contamination

7. Per-Base N Content

What it shows: % of reads with uncalled base (N) at each position

Expected: <1% N content, ideally <0.1%

Interpretation:

- **PASS:** N% <5% at any position
- **FAIL:** N% ≥5% at any position

Causes of high N content:

- Sequencing chemistry issues
- Low signal intensity (poor cluster density)
- Flowcell problems
- Overclustering

Action:

- Low N% (<1%): Normal, acceptable
 - Moderate N% (1-5%): May be OK depending on application
 - High N% (>5%): Consider re-sequencing
-

8. Sequence Length Distribution

What it shows: Distribution of read lengths

Expected patterns:

Illumina (fixed length):

- All reads exactly same length
- Single peak (e.g., all 150bp)

After quality trimming:

- Range of lengths (trimmed to different extents)
- Should have minimum length threshold applied

PacBio/Nanopore (variable):

- Broad distribution
- Expected for long-read platforms

Interpretation:

- **PASS:** All reads same length (or expected distribution)
- **WARN:** Multiple peaks (check if expected)
- **FAIL:** Very different from expected

Concerning:

- Many very short reads (<20bp) → Adapter dimers or over-trimming

- Unexpected variation in fixed-length data

9. Sequence Duplication Levels

What it shows: Degree to which sequences appear multiple times

Theory: In random sequencing, duplicates should be rare

Reality varies by experiment:

Experiment Type	Expected Duplication	Interpretation
WGS	<20%	>50% = Low complexity or PCR bias
WES	20-40%	Higher than WGS due to targeted regions
RNA-seq	50-70%	High expression genes = many identical reads - NORMAL
ChIP-seq	30-50%	Enrichment creates duplicates - can be NORMAL
ATAC-seq	40-60%	Open regions enriched - can be NORMAL
Amplicon-seq	>90%	PCR products - EXPECTED
Small RNA-seq	>80%	Limited diversity - EXPECTED

FastQC limitation:

- FastQC samples only first 100,000 sequences
- May not represent whole library
- Underestimates duplication in very deep sequencing

When duplication is concerning:

WGS >50%:

- Low library complexity
- Too many PCR cycles
- Low input DNA amount
- Consider PCR-free libraries

RNA-seq >80%:

- Extremely low complexity
- Very low RNA input
- Check library prep quality

Action:

- Know what's normal for your experiment type
 - WGS: Consider UMI-based deduplication
 - RNA-seq: 50-70% is normal, don't worry!
-

10. Overrepresented Sequences

What it shows: Sequences appearing in >0.1% of total reads

FastQC attempts to identify source:

- Adapter sequences (from adapter database)
- rRNA (for RNA-seq)
- Other known contaminants

Common sources by experiment:

RNA-seq:

- rRNA (18S, 28S, 5S, 5.8S) → rRNA depletion failed
- Highly expressed genes (actin, GAPDH) → Expected!
- Mitochondrial RNA → Common, usually acceptable

WGS/WES:

- Adapters → Need trimming
- Repetitive elements → Can be normal
- Contamination → Investigate

ChIP-seq/ATAC-seq:

- Mitochondrial DNA → Common, may need filtering
- Repetitive regions → Can be expected

Action:

- Adapters: Trim before alignment
 - rRNA >30%: Consider better rRNA depletion
 - Highly expressed genes (RNA-seq): Normal
 - Unknown sequences: BLAST to identify
-

11. Adapter Content

What it shows: % of reads containing adapter sequences at each position

Why adapters appear:

- Short insert size (read longer than fragment)
- Read-through into adapter
- Adapter dimers (very short/no insert)

Interpretation:

- <5%: Minimal contamination
- 5-10%: Moderate, should trim
- >10%: Significant, definitely trim

Expected patterns:

Good library:

- Minimal adapter at read start
- May increase toward 3' end (normal)

Short inserts:

- Adapter appears mid-read and increases
- Common in small RNA-seq (expected)

Adapter dimers:

- High adapter content from read start
- Very short or no insert

Common adapters:

- Illumina Universal
- Illumina Small RNA 3'
- Nextera Transposase
- SOLiD Small RNA

Action:

- Always trim adapters before alignment
 - If >10%, check library prep quality
 - Adapter dimers → Improve size selection
-

12. K-mer Content

What it shows: Overrepresented k-mers (short sequences) across read positions

When enabled: Not run by default, use `--kmers` flag

Interpretation:

- Flags positionally-biased k-mers
- Can indicate adapter contamination
- Can indicate sequence-specific bias

Action:

- Usually redundant with other modules
 - More sensitive to subtle biases
 - Check if other modules also flag issues
-

Workflow-Specific Interpretation

RNA-seq Expectations

Commonly FAIL (but normal):

- Per-base sequence content (hexamer bias)
- Sequence duplication levels (50-70% normal)
- Overrepresented sequences (highly expressed genes)

Should PASS:

- Per-base sequence quality (after position 15)
- Per-sequence quality scores
- GC content (within $\pm 10\%$ of transcriptome GC)
- Adapter content $< 5\%$ (after trimming)

Red flags:

- 30% rRNA (poor depletion/selection)
 - High intergenic reads (indicates gDNA contamination - check with alignment QC)
 - Very low complexity ($> 80\%$ duplication)
-

WGS Expectations

Should PASS:

- Per-base sequence content (unless Nextera)
- Sequence duplication levels ($< 20\%$)
- Per-base sequence quality
- GC content (match genome)

May WARN/FAIL:

- Nextera libraries: First 5-10bp content bias (normal)

Red flags:

- 50% duplication (low complexity)
 - GC content shifted or bimodal (contamination)
 - High adapter content (poor size selection)
-

WES Expectations

Similar to WGS but:

- GC content may differ from genome (exons often GC-rich)

- Higher duplication OK (20-40%) due to targeted capture
- More position-specific bias from capture probes

Red flags:

- 60% duplication (over-amplified)
 - Very uneven GC distribution (poor capture)
-

ChIP-seq / ATAC-seq Expectations

May FAIL (can be normal):

- Sequence duplication (30-60% due to enrichment)
- GC content (if protein binds GC-rich/poor regions)

Should PASS:

- Per-base sequence quality
- Adapter content <5%

Red flags:

- Very high mitochondrial content (>50% - poor enrichment)
 - Very low complexity (poor enrichment specificity)
-

BS-seq (Bisulfite Sequencing) Expectations

Will FAIL (expected):

- Per-base sequence content (C→T conversion)
- GC content (loss of C)

Should PASS:

- Per-base quality
- Sequence length

Special considerations:

- Expect lower complexity (C→T reduces diversity)
 - Adapter content especially important to trim
-

When to Run FastQC

During Workflow

Raw FASTQ → FastQC → Assess quality → Trimming (if needed) → FastQC → Alignment

Pre-alignment (always):

- Identify quality issues
- Determine if trimming needed
- Check for contamination

Post-trimming (if trimmed):

- Verify improvement
- Confirm adapters removed
- Ensure reads not over-trimmed

Post-alignment (optional):

- Usually not needed (alignment QC is different)
- Can check unmapped reads separately

Quality Thresholds Guide

Universal Standards

Metric	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Action
Per-base quality	>35	>28	>20	<20	Trim if <20
Per-sequence quality	>35	>30	>25	<25	Filter or re-sequence
Adapter content	<1%	<5%	<10%	>10%	Always trim
N content	<0.1%	<1%	<5%	>5%	May need re-sequencing

Experiment-Specific Duplication Thresholds

Experiment	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Concerning
WGS	<10%	<20%	<30%	>50%
WES	<20%	<30%	<40%	>60%
RNA-seq	<40%	40-60%	60-80%	>80%
ChIP-seq	<30%	30-50%	50-70%	>70%
Small RNA	N/A	N/A	>80%	<80% (too low!)

Common Issues and Solutions

Issue: Low Quality Scores (<Q20)

Causes:

- Overclustering on flowcell

- Insufficient sequencing reagents
- Flowcell age/damage
- Instrument calibration issues

Solutions:

- Trim low-quality bases
 - If severe, re-sequence
 - Contact sequencing facility
-

Issue: High Adapter Content

Causes:

- Short insert sizes (smaller than read length)
- Poor size selection during library prep
- Adapter dimers

Solutions:

- Trim adapters (use cutadapt, Trim Galore, etc.)
- Improve size selection in future preps
- Filter very short reads after trimming

Trimming tools:

- Cutadapt
 - Trim Galore
 - Trimmomatic
 - fastp
-

Issue: High Duplication

Diagnosis: Check if appropriate for experiment type

If concerning:

For WGS:

- Use UMI-based deduplication (if UMIs present)
- Mark duplicates with Picard
- Consider PCR-free library prep next time

For RNA-seq:

- 50-70% is normal
- 80% check library prep quality
- Consider UMI-based quantification

Issue: Contamination (Unusual GC, Overrepresented Seqs)

Diagnosis:

1. Check overrepresented sequences
2. BLAST unknown sequences
3. Compare GC to expected

Common contaminants:

- PhiX (Illumina spike-in) ~45% GC
- E. coli ~50% GC
- Adapters
- Vectors (plasmids)

Solutions:

- Filter contaminating sequences
 - Align to contaminant reference and discard
 - Screen with FastQ Screen
-

Issue: Tile-Specific Quality Problems

Diagnosis: Check per-tile quality heatmap

Solutions:

- Minor: Ignore
 - Severe: Filter reads from bad tiles using read headers
 - Contact facility if widespread
-

Technical Details

How FastQC Works

Sampling strategy:

- Analyzes subsets of reads (not all)
- Defaults: First 100,000 sequences for duplication
- Can be changed with `--limit_bases` or `--extract`

Processing:

1. Detects FASTQ format and quality encoding
2. Calculates statistics per module
3. Applies thresholds for PASS/WARN/FAIL
4. Generates HTML report and ZIP data

Speed:

- ~1-2 minutes per FASTQ file
 - Scales with file size
 - Parallelizes multiple files with --threads
-

Output Files

HTML Report:

- Interactive visualization
- All modules with plots
- Summary table
- ~500KB-2MB size

ZIP File:

- Raw data in text format
 - fastqc_data.txt: All statistics
 - summary.txt: PASS/WARN/FAIL table
 - Images/: PNG plots
 - Used by MultiQC and other tools
-

Command Line Usage

```
# Basic usage
fastqc sample.fastq.gz

# Multiple files
fastqc sample1.fq.gz sample2.fq.gz

# Specify output directory
fastqc -o output_dir/ sample.fq.gz

# Use multiple threads
fastqc -t 4 sample1.fq.gz sample2.fq.gz

# Quiet mode (suppress progress)
fastqc -q sample.fq.gz

# Extract ZIP automatically
fastqc --extract sample.fq.gz

# Custom limits
fastqc --limits limits.txt sample.fq.gz
```

```
# Skip specific modules  
fastqc --nogroup sample.fq.gz # Disable read grouping
```

Integration with Other Tools

MultiQC

Aggregates multiple FastQC reports:

- Parses *_fastqc.zip files
- Creates comparative plots
- Generates single HTML report
- Useful for batch analysis

FastQ Screen

Complements FastQC for contamination screening:

- Aligns reads to multiple reference genomes
- Quantifies contamination sources
- More sensitive than GC content alone

Trim Galore

Wrapper around Cutadapt and FastQC:

- Trims adapters and low quality
 - Automatically runs FastQC before/after
 - Convenient for standard workflows
-

Troubleshooting

FastQC Won't Run

Error: "Couldn't find specified file"

- Check file path is correct
- Ensure file exists and readable
- Check permissions (chmod)

Error: "Unable to determine encoding"

- File may not be valid FASTQ
- Check format with: `head -n 20 file.fq`
- Ensure quality scores are present

Error: "Out of memory"

- Very large files (>50GB)
 - Increase Java heap: `fastqc -Xmx4g file.fq`
 - Or split file into chunks
-

HTML Report Won't Open

Causes:

- Browser compatibility (use Chrome/Firefox)
- JavaScript disabled
- File corrupted during transfer
- Network location issues

Solutions:

- Copy file locally
 - Enable JavaScript
 - Try different browser
 - Re-run FastQC if corrupted
-

Results Look Wrong

All modules FAIL:

- Not a FASTQ file
- Wrong encoding detected
- Severely corrupted data

Check:

```
# Verify FASTQ format
head -n 20 file.fq.gz | gunzip
```

```
# Expected:
```

```
@sequence_id
ACTG...
+
IIII...
```

Unexpected patterns:

- Know your experiment type
 - Some "failures" are expected
 - Context matters
-

Quality Decision Tree

```
Start
↓
Per-base quality >20 across read?
  NO → Trim low quality regions
  YES → Continue
    ↓
Adapter content >5%?
  YES → Trim adapters
  NO → Continue
    ↓
Duplication appropriate for experiment?
  NO → Investigate library prep
  YES → Continue
    ↓
GC content matches expectation?
  NO → Check for contamination
  YES → Continue
    ↓
Proceed to alignment
```

Best Practices

Always

- Run FastQC on raw data before any processing
- Check all modules, not just overall status
- Know what's normal for your experiment type
- Trim adapters if >5% content
- Keep logs and reports for reproducibility

Never

- Assume FAIL means unusable data
- Ignore context of experiment type
- Skip quality control to save time
- Delete raw data before QC
- Trust quality metrics from sequencing facility alone

References

- **FastQC Documentation:** <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>

- **FastQC Tutorial:** <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/Help/>
- **MultiQC:** <https://multiqc.info/>
- **Phred Quality Scores:** Ewing et al. Genome Research 1998

Document Version: 2.0

Last Updated: January 2026

Applicable to: All NGS platforms and experiment types