575: Exercise 6: Creation and Dependency - Work in Pairs

In this tutorial we think about some of the different patterns we have seen for creating objects and systems of objects. For today's example we look at searching through a very large book catalogue.

Look at pages 2 onwards of this spec for details of how to get the skeleton code, test it, and submit.

Start off by looking at BookSearchQueryTest - it should pass when you run it.

Introduce Builder

In the given code, constructing a BookSearchQuery is a bit ugly (see the examples in BookSearchQueryTest where different queries are constructed - there are lots of nulls). Try to introduce another class that has responsibility for creating queries, but has a nicer API for clients. Do not change BookSearchQuery, but feel free to change BookSearchQueryTest.

Introduce Singleton

Also in the given code we make a new instance of the (huge) BritishLibraryCatalogue for every query. Imagine that this object takes a long time and hundreds of megabytes of memory to construct (although obviously the version we have in the exercise is actually quite small).

Take the given code for BritishLibraryCatalogue and change it to use a Singleton pattern to make sure that there is only ever one instance created.

Dependency Inversion

Once you have done that, think about the coupling and dependencies that exist between your objects. Perhaps we would like to re-use BookSearchQuery but have it work with an ImperialCollegeLibraryCatalogue instead. Refactor the code following the principle of dependency inversion to allow this, so that the dependency on the concrete class is broken, and we have a more flexible, re-usable design.

Testing in Isolation

Can you now change the unit test for the BookSearchQuery so that it is tested effectively, but without having to use a large library catalogue object. Feel free to change the way that BookSearchQueryTest works in order to improve things.

You should not make any changes to QueryParser, Book or BookTest.

Getting The Skeleton Code

Get the outline from GitLab:

```
git clone https://gitlab.doc.ic.ac.uk/lab1819_spring/575_exercise6_login.git
```

Project Structure

When you clone from GitLab, you should find a similar structure to what we had in the first exercises:

```
- build.sh
— config
- build.gradle
- src
   — main
      └─ java
          └─ ic
              L_ doc
                   - Book.java
                   BookSearchQuery.java
                     - catalogues
                       - BritishLibraryCatalogue.java
                      └─ QueryParser.java
     - test
      └─ java
          └─ ic
                 - doc
                  BookSearchQueryTest.java
BookTest.java
```

Follow the existing structure of directories and packages for code and tests, as per previous weeks.

You should not make any changes to QueryParser, Book or BookTest.

Running the Build

This is the same as previous weeks, you can just type ./build.sh

Make sure you run ./build.sh before you submit, as this is what the autotest will run.

The coverage check ignores BritishLibraryCatalogue.java - you do not need to write a unit test to cover that class.

If you have completed the required functionality, the build passes, and you are happy with your code, then you are ready to submit.

Submission

When you have finished, make sure you have pushed all your changes to GitLab (source code only, not generated files under *build*). You can use LabTS (https://teaching.doc.ic.ac.uk/labts) to test the version of your work that is on GitLab. Then you need to submit a revision to CATe by clicking the "Submit to CATe" button on LabTS.

Whoever cloned the repository originally should submit to CATe, and then add your partner as a group member. The other person should sign the submission to confirm.

Deadline

You are strongly encouraged to do the exercise during the lab session on Tuesday afternoon. However it is possible to submit up until **9am** (in the morning) Monday **25th** Feb. This is not intended to be a large exercise, so it should not take a lot of time.

Assessment

The markers expect that your submission passes the automated tests and checks:

- Code compiles
- Tests pass
- Test coverage check passes
- Style check passes

Make sure you have 3/3 on LabTS.

If you pass these automated checks then the markers will review the design of your code and award marks based on:

- Effective implementation of builder
- Effective application of singleton and use of dependency inversion principle
- Effective testing of BookSearchQuery in isolation
- General code quality, clarity, freedom from duplication etc
- Bonus marks for particularly good code or design (at the marker's discretion)

Marks may also be deducted for poor quality code, errors, or for not meeting the requirements.