## Corrigendum to "Strong Normalization Proof with CPS-Translation for Second Order Classical Natural Deduction"

Makoto Tatsuta † Koji Nakazawa \*

September 2, 2003

Our paper [1] contains a serious error. Proposition 4.6 of [1] is actually false and hence our strong normalization proof does not work for the Curry-style  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus. However, our method still can show that (1) the correction of Proposition 5.4 of [2], and (2) the correction of the proof of strong normalization of Church-style  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus by CPS-translation.

Firstly, our method is still effective for the correction of Proposition 5.4 of [2]. The proposition claims that for any Curry-style  $\lambda\mu$ -term u, which is not necessarily typable, if  $u^*$  is strongly normalizable, then u is strongly normalizable too. But its proof does not work, since Proposition 5.1 (i) of [2] is false because of erasing-continuation. Our method proves the similar result for the Curry-style  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus by Propositions 4.3 and 4.12 of [1].

**Proposition.** For any Curry-style  $\lambda\mu$ -term u, if there exists an augmentation  $u^+$  of u such that  $u^{+*}$  is strongly normalizable, then u is strongly normalizable.

Secondly, as mentioned in the concluding remarks of [1], our method is effective for the strong normalization proof of the Church-style  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus, which is called the second-order typed  $\lambda\mu$ calculus in [2]. The strong normalization of the typed  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus is proved in [2], but its proof with CPS-translation does not work since Proposition 5.5 of [2] is false because of erasing-continuation.

For the Church-style system, the CPS-translation preserves typability of terms, and the strong normalization is proved by our method in [1]. Definition 4.7 in [1] is naturally changed for Churchstyle terms as follows:

Aug( $\mu\alpha^A.t$ ) = { $\mu\alpha^A.(\lambda z^{\perp}.t^+)([\alpha^A]c^{\forall X.X}\vec{a})$ ;  $t^+ \in \text{Aug}(t), \ z^{\perp}$  is a fresh  $\lambda$ -variable and  $\vec{a}$  is a finite sequence of terms and types}. Then, similarly to the case of the Curry-style, we can prove the following facts, where  $\triangleright_{\lambda}$ ,  $\triangleright_{\mu}$  and  $\triangleright_{\forall}$  are defined as in [2].

**Lemmas.** (1) If  $t: \Gamma \vdash A, \Delta$  is provable in the typed  $\lambda \mu$ -calculus, then there is an augmentation  $t^+$  of t such that  $t^+ : \Gamma, (\forall X.X)^c \vdash A, \Delta$ .

- (2) If  $t > \frac{1}{\lambda} u$  and  $t^+$  is an augmentation of t, then there exists an augmentation  $u^+$  of u such that  $t^{+*} >^+ u^{+*}$ .
- (3) If  $t \rhd^1_{\mu} u$  or  $t \rhd^1_{\forall} u$ , and  $t^+$  is an augmentation of t, then there exists an augmentation  $u^+$  of u such that  $t^{+*} \rhd u^{+*}$ .

Using these lemmas, the strong normalization of the typed  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus is proved as follows.

**Theorem.** Any typed term of the typed  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus is strongly normalizable.

<sup>\*</sup> Graduate School Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501. JAPAN. knak@kuis.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup> National Institute of Informatics, Tokyo 101-8430, JAPAN, e-mail: tatsuta@nii.ac.jp

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists an infinite sequence of typed  $\lambda \mu$ -terms  $\{t_i\}_{i<\omega}$  such that  $t_i \rhd^1$   $t_{i+1}$  for all  $i<\omega$ . Then there are infinitely many i such that  $t_i \rhd^1_{\lambda} t_{i+1}$  as proved in [2]. We can find an augmentation  $t_0^+$  of  $t_0$  which is typed, then there is an infinite sequence of  $\lambda$ -terms  $\{t_i^{+*}\}$  such that  $t_i^{+*} \rhd t_{i+1}^{+*}$  by (2) and (3) of the above lemmas. Then there are infinitely many i such that  $t_i^{+*} \rhd^+ t_{i+1}^{+*}$  by (2) of the above lemmas, but it contradicts the strong normalization of the second-order  $\lambda$ -calculus.  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] K. Nakazawa, M. Tatsuta, Strong Normalization Proof with CPS-Translation for Second Order Classical Natural Deduction, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 68 (3) (2003) 851-859.
- [2] M. Parigot, Proofs of Strong Normalization for Second Order Classical Natural Deduction, Journal of Symbolic Logic 62 (4) (1997) 1461-1479.