Normalization of symbolic heaps for entailment checking in concurrent separation logic with fractional permissions

LEE Yeonseok (Nagoya University), NAKAZAWA Koji (Nagoya University)

研究目標

背景:separation logicの証明体系でdecidable entailment check が必要

目標:symbolic Heapとlist segment述語に制限したfractional permissionがあるentailment判定問題のdecidabilityを示す

Separation Logic [Reynolds2002]

- ポインタを使うプログラムを検証するためHoare論理の拡張
- $\{\text{pre-condition}\}\ C\ \{\text{post-condition}\}$ $x\mapsto y$ (ヒープ中のポインタを表現)
- P*Q (separating conjunction) : P and Q hold for disjoint portions (heaps) \rightarrow 分離が大事 \rightarrow modular reasoning
- inductively defined predicates (e.g. list segments (ls) or tree) → 再帰的データ構造を表現するため

Concurrent SL [Brotherston2020 & Brookes2007]

 $\{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \|\ foo(x,y)\ \{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \|\ foo($

- read-only : 0 < permission value < 1, e.g. $x \overset{0.5}{\mapsto} 3$
- writable: permission value = 1, e.g. $y \stackrel{1.0}{\mapsto} 2$ Data Race を避けるため!
- $P \circledast Q$ (weak separating conjunction) : P * Q あるいは overlapされる部分に対して、permission valueの足し算を行う
- permission heap model

s: var \rightarrow val、変数間の等価関係などを表現

h: loc $ightarrow_{\mathit{fin}}$ val imes perm、Heapの状態を表現

 ρ : label \to val \times perm、assigning a single p-heap $\rho(\alpha)$ to each label $\alpha.$

・*と®のSemantics

$$\begin{split} s,h,\rho \models \Sigma_1 * \Sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow \\ \exists h_1,h_2 \,.\, h = h_1 \circ h_2 \, \text{and} \, s, h_1,\rho \models \Sigma_1 \, \text{and} \, s, h_2,\rho \models \Sigma_2 \\ s,h,\rho \models \Sigma_1 \circledast \Sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow \\ \exists h_1,h_2 \,.\, h = h_1 \, \bar{\circ} \, h_2 \, \text{and} \, s, h_1,\rho \models \Sigma_1 \, \text{and} \, s, h_2,\rho \models \Sigma_2 \end{split}$$

Labelの役割

・最終的には

 $\{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{1.0}\}\ foo(x,y)\ \|\ foo(x,y)\ \{(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{1.0}\}$ を 導きたい、しかし、 $(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5}$ $(\operatorname{ls} xy)^{0.5} \not\vDash (\operatorname{ls} xy)^{1.0}$

 \rightarrow lost the information that two $(\lg xy)^{0.5}$ were actually from the SAME heap. 例えば、

 $(x \stackrel{0.5}{\mapsto} y \circledast y \stackrel{0.5}{\mapsto} y) \circledast x \stackrel{0.5}{\mapsto} y \equiv x \mapsto y \circledast y \stackrel{0.5}{\mapsto} y \nvDash (\operatorname{ls} xy)^{1.0}$

- labelの役割: denoting the same heap

Symbolic Heapへの制限

symbolic heap : $\Pi \wedge \Sigma$

 $\Pi ::= x = y \mid x \neq y \mid \Pi \wedge \Pi \mid @_{\alpha} \Sigma$

 $\Sigma ::= \operatorname{emp} \mid x \mapsto y \mid \operatorname{Is}(x, y) \mid \Sigma^* \Sigma \mid$ $\Sigma \circledast \Sigma \mid \Sigma^{\pi} \mid \alpha$

 Π : 変数の性質 Σ : heapの状態を表現

 $s, h, \rho \models @_{\alpha}\Sigma \Leftrightarrow s, \rho(\alpha), \rho \models \Sigma$

 $s, h, \rho \models \alpha \Leftrightarrow h = \rho(\alpha)$

 $\Pi \wedge \Sigma \Longrightarrow \Pi \wedge (\Sigma \wedge \alpha) \equiv (\Pi \wedge (\emptyset_{\alpha} \Sigma) \wedge \alpha)$

label_intro by [Brotherston2020] transformation via the equivalence (≡)

正規形

$$\Pi_{nf} ::= x = y \mid x \neq y \mid \Pi_{nf} \wedge \Pi_{nf}$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{nf} &::= \text{emp} \mid x \overset{\pi}{\mapsto} y \mid \text{ls}(x,y)^{\pi} \mid \Sigma_{nf} * \Sigma_{nf} \\ \mid \Sigma_{nf} \circledast \Sigma_{nf} \end{split}$$

 Π_{nf} : @-free

 Σ_{nf} : label-free & permission values are only atomic form

- 正規化は以下のstepからなる
 - 1. simplify (permission valueの計算)
 - odistribution : $(\Sigma * \Sigma)^\pi \equiv \Sigma^\pi * \Sigma^\pi$
 - o addition: Σ^{π} (*) $\Sigma^{\sigma} \equiv \Sigma^{\pi \oplus \sigma}$ // Σ がlabelならば、いつでも足し算できる
 - 2. label_elimination

 $(\Pi \wedge @_{\alpha}\Sigma) \wedge (\Sigma' \circledast \alpha^{0.5}) \models \Pi \wedge (\Sigma' \circledast \Sigma^{0.5})$

正規化の例

@ $\alpha \operatorname{tree}(x)^{\pi} \mid \alpha^{0.5} \circledast \alpha^{0.5} \Rightarrow // \operatorname{simplify}$

 $@_{\alpha} \operatorname{tree}(x)^{\pi} \mid \alpha^{1.0} \Rightarrow$

// label elimination

T : $tree(x)^{\pi}$

Conjecture

1. Validity of entailments is unchanged by the normalization

- 2. 正規形のentailment check/はdecidable
- → [Berdine2005]の体系に帰着できると予想

References

[Reynolds2002] Reynolds, John C. "Separation logic: A logic for shared mutable data structures." *Proceedings 17th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*. IEEE, 2002. [Brookes2007] Brookes, Stephen. "A semantics for concurrent separation logic." *Theoretical Computer Science* 375.1-3 (2007): 227-270.

[Berdine2005]Berdine, Josh, Cristiano Calcagno, and Peter W. O'hearn. "Symbolic execution with separation logic." *Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005.

[Brotherston2020] Brotherston, James, et al. "Reasoning over Permissions Regions in Concurrent Separation Logic." *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*. Springer, Cham, 2020.