

Wrangell: A Data-Wrangling DSL in Haskell

Dana Iltis, Kenan Nalbant, and Donald Pinckney

University of California, Davis
{driltis, kanalbant, djpinckney}@ucdavis.edu

ABSTRACT

Managing data from various document types (e.g. txt, csv files) often requires manual work by a user. We present Wrangell, a fast, simple domain-specific language for common data manipulation tasks.

Run from the command line, Wrangell is specifically designed to modify tables of data wherein columns are separated by a user-specified delimiter. Wrangell has functions to read in a file, parse the data, and run a transformation on the table, and write the modified table to an output file.

We implemented Wrangell in Haskell, a functional programming language. We chose Haskell for its powerful type system which allows a large amount of control over how operations behave when handed various data types. Furthermore, as a functional programming language, Haskell is a good choice for tasks which depend on running transformations on data.

We based Wrangell on Scheme, a Lisp-like language known for its simplicity. Wrangell’s simplicity is desirable as it would facilitate ease of integration into potential future data management projects.

1. INTRODUCTION

For our project, we wanted to design and implement a small language. Haskell was an attractive tool because of its type system which makes data types immutable and allows for algebraic definition of types. Furthermore, our team members had either a beginner or intermediate level of Haskell knowledge, so we saw this as an opportunity to learn something new.

To keep the scope of our language’s features manageable, we chose to gear our language to a specific domain. Because Haskell is a functional language, we thought that *data-wrangling*, with its emphasis on data transformations, would be a good application for our language implemented in Haskell. We began creating Wrangell, a DSL for “WRANGling” data via “haskELL”.

We focused our desired data-wrangling tasks on one format: a table of data in a csv file, wherein we recognize rows via lines of the file, and columns per a user-specified delimiter. The following operations would initially be included in Wrangell:

1. Read in an input filename, output filename, () , ()
2. Read in and parse a csv file per a filename
3. Run some transformation on the data in the file

4. Write the transformed data to an output file

More specifically, the transformation operations in Wrangell would allow users to:

1. Remove a column
2. Insert a column
3. Remove a row
4. Insert a row

A motivating example for Wrangell could be the following: A small business has many csv files (say, one for each year the company has been active) with customer data. Each file has a column for various items including the customer’s name, address, phone number, and credit card number. Now say the business wanted to contract some advertising company to mail a promotional catalog to each of its customers. In this case, the business would want to share its customer database with the advertising company. However, sharing customer credit card information with the advertising company would be both unnecessary and dangerous. In this case, the business would want an easy way to make copies of the customer information files with the credit card information column removed.

2. APPROACH

Because our team members only had beginner or intermediate levels of Haskell knowledge, we chose to keep Wrangell’s data management operations fairly simple. As a result, Wrangell’s application subjects are limited to tables of data wherein columns were separated by either a comma, or a user-specified delimiter (example, figure ())

We determined big-step semantics for Wrangell’s operations in figure (placeholder).

Finally we planned to apply Wrangell’s data manipulation features to a variety of csv files. Examples of our desired behavior for Wrangell are illustrated in figure (placeholder).

In this example, we might want to share the files peopleA.txt, peopleB.txt, and peopleC.txt with some third party. But first we need to remove the sensitive information (e.g. “SocSecNum”). We could manually go through all of the

filename: peopleA.txt

```
name,age,gender,hometown,Favorite Food,SocSecNum
kenan,40,M,Cupertino,Crepes,11112222333
dana,25,F,Santa Barbara,Cheetos,11133661
donald,17,M,Davis,Milk,888176162
```

filename: peopleB.txt

```
name,age,gender,Favorite Food,school,SocSecNum
Dale,40,M,Coffee,Dartmouth 8716268282
Audrey,18,F,Coffee,Twin Peaks High,82377266378
Laura,17,F,Cereal,Twin Peaks High,828138921
Bobby,17,M,Bacon,Twin Peaks High,898709809
Hawk,34,M,Doughnuts,Brandeis,55154226
Ben,48,M,Brie sandwich,USC,556363
Hank,34,M,Dominoes,Prison GED Program,8872211
Leo,32,M,Raw Hamburger,Sarah Lawrence,837428937
```

filename: peopleC.txt

```
name,age,gender,SocSecNum,hometown,Spouse
Jax,33,M,8376499281,Charming,Tara
LuAnn,18,F,98349823,Twin Peaks,Otto
Tig,45,M,0002928181,Phoenix,n/a
Bobby,51,M,73727627618,Austin,n/a
Clay,54,M,67257632617,Charming,Gemma
Juice,31,M,8794861321,Philly,n/a
Tara,3,M,6534654215,Charming,Jax
```

files, manually removing the social security number column from each. But if we had, say, 100 files, this would be very expensive. By using Wrangell we would hope to create some modified versions of the files ("mod_peopleA.txt", "mod_peopleB.txt", and "mod_peopleC.txt") with easily copy-pasted commands in the command line.

Wrangell expects data to be formatted per the following example (by default, Wrangell expects a comma as the delimiter between columns:

1. Table

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{n=1, r=1}^{\infty} \{M | t_i \in T, m_{*i} \in V(t_i : r), l_i \in \{Strings\}, \forall l_i \# l_j s.t. l_i = l_j \wedge j \neq i\}$$

$$\sigma[M] = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), (m_{*1}, m_{*2}, \dots, m_{*n}), (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$$

2. Drop Column

$$\frac{\langle name, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow l_i}{\langle dropCol name, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\sigma'[M] = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n), (m_{*1}, m_{*2}, \dots, m_{*i-1}, m_{*i+1}, \dots, m_{*n}), (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_n)\}$$

3. Drop Row

$$\frac{m'_{*i} = \{m_{ji} | \langle \lambda m_{ji}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow False\}}{\langle dropRow \lambda, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\sigma'[M] = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), (m'_{*1}, m'_{*2}, \dots, m'_{*n}), (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)\}$$

4. Insert Column

$$\frac{j \in [1, n+1], name \in \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}}{\langle insertCol type name j, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\sigma'[M] = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{j-1}, type, \dots, t_n), (m_{*1}, m_{*2}, \dots, 0(), \dots, m_{*n}), (l_1, l_2, \dots, name, \dots, l_n)\}$$

3. IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

We ran into several challenges when writing our Wrangell code in Haskell. Most of our challenges centered on our need to mimic imperative behavior in Haskell, a functional language.

3.1 Modifying Information in an Immutable State

One challenge was to store information about the contents of the input file. This conflicts with the idea that a functional limits its environment to an “immutable state”. For example, Wrangell would need to store the parsed data table from each input file. Our solution was to perform operations within the *IO Monad*, an abstract data type which provides a system wherein we can build “composite actions”. This allows us to mimic imperative behavior without requiring mutability of variables. The below example demonstrates our use of the IO monad during construction of an empty data table for later use:

```
— datatypes.hs —
import Data.IORef
data Table' =
  Table' { rows :: [[WVal]],
          format :: [WType],
          labels :: [String],
          delimiter :: String}
type Table = IORef Table' — TODO: This will be a bit different

—creates a new empty table context
emptyTable :: IO Table
emptyTable = new IORef Table' {
  rows = [[]],
  format = [],
  labels = [],
  delimiter = ","
}
```

Because emptyTable is in the IO monad, we can later () as follows:

```
—blah blah blah
```

Without defining emptyTable as an IORef, we would have (encountered an error?) above.

Additionally, Use of the IO Monad via IORef also allows Wrangell to be used to make “helper functions”,

We describe monads and IO further in “Related Work” below.

3.2 Type System Flexibility

The second challenge was creating a type system which was flexible enough to achieve our desired level of expressiveness while still behaving within the confines of Haskell’s type system. We initially defined functions in ‘funcTable’ as follows:

```
— functions.hs —
funcTable :: [(FuncDef, [WVal] -> WVal)] — what is FuncDef?
funcTable = [
  .
  .
  .
```

```
((“if”, [TBool, TFloat, TFloat]), if ’),
((“if”, [TBool, TBool, TBool]), if ’),
((“car”, [TList [TIntegral, TIntegral, TIntegral,
```

```
.
.
.
```

```
if ’ :: [WVal] -> WVal
if ’ [condition, t, f] = if unpackBool condition
```

In this strategy we use funcTable to constrain exactly what inputs a function can accept. However, for the car function (which computes the first item of a list), in order to describe lists of varying length and types, we would have to include a line (an instance of the funcTable? class?) for every possible combination of lengths and types!

Our solution (below) was to move the constraining of what inputs a function can accept into the functions themselves. This allows more flexibility in funcTable. However, because it would now be possible to pass incompatible data to a function, we needed a way for functions to handle potential errors. Our solution, described in related work, was to implement type-safe exception handling via the Either monad.

```
— functions.hs —
funcTable' :: [(String, [WVal] -> ThrowsError WVal)]
funcTable' = [
  .
  .
  .
```

```
((“if”, if ’), — No longer need to specify accept
((“car”, car))
```

```
.
.
.
if ’ :: [WVal] -> ThrowsError WVal — Exception/error
if ’ ifComps = do
```

```
—error checking
checkLength (==) 3 ifComps
checkType TBool $ head ifComps
```

```
—checks that the types of the consequents match
if getType t == getType f
then return $ List $ tail ifComps
else throwError $ TypeError “expected types to match”
```

```
—does the actual if computation
if unpackBool cond then return t else return f
```

```
where cond = head ifComps
      t = ifComps !! 1
      f = ifComps !! 2
```

```
.
.
.
car :: [WVal] -> ThrowsError WVal
car [List (x : _)] = return x
car [FuncDef? ()] = throwError $ TypeError “pair”
car badArgList = throwError $ NumArgs 1 badArg
```

3.3 Getting Wrangell to Read in csv Files

We used existing open source code from `data.csv` [1] to read in and parse the csv data files.

4. RELATED WORK

Monads

Monad transformers

The IO monad (IORef)

Application to other file formats (hdf5)

`data.csv`

5. CONCLUSIONS

Wrangell

Hypothetical future improvements of Wrangell might include improvement in label analysis, a feature which would

allow users to identify columns purely via their label. For example, an operation meant to aggregate email addresses for all customers since 1995 would need to handle customer data files wherein the column "email address" is not present (e.g. files prior to 2002). Additionally, it would also be useful if Wrangell could handle files with inconsistent ordering of columns. Finally, we would want Wangell to be able to handle data tables with an unknown number of columns.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] jgoerzen/missingh. URL
<https://github.com/jgoerzen/missingh>.