

MATH 341 / 650.3 Spring 2019 Homework #1

Frank Palma Gomez

Sunday 9th February, 2020

Problem 1

These are questions about McGrayne's book, preface, chapter 1, 2 and 3.

- (a) [easy] Explain Hume's problem of induction with the sun rising every day.
- (b) [easy] Explain the "inverse probability problem."
- (c) [easy] What is Bayes' billiard table problem?
- (d) [difficult] [MA] How did Price use Bayes' idea to prove the existence of the deity?
- (e) [easy] Why should Bayes Rule really be called "Laplace's Rule?"
- (f) [difficult] Prove the version of Bayes Rule found on page 20. State your assumption(s) explicitly. Reference class notes as well.
- (g) [easy] Give two scientific contexts where Laplace used inverse probability theory to solve major problems.
- (h) [difficult] [MA] Why did Laplace turn into a frequentist later in life?
- (i) [easy] State Laplace's version of Bayes Rule (p31).
- (j) [easy] Why was Bayes Rule "damned" (pp36-37)?
- (k) [easy] According to Edward Molina, what is the prior (p41)?
- (l) [easy] What is the source of the "credibility" metric that insurance companies used in the 1920's?
- (m) [easy] Can the principle of inverse probability work without priors? Yes/no.

- (n) [difficult] In class we discussed / will discuss the “principle of indifference” which is a term I borrowed from Donald Gillies’ Philosophical Theories of Probability. On Wikipedia, it says that Jacob Bernoulli called it the “principle of insufficient reason”. McGrayne in her research of original sources comes up with many names throughout history this principle was named. List all of them you can find here.
- (o) [easy] Jeffreys seems to be the founding father of modern Bayesian Statistics. But why did the world turn frequentist in the 1920’s? (p57)

Problem 2

These exercises will review the Bernoulli model.

- (a) [easy] If $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find $\mathbb{E}[X]$, $\text{Var}[X]$, $\text{Supp}[X]$ and Θ . No need to derive from first principles, just find the formulas.
- (b) [harder] If $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find $\text{median}[X]$.
- (c) [harder] If $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, write the “parametric statistical model” below using the notation we used in class only with a semicolon.
- (d) [harder] Explain what the semicolon notation in the previous answer indicates.
- (e) [easy] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find the likelihood, \mathcal{L} , of θ .
- (f) [difficult] Given the likelihood above, what would \mathcal{L} be if the data was $\langle 0, 1, 0, 1, 3.7 \rangle$? Why should this answer have to be?
- (g) [easy] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find the log-likelihood of θ , $\ell(\theta)$.
- (h) [difficult] [MA] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$, explain why the log-likelihood of θ is normally distributed if n gets large.
- (i) [easy] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find the score function (i.e the derivative of the log-likelihood) of θ .
- (j) [harder] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find the maximum likelihood estimator for θ . An “estimator” is a random variable. Thus, it will be an uppercase letter.
- (k) [easy] If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, find the maximum likelihood *estimate* for θ . An “estimate” is a number. Thus, it will be a lowercase letter.
- (l) [easy] If your data is $\langle 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, find the maximum likelihood *estimate* for θ .

- (m) [easy] Given this data, find a 99% confidence interval for θ .
- (n) [harder] Given this data, test $H_0 : \theta = 0.5$ versus $H_a : \theta \neq 0.5$.
- (o) [easy] Write the PDF of $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1^2)$.
- (p) [difficult] Find the MLE for θ if $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1^2)$.
- (q) [difficult] [MA] Find the MLE for θ if $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Solve the system of equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} [\ell(\theta)] = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} [\ell(\theta)] = 0$ where $\ell(\theta)$ denotes the log likelihood. You can easily find this online. But try to do it yourself.

Problem 3

We will review the frequentist perspective here.

- (a) [difficult] Why do frequentists have an insistence on θ being a fixed, immutable quantity? We didn't cover this in class explicitly but it is lurking behind the scenes. Use your reference resources.
- (b) [easy] What are the three goals of inference? Give short explanations.
- (c) [easy] What are the three reasons why *frequentists* (adherents to the frequentist perspective) use MLEs i.e. list three properties of MLEs that make them powerful.
- (d) [difficult] [MA] Give the conditions for asymptotic normality of the MLE,

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} - \theta}{\text{SE}[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}]} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

You can find them online.

- (e) [difficult] [MA] The standard error of the estimator, $\text{SE}[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}]$ cannot be found without the true value of θ . If we had the true value of θ we wouldn't be doing inference! So we substituted $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ (the point estimate) into $\text{SE}[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}]$ and called it $\hat{\text{SE}}[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}]$ (note the hat over the SE). Show that this too is asymptotically normal, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} - \theta}{\hat{\text{SE}}[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}]} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

You need the continuous mapping theorem and Slutsky's theorem.

- (f) [easy] [MA] Explain why the previous question allows us to build asymptotically valid confidence intervals using $\left[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \hat{\text{SE}} \left[\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \right] \right]$.
- (g) [harder] Why does some of frequentist inference break down if n isn't large?
- (h) [easy] Write the most popular two frequentist interpretations of a confidence interval.
- (i) [harder] Why are each of these unsatisfactory?
- (j) [easy] What are the two possible outcomes of a hypothesis test?
- (k) [difficult] [MA] What is the weakness of the interpretation of the p -val?

Problem 4

We review and build upon conditional probability here.

- (a) [easy] Explain why $\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \propto \mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$.
- (b) [easy] If B represents the hypothesis or the putative cause and A represents evidence or data, explain what Bayesian Conditionalism is, going from which probability statement to which probability statement.