


This graph shows the current and projected uplift on each Map Unit as entered in the Credit Calculator. The labels indicate the max number of credits, regardlesss of it they are current on predicted.

As shown, Map Unit 19 has the greatest total number of credits, with 817 current credits




This graph shows the projected credits for each Map Unit based on the ideal management regime.

These 'idealized' credits are compared to the maximum credits in the call.

These 'idealized' credits are compared to the maximum credits in the calcualator (either predicted or current). As shown, Map Unit 19 has the greatest total number of credits, with 902 current credits, if Restoration Management Scenarion 5 (High Effort Level) is used

# Management Regime #1




Management Regime
The graph above compares current, predicted, and modelled credit creation opportunities across the project site. The left-most bars represent different levels of effort of this management regime


The table below captures the Map Units that will be most improved by this management regime, and shows the number of credits predicted under each effort level.

The barchart below shows the same information, but in % increase in order to normalize the effect of total number of acres.

### Map Unit and Percent Increase Based on Effort Level

MU C	urrent Credit	rojected Crec	Low Effort I	Medium Effor	High Effort
10.0	91.94	340.02	128.07	384.22	418.8
1.0	0.0	240.16	52.83	264.17	309.08
12.0	0.0	233.96	88.12	264.37	274.95
8.0	0.0	172.87	33.54	167.69	204.58
28.0	188.58	174.69	33.89	169.45	198.25
7.0	0.0	175.94	34.84	174.18	182.89
31.0	0.0	167.29	38.48	153.91	178.53
4.0	27.12	133.54	32.05	128.2	137.17
32.0	0.0	99.61	21.91	109.57	111.76
5.0	0.0	82.07	17.56	87.82	107.14






Management Regime
The graph above compares current, predicted, and modelled credit creation opportunities across the project site. The left-most bars represent different levels of effort of this management regime

The table below captures the Map Units that will be most improved by this management regime, and shows the number of credits predicted under each effort level.


The barchart below shows the same information, but in % increase in order to normalize the effect of total number of acres.

### Map Unit and Percent Increase Based on Effort Level

MU (	urrent Credi <b>R</b>	rojected Cred	Low Effort	Medium Effort	High Effort
10.0	91.94	340.02	110.0	500.0	600.0
12.0	0.0	233.96	250.0	400.0	450.0
4.0	27.12	133.54	133.0	150.0	210.0
36.0	0.0	132.13	80.0	140.0	175.0
28.0	188.58	174.69	80.0	100.0	175.0
35.0	48.4	67.32	50.0	55.0	90.0
6.0	0.0	34.85	30.0	50.0	58.0
40.0	0.0	45.73	2.0	45.0	50.0
13.0	6.19	4.48	6.0	20.0	24.0
16.0	6.41	0.0	6.0	12.0	15.0



# Management Regime #3




Management Regime
The graph above compares current, predicted, and modelled credit creation opportunities across the project site. The left-most bars represent different levels of effort of this management regime


The table below captures the Map Units that will be most improved by this management regime, and shows the number of credits predicted under each effort level.

The barchart below shows the same information, but in % increase in order to normalize the effect of total number of acres.

### Map Unit and Percent Increase Based on Effort Level

MU C	urrent Credit	rojected Creo	Low Effort	Medium Effor	High Effort
10.0	91.94	340.02	55.68	98.0	490.0
1.0	0.0	240.16	54.13	56.83	341.0
12.0	0.0	233.96	27.54	65.0	270.0
28.0	188.58	174.69	33.73	253.0	253.0
7.0	0.0	175.94	0.0	245.0	245.0
8.0	0.0	172.87	27.88	55.75	223.0
31.0	0.0	167.29	24.04	42.8	214.0
36.0	0.0	132.13	27.21	30.83	185.0
4.0	27.12	133.54	21.04	27.0	162.0
32.0	0.0	99.61	14.59	47.67	143.0





Management Regime
The graph above compares current, predicted, and modelled credit creation opportunities across the project site. The left-most bars represent different levels of effort of this management regime

The table below captures the Map Units that will be most improved by this management regime, and shows the number of credits predicted under each effort level.


The barchart below shows the same information, but in % increase in order to normalize the effect of total number of acres.

## Map Unit and Percent Increase Based on Effort Level

MU (	urrent Credi <b>R</b>	rojected Cred	Low Effort	Medium Effort	High Effort	ηge
31.0	0.0	167.29	130.0	225.0	250.0	haı
16.0	6.41	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	0 %

# % Change of Total Cred Number by Effort Level 40 - High Medium Low 20 - - 40

# Management Regime #5



Management Regime
The graph above compares current, predicted, and modelled credit creation opportunities across the project site. The left-most bars represent different levels of effort of this management regime

The table below captures the Map Units that will be most improved by this management regime, and shows the number of credits predicted under each effort level.

The barchart below shows the same information, but in % increase in order to normalize the effect of total number of acres.

### Map Unit and Percent Increase Based on Effort Level

						_
MU (	urrent Credi	rojected Cred	Low Effort	Medium Effort	High Effort	
1.0	0.0	240.16	237.76	264.17	290.59	
12.0	0.0	233.96	226.28	243.31	267.65	l
7.0	0.0	175.94	172.0	182.98	186.64	
28.0	188.58	174.69	139.57	164.21	183.91	
8.0	0.0	172.87	147.7	165.96	174.25	] ,
35.0	48.4	67.32	62.37	73.38	74.11	] {
33.0	0.0	70.29	58.03	67.48	73.55	
42.0	0.0	55.66	54.71	60.12	69.13	
6.0	0.0	34.85	34.26	39.38	40.96	
18.0	0.0	38.66	28.15	35.19	38.7	

