

Notes on Measure and integration

Elias Hernandis

October 1, 2019

0.1 Acknowledgements

These notes are based on the lectures of Karma Dajani (k.dajani1@uu.nl) during Fall of 2019 at Universiteit Utrecht. These lectures are in turn based on the book Measures, Integrals and Martingales by René L. Schilling (2nd edition).

Readers are asked to report errata to eliashernandis@gmail.com.

0.2 Why these notes?

As mentioned before, these notes are based on lectures which are themselves based on a book. In fact, the lectures are almost one-to-one to the book, and there are handwritten notes available online.

However, the process of writing these, as opposed to simply taking notes in class allows me to make sure I understand the connections between the material. In other courses, where the contents were not as well organised as in this one, I had to reorganise the contents of the corresponding version of the notes for that course. Even though this is mostly unnecessary in this course, the handwritten lecture notes allow for more formal proofs or verifications where there was no time to complete them. Also, the book suggest that the reader verify some assumptions made during the proofs of some remarks or lemmas. Hence this notes are most valuable as a complement to the book.

Always trust the book when there is a discrepancy, though, I have not found a single erratum yet.

Another valuable thing about these notes are the solutions to the assignments that we were given during the course. These have been compiled from corrections given to me by the TAs¹.

¹If you want to see what a disaster it was when I started this subject, look at the scanned copies of submitted exercises...

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 What is a σ -algebra ?

Definition 1 (Sigma algebra). Let X be a set and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ a collection of subsets of X . We say that \mathcal{A} is a sigma algebra or σ -algebra (on X) if it satisfies these three properties:

1. It contains the set, $X \in \mathcal{A}$;
2. it is closed under set complement $A \in \mathcal{A} \implies X \setminus A = A^c \in \mathcal{A}, \forall A \subset X$, and
3. it is closed under **countable**^a union $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

^aIn these notes we shall follow the Shilling convention and use countable to describe any set whose cardinality is less than or equal to that of the set of the natural numbers, i.e. $\#A \leq \#\mathbb{N}$. This means that countable does not necessarily mean infinite.

Definition 2 (Measurable space). A measurable space is a pair (X, \mathcal{A}) where X is a set and \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra .

From now on we shall assume X is a set and \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra on X .

Remark 1.

- From properties 1 and 2 we have $\emptyset = X^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- From properties 2 and 3 we have that \mathcal{A} is also closed under **countable** intersection. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Then, using De Morgan's laws we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^c \right)^c \in \mathcal{A}$$

because $A_n^c \in \mathcal{A}$ because of 2, the union is included because of 3 and its complement is also included because of 2.

Example 1 (First examples of σ -algebras).

1. The power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the largest σ -algebra on X .
2. $\{\emptyset, X\}$ is the smallest σ -algebra on X .
3. Given a subset $A \subset X$, the smallest σ -algebra which contains information about A is $\{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$. More on this later.
4. Let X be an uncountable set. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subset X \mid A \text{ is countable or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$ is a σ -algebra .

Proof. We shall prove the three properties of a σ -algebra

- (a) $X \in \mathcal{A}$ because $X^c = \emptyset$ is countable.
- (b) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and is countable the $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ because $(A^c)^c$ is countable. If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ but A is not countable then A^c must be so, hence $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (c) Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$. To see if the union is included we distinguish to cases:
 - i. If A_n is countable $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is also countable and therefore in \mathcal{A} .¹
 - ii. If there is any A_k that is uncountable, then the union is uncountable but

$$\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right)^c = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^c \subset A_k^c$$

Recall that A_k^c must be countable because $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n)^c$ must also be countable. Thus, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

□

5. (The preimage² σ -algebra). Let X, X' be sets, let \mathcal{A}' be a σ -algebra on X' and let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a function between the two sets. We claim that³ $\mathcal{A} = \{f^{-1}(A') \mid A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$ is a σ -algebra .

Proof. (a) $X = f^{-1}(X') \in \mathcal{A}$ because $X' \in \mathcal{A}$.

¹Recall that the countable union of countable sets is still a countable set.

²Recall some properties of preimages (that are not always true for images): Set difference and union (and therefore complement and intersection) are well defined and behave as expected. Moreover, union and intersection behave as expected even for countable arities.

³Here f^{-1} denotes the preimage of a set, not the inverse function. Recall that the preimage of a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is defined as $f^{-1}(A') = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in A' \subset X'\}$

- (b) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A^c = X \setminus A = f^{-1}(X') \setminus f^{-1}(A') = f^{-1}(X' \setminus A') \in \mathcal{A}$ because $X' \setminus A' = A'^c \in \mathcal{A}'$.
- (c) Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$. By definition there must be a collection $(A'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ for which $A_n = f^{-1}(A'_n)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(A'_n) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A'_n\right) \in \mathcal{A}$$

because \mathcal{A}' is a σ -algebra hence $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A'_n \in \mathcal{A}'$.

□

Now we will explore a result which will allow us to generate more examples from existing ones and clarify operations between σ -algebras .

Theorem 1. Given a set X . The arbitrary intersection $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ of σ -algebras is again a σ -algebra .

Proof. We shall go over the three properties of σ -algebras .

1. $X \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in I$ hence $X \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$
2. If $A \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ then in particular $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in I$. Because each \mathcal{A}_α is a σ -algebra , then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in I \implies A^c \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$.
3. If $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ then, as before, we have that $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in I$. Hence, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \implies \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$.

□

Definition 3 (σ -algebra generated by a collection of subsets). Let X be a set and \mathcal{G} a collection of subsets of X . We denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ the smallest σ -algebra which contains \mathcal{G} and we define it by

$$\sigma(\mathcal{G}) := \bigcap \mathcal{C} \text{ where } \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{C} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra}$$

We also say that \mathcal{G} is a generator of $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ or that $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is generated by \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 2. For any $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ exists and is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. Since $\mathcal{P}(X) \supset \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -algebra , the intersection \mathcal{A} is non-empty and contains \mathcal{G} . Because of the previous result, \mathcal{A} itself is also a σ -algebra . (So we have existence). Furthermore, \mathcal{A} is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} because if there were another σ -algebra \mathcal{A}' containing \mathcal{G} it would be included in the intersection hence $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$. □

Remark 2.

1. If \mathcal{G} is a σ -algebra, then $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$.
2. For any $A \in \mathcal{X}$, $\sigma(\{A\}) = \{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$.
3. Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$. If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ then $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{F})$. So $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} . But $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} therefore $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{F})$. \square

1.2 A canonical σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n

In what follows we will use some basic topology concepts. Lets give some names

- $\mathcal{O}^n := \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \text{ is open}\}$,
- $\mathcal{C}^n := \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \text{ is closed}\}$, and
- $\mathcal{K}^n := \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \text{ is compact}\}$.

The collection \mathcal{O}^n is a topology, meaning it satisfies the following properties:

1. $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n \in \mathcal{O}^n$
2. It is closed under finite intersections, i.e. $V, W \in \mathcal{O}^n \implies V \cap W \in \mathcal{O}^n$.
3. It is closed under arbitrary unions, i.e.

$$(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \mathcal{O}^n \implies \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^n.$$

We shall call the pair $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{O}^n)$ a topological space. We now consider the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{O}^n .

Definition 4 (Borel σ -algebra). The Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{O}^n . We denote it by $\sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$ or by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{K}^n)$$

Proof. First, we prove the first equality, i.e. $\sigma(\mathcal{O}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}^n)$ by proving mutual inclusion. To show $\sigma(\mathcal{C}^n) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$ it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}^n \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$ (recall remark 2). Let $C \in \mathcal{C}^n$ be any closed set in \mathbb{R}^n . By definition C^c is open

hence $C^c \in \mathcal{O}^n \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$. Because $\sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$ is a σ -algebra it must be true that $(C^c)^c = C \in \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$. The same holds for the other inclusion.

Now we turn our attention to $\sigma(\mathcal{C}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{K}^n)$. The inclusion $\sigma(\mathcal{K}^n) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}^n)$ is trivial because every compact set is closed in \mathbb{R}^n (recall remark 2). For the other one, it is again enough to show that $\mathcal{C}^n \in \sigma(\mathcal{K}^n)$. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}^n$ and define $C_k := C \cap \overline{B_k(0)}$ which is⁴ closed and bounded. By construction $C = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k \in \mathcal{K}^n$ thus $\mathcal{C}^n \in \sigma(\mathcal{K}^n)$. \square

We would now like to find smaller sets of generators for the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n . Let us define the following collections (where \times denotes de cartesian product of the intervals):

- The collection of open rectangles (or cubes or hypercubes)

$$\mathcal{J}^{o,n} = \left\{ \bigtimes_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i) \mid a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- The collection of (from the right) half-open rectangles

$$\mathcal{J}^n = \left\{ \bigtimes_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i) \mid a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Theorem 4. We have

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n})$$

Proof. Let's begin by proving $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n})$. Recall that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$, so to prove the previous equality it suffices to prove the following two mutual inclusions:

- $\sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$. From remark 2 we have that to prove this it suffices to say that every open rectangle is an open set and thus $\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n} \subset \mathcal{O}^n \implies \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$.
- $\sigma(\mathcal{O}^n) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n})$. To prove this we make the following claim:

$$U \in \mathcal{O}^n \implies U = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}, I \subseteq U} I$$

Again we shall attack this by proving the mutual inclusion of the two sets:

- It is clear that $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}, I \subseteq U} I \subseteq U$ because of the restriction on the union.

⁴Here $B_r(c_0)$ and $\overline{B_r(c_0)}$ denote the open and closed balls of radius r and centre c_0 , respectively. Clearly these are both bounded sets.

- For the reverse containment, we have that as U is open, for any $x \in U$ there is a ball $B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq U$. Because the rationals \mathbb{Q}^n are dense in the reals \mathbb{R}^n we can choose a rectangle $I \subset B_\varepsilon(x)$ and hence U is contained in the union.

It is clear that all the sets $I \subseteq U$ are also in $\sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n})$. However, for the union of them to be inside the σ -algebra we must ensure that the number of sets that participate is countable. Each rectangle I can be fully determined by two of its corners, which in turn have coordinates in \mathbb{Q}^n . Therefore, the number of sets intervening in the union is $\#(\mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{Q}^n) = \#\mathbb{N}$ and thus the union is again within the σ -algebra.

Because $\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n} \subset \mathcal{J}^{o,n} \subset \mathcal{O}^n$ we get for free that $\sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$. We therefore conclude that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n)$$

Now we would like to prove that half open sets also yield the same Borel σ -algebra for \mathbb{R}^n .

- We begin by noticing that we can write open sets as infinite unions of half open ones

$$\bigtimes_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigtimes_{i=1}^n [a_i + \frac{1}{n}, b_i)$$

for both rectangles with rational and real endpoints. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^n) &\implies \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^n) \\ \mathcal{J}^{o,n} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}^n) &\implies \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}^n) \end{aligned}$$

(remember that σ -algebras are closed under countable unions).

- For the reverse containment we must notice that we can write (right) half-open sets as intersections of open ones

$$\bigtimes_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigtimes_{i=1}^n (a_i - \frac{1}{n}, b_i)$$

for rectangles with both rational and real endpoints. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{rat}^n \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) &\implies \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^n) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) \\ \mathcal{J}^n \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) &\implies \sigma(\mathcal{J}^n) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) \end{aligned}$$

- We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^{o,n}) &= \sigma(\mathcal{J}_{rat}^n) \\ \sigma(\mathcal{J}^{o,n}) &= \sigma(\mathcal{J}^n) \end{aligned}$$

With the previous equalities the theorem has been proved. \square

To recap, there are a few important points on this proof:

- First, we would like to have a more tangible generator for the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n .
- We choose rectangles because they are easier to work with and have direct application on probability theory (we could also have chosen balls, for instance).
- The key to proving that two σ -algebras are equal is to prove that each is contained in the other. To do this, we use the generators: if the generator of \mathcal{A} is contained in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}')$ and the generator of \mathcal{A}' is contained in $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, we are done.
- To prove these containments we have had to write sets from the generator as unions of sets from the other σ -algebra. It is key to make sure that these unions only iterate over a countable number of elements.

Example 2 (Another characterisation of the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n). In this example we shall see that $\mathbb{B} = \{B_r(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ is also a generator of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We proceed as before, first defining an auxiliary collection where the radii are all rational and the centres have rational coordinates:

$$\mathbb{B}' = \{B_r(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$$

It is trivial that $\mathbb{B}' \subset \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{O}^n$. Therefore we have that

$$\sigma(\mathbb{B}') \subseteq \sigma(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{O}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

For the reverse inclusions, we will focus on proving that $\mathcal{O}^n \subseteq \sigma(\mathbb{B}')$. For this we claim that any open set $U \in \mathcal{O}^n$ can be written as

$$U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathbb{B}', B \subseteq U} B$$

We need to verify two things. That the previous equality is true and that the number of sets that intervene in the union is countable.

1. It is clear that any set $B \in \mathbb{B}'$ is also in U by the definition of the union. For the reverse inclusion, we shall choose a point $q \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $\|x - q\| < r/3$. This is possible because \mathbb{Q}^n is dense in \mathbb{R}^n . Next we will choose a radius $r' \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $r' < r$. This is also possible for the same reason. Now we consider $B = B_{r'}(q) \in \mathbb{B}'$ which is assured to contain x .
2. Moreover, each of these balls is fully determined by an $(n+1)$ tuple of rationals (namely the center coordinates and the radius). Hence, the number of sets in the union is $\#(\mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{Q}) = \#\mathbb{N}$.

\square

Chapter 2

Measures

Definition 5 (Measure). Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. A set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a measure (on X) if

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and
2. (**σ -aditivity**) if $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a pairwise disjoint (i.e. $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$) sequence, then

$$\mu\left(\biguplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n)$$

In the previous definition the symbol \biguplus indicates that the union is disjoint. Also, let's introduce some more notation. Given a sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we shall say

$$\begin{aligned} A_n \uparrow A &\iff A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots & \text{and } A &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \\ A_n \downarrow A &\iff A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots & \text{and } A &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \end{aligned}$$

And some more terminology:

Definition 6 (Exhausting sequence). Within a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is called an exhausting sequence if $A_n \uparrow X$.

Definition 7 (Measure space). Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space and μ a measure. The triple (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is called a measure space.

- If $\mu(X) < \infty$ we say that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a finite measure space.
- IF $\mu(X) = 1$ then (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a probability space.

Definition 8 (σ -finite measure). A measure μ is called σ -finite if there exists an exhausting sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(A_n) < \infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. A measure space with this kind of measure is called a σ -finite measure space.

Theorem 5 (Properties of measures). Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and $A, B, A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

1. **(finite additivity)** $A \cap B = \emptyset \implies \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$,
2. **(monotonicity)** if $A \subseteq B$ then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$,
3. if $A \subset B$ and $\mu(A) < \infty$ then $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$,
4. **(strong additivity)** $\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$,
5. **(finite subadditivity)** $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$,
6. **(continuity from below)** if $A_n \uparrow A$ then $\mu(A) = \mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$,
7. **(continuity from above)** if $\mu(A) < \infty$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A_n \downarrow A$ then $\mu(A) = \mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$, and
8. **(sigma subadditivity)** $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n)$.

Proof.

1. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = B$ and $A_i = \emptyset$ for $i > 2$. It is clear that A_n are disjoint since $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A_n \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. Write $B = (B \setminus A) \cup A$. Then, because of σ -additivity we have

$$\mu(B) = \mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(A) \geq \mu(A) \text{ since } \mu(B \setminus A) \geq 0$$

3. As previously write $\mu(B) = \mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(A)$. Because $\mu(A) < \infty$ we can subtract it on both sides to get $\mu(B) - \mu(A) = \mu(B \setminus A)$.
4. Write $A \cup B = A \setminus B \cup B \setminus A \cup A \cap B$ hence $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(A \cap B)$. Add $\mu(A \cap B)$ on both sides and group terms:

$$\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) = \underbrace{\mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(A \cap B)}_{\mu(A)} + \underbrace{\mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(A \cap B)}_{\mu(B)}$$

5. $\mu(A \cap B) \leq \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$

6. Define the sequence $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ by $B_1 = A_1$, $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ for $n > 1$. It is clear that B_n is pairwise disjoint and that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(B_n) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m \mu(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^m B_n\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_m) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu(A_n)$ is an increasing sequence. The introduction of the limits in the previous chain of equalities has to be done carefully, as we are building on the definition of limits for sequences of numbers. The equality between the first and the second lines comes from σ -additivity.

7. Let $D_n = A_1 \setminus A_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n is an increasing sequence with

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_1 \setminus A_n) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_1 \cap A_n^c) = A_1 \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^c \\ &= B_1 \cap \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right)^c = A \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(A \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \mu(A) - \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n\right) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(D_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_1 \setminus A_n) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(A) - \mu(A_n)) = \mu(A) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting $\mu(A) < \infty$ from both sides we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$$

8. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any countable subcollection of \mathcal{A} . Define

$$E_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m \in \mathcal{A}$$

Then $E_n \uparrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. Thus, by (6) we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m\right) \stackrel{(5)}{\leq} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \mu(A_m)$$

□

Example 3 (First examples of measures). Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space

1. We define the **Dirac measure** for a given $x_0 \in X$ as follows:

$$\delta_{x_0}(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin A \\ 1 & \text{if } x_0 \in A \end{cases}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

Clearly δ_{x_0} is a measure since it satisfies the two properties. First, $\delta_{x_0}(\emptyset) = 0$ since $\forall x_0 \in X, x_0 \notin \emptyset$. Second, for any pairwise disjoint collection of sets $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ we have two possibilities:

- If $x_0 \notin \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ then clearly $x_0 \notin A_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ so

$$0 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = 0$$

- Otherwise, if $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ then there must be only one $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_0 \in A_{n_0}$ since (A_n) is a pairwise disjoint collection. Thus,

$$1 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A_{n_0}) + \sum_{n \neq n_0} \mu(A_n) = 1 + 0$$

2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and choose $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} \mid A \text{ is countable or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$. We already saw on the Chapter 1 that \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra. Now define $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \#A \leq \#\mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{if } \#A^c \leq \#\mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

We have that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ since $\#\emptyset$ is countable. As for σ -additivity we must recall from set theory that the union of countable sets is also countable, so:

- If $\#A_n \leq \#\mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$0 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = 0$$

- If there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_{n_0}^c$ is countable then

$$\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right)^c = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^c \subseteq A_{n_0}^c$$

and hence $(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n)^c$ is countable. Furthermore, since the collection is pairwise disjoint, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq n_0$ we have $A_n^c \subseteq A_{n_0}$ so $\#A_n^c, \forall n \neq n_0$. Thus

$$1 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A_{n_0}) + \sum_{n \neq n_0} \mu(A_n) = 1 + 0$$

3. **(Discrete probability measure)** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a measure space where $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$ is a countable set, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ (which is of course a σ -algebra) and let (p_1, p_2, \dots) be a probability vector where $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n = 1$ (and p_i is the probability of ω_i). Define the measure $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ where

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega_i \in A} p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \delta_{\omega_i}(A)$$

Let's verify that \mathbb{P} is a measure. First, $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$ since \emptyset cannot contain any ω_i . As for σ -additivity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \delta_{x_i}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{x_i}(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \delta_{x_i} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Nota that here we can exchange the summations because all the terms are non-negative so the convergence problems (oscillating convergence, that is) are eliminated.

4. **(Lebesgue measure)** For now we shall define this measure only of n -dimensional rectangles. The generalisation to arbitrary Borel sets will come in chapter 4.

Consider the measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), \lambda^n)$ where \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are the usual suspects and $\lambda^n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined as:

$$\lambda^n\left(\bigtimes_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)$$

or, more informally, the hypervolume of the rectangle in question.

We are not ready to verify that λ^n is a measure over $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ yet. In fact, we will need to wait until the end of Chapter 4, where, once we have the generalisation to arbitrary Borel sets, we shall prove that it is a measure.

Chapter 3

Uniqueness of measures

In this chapter we shall introduce some technicalities to be able to extend the Lebesgue measure to arbitrary Borel sets in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The first tool is a generalized version of a σ -algebra, where union closure is only required for disjoint unions. We will explore the properties of this new construct and try to draw similarities to what we know about σ -algebras. Finally we will give a result on the conditions that a σ -algebra must meet to be able to uniquely define a measure on it by defining the measure on the elements of a generator.

Definition 9 (Dynkin system). A family $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a Dynkin system if it satisfies these three properties:

1. $X \in \mathcal{D}$
2. $\forall A \in \mathcal{P}(X), A \in \mathcal{D} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{D}$
3. For any countable collection of pairwise disjoint sets (A_n)

$$(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D} \implies \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{D}$$

Note that every σ -algebra is a Dynkin system but the converse need not be true.

We have an analogue version to the generator theorem for σ -algebras in the case of Dynkin systems:

Definition 10. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a collection of subsets of X . We define the Dynkin system generated by \mathcal{G} as

$$\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} \text{ Dynkin}} \mathcal{C}$$

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \wp(X)$ be a collection of subsets of X . Then $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a Dynkin system and it is the smallest.

Proof. $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a Dynkin system because of the restriction on the intersection. Note that the intersection is non-empty because $\wp(X)$ is a Dynkin system and that the intersection of Dynkin systems is also a Dynkin system (the proof is the same as the one for σ -algebras but using disjoint unions).

Now let's look at why it is the smallest. Suppose there is another Dynkin system \mathcal{D} that contains the collection \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{D} is a Dynkin system and therefore intervenes in the intersection. Therefore $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{D}$. \square

So, we already saw that every σ -algebra is a Dynkin system and that Dynkin systems can be generated from a collection of subsets. A natural question to ask is, when is a Dynkin system a σ -algebra? Let us introduce some terminology first.

Definition 11 (Stable under finite intersection). Let \mathcal{D} be a collection of sets. We say that \mathcal{D} is stable under finite intersection, or closed under finite intersection or \cap -stable if

$$C, D \in \mathcal{D} \implies C \cap D \in \mathcal{D}$$

Some sources call such a collection a Π -system.

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{D} be a Dynkin system. \mathcal{D} is \cap -stable $\iff \mathcal{D}$ is a σ -algebra.

Proof. It is clear that going from right to left is true, since all σ -algebras are \cap -stable.

For the implication from left to right we proceed as follows. Assuming \mathcal{D} is a \cap -stable Dynkin system, to prove that \mathcal{D} is a σ -algebra we only need to generalise the last property to countable unions of arbitrary collections of sets in \mathcal{D} , not just disjoint ones. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a sequence of sets in \mathcal{D} . We define a new sequence $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$E_1 = D_1 \text{ for } n = 1, \quad E_n = D_n \setminus E_{n-1} \text{ for } n > 1.$$

Rewriting the set difference as an intersection we have that

$$E_n = D_n \setminus E_{n-1} = D_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i = D_n \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i^c \right) \in \mathcal{D}$$

since \mathcal{D} is \cap -stable. It is clear that E_n are pairwise disjoint, i.e. $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. We also have that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n \in \mathcal{D}$$

Hence, \mathcal{D} is a σ -algebra. \square

Remark 3. As a consequence we have that if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is \cap -stable then $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} therefore $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \delta(\mathcal{G})$. Since $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ always holds, we have that

$$\text{if } \delta(\mathcal{G}) \text{ is } \cap\text{-stable then } \delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$$

This is nice, but it would even be nicer if we could just argue about the generators, since Dynkin systems and σ -algebras are hard to reason about. We'll do just that.

Theorem 7. Let \mathcal{G} be a collection of subsets of X . If \mathcal{G} is \cap -stable then $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. We only need to show that if \mathcal{G} is \cap -stable then $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ also is. Then, because of the previous remark we have $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$.

We shall proceed in steps.

1. **Claim 1.** For each $E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$, the collection $\mathcal{D}_E = \{F \subseteq X \mid F \cap E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})\}$ is a Dynkin system. We prove the three properties of a Dynkin system.

- (a) Clearly $\emptyset = \emptyset \cap E \in \mathcal{D}_E$
- (b) For any $F \in \mathcal{D}_E$ we have $F^c = X \setminus F \subseteq X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F^c \cap E &= (F^c \cup E^c) \cap E \\ &= (F \cap E)^c \cap E \\ &= (F \cap E) \cup E^c \in \delta(\mathcal{G}), \end{aligned}$$

since $F \cap E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$ by hypothesis and $E^c \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$ since $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a Dynkin system.

- (c) Finally, for any collection of disjoint subsets $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}_E$ we need to show that the disjoint union is still in \mathcal{D}_E . We have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \cap E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$ by hypothesis so

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \cap E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n \cap E) \in \delta(\mathcal{G}).$$

2. **Claim 2.** $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{D}_G$, $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $G' \in \mathcal{G}$. Since \mathcal{G} is \cap -stable we have that $G' \cap G \in \mathcal{G}$ and hence $G' \cap G \in \delta(\mathcal{G}) \implies G' \in \mathcal{D}_G$.

As a consequence of these claims we have that, since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{D}_G$ then $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subset \delta(\mathcal{D}_G) = \mathcal{D}_G$. Therefore, for any $E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$ and any $G \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $E \cap G \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$. This also shows that $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{D}_E$, for any $E \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$. In other words we have that for any $E, F \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$, $E \cap F \in \delta(\mathcal{G})$. Thus, $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is \cap -stable implying that $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ is a σ -algebra and hence $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. \square

Now we move on to define the requirements needed to be able to guarantee uniqueness of a measure over a σ -algebra given a definition of the measure on a generator of that σ -algebra.

Theorem 8 (Uniqueness of measures). Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space where $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ for some collection \mathcal{G} of subsets of X where \mathcal{G} satisfies the following:

1. \mathcal{G} is \cap -stable (so $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$), and
2. there exists an exhausting sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $G_n \uparrow X$ (so $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$).

If μ, ν are measures on $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\mu(G) = \nu(G) < \infty$, $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$ then $\mu = \nu$, i.e. $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. TODO □

Theorem 9.

1. The n -dimensional Lebesgue measure λ^n is invariant under translations, i.e.

$$\lambda^n(x + B) = \lambda^n(B), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $x + B = \{x + y \mid y \in B\}$.

2. Every measure μ on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ which is invariant under translations and satisfies $\mu([0, 1]^n) < \infty$ is a multiple of the Lebesgue measure $\mu = \kappa \lambda^n$.

Proof.

1. First of all, let us check that $\lambda(x + B)$ is well defined, i.e. that $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies x + B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is a clever way to do this. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid x + B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is clear that \mathcal{A}_x is a σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n and that $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{A}_x$ since translations of half-open intervals are still half-open intervals and hence in \mathcal{J} and thus in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J}) \subset \mathcal{A}_x \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we can start with the meat of the proof.

Define $\nu(B) = \lambda^n(x + B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and some fixed $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. ν is a measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\emptyset) &= \lambda^n(x + \emptyset) = \lambda^n\left(\bigtimes_{i=1}^n [x_i + a, x_i + a)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i + a - (x_i + a)) = 0 \\ \text{and } \nu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) &= \lambda^n\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} x + A_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n(x + A_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_j). \end{aligned}$$

Now take $I = \times_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \in \mathcal{J}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(I) &= \lambda^n(x + I) = \lambda^n \left(\times_{i=1}^n [a_i + x_i, b_i + x_i] \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i + x - (a_i + x)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) = \lambda^n(I) \end{aligned}$$

This means that, if we restrict ourselves to the generator \mathcal{J} , we have that $\nu|_{\mathcal{J}} = \lambda^n|_{\mathcal{J}}$.¹ Recall that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ and that the generator \mathcal{J} admits the exhausting sequence $[-k, k]_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{J}$ with $\nu([-k, k]) = \lambda^n([-k, k]) = (2k)^n < \infty$. Hence, using the previous theorem, the measures ν and λ^n must coincide in every $A \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. TODO

□

¹Where $f|_A$ denotes the restriction of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to the new domain $A \subset X$.

Chapter 4

Existence of measures

In this chapter we shall explore a new structure, the semi ring and a new function, the premeasure. They are analogous to a σ -algebra and a measure, respectively, but weaker. Then we shall prove that under some conditions, premeasures can be extended to measures and semirings to σ -algebras. The most important implication of this result, called Caratheodory's theorem, is that the Lebesgue measure that we have so far only defined in the open n -dimensional intervals can be extended to any Borel set in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus is a proper measure.

Definition 12 (Semi-ring). Let $\mathcal{S} \subset X$ be a collection of subsets of a set X . We say that \mathcal{S} is a semi-ring if the following are satisfied:

1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$,
2. $S, T \in \mathcal{S} \implies S \cap T \in \mathcal{S}$ (or \mathcal{S} is \cap -stable), and
3. if $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ then there exists a finite collection of pairwise disjoint sets $S_1, \dots, S_M \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $S \setminus T = \bigcup_{j=1}^M S_j$ (so $S \setminus T$ is the disjoint union of a finite collection in \mathcal{S}).

We will see that \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}_{rat} are semi-rings.

Definition 13 (Premeasure). Let X be a set, \mathcal{S} a semiring of subsets of X , and $\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that μ is a premeasure if the following are satisfied:

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$,
2. if $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a pairwise disjoint collection of sets in \mathcal{S} then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j\right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(S_j),$$

in other words, σ -additivity.

What is missing for a premeasure to become a measure is the fact that it is not defined on a σ -algebra on X , but rather on a weaker structure, the semi-ring \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 10 (Caratheodory). Let X be a set, \mathcal{S} a semi-ring on X and μ a premeasure defined on \mathcal{S} . Then μ has an extension to a measure μ defined on $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$. If \mathcal{S} contains an exhausting sequence $S_n \uparrow X$ with $\mu(S_n) < \infty$ then the extension is unique.

And that's it. We could end the chapter here or make it much longer by proving Caratheodory's theorem. We may do so, if I manage to find the time to write it down, but otherwise look it up.

What we will do is apply the theorem to the Lebesgue measure and give an outline of the proof.

Remark 4. The n -dimensional Lebesgue measure satisfies the hypothesis for the premeasure in Caratheodory's theorem.

Chapter 5

Measurable mappings

In mathematics mappings between sets are a central topic. Moreover, when sets have a specific structure, we want mappings that preserve the that same structure between the two sets. For instance we have

- groups and homomorphisms, which hold the group operation: $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$;
- topological spaces and continuous functions, which hold the topology of the spaces in question: for any open set V and continuous function f , $f^{-1}(V)$ is also an open set;
- and naturally we wish that between measurable spaces, there are appropriate mappings that preserve the measurable structure (σ -algebra).

Let's dive right into it.

Definition 14 (Measurable map). Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') be measurable spaces. A map $T : X \rightarrow X'$ is said to be \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}' -measurable (or measurable unless this is too ambiguous) if

$$T^{-1}(A') = \{x \in X \mid T(x) \in A'\} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \forall A' \in \mathcal{A}',$$

i.e. if the preimage of every measurable set in \mathcal{A}' is a measurable set in \mathcal{A} .

In the next chapter we will particularise this to mappings $T : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to pave the way for integration.

Remark 5.

1. In probability theory, a measurable map is usually called a random variable.

2. Consider the collection $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}') = \{T^{-1}(A') \mid A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$. Recall the example from chapter 1, in which we proved that the preimage of a σ -algebra is a σ -algebra. We can rephrase the definition of measurability as

$$T \text{ is measurable} \iff T^{-1}\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$$

3. If we write $T : (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{A}')$ then we usually mean that T is \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}' -measurable.
4. If $T : (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, then we simply say T is Borel-measurable.

Lemma 2. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') be two measurable spaces with $\mathcal{A}' = \sigma(\mathcal{G}')$. A map $T : X \rightarrow X'$ is \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}' -measurable $\iff T^{-1}(G') \in \mathcal{A}, \forall G' \in \mathcal{G}' \iff T^{-1}(\mathcal{G}') \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

So it is enough to check measurability on the generators only.

Chapter 6

Measurable functions

Chapter 7

Exercise sets

7.1 Exercise set 1

Due September 20th, 2019.

Exercise 1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ a collection of disjoint subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Show that each element $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is a union of at most a countable subcollection of elements of \mathcal{A} . (3 pts)

Proof. The idea is to prove that $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ only contains countable unions of sets of \mathcal{A} .

Let us define

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \mid A_i \in \mathcal{A} \wedge I \subset \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

We shall prove that \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra on \mathcal{A} and thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, i.e. $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is made up of unions of at most a countable subcollection of \mathcal{A} .

1. Firstly, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ since $\emptyset = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ by choosing $I = \emptyset \subset \mathbb{N}$.
2. Secondly, for any set $B = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that

$$B^c = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right)^c = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^c = \bigcap_{i \in I} \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j = \bigcup_{j \notin I} A_j = \bigcup_{j \in I^c} A_j \in \mathcal{B},$$

since $I^c = \mathbb{N} \setminus I \subset \mathbb{N}$.

3. Finally, for any countable collection $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}$ we need to show that the union is also in \mathcal{B} .

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \in I_n} A_i = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j \in \mathcal{B},$$

since $J = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Since we have shown that \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra on \mathcal{A} and thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{B}$, we conclude that the elements of $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ must be all unions of at most countable subcollections of elements in \mathcal{A} , which are the only elements of \mathcal{B} . \square

Exercise 2. Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a measure space, and let $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ be the completion of the σ -algebra \mathcal{D} with respect to the measure μ (see exercise 4.15). We denote by $\overline{\mu}$ the extension of the measure μ to the σ -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a function such that $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mu(\inf f(B)) = \mu(B)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{D}$. Show that $\inf f(\overline{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ and $\overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(\overline{B})) = \overline{\mu}(\overline{B})$ for all $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$. (3 pts)

Proof. First we show that $f^{-1}(\overline{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$, for all $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$. Recall from the definition of the completion $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ of the σ -algebra \mathcal{D} that any set $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ can be written as $\overline{B} = B \cup M$ for some subset M of a μ -measurable null set N in \mathcal{D} . Therefore

$$f^{-1}(\overline{B}) = f^{-1}(B \cup M) = f^{-1}(B) \cup f^{-1}(M)$$

Because $N \supset M$ we also have that $f^{-1}(N) \supset f^{-1}(M)$ and $\mu(f^{-1}(N)) = \mu(N) = 0$ by the definition of f . This means that $f^{-1}(M)$ is also a subset of a μ -measurable null set in \mathcal{D} and since $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{D}$ by definition of f we have

$$f^{-1}(\overline{B}) = \underbrace{f^{-1}(B)}_{\in \mathcal{D}} \cup \underbrace{f^{-1}(M)}_{\subset f^{-1}(N), \mu(f^{-1}(N))=0} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$$

Now we need to verify that $\overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(\overline{B})) = \overline{\mu}(\overline{B})$ for all $\overline{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$. Recall that the extension $\overline{\mu}$ is well-defined in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$ with $\overline{\mu}(\overline{B}) := \mu(B)$ for any $\overline{B} = B \cup M \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}^\mu$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(\overline{B})) &= \overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(B \cup M)) \\ &= \overline{\mu}(f^{-1}(B) \cup f^{-1}(M)) \\ &= \mu(f^{-1}(B)) \\ &= \mu(B) =: \overline{\mu}(\overline{B}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Exercise 3. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space and $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function satisfying

1. μ is finitely additive
2. μ is σ -subadditive

Show that μ is σ -additive. (4 pts)

Proof. The plan for the proof is to sandwich $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n)$ between two sums that are the same when taking the limit.

First of all, because of σ -subadditivity we have that, for any countable collection $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n), \quad (7.1)$$

which in particular holds for pairwise disjoint unions, which we will assume from here on. We can rewrite the union as

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \cup \bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Because of finite additivity we can introduce the measure as

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} A_n\right).$$

And applying finite additivity again we get

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_n) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} A_n\right).$$

Since $\mu \geq 0$ we can rearrange the previous expression to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_n) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \quad (7.2)$$

By combining 7.1 and 7.2 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_n) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n)$$

Taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which we can do since N is not inside the arguments to μ (in that case it would require that μ was already a measure, which it isn't, yet), we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \implies \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n),$$

or that μ is σ -additive. □

7.2 Exercise set 2

Due September 27th, 2019.

Exercise 4. Let \mathbb{Q} be the set of all real rational numbers and let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{[a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ where $[a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \leq q < b\}$.

1. Prove that $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the collection of all subsets of \mathbb{Q} . (1.5 pts.)
2. Let μ be the counting measure on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\nu = 2\mu$. Show that $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, but $\nu \neq \mu$ on $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. Why doesn't this contradict Theorem 5.7 in your book? (1.5 pts.)

Proof.

1. We shall prove the double containment. First, recall that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a σ -algebra on \mathbb{Q} . Also, by Remark 3.5 we have that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \implies \sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. The last inclusion comes from the fact that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is also a σ -algebra on \mathbb{Q} so it must contain the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{Q} that contains information about $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. For the reverse containment, we shall prove that any subset $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is also in $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. For any $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$ define $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be an enumeration of the rationals in A . This is possible since $\#\mathbb{Q} = \#\mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can write

$$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{q_n\}, \text{ where } \{q_n\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

Therefore, $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ because σ -algebras are closed under countable union.

2. It is clear that any interval $A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains infinitely many rationals, except if the interval is empty, i.e. $a = b \implies [a, b] = \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But, if we consider $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ then we have some finite sets where $\mu(A) = \#A$, and clearly $\nu(A) = 2\#A$. The equality between μ and ν only holds when the set is either empty or infinite, but not for finite sets such as $A = \{1, 2\}$ where $\mu(A) = 2$ but $\nu(A) = 4$.

Why doesn't this contradict Theorem 5.7? Even though there is an exhausting sequence in the generator, namely $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $A_n = [-n, n]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the measure is not finite for any A_n . Moreover, there cannot be any exhausting sequence in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with a finite measure because we already saw that $\mu(A) = \infty$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}, A \neq \emptyset$.

□

Exercise 5. Let X be a set and $\mu, \nu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ two outer measures on X . Define $\rho : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\rho(A) = \max(\mu(A), \nu(A))$. Show that ρ is another outer measure on X .

Proof. Firstly, from the definition of ρ we can see that the domain and codomain are compatible with the definition of an outer measure. Next, we prove each of the properties of an outer measure.

1. $\rho(\emptyset) = \max(\mu(\emptyset), \nu(\emptyset)) = \max(0, 0) = 0$
2. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $A \subseteq B$ we have

$$\rho(A) = \max(\mu(A), \nu(A)) \leq \max(\mu(B), \nu(B)) = \rho(B)$$

3. For any sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ we must verify that $\rho(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_n)$.

Let us prove the following first. For any function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\max_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \sum_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y)$$

Choose any $x_0 \in X$ and any $y_0 \in Y$ and we have that $f(x_0, y_0) \leq \max_{x \in X} f(x, y_0)$. Hence $\sum_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) \leq \sum_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y)$. Because this is true for all $x_0 \in X$ we have $\max_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \sum_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y)$.

Using this, i.e. choosing $Y = \mathbb{N}$, $X = \{\mu, \nu\}$ and defining $f(\mu, n) = \mu(A_n)$ and $f(\nu, n) = \nu(A_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &= \max\left\{\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right), \nu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right)\right\} = \max\left\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n), \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(A_n)\right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \max\{\mu(A_n), \nu(A_n)\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

□