

# Implementation Readiness Assessment Tool

This tool has been designed to assess organisational readiness and capacity for implementing and evaluating new healthcare initiatives. It considers various contextual and individual factors to facilitate successful implementation and evaluation of innovative models of care.

Back to My Projects



### Implementation Readiness Assessment Report

Implementation capacity by domain





This tool has been designed to assess organisational readiness and capacity for implementing and evaluating new healthcare initiatives. It considers various contextual and individual factors to facilitate successful implementation and evaluation of innovative models of care. This versatile tool supports users throughout the implementation process by aiding in strategic planning, designing and comparing models of care, assessing readiness, building capacity, structuring funding decisions, and evaluating outcomes. It enables data-driven decision-making to determine whether to adopt, adapt, abandon, scale, or

spread models of care, ultimately facilitating continuous improvement in healthcare implementation and evaluation. After completing an organisational implementation readiness assessment, the next step is to develop a detailed action plan. This plan should address any gaps identified and leverage strengths for successful implementation.

Domain	Implementation readiness
Innovation Characteristics	2.00
External factors	2.80
Internal factors	2.20
People	2.40
Implementation process	2.75
Outcomes and performance	3.40
Overall capacity for implementation:	2.59

**NOTE: 1** = Weak factors to support implementation and **5** = Strong factors to support implementation

## **Your Background Information**

Project Name:	Regan Acevedo
Problem Statement:	Qui accusamus incididunt esse inventore voluptates similique saepe es
Project's Primary Objective:	Consequatur Sed aperiam ullamco harum velit
Project's Secondary Objectives:	Laborum fugit explicabo Sit consectetur tempora dolor voluptatum vol

Project Team:	Repudiandae in velit sint voluptate consequatur harum dolore a ipsam
Program Location:	Exercitationem dolore exercitation nesciunt libero
Group or Population:	Nisi sed nostrum laudantium ut molestias eos quo qui nulla sed itaque f

## **FACTORS INFLUENCING IMPLEMENTATION**

INNOVATION CHARACTERISTICS	Rating
1. There is evidence (literature, benchmarking) supporting the new model of care. Also consider NHMRC evidence levels.	
2. The model of care has been developed then supported or endorsed by Executive.	3
3. Other solutions have been considered and this model of care offers advantages over alternatives.	
4. Uncertainties about the model's benefits have been addressed.	5
EXTERNAL FACTORS	Rating
1. The political environment and current strategy or policy priorities align with implementation of the new model of care.	5
2. There are defined partnerships and networks to facilitate referral pathways, collaborative care, and continuity of care. Consider interdisciplinary partnerships, hospital, community, and primary healthcare networks.	3
3. Implementation of the new model of care meets all the appropriate quality standards and regulatory requirements.	2
4. Professional organisations and consumer groups support the model of care.	4
5. Funding from external entities (e.g., grants, reimbursement) is available to implement and/or deliver the model of care.	
INTERNAL FACTORS	Rating
1. The model of care is aligned with the organisation's strategic goals and objectives.	5
2 There are defined tasks and responsibilities between	4

_	

#### **OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE**

#### **Rating**

1. The key objectives and deliverables of the new care model have been defined.

2. There is a timeframe for planning, implementation, and evaluation of the new model of care.

3. Outcome measures for the new model of care have been defined. Consider both implementation and innovation outcomes

4. The types and sources of information (data) for each outcome measure have been identified. e.g., health records, PREM/PROMS, surveys.

2

5. A budget or resources have been allocated for performance evaluation.

6. There is documentation, e.g., evaluation plan, to guide the evaluation process.