

# 1 Crypto intro and historical

---

1. While most use CIA as the foundation for Information Security some add further words to complete it. Name two more commonly used to enhance the triad (CIA triad: confidentiality, integrity, availability)
  - Answer: Authenticity, Accountability, Non-repudiation (no one can deny the validity, e.g. transaction has taken place)
2. Cryptography is usually used to achieve or provide a so-called cryptographic service. Name methods or functions (aka cryptographic primitives to achieve the listed services).
  - Data secrecy: encryption
  - Data integrity: digital signatures (signed hashes)
  - User verification: Authentication: via private/public key cryptography (e.g. certificates), hash functions and random number (e.g. challenge response pw authentication )
  - Non-repudiation: private key cryptography
3. We usually refer to Alice and Bob as parties that exchange information. Could you name three more personas that have a special meaning in crypto? Eg. Mallory for someone that does malicious thing
  - Oscar: an opponent (similar to Mallory but not necessarily malicious)
  - Dan (my favorite): generic participant
  - Eve: an eavesdropper.. tries to read messages but won't (can't) modify messages
4. Draw a basic crypto system and name all inputs, outputs, intermediate products, processes as well as all involved parties above. Diagram for the (very) poor showing symmetric encryption:  
 Attacker cannot read message (without key)  
 Alice > encrypt message with key A > ~~~~~ encrypted msg is sent ~~~~~ > decrypt message with key A > Bob ready msg
5. Assuming Mallory has no access to Alice and Bob's facilities but is in position to interfere with and to snoop on the messages they exchange. Can you point out the difference between active and passive attacks which Mallory could apply? Give examples for both.
  - Active attack: modify message, replay, etc. (basically anything that modifies the data stream)
  - Passive attack: listening (and decrypting) messages. Does not change data being sent.
6. Alice and Bob could make use of two major concepts to secure their messages. Either use a symmetric cipher systems or a public key cipher systems. Explain the difference based on Wikipedia, Cryptography, Modern Cryptography, Sections Symmetric and Public-key encryption
  - Symmetric ciphers use the same key to encrypt and decrypt data. Sender and receiver therefore both need access to the same key.
  - Public key (or asymmetric) ciphers require a different keys for encryption and decryption. Usually, one key is kept secret - the private key - and the other is public. Public key cryptography is not only used to provide data secrecy but is also a fundamental building block for other aspects of cryptography such as data integrity, authentication.
7. Genius engineers such as Alice are capable to develop their own algorithms to secure messages. Is it a wise decision to keep the algorithms secret? Discuss pros and cons.
  - It is not wise as a non published algorithm is not really put to the test. The inner workings of the algorithm might be leaked to the public and the system operates with a non-tested algorithm.
8. (optional) -> I failed with online tools (but didn't search long as it is optional). A simple substitution cipher should be relatively easy to decipher by statistics (most common letters, very common words etc.)
9. (optional) -> I assume it probably the Mary Stuart cipher, which seems slightly more complicated to decipher it is not like each letter corresponds to a letter in the decoded text (some words map to one

letter, some letters have no corresponding encryption letter etc.)

10. Is a cipher text properly protected if we make sure to have large keys? May you provide an example with the simple substitution cipher? - Example: (very) simple Substitution:

- plaintext: "World"
- Ciphertext alphabet (only used letters): ABCDLORW -> ZYXWQMTS
  - Remark: just scrambled the letters a bit ..
- Encryption: "SMTQW"

- From my understanding, a text encrypted with some substitution ciphers can be hard to break when the key is large:

- Nomenclator: according to wikipedia, some historical encryptions have not been cracked yet. I assume making the tables bigger (substitute more words basically) makes the cipher harder to analyze.

- I assume that substitution ciphers with a key so large that letters are never represented by the same symbol in a given text cannot be decrypted provided the attacker has no clue about the context of the text. Frequency analysis would be impossible. (homophonic substitution ciphers or polyalphabetic substitution could have this characteristic)

11. If Alice and Bob had chosen to hide the message with a One-Time Pad. Could we decipher it? Name three things they needed to keep attention on to have perfect secrecy and thus to avoid an attacker could recover the plain-text?

- 1. key must be random
- 2. key must be as long as the plaintext
- 3. key must only be used once
- 4. key must only be known by sender and receiver