

# Software Design Goals

CMPT 145

# Design Goals

Quantitative goals that can be scientifically measured:

- Correctness
  - Software does everything it should do
  - Does nothing it shouldn't do
- Efficiency
  - Relative measure of resource consumption
  - We aim for effective use of resources (time, space=memory)

# Implementation Goals

Qualitative goals that can't be scientifically measured:

- Robustness
  - Software behaves well when something unexpected happens
- Adaptability
  - Small changes in behaviour require only small changes in code
- Reusability
  - Software can be used more than once

# Exercise 1

Let's review our code solution to the Sieve of Eratosthenes problem.

(a) What can we say about our design & implementation goals?

- Correctness
- Efficiency
- Robustness
- Adaptability
- Reusability

(b) How can we improve the code?

## Counting Primes: Script 2

```
1 n = 100000 # end of range of numbers to check for primes
2
3 still_is_prime = (n+1)*[True] # assume prime until disproven
4
5 for i in range(2, n):
6     if still_is_prime[i]:
7         # mark multiples of i as not prime
8         for j in range(2, n//i + 1):
9             still_is_prime[i * j] = False
10
11 # now, every possible prime is a definite prime
12 count = sum([1 for v in still_is_prime[2:] if v])
13
14 print("# Prime numbers between 2 and " + str(n) + ":", count)
```

# Counting Primes: Code Review

It satisfies some design and implementation goals.

- **Correctness:**
  - Displays the number of primes between two and  $n$  without doing anything incorrectly.
- **Efficiency:**
  - For  $n$  in the range needed for cryptography, the list is quite large, and processing it takes some time.
  - **Note:** Script 2 is better than Script 1, because the lists saves time! But in this problem, even using a list to save time only goes so far for large  $n$ .

# Counting Primes: Code Review

- **Robustness:**
  - Very little room for unexpected behaviour.
  - However, there is no warning in the code about negative  $n$ .
- **Adaptability:**
  - Easy to edit  $n$ .
  - However, it might be better for the script to ask the user for  $n$ , to avoid editing.
- **Reusability:**
  - The only way to reuse this code is copy/paste, which is **terrible**.

# Counting Primes: Code Improvement

- **Efficiency**: There are strategies to use less memory for large  $n$ . Google it!
- **Robustness**: Add a warning in the comments about negative  $n$ .
- **Reusability**: Encapsulate the counting code within a function that returns the count. Then add a check for negative  $n$ .



## Exercise 2

Let's review our code solution to the **Gambler's Ruin** problem.

(a) What can we say about our design & implementation goals?

- Correctness
- Efficiency
- Robustness
- Adaptability
- Reusability

(b) How can we improve the code?

## Exercise 3

Let's review our code solution to the **Coupon Collector's** problem.

- (a) What can we say about our design & implementation goals?
  - Correctness
  - Efficiency
  - Robustness
  - Adaptability
  - Reusability
- (b) How can we improve the code?

## Exercise 4

Let's review our code solution to the **Self-Avoiding Random Walks** problem.

- (a) What can we say about our design & implementation goals?
  - Correctness
  - Efficiency
  - Robustness
  - Adaptability
  - Reusability
- (b) How can we improve the code?