

Math 341: Homework 7

Daniel Ko

Spring 2020

§1 A

For each of the following homogeneous systems of linear equations, find the dimension of and a basis for the solution space.

a.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ because the two columns are a multiples of each other. If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution to the given system, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for K by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

b.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are two linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 - 2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution to the given system, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for K by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

c.
$$\{x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + x_4 = 0\}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ because there are one linearly independent row (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system,

then $\dim(K) = 4 - 1 = 3$. Note that, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly independent vectors in

K . Thus they form a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

d.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then

$\dim(K) = 4 - 2 = 2$. Note that, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly independent vectors in K . Thus

they form a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

e.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 4x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 - 2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution for K , it forms a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

f.
$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent columns (third column is second column multiplied by -1). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 -$

$2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution for K , it forms a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

g. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent columns. If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 2 - 2 = 0$. This means the zero vector is the basis for K , i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. \square

§2 B

Using the results of Exercise 2, find all solutions to the following systems.

a. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 = 5 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 = 10 \end{cases}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

\square

b. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 3 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6 \end{cases}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

\square

c. $\{x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + x_4 = 1\}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + t_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

d.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1 \\ x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

e.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ 4x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 = 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

f.
$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 5 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 = 4 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

g.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 = 5 \\ x_1 - x_2 = -1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

□

§3 C

Prove that the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ has a solution if and only if $b \in R(L_A)$.

Proof. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

- i. $Ax = b$ has a solution $\Rightarrow b \in R(L_A)$.

Let s be a solution to $Ax = b$. This means $A_1s_1 + \cdots + A_ns_n = b$. Notice that b is a linear combination of the columns of A , which is equivalent to $R(L_A)$ by the proof given in Theorem 3.5. Therefore, $b \in R(L_A)$.

- ii. $b \in R(L_A) \Rightarrow Ax = b$ has a solution.

$b \in R(L_A)$ means that b is a linear combination of the columns of A . So, $b = A_1s_1 + \cdots + A_ns_n$. This means there exists an x , composed of the s_1, \dots, s_n as seen in the previous equation, such that $Ax = b$. Therefore, $Ax = b$ has a solution.

□

§4 D

Prove or give a counterexample to the following statement: If the comatrix of a system of m linear equations in n unknowns has rank m , then the system has a solution.

Proof. Let A be a $m \times n$ comatrix for the system $Ax = b$. By definition, $L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$. Another way of saying that the system has a solution is to say that $b \in R(L_A)$, as we proved in problem C. Given that the $\text{rank}(A) = m$, it follows that $\dim(R(L_A)) = m$ by Theorem 3.5. Since the range and the codomain of L_A are the same, it means that L_A is onto by definition. Thus, $\forall b \in R(L_A) [\exists s \in \mathbb{F}^n \text{ s.t. } As = b]$. Therefore, if the comatrix of a system of m linear equations in n unknowns has rank m , then system has a solution. □

§5 E

Suppose that the augmented matrix of a system $Ax = b$ is transformed into a matrix $(A'|b')$ in reduced row echelon form by a finite sequence of elementary row operations.

- a. Prove that $\text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b')$ if and only if $(A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.

Proof.

- i. $\text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b') \Rightarrow (A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.

If $\text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b')$, then we know that b' is a linearly independent vector to A' . This must mean that $(A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column, which is b' .

- ii. $(A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b')$

$(A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column means that b' is a linearly independent vector to A' . Then this means that $\text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b')$. \square

- b. Deduce that $Ax = b$ is consistent if and only if $(A'|b')$ contains no row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.

Proof.

- i. $Ax = b$ is consistent $\Rightarrow (A'|b')$ contains no row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.

In problem C, we proved that $Ax = b$ is consistent means that b is a linear combination of the columns in A , i.e. linearly dependent. This also must mean that b' is a linearly dependent of the columns in A' because row reduction is a rank and row space preserving operation. Thus, $(A'|b')$ contains no row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column because b' is a linearly dependent.

- ii. $(A'|b')$ contains no row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column $\Rightarrow Ax = b$ is consistent.

From part (a), we know that the above premise is equivalent to $\text{rank}(A') \neq \text{rank}(A'|b')$. By Theorem 3.11, we know that $A'x = b'$ must be consistent. $Ax = b$ must also be consistent because row reduced echelon form preserves rank and row space. \square

§6 F

Let the reduced row echelon form of A be

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determine A if the first, second, and fourth columns of A are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Notice that $r_{j_3} = 2r_{j_1} - 5r_{j_2}$ and $r_{j_5} = -2r_{j_1} - 3r_{j_2} + 6r_{j_4}$ where r_{j_i} is the i th column of R . Using Theorem 3.16(c) and (d) we can compute A .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -2 & -7 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

□

§7 G

Let the reduced row echelon form of A be

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determine A if the first, third, and sixth columns of A are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -9 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Notice that $r_{j_2} = -3r_{j_1}$, $r_{j_4} = 4r_{j_1} + 3r_{j_3}$, and $r_{j_5} = 5r_{j_1} + 2r_{j_3} - r_{j_6}$ where r_{j_i} is the i th column of R . Using Theorem 3.16(c) and (d) we can compute A .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -2 & 6 & 1 & -5 & 1 & -9 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 3 & -9 & -4 & 0 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

□

§8 H

Let W be the subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(R)$ consisting of the symmetric 2×2 matrices. The set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

generates W . Find a subset of S that is a basis for W .

Proof. We put S in an augmented matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we compute reduced row echelon form of this matrix.

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that the first, second, and fourth columns of R is linearly independent. Then, the coore-

sponding columns in A would be the linearly independent subset by Theorem 3.16.

$$S' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

forms a basis for W . □

§9 I

Prove the corollary to Theorem 3.16: The reduced row echelon form of a matrix is unique.

Lemma 9.1

The pivot columns of matrix A 's reduced row echelon form is unique

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P(n)$ be the following predicate "pivot columns of matrix A 's reduced row echelon form is unique, where matrix A has n columns".

a. Base case:

We prove $P(1)$. There is only one column so it must be unique.

b. Inductive step:

Suppose $P(k)$ holds. We show that $P(k+1)$ holds.

Let $A = [A' | u_{k+1}]$ where A' is a matrix which has k columns and has unique pivot columns in its reduced row echelon form. Consider two cases: where u_{k+1} is linearly dependent to A' and where it is linearly independent to A' .

Case 1: u_{k+1} is linearly dependent to A'

If u_{k+1} is linearly dependent it means that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A')$. That must mean that A has a unique amount of pivot columns because A' has a unique amount of pivot columns by our induction hypothesis.

Case 2: u_{k+1} is linearly independent to A'

If u_{k+1} is linearly independent it means that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A') + 1 = r$, where r is just some fixed integer.

Therefore, by induction we have proved this lemma. □

Let's assume for the sake of contradiction that matrix A has two unique reduced row echelon forms, B and B' . Theorem 3.16(b) states that "For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if column k of B is $d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2 + \dots + d_r e_r$, then column k of A is $d_1 a_{j_1} + d_2 a_{j_2} + \dots + d_r a_{j_r}$. Let's the k column of B be $d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2 + \dots + d_r e_r$ and the k column of B' be $d'_1 e_1 + d'_2 e_2 + \dots + d'_r e_r$ where k th column of B does not equal the k th column of B' because they are both unique. However, this would be that the k th column of the original matrix A are different depending if you compute using B or B' . Thus, this is a contradiction and A must have a unique reduced row echelon form. □