Math 341: Midterm 2

Daniel Ko

Spring 2020

§1

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

a. Suppose that $a \neq 0$, compute the solution of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ using row reduction and provide the conditions on a, b, c, d such that your computations are valid. Express the result as a simplified expression. (**Hint:** recall that you can not divide by zero)

Proof. We perform reduced row echelon form (rref) on the augmented matrix

$$(A|b) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & e \\ c & d & | & f \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - \frac{c}{a}R_1 \begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & e \\ 0 & d - \frac{cb}{a} & | & f - \frac{ce}{a} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & e \\ 0 & \frac{ad-cb}{a} & | & \frac{af-ce}{a} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 \leftarrow \frac{a}{ad-cb}R_2 \quad \text{Assuming that } ab-cd \neq 0 \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & e \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{af-ce}{ad-cb} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - bR_2 \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & | & e - b\frac{af-ce}{ad-cb} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{af-ce}{ad-cb} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_1 \leftarrow \frac{R_1}{a} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & \frac{1}{a}(e-b\frac{af-ce}{ad-cb}) \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{af-ce}{ad-cb} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & \frac{de-bf}{ad-cb} \\ 0 & 1 & | & \frac{af-ce}{ad-cb} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{de - bf}{ad - cb} \\ \frac{af - ce}{ad - cb} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } ad - cb \neq 0$

b. If a = 0, and $c \neq 0$, is your above computation still valid? How would you modify it? (explain briefly) (**Hint:** recall that you can swap the equations and the result is the same)

Proof. If a=0, and $c\neq 0$, then the above computation will not be valid as we divided by a multiple times when we computed the rref. I would swap the first and second rows so that it would look like

 $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} c & d & f \\ 0 & b & e \end{array}\right]$

1

and compute the rref, assuming that $b \neq 0$. We obtain the rref,

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{bf-de}{bc} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{e}{b} \end{array}\right]$$

c. If a=0, c=0, but $b \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, what are the conditions on e and f such that the system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution? Is the solution unique? (**Hint:** recall that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution if and only if \mathbf{b} can be written as a linear combination of the columns of \mathbf{A})

Proof. If a=0, c=0, $b\neq 0$, $d\neq 0$, we get the augmented matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & b & e \\ 0 & d & f \end{array}\right]$$

Performing row reduction,

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 1 & \frac{e}{b} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{f}{d} \end{array}\right]$$

So the condition of the solution is,

$$x_2 = \frac{e}{b} = \frac{f}{d}$$

Thus, there exists a infinite amount of solution.

d. Solve the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 3\sqrt{2} \\ 2\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5\sqrt{2} \\ 5\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

(Hint: You may want to use the formula you just deduced)

Proof.

$$x_{1} = \frac{de - bf}{ad - cb}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}(5\sqrt{2}) - 3\sqrt{2}(5\sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{2}(2\sqrt{2})}$$

$$= \frac{10 - 30}{2 - 12}$$

$$= \frac{-20}{-10}$$

$$= 2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}(5\sqrt{2}) - 2\sqrt{2}(5\sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{2}(2\sqrt{2})}$$
$$= \frac{10 - 20}{-10}$$
$$= 1$$

§2

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & -2 & 4 & 2\alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & -1 & 2\alpha + 1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 + \alpha \\ 2\beta + \alpha - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

What are the conditions on α and β such that the system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$:

a. Has no solution?

Proof. We begin by putting the augmented matrix $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ in its reduced form.

$$(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ -2 & -2 & 4 & 2\alpha & 2\beta + \alpha - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_5 \leftarrow R_5 + R_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ -2 & -2 & 4 & 2\alpha & 2 + \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & -1 & 2\alpha + 1/2 & 2\beta + \alpha - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 2R_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ -2 & -2 & 4 & 2\alpha & 2 + \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2\alpha + 1/2 & 2\beta + \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \leftarrow R_4 + 2R_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & 4 & 2\alpha & 2 + \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2\alpha + 1/2 & 2\beta + \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \leftarrow R_4 - 2R_3, R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - \frac{1}{2}R_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2\alpha + 2 & 4 + \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2\alpha + 1/2 & 2\beta + \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \leftarrow R_4 - 2R_3, R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - \frac{1}{2}R_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\beta - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By Theorem 3.11, a system is consistent if and only if $rank(\mathbf{A}) = rank(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$. Thus this

system will have no solution if $2\beta - 1 \neq 0$, which is when $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$. We observe that there will be no conditions on α .

b. Has an unique solution? Find the solution. (**Hint:** you will need to row reduce the augmented system to echelon form, and then use the theorems seen in class to impose the conditions on α and β).

Proof. Combining Theorem 3.10 and the corollary to Theorem 4.7, we get that a system has a unique solution if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$. The determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries by property 4 of determinants in section 4.4. Using this fact we can compute the condition of α as such that

$$1*-\alpha*2*2\alpha \neq 0$$
$$-4\alpha^2 \neq 0$$
$$\alpha \neq 0$$

and from (a), $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$. Combining these two conditions we get the following system,

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -\alpha & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2\alpha & \alpha \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

By performing back substitution we compute the unique solution as

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \\ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

c. Has infinite amount of solutions? Find the solution set in parametric form. (**Hint:** You may have one equations for α and one for β that have to be satisfied simultaneously).

Proof. Having an infinite amount of solutions is by definition another way of saying that a system that is consistent and that the solutions are not unique. A system is consistent if and only if $rank(\mathbf{A}) = rank(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ by Theorem 3.11. If $det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$, the solution, if it exists, is not unique by Theorem 3.10 and the corollary to Theorem 4.7.

Using this fact we can compute the condition of α as such that

$$1*-\alpha*2*2\alpha = 0$$
$$-4\alpha^2 = 0$$
$$\alpha = 0$$

Given that $\alpha = 0$, and that $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ from part (a) we get the following system,

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

It is clear that $rank(\mathbf{A}) = rank(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ because **b** is a linear combination of the second and third column from **A**. Hence, this system is consistent.

We can compute a solution space to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ as outlined in Theorem 3.9. We start by first computing the solution set to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = 0$ denoted by K_H . It is clear that $rank(\mathbf{A}) = 3$ because the first two columns are the same and the rest of the columns are linearly independent from each other. By Theorem 3.8, $dim(K_H) = 4 - 3 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K. For example, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a solution to the $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=0$, it is a basis for K_H by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. So a solution set to K_H would be

$$K_{H} = \left\{ t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

A solution to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.9 we compute solution space when this system has infinite amount of solutions, which is when $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ as

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

§3

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, for a field F. We want to prove that $rank(A^2) - rank(A^3) \le rank(A) - rank(A^2)$. The solution to this exercise requires the notion of quotient spaces. Even though you should already be familiar with quotient spaces we will prove a few properties that will be useful.

Let W be a subspace of a vector space V over a field F. For any $v \in V$ the set $\{v\} + W = \{v + w : w \in W\}$ is called the coset of W containing v. It is customary to denote this coset by v + W rather than $\{v\} + W$. Following this notation we write $V/W = \{v + W : v \in V\}$, which is usually called the quotient space V module W. Addition and scalar multiplication by scalars can be defined in the collection V/W as follows:

$$(v_1 + W) + (v_2 + W) = (v_1 + v_2) + W$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and

$$a(v + W) = av + W$$

for all $v \in V$ and $a \in F$

a. Prove that $v_1 + W = v_2 + W$ if and only if $v_1 - v_2 \in W$. (**Hint**: recall that $v_1 + W$ is a set,

thus you need to prove equality between sets)

Proof.

i.
$$v_1+W=v_2+W\Rightarrow v_1-v_2\in W$$

If $v_1+W=v_2+W$, then $\exists w_1,w_2\in W$ such that $v_1+w_1=v_2+w_2$
 $v_1-v_2=w_2-w_1$
Since, $w_2-w_1\in W$ (clourse under addition)
Therefore, $v_1-v_2\in W$

ii.
$$v_1 - v_2 \in W \Rightarrow v_1 + W = v_2 + W$$

This means $v_1 - v_2 = w$ where $w \in W$ (*)
Now let $x \in v_1 + W$
By definition, $\exists w_x \in W$ such that $x = v_1 + w_x$

By (*)
$$v_1 = v_2 + w$$

So, $x = v_2 + w + w_x$
Since, $w + w_x \in W$ (closure under addition)

We have
$$x \in v_2 + W$$

So, $v_1 + W \subseteq v_2 + W$

Without loss of generality, we can show
$$v_2 + W \subseteq v_1 + W$$

Therefore, $v_1 + W = v_2 + W$

Therefore,
$$v_1 + W = v_2 + W$$
 if and only if $v_1 - v_2 \in W$

b. Show that V/W with the operations defined above is a linear vector space.

VS 1: For all x, y in V/W, x + y = y + x (commutativity of addition)

Proof. Let
$$x = v_x + W$$
, $y = v_y + W$ where v_x , $v_y \in V$.

$$x + y = (v_x + W) + (v_y + W)$$

$$= (v_x + v_y) + W$$

$$= (v_y + v_x) + W$$

$$= (v_y + W) + (v_x + W)$$

$$= v + x$$

VS 2: For all x, y, z in V/W, (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) (associativity of addition)

Proof. Let
$$x = v_x + W$$
, $y = v_y + W$, $z = v_z + W$, where v_x , v_y , $v_z \in V$.

$$(x + y) + z = ((v_x + W) + (v_y + W)) + (v_z + W)$$

$$= ((v_x + v_y) + W) + (v_z + W)$$

$$= (v_y + v_x + v_z) + W$$

$$= (v_x + W) + ((v_y + v_z) + W)$$

$$= (v_x + W) + ((v_y + W) + (v_z + W))$$

$$= x + (y + z)$$

VS 3: There exists an element in V/W denoted by **0** such that $x + \mathbf{0} = x$ for each x in V/W

Proof. Let $x = v_x + W$ where $v_x \in V$. Let **0** in V/W be defined as 0 + W, where $0 \in V$.

$$x + 0 = x + (0 + W)$$

$$= (v_x + W) + (0 + W)$$

$$= (v_x + 0) + W$$

$$= v_x + W$$

$$= x$$

VS 4: For each element x in V/W there exists an element y in V/W such that $x + y = \mathbf{0}$

Proof. Let $x = v_x + W$ where $v_x \in V$. Fix y such that $y = -v_x + W$.

$$x + y = (v_x + W) + (-v_x + W)$$
$$= (v_x - v_x) + W$$
$$= 0 + W$$
$$= 0$$

VS 5: For each element x in V/W, 1x = x

Proof. Let $x = v_x + W$ where $v_x \in V$.

$$1x = 1(v_x + W)$$
$$= (1v_x + W)$$
$$= (v_x + W)$$
$$= x$$

VS 6: For each pair of elements a, b in \mathbb{F} and each element x in V/W, (ab)x = a(bx)

Proof. Let $x = v_x + W$ where $v_x \in V$.

$$(ab)x = abv_x + W$$
$$= a(bv_x + W)$$
$$= a(bx)$$

VS 7: For each element a in \mathbb{F} and each pair of elements x, y in V/W, a(x+y)=ax+ay

Proof. Let $x = v_x + W$, $y = v_y + W$ where v_x , $v_y \in V$.

$$a(x + y) = a((v_x + v_y) + W)$$

$$= ((av_x + av_y) + W)$$

$$= (av_x + W) + (av_y + W)$$

$$= a(v_x + W) + a(v_y + W)$$

$$= ax + ay$$

VS 8: For each pair of elements a, b in \mathbb{F} and each element x in V/W, (a+b)x = ax + bxProof. Let $x = v_x + W$ where $v_x \in V$.

$$(a+b)x = (a+b)(v_x + W)$$

$$= ((a+b)v_x) + W$$

$$= ((av_x + bv_x) + W)$$

$$= (av_x + W) + (bv_x + W)$$

$$= a(v_x + W) + b(v_x + W)$$

$$= ax + bx$$

Therefore, V/W is a vector space because it holds all the properties above.

c. Prove that if $dim(V) < \infty$ then dim(V/W) = dim(V) - dim(W). (Hint: Define a linear map $T: V \to V/W$ such that the range of T is V/W, and then use the rank-nullity theorem) *Proof.* We define the linear map $T: V \to V/W$ by

$$T(v) = v + W$$

We first prove that T is in fact linear, where $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $c \in F$.

$$T(cv_1 + v_2) = (cv_1 + v_2) + W$$

$$= (cv_1 + W) + ((v_2) + W)$$

$$= c(v_1 + W) + ((v_2) + W)$$

$$= cT(v_1) + T(v_2)$$

We claim that N(T) = W and R(T) = V/W

- 1. R(T) = V/W
 - i. $R(T) \subseteq V/W$ By Theorem 2.1
 - ii. $V/W \subseteq R(T)$ Let $y = v_y + W$ where $y \in V/W$ and $v_y \in V$. For prove that $y \in N(T)$ we need to show that $\exists x$ such that T(x) = y. Notice that $x = v_y$. Therfore, $y \in R(T)$ so $V/W \subseteq R(T)$.
- 2. N(T) = W
 - i. $N(T) \subseteq W$ Let $x \in N(T)$. By definition,

$$T(x) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$= 0 + W$$

$$= W$$

$$= w + W$$

where $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{0} \in V/W$.

This must mean that x = w and since $w \in W$, $x \in W$. Therefore, $N(T) \subseteq W$

ii. $W \subseteq N(T)$ Let $w \in W$.

$$T(w) = w + W$$
$$= W$$
$$= 0 + W$$
$$= 0$$

So $w \in N(T)$. Therefore, $W \subseteq N(T)$.

Notice that $V/W \subseteq V$ so V/W is finite dimensional. Since T is a linear map and that V and V/W are indeed finite dimensional vector spaces (by part b) we can use the rank-nullity theorem (Theorem 2.3).

$$dim(N(T)) + dim(R(T)) = dim(V)$$
$$dim(W) + dim(V/W) = dim(V)$$
$$dim(V/W) = dim(V) - dim(W)$$

as desired.

d. Let $K = F^n$, define $AK = R(L_A)$, and $A^2K = R(L_{A^2})$. Show that AK/A^2K is a vector space of dimension $rank(A) - rank(A^2)$.

Proof. We begin by proving that AK/A^2K is a vector space. It is enough to show that A^2K is a subspace of AK to prove that AK/A^2K is a vector space, by part (b). It is clear that AK is a subspace because $AK = R(L_A)$ and Theorem 2.3. Moreover, $A^2K \subseteq AK$ because $R(L_{A^2}) \subseteq R(L_A)$. (Theorem 3.7?) **Not sure if** $R(L_{A^2}) \subseteq R(L_A)$ **holds** Because $A^2K = R(L_{A^2})$, A^2K has the properties of a vector space because of Theorem 2.1.

Because $A^2K = R(L_{A^2})$, A^2K has the properties of a vector space because of Theorem 2.3 It follows from part (c) that

$$dim(AK/A^{2}K) = dim(AK) - dim(A^{2}K))$$

$$= dim(R(L_{A})) - dim(R(L_{A^{2}}))$$

$$= rank(A) - rank(A^{2})$$

as desired. \Box

e. Show that A^2K/A^3K is a vector space of dimension $rank(A^2) - rank(A^3)$, where $A^3K = R(L_{A^3})$.

Proof. Similar to what we did in part (d), we can prove that A^2K/A^3K is a vector space. Then it follows that,

$$dim(A^{2}K/A^{3}K) = dim(A^{2}K) - dim(A^{3}K))$$

$$= dim(R(L_{A^{2}})) - dim(R(L_{A^{3}}))$$

$$= rank(A^{2}) - rank(A^{3})$$

as desired.

f. Define $T: AK/A^2K \to A^2K/A^3K$, by $T(v) = L_A(v)$, i.e, we left multiply each element of v by the matrix A. Show that $R(T) = A^2K/A^3K$.

Proof. To show that $R(T) = A^2K/A^3K$, is another way of saying show that T is onto. We must show that $\forall x \in AK/A^2K[\exists y \in A^2K/A^3K: T(x) = y]$. Let $x = Ak' + A^2K$ where

П

 $k' \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

$$T(x) = T(Ak' + A^{2}K)$$

$$= L_{A}(Ak' + A^{2}K)$$

$$= L_{A}(Ak') + L_{A}(A^{2}K)$$

$$= A^{2}k' + A^{3}K \in A^{2}K/A^{3}K$$

Therefore, T is onto and $R(T) = A^2 K / A^3 K$.

g. Use the rank-nullity theorem on T to conclude that $rank(A^2) - rank(A^3) \le rank(A) - rank(A^2)$.

Proof. We begin by proving that T is linear. We define $x_1 = Ak_1' + A^2K$ and $x_2 = Ak_2' + A^2K$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in AK/A^2K$ and $k_1', k_2' \in F^n$ and $c \in F$. We use properties of matrices as outlined in Theorem 2.12.

$$T(ck'_1 + k'_2) = L_A(ck'_1 + k'_2)$$

$$= A(ck'_1 + k'_2)$$

$$= A(c(Ak'_1 + A^2K) + (Ak'_2 + A^2K))$$

$$= A(c(Ak'_1 + A^2K)) + A(Ak'_2 + A^2K)$$

$$= c(A(Ak'_1 + A^2K)) + A(Ak'_2 + A^2K)$$

$$= cL_A(k'_1) + L_A(k'_2)$$

$$= cT(k'_1) + T(k'_2)$$

Since T is linear, we can use rank nullity theorem. From part (d), (e), (f), and assuming that $nullity(T) \ge 0$ because the number of elements of a set cannot be negative

$$rank(T) + nullity(T) = dim(AK/A^{2}K)$$

$$= rank(A) - rank(A^{2})$$

$$rank(T) \le rank(A) - rank(A^{2})$$

$$rank(A^{2}) - rank(A^{3}) \le rank(A) - rank(A^{2})$$

as desired.

§4

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let T and P be two linear transformations from V to itself, such that $T^2 = P^2 = 0$, and $T \circ P + P \circ T = I$, where I is the identity in V.

a. Denote $N_T = N(T)$ and $N_P = N(P)$, the null spaces of T and P, respectively. Show that $N_P = P(N_T)$, and $N_T = T(N_P)$, where $T(N_P) = \{T(v) : v \in N_P\}$ and $P(N_T) = \{P(v) : v \in N_T\}$.

Proof. Pretty sure this is wrong

i. Show
$$N_P = P(N_T)$$

Let $x \in N_P$. By definition, P(x) = 0.

$$P \circ T(x) + T \circ P(x) = x$$
$$P \circ T(x) + T(0) = x$$
$$P \circ T(x) = x$$
$$T(x) \neq 0$$
$$T^{2}(x) = 0$$

Thus $T(x) \in N_T$, so $x \in P(N_T)$. So $N_p \subseteq P(N_T)$.

Let $x \in P(N_T)$. This means that P(x) = 0 because $P^2 = 0$. So $x \in N_P$ and $P(N_T) \subseteq N_P$

Therfore, $N_P = P(N_T)$.

- ii. Show $N_T = T(N_P)$
- b. Show that $V = N_T \oplus N_P$.

Proof. Need to prove the following two conditions.

i.
$$N_T \cap N_P = \{0\}.$$

Let $x \in N_T$ and $x \in N_P$. This means that T(x) = 0 and P(x) = 0.

$$x = P \circ T(x) + T \circ P(x)$$
$$= P(0) + T(0)$$
$$= 0$$

Thus, $N_T \cap N_P = \{0\}.$

ii.
$$N_T + N_P = V$$
.

Let $x \in V$. We know that $x = P \circ T(x) + T \circ P(x)$. Notice that $P \circ T(x) \in N_P$ because $P(P \circ T(x)) = 0$. Similarly, $T \circ P(x) \in N_T$ because $T(T \circ P(x)) = 0$. Thus, $N_T + N_P = V$.

Therefore, by definition $V = N_T \oplus N_P$.

c. Prove that the dimension of V is even.

Proof.

Lemma 4.1

 $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \Leftrightarrow dim(V) = dim(W_1) + dim(W_2)$

Proof. 1.6.29(b) in the textbook

By the lemma above, $dim(V) = dim(N_T) + dim(N_P)$. Let's construct a basis β_{N_T} for N_T and β_{N_P} for N_P . $\beta_{N_T} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$

$$\beta_{N_P} = \{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n\}$$

From (a), $\dim(N_T) = m = \dim(P(N_T)) \le n$ and $\dim(N_P) = n = \dim(T(N_P)) \le m$

not sure if
$$dim(P(N_T)) \le n$$
 holds

Hence,
$$n = m$$
. Therefore, $dim(V)$ is even.

d. Suppose that the dimension of V is two. Prove that V has a basis β , such that

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $[P]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. (4)