

Math 341: Homework 7

Daniel Ko

Spring 2020

§1 A

For each of the following homogeneous systems of linear equations, find the dimension of and a basis for the solution space.

a.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ because the two columns are a multiples of each other. If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution to the given system, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for K by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

b.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are two linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 - 2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution to the given system, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for K by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

c.
$$\{x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + x_4 = 0\}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ because there are one linearly independent row (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system,

then $\dim(K) = 4 - 1 = 3$. Note that, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly independent vectors in

K . Thus they form a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

d.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then

$\dim(K) = 4 - 2 = 2$. Note that, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly independent vectors in K . Thus

they form a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

e.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 4x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent rows (rank of a transposed matrix is the same as the rank of a matrix). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 - 2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution for K , it forms a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

f.
$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent columns (third column is second column multiplied by -1). If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 3 -$

$2 = 1$. Thus any nonzero solution constitutes a basis for K . For example, since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution for K , it forms a basis by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.10. \square

g. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8 and following example 2 from the textbook, we define this system as an homogeneous system $Ax = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ because there are 2 linearly independent columns. If K is the solution set of this system, then $\dim(K) = 2 - 2 = 0$. This means the zero vector is the basis for K , i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. \square

§2 B

Using the results of Exercise 2, find all solutions to the following systems.

a. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 = 5 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 = 10 \end{cases}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

\square

b. $\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 3 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6 \end{cases}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

\square

c. $\{x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + x_4 = 1\}$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + t_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

d.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1 \\ x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

e.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ 4x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3 = 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

f.
$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 5 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 = 4 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3

from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

□

g.
$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 = 5 \\ x_1 - x_2 = -1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. A solution to the above system is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.9 and following example 3 from the textbook, we know $K = \{s\} + K_H = \{s + k : k \in K_H\}$. So,

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

□

§3 C

Prove that the system of linear equations $Ax = b$ has a solution if and only if $b \in R(L_A)$.

Proof. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

- i. $Ax = b$ has a solution $\Rightarrow b \in R(L_A)$.

Let s be a solution to $Ax = b$. This means $A_1s_1 + \cdots + A_ns_n = b$. Notice that b is a linear combination of the columns of A , which is equivalent to $R(L_A)$ by the proof given in Theorem 3.5. Therefore, $b \in R(L_A)$.

- ii. $b \in R(L_A) \Rightarrow Ax = b$ has a solution.

$b \in R(L_A)$ means that b is a linear combination of the columns of A . So, $b = A_1s_1 + \cdots + A_ns_n$. This means there exists an x , composed of the s_1, \dots, s_n as seen in the previous equation, such that $Ax = b$. Therefore, $Ax = b$ has a solution.

□

§4 D

Prove or give a counterexample to the following statement: If the comatrix of a system of m linear equations in n unknowns has rank m , then the system has a solution.

Proof. Let A be a $m \times n$ comatrix for the system $Ax = b$. By definition, $L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$. Another way of saying that the system has a solution is to say that $b \in R(L_A)$, as we proved in problem C. Given that the $\text{rank}(A) = m$, it follows that $\dim(R(L_A)) = m$ by Theorem 3.5. Since the range and the codomain of L_A are the same, it means that L_A is onto by definition. Thus, $\forall b \in R(L_A) [\exists s \in \mathbb{F}^n \text{ s.t. } As = b]$. Therefore, if the comatrix of a system of m linear equations in n unknowns has rank m , then system has a solution. □

§5 E

Suppose that the augmented matrix of a system $Ax = b$ is transformed into a matrix $(A'|b')$ in reduced row echelon form by a finite sequence of elementary row operations.

- Prove that $\text{rank}(A')$, $\text{rank}(A'|b')$ if and only if $(A'|b')$ contains a row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.
- Deduce that $Ax = b$ is consistent if and only if $(A'|b')$ contains no row in which the only nonzero entry lies in the last column.

§6 F**§7 G****§8 H****§9 I**

Prove the corollary to Theorem 3.16: The reduced row echelon form of a matrix is unique.

Proof. Let's assume for the sake of contradiction that matrix A has two unique reduced row echelon forms, B and B' . Theorem 3.16(b) states that "For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if column k of B is $d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2 + \dots + d_r e_r$, then column k of A is $d_1 a_{j_1} + d_2 a_{j_2} + \dots + d_r a_{j_r}$. Let's the k column of B be $d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2 + \dots + d_r e_r$ and the k column of B' be $d'_1 e_1 + d'_2 e_2 + \dots + d'_r e_r$ where k th column of B does not equal the k th column of B' because they are both unique. However, this would be that the k th column of the original matrix A are different depending if you compute using B or B' . Thus, this is a contradiction and A must have a unique reduced row echelon form. \square