

# The macroeconomics of uncertainty

Nick Bloom (Stanford & NBER)

Chicago Macro Lecture, December 4<sup>th</sup> 2012



# (1) Lecture summarizes a JEL draft with Fernandez-Villaverde and Schneider

## Uncertainty in Macroeconomics

Nick Bloom\*, Jesus Fernandez-Villaverde† and Martin Schneider‡

December 2012

### Abstract

A growing body of evidence suggests that uncertainty is counter cyclical, rising sharply in recessions and falling in booms. We start by defining the concept of uncertainty, and how this links to risk, volatility and ambiguity. We then describe eight stylized facts about uncertainty - noting macro and micro uncertainty are counter-cyclical, that higher-moments tend not to be countercyclical, and then uncertainty is higher in developing countries. We then examine the impact of uncertainty in terms of reducing the level and responsiveness of firms and consumers investment decisions to productivity and income shocks, XXX for asset prices. Following this we discuss the potential causes of movements in uncertainty over time, focusing on a number of models that suggest that recessions lead to higher uncertainty, thereby endogenizing uncertainty over the business cycle. Finally, we conclude by highlight four key areas for future research: the improved measurement of uncertainty, the identification of cause-and-effect for movements in uncertainty over the business cycle, the main channels of impact for uncertainty on the economy, and the design of optimal policy to deal with higher uncertainty.

**Keywords:** Uncertainty, volatility and risk.

**JEL Classification:** D92, E22, D8, C23.

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank the National Science Foundation for financial support.

**Correspondence:** nbloom@stanford.edu

---

\*Dept. of Economics, Stanford University, and the NBER.

†Dept. of Economics, Pennsylvania and the NBER.

‡Dept. of Economics, Stanford University and the NBER.

I can circulate the current scrappy version and will post on-line a better version in the next few months

# (2) Thursday and Friday the BF Center has a Policy Uncertainty conference

ABOUT  
THE INSTITUTE

PEOPLE

EVENTS

[Conferences](#)

[Lectures](#)

[Special Events](#)

[Student Programs](#)

RESEARCH

DONATE

CONTACT

## POLICY UNCERTAINTY AND ITS ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

Dec. 6–7, 2012

Organizers:

[Nicholas Bloom](#), Stanford University

[Steven J. Davis](#), University of Chicago Booth School of Business

The Becker Friedman Institute at the University of Chicago will host a conference on Policy Uncertainty and its Economic Implications in Chicago on December 6–7, 2012.

This conference is spurred by the increasing academic and policy interest around the cause, effect, measurement and definition of economic and policy uncertainty. It will present a series of papers on the topic—typically early stage research pieces—over a two-day period.

[Register »](#)

### Program

Thursday, December 6

10:20–10:30 AM	"Opening Remarks" Nicholas Bloom, Steve Davis, and Lars Hansen
10:30–11:20 AM	"Measuring Economic Policy Uncertainty" Scott R. Baker, Stanford University <a href="#">Paper (.pdf) »</a>
11:20–12:10 PM	"The Asset Pricing Implications of Government Economic Policy Uncertainty" Jonathan Brogaard, University of Washington <a href="#">Paper (.pdf) »</a>
12:10–1:10 PM	Lunch
1:10–2:00 PM	"Uncertainty and Investment Delays" Nancy Stokey, University of Chicago
2:00–2:50 PM	"A Real Options Perspective on the Future of the Euro" Fernando Alvarez, University of Chicago <a href="#">Paper (.pdf) »</a>

Thursday, Dec 6

Math-Stat Building  
5727 S University Ave  
Chicago IL 60637

[Map »](#)

Friday, Dec 7

Chicago Booth Gleacher  
Center, Room 200  
450 North Cityfront Plaza  
Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60611

[Map »](#)

Register

Please register [here »](#)

- **Definition: What is uncertainty?**

- Stylized facts: Uncertainty over time and countries
- Theory: Why might uncertainty matter?
- Empirics: Evidence on the impact of uncertainty

# Defining uncertainty and volatility

Uncertainty is forward looking and volatility is realized

For example in the simple Brownian motion process:

$$dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma_{t-1} dw_t \quad \text{where } dw_t \sim N(0,1)$$

uncertainty about  $dX_{t+1} = \sigma_t$

volatility over period  $t-s$  to  $t = \text{variance}(dX_{t-s}, dX_{t-s+1}, \dots, dX_t)$

These are linked because  $E[\text{variance of } dX_{t+1}] = \sigma_t$

# An example for the S&P500 from 2007 to 2012



A volatile period

So uncertainty about the future was high here (implied volatility on the VIX was  $>40$ )

# Difference concepts of uncertainty

The last slide used a stochastic volatility definition (a process that evolves over time with a changing variance)

There is another broad concept of uncertainty:

Bayesian uncertainty, which is how diffuse your prior is.

- *Knightian uncertainty* is the situation when the prior is infinitely diffuse (you have no idea)

Ambiguity is similar to Bayesian uncertainty.

Risk, is very similar to uncertainty, except it is more often used when some outcomes involve losses

- Definition: What is uncertainty?


- **Stylized facts: Uncertainty over time and countries**

- Theory: Why might uncertainty matter?
- Empirics: Evidence on the impact of uncertainty



**Uncertainty is hard to measure because it is not directly observed**

Unfortunately no  
working uncertainty  
barometer exists...



**But there are a number of indirect proxies,  
yielding four stylized facts I'll cover in some detail**

- 1) Macro uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 2) Micro uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 3) Higher micro moments appear *not* to be cyclical
- 4) Uncertainty is higher in developing countries

**Hence, it looks like the following is a reasonable model for macro and micro shocks**

$$\underbrace{y_{j,t}}_{\text{Output}} = \underbrace{A_t}_{\text{Aggregate Productivity}} * \underbrace{z_{j,t}}_{\text{Idiosyncratic Productivity}} * \underbrace{k_{j,t}^\alpha n_{j,t}^\nu}_{\text{Production Function}}$$

$$\text{Macro TFP} \quad : \quad \log(A_t) = \rho^A \log(A_{t-1}) + \sigma_{t-1}^A \epsilon_t$$

$$\text{Micro TFP} + \text{demand} \quad : \quad \log(z_{j,t}) = \rho^Z \log(z_{j,t-1}) + \sigma_{t-1}^Z \epsilon_{j,t}$$

Would naturally generalize  $A_t$  to include demand (and other) shocks (e.g. Hopenhayn and Rogerson, 1993)


1) **Macro uncertainty appears countercyclical**

2) Micro firm uncertainty appears countercyclical

3) Higher micro moments appear *not* to be cyclical

4) Uncertainty is higher in developing countries


# **Macro uncertainty: Stock returns (implied from 1990 onwards)**



**Source:** Bloom (2009).


**Notes:** Realized volatility from 1962 to 1990 on S&P100, and implied volatility from 1990 onwards (Source Bloom 2009). Grey bars are NBER recessions.

## Macro uncertainty: GARCH for TFP




**Note:** Predicted volatility from a GARCH(1,1) estimation of current quarterly log(GDP) on its four lagged values. Source Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry 2011 using TFP data provided by John Fernald.

## Macro uncertainty: Disagreement (unemployment)



**Note:** Interquartile range of cross-sectional forecasts divided by average of cross-sectional forecasts, 4 quarters ahead unemployment rates from the Survey of Professional Forecasters. Forecasts collected quarterly with an average of 41 forecasters per period. The grey shaded columns are recessionary quarters defined according to the NBER.

# **Macro uncertainty: Economic policy uncertainty**



**Source:** Baker, Bloom and Davis (2012). Data at [www.policyuncertainty.com](http://www.policyuncertainty.com). Data normalized to 100 prior to 2010. Plotted up until October 2012



1) Macro uncertainty appears countercyclical


2) **Micro firm uncertainty appears countercyclical**

3) Firm skewness and kurtosis appear to be acyclical

4) Uncertainty is higher in developing countries


5) Income uncertainty and skewness appear countercyclical

## Micro uncertainty: **Industry** growth dispersion (1/2)




**Note:** Plots the IQR of the monthly industry growth rates within each quarter across the 196 NAICS manufacturing industries.  
Source: Bloom, Floetotto and Jaimovich (2009)

## Micro uncertainty: **Industry** growth dispersion (2/2)




**Note:** 1<sup>st</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of 3-month growth rates of industrial production within each quarter. All 196 manufacturing NAICS sectors in the Federal Reserve Board database. Source: Bloom, Floetotto and Jaimovich (2009)

## Micro uncertainty: **Industry** stock-returns spread




**Source:** Chen, Kannan, Loungani and Trehan (2012)

# Micro uncertainty: Firm growth dispersion




**Note:** Interquartile range of sales growth (Compustat firms). Only firms with 25+ years of accounts, and quarters with 500+ observations. SIC2 only cells with 25+ obs. SIC2 is used as the level of industry definition to maintain sample size. The grey shaded columns are recessions according to the NBER. Source: Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2011)

# Micro uncertainty: **Firm** stock-returns dispersion



**Note:** Interquartile range of stock returns (CRSP firms). Only firms with 25+ years of accounts, and quarters with 1000+ observations. SIC2 only cells with 25+ obs. SIC2 is used as the level of industry definition to maintain sample size. Source: Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2011)


## Micro uncertainty: **Plant** sales growth



**Source: “Really Uncertain Business Cycles” by Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2012)**

**Notes:** Constructed from the Census of Manufactures and the Annual Survey of Manufactures using a balanced panel of 15,752 establishments active in 2005-06 and 2008-09. Moments of the distribution for non-recession (recession) years are: mean 0.026 (-0.191), variance 0.052 (0.131), coefficient of skewness 0.164 (-0.330) and kurtosis 13.07 (7.66). The year 2007 is omitted because according to the NBER the recession began in December 2007, so 2007 is not a clean “before” or “during” recession year.

## Micro uncertainty: **Plant** TFP ‘shock’ dispersion




Source: “Really Uncertain Business Cycles” by Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2012)

Note: Annual Survey of Manufacturing establishments with 25+ years (to reduce sample selection). Shaded columns are share of quarters in recession. Source Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2011).



## Micro uncertainty: **Item** level price changes



**Source:** David Berger and Joe Vavra (2009, Yale Mimeo)

- 1) Macro uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 2) Micro firm uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 3) **Higher micro moments appear *not* to be cyclical**
- 4) Uncertainty is higher in developing countries

# Higher moments harder to measure - need yet larger samples - but these suggest little cyclical behavior

**Table 1: Uncertainty is Higher During Recessions**

Dependent Variable:	(1) S.D. of log(TFP) shock	(2) Skewness of log(TFP) shock	(3) Kurtosis of log(TFP) shock
Sample:	Establishments (manufacturing)	Establishments (manufacturing)	Establishments (manufacturing)
Recession	0.063*** (0.010)	-0.244 (0.179)	-1.432 (2.088)
Mean of Dep. Variable:	0.499	-1.527	20.514
Corr. with GDP growth	-0.440***	0.131	0.038
Frequency	Annual	Annual	Annual
Years	1972-2009	1972-2009	1972-2009
Observations	37	37	37
Underlying sample	446,051	446,051	446,051

**Source: “Really Uncertain Business Cycles” by Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2012)**

**Note:** Annual Survey of Manufacturing establishments with 25+ years (to reduce sample selection). Shaded columns are share of quarters in recession. Source Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2011).


## **Income seems to display a similar increasing spread as plant data, at least in earlier analysis**

Storesletten, Telmer and Yaron (2004) show that US cohorts in the PSID that have lived through more recessions have more dispersed incomes

Meghir and Pistaferri (2004) (also on the PSID) show that labor market residuals have a higher standard deviation in recessions

# Recent data suggests a rising third moment (skewness) in recessions, particularly 2007-10

Figure 13: Growth in Log Average Earnings during Recessions, Prime-Age (35–54) Males




**Source:** Guvenen, Ozkan and Song, “The nature of countercyclical income risk” (2012), Minneapolis Fed mimeo


**Notes:** Uses about 5m obs per year from the US Social Security Administration earnings data

# So in summary

Countercyclical Variance



Countercyclical Left-Skewness




Macro, industry,  
firms, plants and  
product prices

Incomes?

- 1) Macro uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 2) Micro firm uncertainty appears countercyclical
- 3) Firm skewness and kurtosis appear to be acyclical
- 4) **Uncertainty is higher in developing countries**

## Developing countries have more volatile GDP




**Source:** Baker & Bloom (2012) "Does uncertainty reduce growth? Evidence from disaster shocks".

**Notes:** Rich=(GDP Per Capita>\$20,000 in 2010 PPP)



# Developing (and in fact all) countries also appear to have countercyclical uncertainty




**Source:** Baker and Bloom (2012), “Does uncertainty reduce growth? Evidence from disaster shocks”

**Notes:** Volatility indicators constructed from the unbalanced panel of daily data from 1970 to 2012 from 60 countries. The GDP growth quintiles are calculated using annual values in deviations from the country mean across the sample.

- Definition: What is uncertainty?
- Stylized facts: Uncertainty over time and countries
- **Theory: Why might uncertainty matter?**
- Empirics: Evidence on the impact of uncertainty

# Policymakers think that uncertainty matters



# **Policymakers think that uncertainty matters**

**FOMC** (October 2001) “increased uncertainty is depressing investment by fostering an increasingly widespread wait-and-see attitude about undertaking new investment expenditures

**FOMC** (April 2008)

“participants reported that uncertainty about the economic outlook was leading firms to defer spending projects until prospects for economic activity became clearer.”

**FOMC** (June 2009)

“participants noted elevated uncertainty was said to be inhibiting spending in many cases.”

**FOMC** (September 2010)

“A number of business contacts indicated that they were holding back on hiring and spending plans because of uncertainty about future fiscal and regulatory policies”

# Famous economists also worry about uncertainty

**Olivier Blanchard** (January 2009)

“Uncertainty is largely behind the dramatic collapse in demand. Given the uncertainty, why build a new plant, or introduce a new product now? Better to pause until the smoke clears.”

**Christina Romer** (April 2009)

“Volatility has been over five times as high over the past six months as it was in the first half of 2007. The resulting uncertainty has almost surely contributed to a decline in spending.”

**Larry Summers** (March 2009)

“...unresolved uncertainty can be a major inhibitor of investment. If energy prices will trend higher, you invest one way; if energy prices will be lower, you invest a different way. But if you don’t know what prices will do, often you do not invest at all.”



# Although not everyone agrees....

HOME PAGE TODAY'S PAPER VIDEO MOST POPULAR TIMES TOPICS Subscribe: Digital / Home Delivery nbloom... ▼ Help

The New York Times

## The Opinion Pages

Search All NYTimes.com



WORLD U.S. N.Y./REGION BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS

### The Conscience of a Liberal

PAUL KRUGMAN

October 13, 2011, 8:52 AM | 60 Comments

#### Varieties Of Uncertainty

Some of the usual suspects have lately been touting a research paper by Baker et al that supposedly shows that policy uncertainty is an important factor in restraining the economic recovery. Larry Mishel

As Mishel points out, uncertainty is basic to the Murdoch empire. As for the propaganda

But even setting that aside, all what the right can visibly move their dispute. So you could that socialist Islam hostage-taking. The analysis.

Search This Blog

Previous Post

Legends Of The Fall (Of 2009)

Next Post

Low Rates As A Sign Of Failure

FOLLOW THIS BLOG



Twitter



RSS

#### ABOUT PAUL KRUGMAN

Paul Krugman is an Op-Ed columnist for The New York Times.

• Biography »

The New York Times

## The Opinion Pages

Search All NYTimes.com



WORLD U.S. N.Y./REGION BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS

### The Conscience of a Liberal

PAUL KRUGMAN

October 22, 2012, 1:18 PM | 53 Comments


#### The "Uncertainty" Scam

One of the central talking points of right-wing economists is that "uncertainty" caused by Obama is holding the economy back; they cite, again and again, a paper by Bloom et al purporting to find a relationship between uncertainty and jobs, with uncertainty measured via such things as article counts.

But what's driving that uncertainty index? Jan Hatzius and his team at Goldman have an analysis, cited by FT Alphaville, pointing out something that I thought I knew, but with much more clarity: it's not at all about Obama.

Here's my key graph from the Hatzius paper:

Exhibit 2: Is Policy Uncertainty Cause or Effect of the Weak Economy?



For the most part, "uncertainty" seems to reflect the fact that the economy is

Search This Blog

Previous Post

An Issue Whose Time Has Passed

FOLLOW THIS BLOG



Twitter



RSS

#### ABOUT PAUL KRUGMAN

Paul Krugman is an Op-Ed columnist for The New York Times.

• Biography »

• Columns »

BOOKS

• End This Depression Now! (2012)

• Principles of Economics, 2nd ed. (2009)

• The Return of Depression Economics and the Crisis of 2008 (Dec. 2008)

• The Conscience of a Liberal (Oct. 2007)

Advertisement



BOOK

igman.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/10/22/1-18pm/53comments/

The New York Times

## The Opinion Pages

Subscribe: Digital / Home Delivery nbloom... ▼ Help

Search All NYTimes.com



WORLD U.S. N.Y./REGION BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS

### The Conscience of a Liberal

PAUL KRUGMAN

October 31, 2012, 3:15 PM | 157 Comments


#### Asymmetrical "Uncertainty"

Ever since it came out, Obama-bashers have loved to cite a paper by Baker, Bloom, and Davis claiming that policy uncertainty is holding back the recovery. See, they say, it's Obama and his socialist plans! The paper never deserved this much weight — like the Alesina/Ardagna work on expansionary austerity, or, yes, the unfortunate and uncharacteristically sloppy Reinhart/Rogoff paper on the burden of debt. It's academic nonsense.

What that has to do with the economy is

Moreover, as in the case of the debt ceiling, it's not at all clear that it's Obama who's the problem. Republican

Baker et al have accepted that it's not Obama who's the problem. Their data on the debt ceiling is



The New York Times

## The Opinion Pages

Search All NYTimes.com

WORLD U.S. N.Y./REGION BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS

### The Conscience of a Liberal

PAUL KRUGMAN

August 10, 2012, 5:10 PM | 294 Comments

#### Culture Of Fraud

Still on vacation, but I have internet access for a bit, and have checked in on a few matters. The big story of the week among the dismal science set is the Romney campaign's [white paper on economic policy](#), which represents a concerted effort by three economists — Glenn Hubbard, Greg Mankiw, and John Taylor — to destroy their own reputations. (Yes, there was a fourth author, Kevin Hassett. But the co-author of "Dow 36,000" doesn't exactly have a reputation to destroy).

And when I talk about destroying reputations, I don't just mean saying things I disagree with. I mean flat-out, undeniable professional malpractice. It's one thing to make shaky or even demonstrably wrong arguments. It's something else to cite the work of other economists, claiming that it supports your position, when it does no such thing — and don't take my word for it, listen to the [protests of the cited economists](#).

Search This Blog

Previous Post

Poor Spokesmen

Galt

FOLLOW THIS BLOG



Twitter



RSS

#### ABOUT PAUL KRUGMAN

Paul Krugman is an Op-Ed columnist for The New York Times.

• Biography »

• Columns »

BOOKS

• End This Depression Now! (2012)

• Principles of Economics, 2nd ed. (2009)

• The Return of Depression Economics and the Crisis of 2008 (Dec. 2008)

• The Conscience of a Liberal (Oct. 2007)

## THE CHOICE

A weekly e-mail newsletter for college applicants and their parents explaining the facets of the college admission process.

# Uncertainty and volatility matter when you have curvature so that distributions matter

- Imagine everything was linear – for example utility is a linear function  $U(c) = A + Bc$
- Then you only care about is the mean of  $c$ , the first moment which is  $E(c)$  (certainly equivalence)
- As soon as you have curvature the distribution of  $c$  also matters, for example  $U(c) = A + Bc - Dc^2$

# The main sources of curvature by firms and consumers

## Firms

- **Adjustment costs (real options)**
- Revenue functions (Oi-Hartman-Abel effects)
- Financial frictions
- Managerial risk-aversion

## Consumers



## **Real options literature emphasizes that many investment and hiring decisions are irreversible**

- Idea is that investment and hiring are (partially) sunk-costs
- As a result when uncertainty is high about the future you want to wait to find out before investing or hiring
- Literature most strongly associated with Dixit and Pindyck's (1994) book
- Other key early papers include Bernanke (1983), McDonald, Siegel (1986) and Bertola and Bentolila (1990)

# A micro to macro model (from “Really uncertain business cycles”, Bloom et al. 2012)

- Large number of heterogeneous firms

$$y_{j,t} = A_t z_{j,t} k_{j,t}^\alpha n_{j,t}^\nu, \alpha + \nu < 1$$

- Macro productivity and micro productivity follow an AR process with time variation in the variance of innovations

$$\begin{aligned}\log(A_t) &= \rho_A \log(A_{t-1}) + \sigma_{t-1}^A \epsilon_t \\ \log(z_{j,t}) &= \rho \log(z_{j,t-1}) + \sigma_{t-1}^Z \epsilon_{j,t}\end{aligned}$$

- Uncertainty ( $\sigma^A$  and  $\sigma^Z$ ) also persistent - e.g. follows a 2-point markov chain

# Capital and labor adjustment costs


- Capital and labor follow the laws of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}k_{j,t+1} &= (1 - \delta_k)k_{j,t} + i_{j,t} \\ n_{j,t} &= (1 - \delta_n)n_{j,t-1} + s_{j,t}\end{aligned}$$


where      i: investment       $\delta_k$ : depreciation  
              s: hiring             $\delta_n$ : attrition

- Allow for the full range of adjustment costs found in micro data
  - Fixed – lump sum cost for investment and/or hiring
  - Partial – per \$ disinvestment and/or per worker hired/fired


**For both investment and hiring get these Ss type models with investment/disinvestment thresholds**



**When uncertainty increases the thresholds move out and investment temporarily falls**



Since the model has 2-factors with adjustment costs it has a 2-dimensional response box



# The real options effects work through two channels


“**Delay effect**”: when uncertainty increases firms put off making decisions. So investment and hiring tends to fall.

$\partial I / \partial \sigma < 0$       where  $I$ =investment or hiring,  $\sigma$ =uncertainty

“**Caution effect**”: when uncertainty increases firms are less sensitive to other changes, like prices and demand

$\partial^2 I / \partial A \partial \sigma < 0$     where  $I$  and  $\sigma$  as above,  $A$ =TFP or demand


**Figure 1: An uncertainty shock causes an output drop of just over 3%, and a recovery to almost level within 1 year**



Source: "Really Uncertain Business Cycles" by Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2012)



**Figure 2: Labor and investment drop and rebound, and TFP slowly falls and rebounds**



Source: “Really Uncertain Business Cycles” by Bloom, Floetotto, Jaimovich, Saporta and Terry (2012)

## How general are these results? Real option effects only arise under certain conditions

1. You can wait – rules out now or never situations (e.g. patent races, first-mover games, auctions etc)
2. Investing now reduces returns from investing later – rules out perfect competition and constant returns to scale
3. You can act ‘rapidly’ – rules out large delays, which Bar-Ilan & Strange (1996) show generate offsetting put options
4. Some ‘non-convex’ adjustment costs – this means some fixed (lump-sum) or partial irreversibility (i.e. a resale loss), rather than only quadratic (smoothly increasing) costs

## Also uncertainty has to be rising (rather than permanently high)

- The early literature (e.g. Dixit and Pindyck, 1996) focused on constant uncertainty and did comparative statics on  $\sigma$
- Reason is the maths of dealing with stochastic volatility (so a time varying  $\sigma_t$ ) is very hard
- But steady-state impact of high uncertainty is actually very small (e.g. Abel and Eberly, 1999).
  - Intuition is all investment is delayed, so do last period's now and do this period's next period

## **Other macro models have exploited price (rather than factor input) adjustment costs**

In a New Keynesian model in which prices are sticky in the short-run, Basu and Bundick (2011) obtain negative uncertainty effects from a lack of demand

Benigno and Ricci (2011) show that with downward nominal wage rigidity higher uncertainty increases probability of binding, reducing hiring

# The main sources of curvature in economics from firms and consumers

## Firms

- Adjustment costs (real options)
- **Revenue functions**
- Financial frictions
- Managerial risk-aversion

## Consumers

## **Non-linear revenue functions can also induce uncertainty effects (1/2)**

- The Oi-Hartman-Abel effect (sometimes Hartman-Abel effect) based on the impact of uncertainty on revenue. Based on Oi (1961), Hartman (1972) and Abel (1983)
- The basic idea is that if capital and labor are costlessly adjustable variability is good for average revenue
  - When demand is high expand
  - When demand is low contract

## Non-linear revenue functions can also induce uncertainty effects (2/2)

For example, for Cobb-Douglas if profits are:

$$\Pi = AK^\alpha L^\beta - rK - wL$$

Then you obtain for optimal (flexible) capital and labor

$$K^* = \lambda_K A^{1/(1-\alpha-\beta)} \quad L^* = \lambda_L A^{1/(1-\alpha-\beta)}$$

where  $\lambda_K$  and  $\lambda_L$  are constants

As a result  $K^*$  and  $L^*$  are convex in  $A$ , so a higher variance in  $A$  leads to higher average  $K$  and  $L$

## **But the Oi-Hartman-Abel effect is not robust**

This result requires no capital or labor adjustment costs, which in reality is very unlikely to happen

Hence, while theoretically this can reverse the impact of uncertainty, in practice I don't think it's an important channel in the short-run (when factors are fixed).

Bloom et al. (2012) find real-option effects dominate in the short-run ( $\leq 6$  quarters) and OHA in medium-run (6+ quarters)



# The main sources of curvature in economics from firms and consumers

## Firms

- Adjustment costs (real options)
- Revenue functions
- **Financial frictions**
- Managerial risk-aversion

## Consumers

## **Recent financial crisis have emphasized the role of uncertainty and finance**

The 2007-2009 crisis clearly highlighted the issues of both finance and uncertainty, and natural to ask do they interact?

Many recent papers (e.g. Arrellano, Bai & Kehoe 2011, Gilchrist, Sim & Zakrajsek 2011, and Christiano, Motto & Rostango, 2011) emphasize uncertainty-finance interaction

They have an empirical and theory component – both suggest financial frictions and uncertainty amplify each other

# The main sources of curvature in economics from firms and consumers

## Firms

- Adjustment costs (real options)
- Revenue functions
- Financial frictions
- **Managerial risk-aversion**

## Consumers

**Another channel is that managers are typically not well diversified, so firm risk=personal risk**

While investors may be diversified (at least for publicly quoted firms) managers typically are not.

Managers hold human-capital in the firm (firm-specific training etc) and often financial capital (shares)

As a result they have a risk-return trade-off for the firm. So higher uncertainty should induce more cautious behavior, typically meaning less investment and hiring

# The main sources of curvature in economics from firms and consumers

Firms

## Consumers

- **Risk aversion**
- Durable adjustment costs (real options)

# **Risk aversion has seen an increase in interest recently**

Classic idea is higher risk requires higher returns, reducing investment and hiring

Fernandez-Villaverde, Guerron, Rubio-Ramirez and Uribe (2011) use numerical methods to solve complex realistic models and find significant negative impacts

Ilut and Schneider (2012) use ambiguity aversion to demonstrate large negative effects (fear of the worst case)

Gourio (2011) has higher-moment (left-tail) concerns that again generate drops in uncertainty

# The main sources of curvature in economics from firms and consumers

Firms

## **Consumers**

- Risk aversion
- **Durable adjustment costs (real options)**

## **For consumption there is also a real-options effect on durable expenditure**

For consumers (like firms) sunk investments have option values if they can delay

The classic example is buying a car – you can always delay. If uncertainty is high the option value of waiting may be so high you do not purchase this period

Note: Non-durables do not satisfy the “Investing now reduces returns from investing later” criteria, so no option value of delay. e.g. Eating next year no substitute for eating this year



## **For consumption there is also a real-options effect on durable expenditure**

Classic papers include:

Romer (1990) who showed a big drop of durable/non-durable expenditure during the Great Depression arguing this is due to Uncertainty

Eberly (1994) looked at US car purchases, showing higher uncertainty led to a *caution effect* (Ss bands moved out).

- Definition: What is uncertainty?
- Stylized facts: Uncertainty over time and countries
- Theory: Why might uncertainty matter?
- **Empirics: Evidence on the impact of uncertainty**

# Impact of uncertainty on growth

**Micro evidence**

Macro evidence

Identification and reverse causality

## **Micro papers on firms typically find negative effects of uncertainty on investment, e.g.**

- Leahy and Whited (1996) is the classic in the literature. Build a firm-level panel (Compustat) and regresses investment on Tobin's Q and stock-return volatility (using daily data within each year)
- Used lagged values as instruments for identification
- Find a significant negative effect of uncertainty on investment, but nothing for covariance

## **Other papers have also found good micro-data evidence of negative uncertainty impacts**

- Guiso and Parigi (1999) used Italian survey data on firms expectations of demand, and again found a negative impact on levels (“delay effect”)
- Bloom, Bond and Van Reenen (2007) build a model and estimated on UK data using GMM, finding a negative “caution effect” (makes firms less responsive)
- Panousi and Papanikolaou (2011) undertook a novel twist demonstrating part of negative uncertainty effect appears to be management risk aversion.

# Impact of uncertainty on growth

Micro evidence

**Macro evidence**

Identification and reverse causality

# Basic results suggest a drop and recovery from VAR types estimates, e.g. Bloom (2009)




FIGURE 2.—VAR estimation of the impact of a volatility shock on industrial production. *Notes:* Dashed lines are 1 standard-error bands around the response to a volatility shock.




FIGURE 3.—VAR estimation of the impact of a volatility shock on employment. *Notes:* Dashed lines are 1 standard-error bands around the response to a volatility shock.

**Source:** Cholesky VAR estimates using monthly data from June 1962 to June 2008, variables in order include stock-market levels, VIX, FFR, log(ave earnings), log (CPI), hours, log(employment) and log (IP). All variables HP detrended ( $\lambda=129,600$ ). Results very robust to varying VAR specifications (i.e. ordering, variable inclusion detrending etc).  
Source: Bloom (2009)

## **Some papers use a cross-country approach**

- Ramey and Ramey (1995) provided evidence on volatility and growth, using Government expenditure as an instrument for volatility, and find a strong negative relationship
- Engel and Rangel (2008) update this using a larger and more details cross-country panel and a better volatility measure, and again find a large negative correlation between volatility on growth



# Impact of uncertainty on growth

Micro evidence

Macro evidence

**Identification and reverse causality**

## **An obvious concern is over reverse causality, which seems very plausible from the theory**

- One model is Van Nieuwerburgh and Veldkamp (2006) which assumes learning is generated by activity, so recessions slow learning
- Bachmann and Moscarini (2011) assume instead that recessions are good times to experiment
- Kehrig (2011) has some very nice data showing counter-cyclical productivity dispersion and a model endogenizing volatility due to fixed costs of production
- Or maybe recessions are a good time for Governments to try new policies, as in Lubos and Veronesi (2012)

## **The evidence on causality between uncertainty and recessions is weak, and an active research area**

- In Baker and Bloom (2012) use disasters as instruments and find a negative causal impact of uncertainty on growth
- Stein and Stone (2012) use energy and currency instruments in firm data finding a large causal impact of uncertainty on investment, hiring and advertising but positive on R&D

But still an open and very interesting research question

- Definition: What is uncertainty?
- Stylized facts: Uncertainty over time and countries
- Theory: Why might uncertainty matter?
- Empirics: Evidence on the impact of uncertainty

- **Conclusions**

## Wrap-up summary

1. Micro and macro uncertainty are countercyclical
2. Theory suggests this is likely to reduce hiring, investment and consumer durable expenditures due to:
  - a) Postponing action due to real-options “*delay effects*”
  - b) Risk aversion (from consumers and managers)
3. Empirical evidence suggests negative impacts of uncertainty, maybe explains  $\approx 1/3$  of business cycles
4. Uncertainty also reduces policy impact due to real options “*caution effects*” – makes firms & consumers less responsive



**Goodbye from  
General Equilibrium**