

I had many candidates for the heuristic function but none of them managed to post decisive results against the ID_Improved function. With few exceptions, which constantly scored lower, all of them went around 50% against ID_Improved. After many attempts at changing the evaluation parameters, weights, pure speed criteria I settled with a function which even though doesn't post stellar results against the ID_Improved at least shows great consistency beating it more than 90% of the cases even though the difference is no larger than 15%.

Few thoughts that I had during developing the heuristics:

- Complex functions are not performing as well as fast functions. Besides being slow they would not give a definitive advantage in the beginning of the game. After the 25 ply, I think the score function would not matter as much as long as is a decent one the reason being both ID_Improved and tested heuristic would be able to reach the bottom of the game tree and the outcome of the game would be decided mostly by the number of winning positions within the leaves at each possible immediate move, as would increase the probability of win.
- Varying score strategies based on game progression didn't hold the desired results or at least I have not found the sweet spot for the parameters.

Criteria considered:

- Score for position; penalize corners, prefer quadrants with more spaces, stay in the middle
- Relative to the opponent: moves that block opponent, moves that run from the opponent, moves that block in 2 moves (I discovered that during my play against a computer opponent would hold best results)
- Partitioning based on representing the table as an undirected graph. Probably this would worth pursuing more as I have seen on the forums people reporting good results. My implementation though can't be really used until at least middle game and then as I said being able to go all the way to the turtles would make this redundant with speed penalty attached.
- Pure speed: random function which return random score between -2,2. I was surprised to see it win in the first attempt 60% against ID_Improved but then posted lower scores. As a note lower intervals hold better results than larger. The scores are still high for random; use ID_null after middle game as would make the reaching for the bottom faster; add/subtract random from the result of ID_improved. None of this were better than my chosen function though.
- I observed that some strategies are doing better against certain opponent strategy; probably would worth exploring finding patterns that would classify enemies and adjust the heuristic based on that. Probably not for this game though.

The heuristics considered:

- **free_in_two (the submitted heuristic)** - Checks if the next set of movements after this one would be in the range of opponent current movements; basically, counts the positions that would be blocked by opponent after this. More such positions lesser the score.

- **blind_aggression** - Tries to block a possible movement for the opponent no matter what; this one scored 70% against ID_improved but the results were not consistent or at least repeated once after.
- **Combine** - this one mixes strategies depending of game progression. Had multiple incarnations during tests. I was convinced that would pay off if I tweak it enough that's why is named the chosen one in the results.

The results as they come out of the console are also included on Git in the results folder:

1. Against **ID_Improved**

Killer_combine was trying to use the graph partition and longest path algorithm in different stages of the game

File f_1.txt

free_in_two 55.00%
 blind 55.00%
 central_knight 40.00%
 The chosen one 25.00%
 killer_combine 55.00%

File f_2.txt

free_in_two 45.00%
 blind 65.00%
 central_knight 35.00%
 The chosen one 60.00%
 killer_combine 40.00%

File f_3.txt

free_in_two 55.00%
 blind 50.00%
 central_knight 35.00%
 The chosen one 50.00%
 killer_combine 40.00%

File f_4.txt

free_in_two 60.00%
 blind 60.00%
 The chosen one 40.00%

File f_5.txt

free_in_two 65.00%
 blind 30.00%
 The chosen one 45.00%

File f_6.txt

This was an attempt to use negamax but most probably I have implemented wrongly and got abysmal results.

File f_7.txt

free_in_two 60.00%
 blind 55.00%
 The chosen one 45.00%

Results against the field:

File t_2.txt

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1: ID_Improved vs random Result: 20 to 0
Match 2: ID_Improved vs greedy Result: 18 to 2
Match 3: ID_Improved vs MM_Null Result: 19 to 1
Match 4: ID_Improved vs MM_Open Result: 19 to 1
Match 5: ID_Improved vs MM_Improved Result: 15 to 5
Match 6: ID_Improved vs AB_Null Result: 19 to 1
Match 7: ID_Improved vs AB_Open Result: 19 to 1
Match 8: ID_Improved vs AB_Improved Result: 14 to 6

Results:

ID_Improved 89.38%

Evaluating: free_in_two

Playing Matches:

Match 1: free_in_two vs random Result: 18 to 2
Match 2: free_in_two vs greedy Result: 19 to 1
Match 3: free_in_two vs MM_Null Result: 20 to 0
Match 4: free_in_two vs MM_Open Result: 18 to 2
Match 5: free_in_two vs MM_Improved Result: 17 to 3
Match 6: free_in_two vs AB_Null Result: 19 to 1
Match 7: free_in_two vs AB_Open Result: 13 to 7
Match 8: free_in_two vs AB_Improved Result: 14 to 6

Results:

free_in_two 86.25%

Evaluating: blind

Playing Matches:

Match 1: blind vs random Result: 20 to 0

Match 2:	blind	vs	greedy	Result: 18 to 2
Match 3:	blind	vs	MM_Null	Result: 19 to 1
Match 4:	blind	vs	MM_Open	Result: 18 to 2
Match 5:	blind	vs	MM_Improved	Result: 16 to 4
Match 6:	blind	vs	AB_Null	Result: 15 to 5
Match 7:	blind	vs	AB_Open	Result: 12 to 8
Match 8:	blind	vs	AB_Improved	Result: 16 to 4

Results:

blind 83.75%

Evaluating: The chosen one

Playing Matches:

Match 1:	The chosen one	vs	random	Result: 19 to 1
Match 2:	The chosen one	vs	greedy	Result: 15 to 5
Match 3:	The chosen one	vs	MM_Null	Result: 18 to 2
Match 4:	The chosen one	vs	MM_Open	Result: 16 to 4
Match 5:	The chosen one	vs	MM_Improved	Result: 13 to 7
Match 6:	The chosen one	vs	AB_Null	Result: 14 to 6
Match 7:	The chosen one	vs	AB_Open	Result: 14 to 6
Match 8:	The chosen one	vs	AB_Improved	Result: 12 to 8

Results:

The chosen one 75.62%

File t_3.txt

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1:	ID_Improved	vs	random	Result: 20 to 0
Match 2:	ID_Improved	vs	greedy	Result: 18 to 2
Match 3:	ID_Improved	vs	MM_Null	Result: 19 to 1
Match 4:	ID_Improved	vs	MM_Open	Result: 18 to 2
Match 5:	ID_Improved	vs	MM_Improved	Result: 17 to 3
Match 6:	ID_Improved	vs	AB_Null	Result: 18 to 2
Match 7:	ID_Improved	vs	AB_Open	Result: 15 to 5
Match 8:	ID_Improved	vs	AB_Improved	Result: 17 to 3

Results:

ID_Improved 88.75%

Evaluating: free_in_two

Playing Matches:

Match 1:	free_in_two vs random	Result: 19 to 1
Match 2:	free_in_two vs greedy	Result: 17 to 3
Match 3:	free_in_two vs MM_Null	Result: 19 to 1
Match 4:	free_in_two vs MM_Open	Result: 16 to 4
Match 5:	free_in_two vs MM_Improved	Result: 15 to 5
Match 6:	free_in_two vs AB_Null	Result: 20 to 0
Match 7:	free_in_two vs AB_Open	Result: 15 to 5
Match 8:	free_in_two vs AB_Improved	Result: 12 to 8

Results:

free_in_two 83.12%

Evaluating: blind

Playing Matches:

Match 1:	blind vs random	Result: 20 to 0
Match 2:	blind vs greedy	Result: 18 to 2
Match 3:	blind vs MM_Null	Result: 18 to 2
Match 4:	blind vs MM_Open	Result: 15 to 5
Match 5:	blind vs MM_Improved	Result: 17 to 3
Match 6:	blind vs AB_Null	Result: 18 to 2
Match 7:	blind vs AB_Open	Result: 14 to 6
Match 8:	blind vs AB_Improved	Result: 13 to 7

Results:

blind 83.12%

Evaluating: The chosen one

Playing Matches:

Match 1: The chosen one vs	random	Result: 19 to 1
Match 2: The chosen one vs	greedy	Result: 16 to 4
Match 3: The chosen one vs	MM_Null	Result: 18 to 2
Match 4: The chosen one vs	MM_Open	Result: 14 to 6
Match 5: The chosen one vs	MM_Improved	Result: 15 to 5
Match 6: The chosen one vs	AB_Null	Result: 16 to 4
Match 7: The chosen one vs	AB_Open	Result: 15 to 5
Match 8: The chosen one vs	AB_Improved	Result: 13 to 7

Results:

The chosen one 78.75%

File t_3.txt

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1: ID_Improved vs	random	Result: 19 to 1
Match 2: ID_Improved vs	greedy	Result: 19 to 1
Match 3: ID_Improved vs	MM_Null	Result: 20 to 0
Match 4: ID_Improved vs	MM_Open	Result: 15 to 5
Match 5: ID_Improved vs	MM_Improved	Result: 17 to 3
Match 6: ID_Improved vs	AB_Null	Result: 19 to 1
Match 7: ID_Improved vs	AB_Open	Result: 17 to 3
Match 8: ID_Improved vs	AB_Improved	Result: 15 to 5

Results:

ID_Improved 88.12%

Evaluating: free_in_two

Playing Matches:

Match 1: free_in_two vs	random	Result: 20 to 0
Match 2: free_in_two vs	greedy	Result: 20 to 0
Match 3: free_in_two vs	MM_Null	Result: 19 to 1

Match 4: free_in_two vs MM_Open Result: 19 to 1
Match 5: free_in_two vs MM_Improved Result: 19 to 1
Match 6: free_in_two vs AB_Null Result: 17 to 3
Match 7: free_in_two vs AB_Open Result: 17 to 3
Match 8: free_in_two vs AB_Improved Result: 15 to 5

Results:

free_in_two 91.25%

Evaluating: blind

Playing Matches:

Match 1: blind vs random Result: 20 to 0
Match 2: blind vs greedy Result: 18 to 2
Match 3: blind vs MM_Null Result: 20 to 0
Match 4: blind vs MM_Open Result: 16 to 4
Match 5: blind vs MM_Improved Result: 16 to 4
Match 6: blind vs AB_Null Result: 17 to 3
Match 7: blind vs AB_Open Result: 13 to 7
Match 8: blind vs AB_Improved Result: 16 to 4

Results:

blind 85.00%

Evaluating: The chosen one

Playing Matches:

Match 1: The chosen one vs random Result: 19 to 1
Match 2: The chosen one vs greedy Result: 17 to 3
Match 3: The chosen one vs MM_Null Result: 15 to 5
Match 4: The chosen one vs MM_Open Result: 16 to 4
Match 5: The chosen one vs MM_Improved Result: 15 to 5
Match 6: The chosen one vs AB_Null Result: 17 to 3
Match 7: The chosen one vs AB_Open Result: 16 to 4
Match 8: The chosen one vs AB_Improved Result: 12 to 8

Results:

The chosen one 79.38%