### E theory

- Building a smectic analogoue to nematic Q tensor
- ightharpoonup Replace the real order parameters S with complex  $\psi$
- Mainly thinking about uniaxial case

$$\underline{\underline{Q}} = S_1(\underline{N}\underline{N} - \frac{\underline{\delta}}{\underline{d}}) + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} S_2(\underline{M}\underline{M} - \frac{\underline{\delta}}{\underline{d}}) \end{bmatrix}}_{S_2 = 0 \text{ makes it uniaxial}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{E}} \sim \psi_1(\underline{N}\underline{N} - \frac{\underline{\delta}}{\underline{d}})$$

- ▶ This allows the order to numerically melt at defects
- ▶ Preserves the  $\underline{N} \rightarrow -\underline{N}$  symmetry

## Relation to the density fluctuations

- $ightharpoonup |\psi|$  represents quality of layers
- $ightharpoonup q_0$  the base layering and  $\phi$  the local offset from it
- $ightharpoonup \underline{N}$  is the director, normal to the layering

$$ho \sim 
ho_0 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(|\psi| e^{i\phi} e^{iq_0} \underline{N} \cdot \underline{r})$$

- ▶ In  $\underline{\underline{E}}$  theory these are all degrees of freedom, unlike when  $\underline{\underline{N}} = \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}$  is used
- Using  $\underline{\underline{E}}$  makes melting in defect cores easier in numerical simulations

## Thinking about constraints, and biaxiality

- Q is real, so symmetry makes it diagonalizable this leads to the biaxial form
- ▶ If a complex matrix is normal  $(\underline{\underline{E}}\underline{\underline{E}}^{\dagger} = \underline{\underline{E}}^{\dagger}\underline{\underline{E}})$  it can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix

$$\underline{\underline{E}} = \underline{\underline{U}}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \underline{\underline{\underline{U}}} = \dots = \psi_1 (\underline{\underline{N}}\underline{\underline{N}} - \overline{\underline{\underline{\delta}}}) + \psi_2 (\underline{\underline{M}}\underline{\underline{M}} - \overline{\underline{\underline{\delta}}})$$

▶ With <u>N</u>, <u>M</u> being real, orthogonal, unit vectors, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Overall 2\*2 + 2 + 1 = 7 dof, 4 if uniaxial



# The free energy

- Using the simplest terms
- $lackbox{ We need to match } \underline{\underline{E}} \text{ and } \underline{\underline{E}}^* \text{ to make it real}$

$$f_{\text{bulk}}(E_{ij}E_{ij}^* = \text{Tr}(\underline{\underline{E}}\underline{\underline{E}}^*)) = \frac{A}{2}E_{ij}E_{ij}^* + \frac{C}{4}(E_{ij}E_{ij}^*)^2$$

Elastic terms need gradients – below are "single elastic constant" terms

$$\begin{split} |\underline{\nabla}\underline{\underline{E}}|^2 &= E_{ij,k}E_{ij,k}^* \quad \text{the main term} \\ |\underline{\nabla}\cdot\underline{\underline{E}}|^2 &= E_{ij,j}E_{ik,k}^* \quad \text{not considered in Jacks' work, possibly surface term?} \\ |\nabla^2\underline{\underline{E}}|^2 &= E_{ij,kk}E_{ij,ll}^* \quad \text{the only double gradient term considered} \end{split}$$

## Projection operators

- Gradients in different directions have different energy costs
- ▶ Working with uniaxial  $\underline{E}$  (or nearly so) special direction is  $\underline{N}$
- ▶ Projection operator  $\underline{\Pi} = \underline{NN}$
- $lackbox{Perpendicular projections are then } \underline{\underline{T}} = \underline{\underline{\delta}} \underline{\underline{\Pi}}$
- ▶ Adapt the free energies by  $\underline{\nabla} \to \underline{\underline{\Pi}} \cdot \underline{\nabla} + \underline{\underline{T}} \cdot \underline{\nabla}$

$$E_{ij,k}E_{ij,k}^{*} \to f_{comp} = b_{1}^{\parallel} \Pi_{kl}E_{ij,k}E_{ij,l}^{*} + b_{1}^{\perp} T_{kl}E_{ij,k}E_{ij,l}^{*}$$

$$E_{ij,kk}E_{ij,ll}^{*} \to f_{curv} = b_{2}^{\parallel} \Pi_{kl}E_{ij,lk}\Pi_{mn}E_{ij,nm}^{*} + b_{2}^{\perp} T_{kl}E_{ij,lk}T_{mn}E_{ij,nm}^{*}$$

$$+ b_{2}^{\parallel\perp} (\Pi_{kl}E_{ij,lk}T_{mn}E_{ij,nm}^{*} + T_{kl}E_{ij,lk}\Pi_{mn}E_{ij,nm}^{*})$$

## Projection operators

- ▶ Need a form for  $\underline{\Pi}$  in terms of  $\underline{\underline{E}}$
- ▶ Have 2 forms which work for uniaxial  $\underline{\underline{E}}$

$$\underline{\Pi} = \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d\underline{\underline{E}} : \underline{\underline{E}}}} \underline{\underline{E}} + \underline{\underline{\delta}} \underline{\underline{d}}$$

$$\underline{\Pi} = \frac{d-1}{d-2} \left( \underline{\underline{\underline{E}} : \underline{\underline{E}}^*} - \underline{\underline{\delta}} \underline{\underline{d}} (d-1) \right)$$

- Lead to seemingly different functional derivatives why?
- ▶ First form only has  $\underline{\underline{E}}$ , how about  $\underline{\underline{E}} \to \underline{\underline{E}}^*$ ?
- ▶ How well do they work for biaxial  $\underline{\underline{E}}$ ?

## Dynamics and functional derivatives

- ▶ Starting from  $\mu \frac{\partial E_{ij}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta E_{ii}^*}$ , but need to preserve constraints
- ▶ If  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta E_i^*}$  is symmetric and traceless, then so will  $\underline{\underline{E}}$
- ightharpoonup Either treat  $\underline{E}$  as symmetric, or symmetrize after
- Normality is more complicated, Lagrange multiplier from Djorde's work
- It might be nice to constrain it to be unaxial too

#### Functional derivatives

ightharpoonup Results using the square root version of  $\underline{\underline{\Pi}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta F_{\text{bulk}}}{\delta E_{ij}^{*}} &= \frac{1}{2} (A + C E_{ab} E_{ab}^{*}) E_{ij} \\ \frac{\delta F_{\text{comp}}}{\delta E_{ij}^{*}} &= - (b_{1}^{\parallel} - b_{1}^{\perp}) (\Pi_{kl,l} E_{ij,k} + \Pi_{kl} E_{ij,kl}) - b_{1}^{\perp} E_{ij,kk} \\ \frac{\delta F_{\text{curv}}}{\delta E_{ij}^{*}} &= (b_{2}^{\parallel} + b_{2}^{\perp} - 2 b_{2}^{\parallel \perp}) \Big( (\Pi_{kl} \Pi_{po,po} + 2 \Pi_{kl,o} \Pi_{po,p} + \Pi_{kl,po} \Pi_{po}) E_{ij,lk} \\ &\qquad \qquad + 2 (\Pi_{kl,o} \Pi_{po} + \Pi_{kl} \Pi_{po,o}) E_{ij,lkp} + \Pi_{kl} \Pi_{po} E_{ij,lkpo} \Big) \\ &\qquad \qquad + (b_{2}^{\parallel \perp} - b_{2}^{\perp}) \Big( \Pi_{po,po} E_{ij,kk} + 2 \Pi_{po,o} E_{ij,kkp} + \Pi_{po} E_{ij,kkpo} \\ &\qquad \qquad + \Pi_{kl,oo} E_{ij,lk} + 2 \Pi_{kl,o} E_{ij,lko} + \Pi_{kl} E_{ij,lkoo} \Big) \\ &\qquad \qquad + b_{2}^{\perp} E_{ii,kkoo} \end{split}$$

#### Functional derivatives

lacktriangle Results using the square root version of  $\underline{\underline{\square}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{kl} &= \frac{sE_{kl}}{\sqrt{E_{ab}E_{ab}}} + \frac{\delta_{kl}}{d} \\ \Pi_{kl,m} &= \frac{s}{\sqrt{E_{ab}E_{ab}}} \bigg( E_{kl,m} - \frac{E_{kl}E_{cd}E_{cd,m}}{E_{ab}E_{ab}} \bigg) \\ \Pi_{kl,mn} &= \frac{s}{\sqrt{E_{ab}E_{ab}}} \bigg( E_{kl,mn} \\ &- \frac{E_{kl,n}E_{cd}E_{cd,m} + E_{kl,m}E_{cd}E_{cd,n} + E_{kl}(E_{cd,n}E_{cd,m} + E_{cd}E_{cd,mn})}{E_{ab}E_{ab}} \\ &+ 3 \frac{E_{kl}E_{cd}E_{cd,m}E_{ef}E_{ef,n}}{(E_{ab}E_{ab})^2} \bigg) \end{split}$$