# Drafting Success: Using Machine Learning to Identify Star Quarterbacks

By: Jimmy Brawner, Michael Hargroder, Nathan Kosiba, and Chris Marchini

5/9/2020



### Key Objective

Using a variety of **extant data sources**, we **leveraged**Machine Learning techniques to build a model that **predicts success** of quarterbacks from the recent NFL draft class.

Machine Learning for college football?


That's a **bold**strategy, Cotton let's see if it pays off!!

#### Why look at NFL draft picks?

- Moneyball-ification of sports,
  FiveThirtyEight
- Determine if predicting success of a draftee is feasible
- Demystify data analysis in sports
- Draft picks can make or break a team
- Teams are not great at predicting QB success



#### QBs drafted in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> round since 1987



#### Enter our model ...

- Data used for players:
  - College football stats
  - NFL combine metrics
  - Personal biometrics

- Data sources used:
  - sports-reference.com (pro and college football)
  - nflcombineresults.com



## Defining a "successful" NFL QB



- Original definition
  - At least one (1) pro-bowl appearance
  - 2. Made the All-Pro team
  - 3. Made the Hall of Fame
  - 4. Started for at least six (6) seasons
- Relaxed definition for model:
  - At least one (1) pro-bowl appearance
  - 2. Started for at least five (5) seasons

#### The data we had access to includes ....

#### College Stats

- Rushing Average
- Adjusted Yards/Attempt
- Efficiency Rating
- Plays/game
- Completion Percentage

# NFL Combine Metrics

- 40-Yard Dash
- Vertical Leap
- Broad Jump
- Shuttle
- 3-Cone


# Personal Biometrics

- Height
- Weight


#### Our ETL Process

- Used Pandas to work with our data
- 2. Filled missing combine data using mean values
- 3. Used Seaborn (Matplotlib) to visually explore our data
- 4. Loaded individual tables into SQLite database
- 5. Created model data from merging tables from our SQLite database


# Correlation of all features





#### Correlation of narrowed-down features




#### Narrowed-down feature distributions









### Our smoothed features post-ETL

#### College Stats

- Rushing Average
- Adjusted Yards/Attempt
- Efficiency Rating
- Plays/game
- Completion
  Percentage

# NFL Combine Metrics

- 40-Yard Dash
- Vertical Leap
- Broad Jump
- Shuttle
- 3-Cone


# Personal Biometrics

Height

Weight

### Building our model with TensorFlow

- Made two separate test models:
  - College football
  - Combine stats
- Garbage in, garbage out
  - Early models skewed toward failures
  - Adjusted success distribution in training dataset



- Built neural network
  - Used consistent scaling of X data
  - Layers: Four (4) dense, one (1) input, two (2) intermediate, one (1) output
  - Activation function: ReLU
  - Optimization: Adam
  - Loss: Categorical Cross Entropy
  - Epochs: 1,000

### **Model demo**

## Results Summary, Why this Matters, and Next Steps

- No turnkey solution: making a reliable model is not easy
- Had a 78% success rate between 1987 and 2014
- Some variables aren't available (e.g., player intelligence)
- Can't predict unexpected events like player injuries
- We could optimize our model further

# Thank you! Questions?